

PMATH 347 Groups and Rings, Solutions to Assignment 4

1: Show that no two of the groups \mathbb{Z}_{24} , U_{39} , D_{12} , S_4 and $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}_3)$ are isomorphic.

Solution: In U_{39} we have $\langle 2 \rangle = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 25, 11, 22, 5, 10, 20\}$ so that in particular $|25| = 2$, and also we have $38 = -1$ so $|38| = 2$. So in U_{39} there are at least 2 elements of order 2. Thus we cannot have $U_{39} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{24}$, since \mathbb{Z}_{24} has only one element of order 2.

The groups D_{12} and S_4 are not abelian, so neither can be isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{24} or to U_{39} . Also, D_{12} has 13 elements of order 2 (namely R_6 and the 12 reflections F_k) while S_4 has 9 elements of order 2 (6 of the form (ab) and 3 of the form $(ab)(cd)$) and so D_{12} is not isomorphic to S_4 .

It remains to show that $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ is not isomorphic to any of the other groups. Note that $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ is not abelian, since for example $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ does not commute with $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and so $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ cannot be isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{24} or to U_{39} . Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$. Since $\det A = 1$ we have $A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$ and so

$$A^2 = I \iff A = A^{-1} \iff (a = d \text{ and } b = c = 0) \iff A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ has only one element of order 2, namely $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Since D_{12} has 13 elements of order 2 and S_4 has 9 elements of order 2, $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ cannot be isomorphic to either of these.

An alternate method is to find all 24 elements of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}_3)$ and to find the order of each element. You should find 1 element of order 1, 1 of order 2, 8 of order 3, 6 of order 4 and 8 of order 6.

2: (a) Show that no two of the groups \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{Q}^* and \mathbb{Q}^+ are isomorphic.

Solution: In \mathbb{Q}^* we have $(-1)^2 = 1$ so \mathbb{Q}^* has an element of order 2, but in \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{Q}^+ and \mathbb{Q}^2 , all non-identity elements have infinite order. Thus \mathbb{Q}^* is not isomorphic to any of the groups \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{Q}^+ or \mathbb{Q}^2 .

Note that \mathbb{Q}^+ cannot be isomorphic to either \mathbb{Q} or \mathbb{Q}^2 because the element $2 \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ has no square root but in \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Q}^2 every element can be halved (if $\phi : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^+$ was an isomorphism with $\phi(x) = 2$ then we would have $\phi(\frac{x}{2})^2 = \phi(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{x}{2}) = \phi(x) = 2$).

(b) Determine whether, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the groups \mathbb{Q}^n and \mathbb{Q}^m are isomorphic if and only if $n = m$.

Solution: This is true: let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If $n = m$ then $\mathbb{Q}^n = \mathbb{Q}^m$ so of course $\mathbb{Q}^n \cong \mathbb{Q}^m$. Suppose that $\mathbb{Q}^n \cong \mathbb{Q}^m$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{Q}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^m$ be a group isomorphism, meaning that ϕ is bijective with $\phi(u+v) = \phi(u) + \phi(v)$ for all $u, v \in \mathbb{Q}^n$. Let $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $u \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, say $r = \frac{k}{\ell}$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$\phi(ru) = \phi(k \cdot \frac{1}{\ell}u) = k\phi(\frac{1}{\ell}u) = \frac{k}{\ell} \cdot \ell\phi(\frac{1}{\ell}u) = \frac{k}{\ell}\phi(\ell \cdot \frac{1}{\ell}u) = r\phi(u).$$

Thus $\phi : \mathbb{Q}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^m$ is a bijective linear map and hence, from linear algebra, $n = \dim(\mathbb{Q}^n) = \dim(\mathbb{Q}^m) = m$.

(c) Determine whether any two of the rings \mathbb{Q}^2 , $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ and $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{3}]$ are isomorphic (as rings).

Solution: We claim that no two of these rings are isomorphic. First we show that $\mathbb{Q}^2 \not\cong \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\phi : \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^2$ is a ring isomorphism. Let $(r, s) = \phi(\sqrt{2})$ with $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$(r^2, s^2) = (r, s)^2 = \phi(\sqrt{2})^2 = \phi((\sqrt{2})^2) = \phi(2) = \phi(2 \cdot 1) = 2\phi(1) = 2(1, 1) = (2, 2)$$

and this is not possible since there is no $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $r^2 = 2$. A similar argument shows that $\mathbb{Q}^2 \not\cong \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{3}]$ (because there is no $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $r^2 = 3$).

Finally we show that $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] \not\cong \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{3}]$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\phi : \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{3}]$ is a ring isomorphism. Say $\phi(\sqrt{2}) = r + s\sqrt{3}$ with $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then in $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{3}]$ we have

$$2 = 2 \cdot 1 = 2\phi(1) = \phi(2) = \phi((\sqrt{2})^2) = (\phi(\sqrt{2}))^2 = (r + s\sqrt{3})^2 = (r^2 + 3s^2) + 2rs\sqrt{3},$$

so that $r^2 + 3s^2 = 2$ and $2rs = 0$. But $2rs = 0$ implies that $r = 0$ or $s = 0$, so we cannot have $r^2 + 3s^2 = 2$.

3: (a) Find a subgroup of S_4 which is isomorphic to U_8 .

Solution: We have $U_8 = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$, and its multiplication table is

	1	3	5	7
1	1	3	5	7
3	3	1	7	5
5	5	7	1	3
7	7	5	3	1

Each row shows how left multiplication by the corresponding element of U_8 permutes the elements of U_8 , as in Cayley's theorem. If we associate the elements 1, 3, 5, 7 of U_8 with the elements 1, 2, 3, 4 of \mathbb{Z}_4 (in that order), the multiplication table corresponds to

	1	2	3	4
1	1	2	3	4
2	2	1	4	3
3	3	4	1	2
4	4	3	2	1

Writing the permutations in each row in cycle notation, we have $U_8 \cong \{(1), (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$.

(b) Find a subgroup of S_4 which is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(U_8)$.

Solution: Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(U_8)$. Then $\phi(1) = 1$ and ϕ permutes the elements 3, 5, 7. Suppose, conversely, that $\phi \in \text{Perm}(U_8)$ with $\phi(1) = 1$. We consider $\phi(ab)$ in 3 cases. In the case that $a = 1$ or $b = 1$, say $b = 1$, we have $\phi(ab) = \phi(a \cdot 1) = \phi(a) = \phi(a) \cdot 1 = \phi(a)\phi(1)$. In the case that $a \neq 1$, $b \neq 1$ and $a = b$, we have $\phi(ab) = \phi(a^2) = \phi(1) = 1 = \phi(a)^2$, since $x^2 = 1$ for all x . Finally, in the case that 1, a , b are distinct, the multiplication table shows that $ab = c$ where c is the other element of U_8 so that 1, a , b , c are distinct. Then $1 = \phi(1), \phi(a), \phi(b), \phi(c)$ are also distinct since ϕ is 1:1, and so $\phi(ab) = \phi(c) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$. In all 3 cases, we see that ϕ preserves the operation. Thus $\text{Aut}(U_8) = \{\phi \in \text{Perm}(U_8) \mid \phi(1) = 1\}$.

When we associate the elements 1, 3, 5, 7 $\in U_8$ with the elements 1, 2, 3, 4 $\in \mathbb{Z}_4$ (in that order), the automorphisms of U_8 correspond to the permutations of \mathbb{Z}_4 which fix 1 and permute 2, 3, 4. So we have $\text{Aut}(U_8) \cong \{(1), (23), (24), (34), (234), (243)\}$.

(c) Show that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n) \cong U_n$.

Solution: The group homomorphisms $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ are the maps ϕ_a given by $\phi_a(k) = ka$ where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Note that $\text{Image}(\phi_a) = \langle a \rangle$, so

$$\phi_a \text{ is bijective} \iff \text{Image}(\phi_a) = \mathbb{Z}_n \iff \langle a \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_n \iff |a| = n \iff \gcd(a, n) = 1 \iff a \in U_n.$$

Thus the map $\Phi : U_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ given by $\Phi(a) = \phi_a$ is a bijection. Finally, note that Φ is a group homomorphism since for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ we have $(\phi_a \circ \phi_b)(k) = \phi_a(\phi_b(k)) = \phi_a(kb) = kba = kab = \phi_{ab}(k)$ and so $\Phi(ab) = \phi_{ab} = \phi_a \circ \phi_b = \Phi(a)\Phi(b)$.

4: (a) Find a formula for the number of group homomorphisms $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$, where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Solution: Recall that the group homomorphisms $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$ are the maps ϕ_a where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ with $na = 0$. Let us determine which elements $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ satisfy $na = 0$. Let $d = \gcd(n, m)$ and say $n = sd$ and $m = td$. Then

$$na = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_m \iff m \mid na \iff td \mid sda \iff t \mid sa \iff t \mid a \iff a \in \langle t \rangle.$$

Thus the number of distinct group homomorphisms is equal to $|\langle t \rangle| = d = \gcd(n, m)$.

(b) Find a formula for the number of group homomorphisms $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell$, where $n, m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Solution: Let $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ be a group homomorphism. Note that if $\phi(1, 0) = a$ and $\phi(0, 1) = b$ then $\phi(s, t) = \phi(s(1, 0) + t(0, 1)) = s\phi(1, 0) + t\phi(0, 1) = sa + tb$, so ϕ is completely determined by the values $\phi(1, 0)$ and $\phi(0, 1)$. Also note that if $\phi(1, 0) = a$ and $\phi(0, 1) = b$ then we must have $na = \phi(n, 0) = \phi(0, 0) = 0$ and $mb = \phi(0, m) = \phi(0, 0) = 0$. Given $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ with $na = 0$ and $mb = 0$, define $\phi_{a,b} : \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ by $\phi_{a,b}(s, t) = sa + tb$. Then $\phi_{a,b}$ is well-defined since if $s_1 = s_2 \pmod n$ and $t_1 = t_2 \pmod m$, say $s_1 = s_2 + nx$ and $t_1 = t_2 + my$, then in \mathbb{Z}_ℓ we have $s_1a + t_1b = (s_2 + nx)a + (t_2 + my)b = s_2a + t_2b$ since $na = mb = 0$. Also, $\phi_{a,b}$ is a group homomorphism since $\phi_{a,b}((s_1, t_1) + (s_2, t_2)) = \phi_{a,b}(s_1 + t_1, s_2 + t_2) = (s_1 + t_1)a + (s_2 + t_2)b = s_1a + s_2b + t_1a + t_2b = \phi_{a,b}(s_1, s_2) + \phi_{a,b}(s_2, t_2)$. Thus the group homomorphisms $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ are the maps $\phi_{a,b}$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ with $na = 0$ and $mb = 0$. From our solution to part (a), the number of elements $a \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ with $na = 0$ is equal to $\gcd(n, \ell)$ and the number of elements $b \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ with $mb = 0$ is $\gcd(m, \ell)$, and so the number of group homomorphisms $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ is equal to $\gcd(n, \ell)\gcd(m, \ell)$.

(c) For a positive integer n , let $\omega(n)$ denote the number of distinct prime factors of n . Find a formula (in terms of n and m , using ω) for the number of ring homomorphisms $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$, where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Solution: Recall that the ring homomorphisms $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$ are the maps $\phi_a : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$ given by $\phi_a(k) = ka$ where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ with $na = 0$ and $a^2 = a$, so the number of such ring homomorphisms is equal to the number of $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ with $na = 0$ and $a^2 = a$. Let $m = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i^{k_i}$ where the p_i are distinct primes and each $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then we have $\mathbb{Z}_m \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}}$, so the number of $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ with $na = 0$ and $a^2 = a$ is equal to the number of $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}}$ where each $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}}$ with $na_i = 0$ and $a_i^2 = a_i$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}}$. For $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $a_i^2 = a_i$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}} \iff p_i^{k_i} \mid (a_i^2 - a_i) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z} \iff (p_i^{k_i} \mid a_i \text{ or } p_i^{k_i} \mid (a_i - 1)) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z} \iff (a_i = 0 \text{ or } a_i = 1) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}}$.

When $a_i = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}}$ we have $na_i = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}}$, and when $a_i = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}}$ we have

$$na_i = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}} \iff n = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}} \iff p_i^{k_i} \mid n \text{ in } \mathbb{Z} \iff k_i = e_{p_i}(m) \leq e_{p_i}(n)$$

where $e_{p_i}(n)$ denotes the exponent of the prime p_i in the prime factorization of n . When $k_i = e_{p_i}(m) \leq e_{p_i}(n)$ there are two choices for a_i , namely $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$, and otherwise there is only one choice for a_i , namely $a_i = 0$. Thus the number of required elements $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{k_i}}$ is equal to 2 to the power of the number of indices i with $1 \leq i \leq \ell = \omega(m)$ for which $e_{p_i}(m) \leq e_{p_i}(n)$, that is

$$2^{\omega(m) - \omega(m/\gcd(n, m))}.$$