

Let's answer this question through some examples!

(1) Multiply a row by a scalar t.
Ex:
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
 $\xrightarrow{R, \cdot 2}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 14 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$
Det = 1 $Det = 2$
 $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ $\xrightarrow{R_2(-1)}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ -2 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$
Det = -1

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \cdot t} \begin{bmatrix} 3t & 7t \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Det = t$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 \\ 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \uparrow R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Det = -21$$

$$Det = 21$$

3 Adding a multiple of one row to another row. Ex: Det = 1Det = 1Det = 1 $\begin{vmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 \end{vmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 + 201 R_2} \begin{vmatrix} 405 & 1012 \\ 2 & 5 \end{vmatrix}$ Det = 1So it looks like adding/subtracting a multiple of one row from another doesn't affect det(A)!

These facts are extremely useful for computing determinants! Why? Let's see!

Ex: If
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & 9 \\ 3 & 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
, compute det(A).

Solution: Okay, this looks bad

BUT as long as we keep track of how det(A) is affected, we can apply EROS to simplify A!

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & 9 \\ 3 & 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{N}_{R_2 - 2R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ R_2 - 2R_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_3 - 3R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Det(A) \ doesn't$$

$$Change$$

This new matrix has the same determinant as A, but it has one new feature: it's upper triangular!

Therefore its determinant is the product of its diagonal entries: det = (1)(-4) = -4.

But this means that
$$det(A) = -4$$
 as well!!
This gives us a new strategy for finding det(A):
(1) Put A into REF using EROS
(2) REF(A) is upper-triangular, so its determinant
is the product of its diagonal entries.
(3) Work back through your EROs to find det(A).
(3) Work back through your EROs to find det(A).
(3) Work back through your EROs to find det(A).
(4) Exi If $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix}$, find det(A).
(5) $Max = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 14 & 20 \end{pmatrix}$

det is multiplied
by (-1).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} Re IR_3 \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 \end{bmatrix} (REF)$$
The determinant of the REF is $(1)(4)(-5) = -20$
To undo our ERO changes, we need to multiply
by (-1) and multiply by 2.

$$\therefore det (A) = (-20)(-1)(2) = 40$$

Ex: If
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 12 & 6 & 8 \\ -1 & -7 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 8 & 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$
 find det(A).

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 This matrix has
determinant $(1)(-3)(3)(1) = -9$

So, det
$$(A) = (-9)(-1) = 9$$

Properties of Determinants

Theorem: Let A, B be n×n matrices.
(1) A is invertible if and only if det(A)
$$\neq 0$$
.
(2) det(A^T) = det(A)
(3) If teR, then det(tA) = tⁿ det(A)
(4) det(AB) = det(A) det(B)
(5) If A is invertible, det(A⁻¹) = $\frac{1}{det(A)}$

If A is invertible, then
$$AA^{-1} = I$$
.
Thus, $det(AA^{-1}) = det(I) = 1$
But $det(AA^{-1}) = det(A) det(A^{-1})$ by (4), so
 $det(A) det(A^{-1}) = 1 \implies det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{det(A)}$

Ex: If A, B are nxn matrices and AB is invertible, then both A and B are invertible. <u>Proof</u>: If AB is invertible, then $det(AB) \neq 0$. Hum... but det(AB) = det(A) det(B), so $det(A) det(B) \neq 0$. This means $det(A) \neq 0$ and $det(B) \neq 0$, so A and B are invertible!