AMATH/PMATH 331 Real Analysis, Solutions to the Problems for Chapter 4

1: Determine which of the following functions $d: \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ are metrics on \mathbf{R} .

(a)
$$d(x,y) = (x-y)^2$$

Solution: This is not a metric on **R** since it does not satisfy the triangle inequality. For example, if x = 0, y = 1 and z = 2 then d(x, y) + d(y, z) = 1 + 1 = 2 < 4 = d(x, z).

(b)
$$d(x,y) = \sqrt{|x-y|}$$

Solution: This is a metric on **R**. It is clearly positive definite and symmetric, and for $x, y, z \in \mathbf{R}$ we have

$$\begin{split} d(x,z) &= \sqrt{|x-z|} \\ &\leq \sqrt{|x-y|+|y-z|} \text{ , by the triangle inequality in } \mathbf{R} \\ &\leq \sqrt{|x-y|} + \sqrt{|y-z|} \text{ , by the triangle inequality in } \mathbf{R}^2 \\ &= d(x,y) + d(y,z) \,. \end{split}$$

(c)
$$d(x,y) = |x^2 - y^2|$$

Solution: This is not a metric on **R** since it is not positive definite. For example, if x = 1 and y = -1 then we have d(x, y) = 0 but $x \neq y$.

(d)
$$d(x,y) = \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|}$$

Solution: This is a metric. More generally, if d_1 is any metric on a set X, and if $F:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ is any function which satisfies

- (1) $F(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \ge 0$, with $F(x) = 0 \iff x = 0$,
- (2) $F(x) \leq F(y)$ for all $x \leq y \in \mathbf{R}$, and
- (3) $F(x+y) \le F(x) + F(y)$ for all $x, y \ge 0$,

then the map $d_2(x,y) = F(d_1(x,y))$ is also a metric. Indeed property (1) ensures that d_2 is positive-definite, we need no requirement on F to ensure that d_2 is symmetric, and properties (2) and (3) ensure that d_2 satisfies the triangle inequality, since for $x, y, z \in \mathbf{R}$ we have

$$d_2(x,z) = F(d_1(x,z)) \le F(d_1(x,y) + d_1(y,z)) , \text{ using property (2)}$$

$$\le F(d_1(x,y)) + F(d_1(y,z)) , \text{ using property (3)}$$

$$= d_2(x,y) + d_2(y,z) .$$

We note that if F(0)=0, and F'(t)>0 for all $t\geq 0$, then F satisfies properties (1) and (2), and if in addition F''(t)<0 for all $t\geq 0$, then F also satisfies property (3). Indeed, say $0\leq x\leq y\leq x+y$. Using the Mean Value Theorem, choose a with $0\leq a\leq x$ so that F(x)-F(0)=F'(a)(x-0), that is F(x)=F'(a)x, and choose b with $y\leq b\leq x+y$ so that F(x+y)-F(y)=F'(b)(x+y-y), that is F(x+y)-F(y)=F'(b)x. Since F''(t)<0 for all $t\geq 0$, F'(t) is decreasing, so

$$a \le b \Longrightarrow F'(b) \ge F'(a) \Longrightarrow F'(b) \ x \ge F'(a) \ x \Longrightarrow F(x+y) - F(y) \ge F(x)$$
.

When d_1 is the standard metric on \mathbf{R} and $F(t) = \frac{t}{1+t}$, we have F(0) = 0, $F'(t) = \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} > 0$ for all $t \ge 0$ and $F''(t) = \frac{-2}{(1+t)^3} < 0$ for all $t \ge 0$, and we have $d = d_2$.

2: (a) Let $S = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | y > x^2 \}$. Prove, from the definition of an open set, that S is open in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Solution: Let $(a,b) \in S$ so we have $b > a^2$ and hence $\sqrt{b} > |a|$. Let $r = \min\left(\frac{b-a^2}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{b}-|a|}{2}\right)$. We claim that $B((a,b),r) \subseteq S$. Let $(x,y) \in B((a,b),r)$. Note that

$$|x-a| \le \sqrt{(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2} = d((a,b),(x,y)) < r \le \frac{\sqrt{b-|a|}}{2}$$

and similarly

$$|y - b| < r \le \frac{b - a^2}{2}.$$

It follows that $|x|-|a| \leq |x-a| < \frac{\sqrt{b}-|a|}{2}$ so that $|x| \leq \frac{\sqrt{b}+|a|}{2}$ and that $b-y \leq |y-b| < \frac{b-a^2}{2}$ so that $y > \frac{b+a^2}{2}$. Note that $0 \leq \left(\sqrt{b}-|a|\right)^2 = b+a^2-2|a|\sqrt{b}$ so we have $2|a|\sqrt{b} \leq b+a^2$. It follows that

$$x^2 < \left(\frac{\sqrt{b} + |a|}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{b + a^2 + 2|a|\sqrt{b}}{4} \le \frac{b + a^2}{2} < y.$$

Since $y > x^2$ we have $(x, y) \in S$. This shows that $B((a, b), r) \subseteq S$, as claimed, and so S is open.

(b) Define $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}^2$ by $f(t) = \left(\frac{2t}{t^2+1}, \frac{t^2-1}{t^2+1}\right)$. Show that Range(f) is not closed in \mathbf{R}^2 .

Solution: To solve this problem, it helps to draw a picture of Range(f) $\subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. By plotting points, you will see that Range(f) looks like the unit circle centred at (0,0) with the point (0,1) removed and, if you wish, you can show that this is indeed the case. Let S = Range(f) and let a = (0,1). Let $x(t) = \frac{2t}{t^2+1}$ and $y(t) = \frac{t^2-1}{t^2+1}$ so that f(t) = (x(t), y(t)). We claim that $a \in \overline{S}$ but $a \notin S$. It is clear that $a \notin S$ because to get f(t) = a we need x(t) = 0 and y(t) = 1, but to get $x(t) = \frac{2t}{t^2+1} = 0$ we must choose t = 0, but when t = 0 we have $y(t) = \frac{t^2-1}{t^2+1} = -1 \neq 1$. To show that $a \in \overline{S}$, we shall show that for all t > 0 we have $B(a, r) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Let t > 0. Since $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 1$ we can choose $t \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $|x(t) - 0| < \frac{r}{2}$ and $|y(t) - 1| < \frac{r}{2}$. Then we have

$$\left| f(t) - a \right| = \left| (x(t), y(t)) - (0, 1) \right| = \left| \left(x(t), y(t) - 1 \right) \right| \le |x(t)| + |y(t) - 1| < \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{2} = r$$

and so $f(t) \in B(a,r) \cap S$. This shows that for all r > 0 we have $B(a,r) \cap S \neq \emptyset$, and so $a \in \overline{S}$. Since $a \in \overline{S}$ but $a \notin S$ we see that $S \neq \overline{S}$ and so S is not closed.

- **3:** Determine which of the following statements are true for every metric space (X,d) and every $A\subseteq X$.
 - (a) $\overline{B(a,r)} = \overline{B}(a,r)$ for every $a \in X$ and every r > 0.

Solution: This is false. For example, let $X = \mathbf{Z}$ with the standard metric. Then $B(0,1) = \{0\} = \overline{B(0,1)}$, but $\overline{B}(0,1) = \{-1,0,1\}.$

(b)
$$(\overline{A})^c = (A^c)^\circ$$
.

Solution: This is true. Indeed

$$\begin{split} \left(\overline{A}\right)^c &= \left(\bigcap \left\{K \subseteq X \middle| K \text{ closed }, A \subseteq K\right\}\right)^c \\ &= \bigcup \left\{K^c \subseteq X \middle| K \text{ closed }, A \subseteq K\right\} \\ &= \bigcup \left\{U \subseteq X \middle| U^c \text{ closed }, A \subseteq U^c\right\} \\ &= \bigcup \left\{U \subseteq X \middle| U \text{ open }, U \subseteq A^c\right\} = \left(A^c\right)^\circ \;. \end{split}$$

(c) If
$$A = A^{\circ}$$
 then $A = (\overline{A})^{\circ}$.

Solution: This is false. For example, let $X = \mathbf{R}^n$ with the standard metric, and let $A = B^*(0,1)$. Then $\overline{A} = \overline{B}(0,1)$ and $(\overline{A})^{\circ} = B(0,1)$.

(d) If
$$A = \overline{A}$$
 then $\partial(\partial A) = \partial A$.

Solution: This is true, as we now prove. Note first that, for any set A, we have $\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} = \overline{A} \cap (A^{\circ})^{c}$, which is closed, since it is the intersection of two closed sets. Now suppose that A is closed. We claim that $(\partial A)^{\circ} = \emptyset$. Indeed, if we had $a \in (\partial A)^{\circ}$, then we could choose r > 0 so that $B(a, r) \subseteq \partial A$, but then we would have $B(a,r) \subseteq \partial A \subseteq \overline{A} = A$ so that $a \in A^{\circ}$, and this is not possible since $a \in A^{\circ} \Longrightarrow a \notin \partial A \Longrightarrow a \notin (\partial A)^{\circ}$. Since ∂A is closed with $(\partial A)^{\circ} = \emptyset$, we have $\partial(\partial A) = \overline{\partial A} \setminus (\partial A)^{\circ} = \partial A \setminus \emptyset = \partial A$.

4: (a) Show that there is no inner product on \mathbb{R}^2 which induces the 1-norm $\| \cdot \|_1$.

Solution: If there were such an inner product, say (,), then by the polarization identity we would have

$$\begin{split} \langle (1,0),(0,1)\rangle &= \tfrac{1}{2} \left(\left\| (1,1) \right\|_1^{\ 2} - \left\| (1,0) \right\|_1^{\ 2} - \left\| (0,1) \right\|_1^{\ 2} \right) = \tfrac{1}{2} \left(4 - 1 - 1 \right) = 1 \ , \ \text{and} \\ \langle -(1,0),(0,1)\rangle &= \tfrac{1}{2} \left(\left\| (-1,1) \right\|_1^{\ 2} - \left\| (-1,0) \right\|_1^{\ 2} - \left\| (0,1) \right\|_1^{\ 2} \right) = \tfrac{1}{2} \left(4 - 1 - 1 \right) = 1 \ , \end{split}$$

but this is not possible since by linearity, we must have $\langle -(1,0), (0,1) \rangle = -\langle (1,0), (0,1) \rangle$.

(b) Let $T = \{ U \subseteq \mathbf{R} \mid U = \emptyset \text{ or } \mathbf{R} \setminus U \text{ is finite} \}$. Show that T is a topology on \mathbf{R} which is not induced by any metric on \mathbf{R} (T is called the cofinite topology on \mathbf{R}).

Solution: First we show that T is a topology on **R**. Clearly, we have $\emptyset \in T$ and $\mathbf{R} \in T$ (since $\mathbf{R}^c = \emptyset$, which is finite). Suppose that $U_{\alpha} \in T$ for each $\alpha \in A$. If every $U_{\alpha} = \emptyset$ then $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha} = \emptyset$, so $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha} \in T$. If $U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ for some $\beta \in A$, then since $U_{\beta} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha}$, we have $\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha}\right)^{c} \subseteq U_{\beta}^{c}$, which is finite, so $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha} \in T$. Suppose that $U_{k} \in T$ for each $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. If some $U_{k} = \emptyset$ then $\bigcap_{k=0}^{n} U_{k} = \emptyset$, so $\bigcap_{k=0}^{n} U_{k} \in T$. If no $U_{k} = \emptyset$ then each

 U_k^c is finite, so $\left(\bigcap_{k=0}^n U_k\right)^c = \bigcup_{k=0}^n U_k^c$, which is a finite union of finite sets, and hence finite, so $\bigcap_{k=0}^n U_k \in T$.

Next we show that T cannot be induced by any metric. Let d be any metric on **R**. Let $r = \frac{1}{2}d(0,1)$. Note that $B(0,r) \cap B(1,r) = \emptyset$ since if we had $x \in B(0,r) \cap B(1,r)$ then we would have d(0,x) < r and d(1,x) < r and so $2r = d(0,1) \le d(0,x) + d(x,1) < r + r = 2r$, which is not possible. Thus in the topology which is induced by any metric, there exist two disjoint non-empty sets. On the other hand, in the cofinite topology T on **R**, given any two non-empty sets $U_1, U_2 \in T$, as shown in the previous paragraph we have that $(U_1 \cap U_2)^c$ is finite, and so $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$.

5: (a) Show that ℓ_1 is neither open nor closed in the metric space $(\ell_{\infty}, d_{\infty})$.

Solution: To see that ℓ_1 is not closed in $(\ell_{\infty}, d_{\infty})$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $a_n = (a_{nk}|k \in \mathbb{N})$ be the sequence given by $a_{nk} = \frac{1}{k+1}$ for $0 \le k < n$ and $a_{nk} = 0$ for $k \ge n$. Then each $a_n \in k_0 \subseteq \ell_1$, but we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \cdots\right) \notin \ell_1$.

To see that ℓ_1 is not open, and indeed to see that ${\ell_1}^{\circ} = \emptyset$ in $(\ell_{\infty}, d_{\infty})$, note that given $a = (a_n) \in \ell_1$ and $0 < r \in \mathbf{R}$, we can choose $b = (b_n)$ to be the sequence given by $b_n = a_n + \frac{r}{2}$. Then we have $b \in B_{\infty}(a, r)$ but $b \notin \ell_1$.

(b) Determine whether every set $U \subseteq \ell_1$ which is open in (ℓ_1, d_2) is also open in (ℓ_1, d_1) .

Solution: We show that this is indeed the case. Let $a = (a_n)_{n \ge 1}$ and $x = (x_n)_{n \ge 1}$ be in ℓ_2 . For all $N \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, the Triangle Inequality gives

$$\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N} (a_n - x_n)^2} \le \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n - x_n|.$$

Taking the limit as $N \to \infty$ we obtain

$$d_2(a,x) = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n - x_n)^2} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n - x_n| = d_1(a,x).$$

It follows that for all $0 < r \in \mathbf{R}$ we have $B_1(a,r) \subseteq B_2(a,r)$ since

$$x \in B_1(a,r) \Longrightarrow d_1(a,x) < r \Longrightarrow d_2(a,x) \le d_1(a,x) < r \Longrightarrow x \in B_2(a,r)$$
.

Now suppose that $U \subseteq \ell_1$ is open in (ℓ_1, d_2) . Let $a \in U$. Choose $0 < r \in \mathbf{R}$ so that $B_2(a, r) \subseteq U$. Then $B_1(a, r) \subseteq B_2(a, r) \subseteq U$. Thus U is also open in (ℓ_1, d_1) .

(c) Determine whether every set $U \subseteq \ell_1$ which is open in (ℓ_1, d_1) is also open in (ℓ_1, d_2) .

Solution: We shall show that for $a \in \ell_1$ and $0 < r \in \mathbf{R}$, the ball $B_1(a,r)$, which is open in (l_1,d_1) , is not open in (l_1,d_2) . Let $a=(a_n)_{n\geq 1}\in \ell_1$ and let $0 < r \in \mathbf{R}$. We claim that $a\notin B_1(a,r)^\circ$ in (ℓ_1,d_2) . We must show that for every $0 < s \in \mathbf{R}$, $B_2(a,s) \not\subseteq B_1(a,r)$. Let s>0. Recall that $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$ converges but $\sum \frac{1}{n}$ diverges. Let

$$p = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}}$$

(in fact, $p = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}}$). Then we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s^2/p^2}{n^2} = s^2$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s/p}{n} = \infty$. Choose $N \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ so that $\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{s/p}{n} > r$. Let $b = (b_n)_{n \ge 1}$ be the sequence given by $b_n = \frac{s/p}{n}$ for $1 \le n \le N$ and $b_n = 0$ for n > N. Note that $b \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty} \subseteq \ell_1$ so $a + b \in \ell_1$. We have $a + b \in B_2(a, s)$ because

$$d_2(a, a + b) = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{s^2/p^2}{n^2}} < \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s^2/p^2}{n^2}} = s,$$

but $a + b \notin B_1(a, r)$ because

$$d_1(a, a + b) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{s/p}{n} > r$$
.

Thus $B_2(a,r) \not\subseteq B_1(a,r)$, as required. We remark that a minor modification of the above argument can be used to show that $B_1(a,r)^{\circ} = \emptyset$ in (ℓ_1, d_2) .