

Further reason to hope $\chi^{(1)}(N) = \chi^{(ch)}(N)$

Equivalences of additivity conjectures:

Def entanglement of formation =

$$\bar{E}(p) = \min_{\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|} \sum_i p_i \bar{E}(|\psi_i\rangle)$$

ent of pure state $|\psi_i\rangle$
 $\parallel$
 $S(\text{tr}_A |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|)$
 $\parallel$
 entropy of Schmidt coeffs²

Average
entanglement
in a pure state
decomposition

given you choose these, $p, |\psi_i\rangle$: states on $H_A \otimes H_B$

Known: Entanglement dilution takes $\approx n \bar{E}(|\psi\rangle)$ ebits
 & $O(\sqrt{n})$ cbits to create n copies of $|\psi\rangle$

• Intuitive protocol to make $f^{\otimes n}$ using ebits & cbits:

Alice & Bob can use $\approx n [E(f)]$ ebits to create $|Y_i\rangle^{\otimes n p_i}$

for each i . Then Alice draws from $\{p_i\}$ iid n times,

gets $p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \dots p_{i_n}$, tells Bob these outcomes and

they place $|Y_{i_1}\rangle$ in $A_1 B_1$, $|Y_{i_2}\rangle$ in $A_2 B_2$, ..., $|Y_{i_n}\rangle$ in $A_n B_n$

and forget $p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_n}$. They then share $f^{\otimes n}$.

Thus the name "ent of formation", (That was ≈ 1996)

For a while, $[E(f)]$ was thought to be the exact no more
no
loss
asymptotic # ebits needed per copy of f made.

In 0008134, Hayden, Horodecki & Terhal defined the entanglement cost:

$$E_C(f) = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ \epsilon_n \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{n} \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{\# ebits needed to approx } f^{\otimes n} \\ \text{with accuracy } \epsilon_n \text{ w/ free cbits} \end{array} \right)$$

& proved:

$$E_C(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E_F(f^{\otimes n}) \leq E_F(f)$$

↑

≠ if there is a better pure state decomp over $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n$ vs $B_1 \dots B_n$.

For a while, everyone hoped $E_F(f) = E_C(f)$.

In 0305035, Shor proved that the following are either all true or all false:

- ① $\forall N_1, N_2, \chi^{(1)}(N_1 \otimes N_2) = \chi^{(1)}(N_1) + \chi^{(1)}(N_2)$
- ② $\forall \rho_1, \rho_2, E_F(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2) = E_F(\rho_1) + E_F(\rho_2)$
- ③ $\forall N_1, N_2, S_{\min}(N_1 \otimes N_2) = S_{\min}(N_1) + S_{\min}(N_2)$
 (where $S_{\min}(N) = \min_p S(N(p)) = \text{min output entropy}$).
- ④ $\forall \rho_{A_1 B_1}, \rho_{A_2 B_2}, E_F(\rho_{A_1 A_2 | B_1 B_2}) \geq E_F(\rho_{A_1 B_1}) + E_F(\rho_{A_2 B_2})$