$$\begin{cases} 2.2 - Gauss-Jordan Elimination \\ Row reducing is FUN \\ but back substitution is LAME! \\ Ts there some way we can avoid doing it? \\ Yes! Consider our first example from §2.1:
$$\begin{cases} 1 & 1 & -2 & | \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & -5 & 7 \end{cases} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -2 & | \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 &$$$$

From here we quickly
$$get \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
.
No back substitution!
The reason it worked? The matrix is in a very

special type of REF, called <u>reduced</u> row echelon form

$$E_{X}: \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is in RREF, but
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 are NOT (they fail (z) and (3), respectively)

We can always put a matrix into RREF by first writing it in REF, and then eliminating the entries above the leading 1's from right to left.

This process is called Gauss-Jordan elimination.

Ex: Write
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & -1 \\ 2 & 6 & 9 & 14 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 in RREF, and find the

general solution.

<u>Solution</u>; [1358]-

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & | & -1 \\ 2 & 6 & 9 & | & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\sim} R_2 - 2R_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\sim} R_2 - 2R_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\sim} R_1 - 8R_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & | & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now it's easy to read off the solution.
Since
$$X_2$$
 is not leading, it is free:
 $X_2 = t$, teR

Then
$$X_4 = 0$$

 $X_3 = -2$
 $X_1 = 9 - 3 \times 2 = 9 - 3 \pm 2$

So the solution is

$$\vec{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 - 3t \\ t \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

This is NOT the case for REF.

The Rank of a Matrix

From Z.I, we know that the number of leading entries in a REF can tell us if a system is (a) inconsistent; (b) consistent with a unique solution; or (c) consistent with infinitely many solutions. Let's give this number a name: the rank! Definition: The rank of a matrix is the number of leading 1's in its RREF. Note: The rank of a matrix A is actually the number of leading entries in any REF of A. (But since the RREF is unique and the REF is not, it's easier to make arguments in terms of RREF)

$$\begin{array}{c|c} E_{X}: & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & has rank 2 \\ \hline \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & has rank 2.$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} F_{X}: & F_{X}: \\ \hline \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & (REF)$$

What's the rank of
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
? We have
 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$? So rank = 1
 $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ R₂-2R, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ (RREF)

Note: If A is a matrix with M rows and
n columns, then
$$Rank(A) \leq min(m,n)$$
. (why?)

Homogeneous Systems

In the next section and throughout the remainder of the course, we will often deal with systems whose right hand side is \vec{O} .

Such a system is called homogeneous.

$$E_{X}: = 0 \quad \text{is a homogeneous System}$$

$$X_1 + X_2 - X_3 = 0$$

$$-X_2 + 2X_3 = 0$$

Note:

(1) Our ERO's don't change the right hand side of
a homogeneous system, so we often just omit it.
So instead of writing
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 for the above
system, we write $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and remember that
 $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

the right hand side is \vec{O} .

(2) A homogeneous system is always consistent:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2 \\
\vdots \\
x_n
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{bmatrix}$$
is always a solution!

Since we know a solution exists, we are often more interested in the <u>number of parameters</u> in the general solution

$$\underbrace{E_{X}}_{1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_{1}} \frac{1}{R_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_{1}+R_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (-1)R_{2}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_{3}-R_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_{3}-R_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} R_{ank} & is & 2 \\ \# & of parameters = 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underbrace{General Solution:}_{n} = \frac{X}{x} = \frac{1}{x} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$