

## Chapter 2. Lebesque Integration

**2.1 Definition:** When  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and  $X = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$  is a partition of  $[a, b]$ , which means that  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_\ell = b$ , and  $I_k = [x_{k-1}, x_k]$  is the  $k^{\text{th}}$  subinterval of  $X$ , the **upper and lower Riemann sums** for  $f$  on  $X$  are given by

$$U(f, X) = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} M(I_k) |I_k|$$

$$L(f, X) = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} m(I_k) |I_k|$$

where  $M(I_k) = \sup \{f(t) \mid t \in I_k\}$  and  $m(I_k) = \inf \{f(t) \mid t \in I_k\}$ , and we define the **upper and lower Riemann integrals** of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$  to be

$$U(f) = \inf \{U(f, X) \mid X \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\}$$

$$L(f) = \sup \{L(f, X) \mid X \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\},$$

We say that a function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **Riemann integrable** on  $[a, b]$  when  $f$  is bounded and  $U(f) = L(f)$ . In this case, we define the **Riemann integral** of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$  to be

$$\int_a^b f = \int_a^b f(x) dx = U(f) = L(f).$$

**2.2 Theorem:** (An Equivalent Definition of Integrability) Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded. Then  $f$  is integrable if and only if  $f$  has the property that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a partition  $X$  of  $[a, b]$  such that  $U(f, X) - L(f, X) < \epsilon$ .

Proof: We omit the proof (this was likely proven in a previous course).

**2.3 Theorem:** (Properties of the Riemann Integral) Let  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded.

- (1) If  $f$  and  $g$  are Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  and  $f \leq g$  then  $\int_a^b f \leq \int_a^b g$ .
- (2) If  $f$  and  $g$  are Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  then the functions  $cf$  and  $f + g$  are Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  and  $\int_a^b (cf) = c \int_a^b f$  and  $\int_a^b (f + g) = \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g$ .
- (3) If  $c \in (a, b)$  then  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  if and only if  $f$  is Riemann integrable both on  $[a, c]$  and on  $[c, b]$  and, in this case,  $\int_a^b f = \int_a^c f + \int_c^b f$ .
- (4) If  $f(x) = g(x)$  for all but finitely many  $x \in [a, b]$  then  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  if and only if  $g$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  and, in this case,  $\int_a^b f = \int_a^b g$ .
- (5) If  $f$  is monotonic then  $f$  is Riemann integrable.
- (6) If  $f$  is continuous then  $f$  is Riemann integrable.

Proof: We omit the proof.

**2.4 Theorem:** (The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus) Let  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $g$  is differentiable with  $g' = f$  in  $[a, b]$  and that  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ . Then

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = g(b) - g(a).$$

Proof: We omit the proof.

**2.5 Theorem:** (Lebesgue's Characterization of Riemann Integrability) Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  if and only if  $f$  is bounded and the set of all points in  $[a, b]$  at which  $f$  is discontinuous has measure zero.

Proof: For an interval  $I$  with  $I \cap [a, b] \neq \emptyset$ , define the **oscillation** of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$  to be

$$\Omega(I) = \sup \{f(x) - f(y) \mid x, y \in I \cap [a, b]\} = M(I) - m(I)$$

where  $M(I) = \sup \{f(x) \mid x \in I \cap [a, b]\}$  and  $m(I) = \inf \{f(x) \mid x \in I \cap [a, b]\}$ . Note that for  $x \in [a, b]$ ,  $\Omega(x - h, x + h)$  is increasing with  $h$ , and define the **oscillation** of  $f$  at  $x$  to be

$$\omega(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \Omega(x - h, x + h).$$

Verify that  $f$  is continuous at  $x$  if and only if  $\omega(x) = 0$ , and so the set of points at which  $f$  is discontinuous is

$$D = \{x \in [a, b] \mid \omega(x) > 0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \text{ where } D_n = \{x \in [a, b] \mid \omega(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

We claim that each set  $D_n$  is closed (hence compact). Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $D_n$  is not closed. Choose a sequence  $(x_k)_{k \geq 1}$  in  $D_n$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$  but  $x \notin D_n$ . Since  $x \notin D_n$  we have  $\omega(x) < \frac{1}{n}$ , that is  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \Omega(x - h, x + h) < \frac{1}{n}$  so we can choose  $h > 0$  such that  $\Omega(x - h, x + h) < \frac{1}{n}$ . Since  $x_k \rightarrow x$ , we can choose  $k$  so that  $\|x_k - x\| < \frac{h}{2}$  and then we have  $(x_k - \frac{h}{2}, x_k + \frac{h}{2}) \subseteq (x - h, x + h)$ , and hence  $\omega(x_k) \leq \Omega(x_k - \frac{h}{2}, x_k + \frac{h}{2}) \leq \Omega(x - h, x + h) < \frac{1}{n}$ . But this means that  $x_k \notin D_n$ , giving the desired contradiction. Thus each  $D_n$  is closed. Since  $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ , with each  $D_n$  closed, and  $D_1 \subseteq D_2 \subseteq D_3 \dots$ , it follows that  $D$  is measurable (indeed  $D \in \mathcal{F}_\delta$ ) with  $\lambda(D) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(D_n)$ .

Let  $f$  be bounded and suppose that  $\lambda(D) > 0$ . Since  $\lambda(D) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(D_n)$  we can choose  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\lambda(D_n) > 0$ , say  $\lambda(D_n) = m > 0$ . Let  $X = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$  be any partition of  $[a, b]$ , and let  $I_k = [x_{k-1}, x_k]$ . Note that if  $I_k \cap D_n \neq \emptyset$  then for  $x \in I_k \cap D_n$  we have  $\omega(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}$  and hence  $M(I_k) - m(I_k) = \Omega(I_k) \geq \omega(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}$ . Also note that  $D_n \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in K} I_k$  where  $K = \{k \mid I_k \cap D_n \neq \emptyset\}$ , and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, X) - L(f, X) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (M(I_k) - m(I_k)) |I_k| \geq \sum_{k \in K} (M(I_k) - m(I_k)) |I_k| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \in K} |I_k| \geq \frac{1}{n} \lambda(D) = \frac{m}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $U(f, X) - L(f, X) > \frac{m}{n}$  for every partition  $X$  of  $[a, b]$ , it follows (from Theorem 2.2) that  $f$  is not Riemann integral on  $[a, b]$ .

Now suppose that  $f$  is bounded and that  $\lambda(D) = 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $\frac{(M-m)+(b-a)}{n} < \epsilon$  where  $M = \sup \{f(x) \mid x \in [a, b]\}$  and  $m = \inf \{f(x) \mid x \in [a, b]\}$ . Since  $\lambda(D) = 0$  and  $D_n \subseteq D$ , we also have  $\lambda(D_n) = 0$ . Choose disjoint open intervals  $I_1, I_2, I_3, \dots$  such that  $D_n \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |I_k| < \frac{1}{n}$ . Since  $D_n$  is compact, we can choose  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $D_n \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell} I_k$ . Let  $J_k = I_k \cap [a, b]$ , and note that  $D_n \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell} J_k$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} |J_k| < \frac{1}{n}$ . Let  $E = [a, b] \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell} J_k$  and note that  $E$  is a finite union of disjoint closed intervals in  $[a, b]$  (so  $E$  is compact), and  $E$  is disjoint from  $D_n$ .

We claim that we can choose  $\delta > 0$  such that for every nonempty interval  $L$  in  $E$  with  $|L| < \delta$  we have  $\Omega(L) < \frac{1}{n}$ . For each  $x \in E$  we have  $x \notin D_n$  so that  $\omega(x) < \frac{1}{n}$ , and so we can choose  $h_x > 0$  such that  $\Omega(x-h_x, x+h_x) < \frac{1}{n}$ . Since  $E$  is compact, we can choose  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r \in E$  such that  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^r (x_k - \frac{h_{x_k}}{2}, x_k + \frac{h_{x_k}}{2})$ . Let  $\delta = \min \left\{ \frac{h_{x_1}}{2}, \dots, \frac{h_{x_r}}{2} \right\}$ . Then for every nonempty interval  $L$  in  $E$  with  $|L| < \delta$ , we can choose an index  $k$  such that  $L \cap (x_k - \frac{h_{x_k}}{2}, x_k + \frac{h_{x_k}}{2}) \neq \emptyset$  and then, since  $|L| < \delta \leq \frac{h_{x_k}}{2}$ , we have  $L \subseteq (x_k - h_k, x_k + h_k)$  so that  $\Omega(L) \leq \Omega(x_k - h_k, x_k + h_k) < \frac{1}{n}$ , as claimed.

Let  $X$  be a partition of  $[a, b]$  which includes all the endpoints of the intervals  $J_1, \dots, J_\ell$  along with some additional endpoints chosen from  $E$  so that the subintervals of  $X$  include all the closed intervals  $\bar{J}_1, \dots, \bar{J}_\ell$  along with additional closed intervals  $L_1, \dots, L_m$  in  $E$  with each  $|L_k| < \delta$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, X) - L(f, X) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (M(\bar{J}_k) - m(\bar{J}_k)) |J_k| + \sum_{k=1}^m (M(L_k) - m(L_k)) |L_k| \\ &\leq (M - m) \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} |J_k| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^m |L_k| \leq \frac{M-m}{n} + \frac{b-a}{n} < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (by Theorem 2.2)  $f$  is Riemann integrable.

**2.6 Example:** The function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by  $f(x) = 1$  when  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $f(x) = 0$  when  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$  is discontinuous everywhere in  $[0, 1]$ , and is not Riemann integrable.

**2.7 Example:** The function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  given by  $f(\frac{a}{b}) = \frac{1}{b}$  when  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \leq a \leq b$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , and  $f(x) = 0$  when  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , is discontinuous at all rational points, and is Riemann integrable.

**2.8 Example:** Define  $s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $s(x) = 0$  for  $x \leq 0$  and  $s(x) = 1$  for  $x > 0$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1] = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$  and define  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{s(x-a_k)}{2^k}$ . Then  $f$  is increasing with jump discontinuities at all rational points, and  $f$  is Riemann integrable.

**2.9 Example:** Given a Cantor set  $C = [0, 1] \setminus U$ , where  $U = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$  with the sets  $I_k$  being the disjoint open intervals from Example 1.17, we can construct a corresponding **Cantor function**  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$  on  $I_1$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{1}{4}$  on  $I_2$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{3}{4}$  on  $I_3$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{1}{8}$  on  $I_4$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{3}{8}$  on  $I_5$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{5}{8}$  on  $I_6$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{7}{8}$  on  $I_7$  and so on, and then extending  $f$  to make it continuous on all of  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $f$  is continuous and nondecreasing with  $f'(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ .

**2.10 Example:** When  $C = [0, 1] \setminus U$  is a Cantor set and  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is the corresponding Cantor function (as in the previous example), the function  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 2]$  given by  $g(x) = x + f(x)$  is a homeomorphism. Note that  $g$  sends each component interval of  $U$  to an interval of the same size, so that we have  $\lambda(g(U)) = \lambda(U)$ .

In the case that  $C$  is the standard Cantor set we have  $\lambda(g(U)) = \lambda(U) = 1$ . It follows that  $\lambda(g(C)) = 2 - \lambda(U) = 1$ , so  $g$  sends a set of measure zero to a set of measure 1. Also note that if we choose a nonmeasurable set  $B \subseteq g(C)$  and let  $A = g^{-1}(B)$ , then  $A \subseteq C$  so that  $A$  is a measurable set with measure zero, but  $g$  sends  $A$  to the nonmeasurable set  $g(A) = B$ .

**2.11 Example:** Given a Cantor set  $C = [0, 1] \setminus U$  where  $U$  is the disjoint union  $U = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$ , choose intervals  $J_k \subsetneq I_k$  so that  $J_k$  has the same centre as  $I_k$  with  $|J_k| = \frac{1}{2}|I_k|$ , then choose continuous functions  $f_k : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  outside  $J_k$  and  $f(x) = 1$  at the midpoint of  $J_k$  and then let  $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x)$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $f$  is continuous in  $U$  and discontinuous in  $C$ . When  $\lambda(C) > 0$ ,  $f$  is not Riemann integrable. If we define  $g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^x f_k(t) dt$  then  $g$  is differentiable with  $g' = f$  in  $[a, b]$ .

**2.12 Example:** Let  $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1] = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ . Define  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x-a_k)^{1/3}}{2^k}$ . Then  $f$  is increasing with  $f'(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x-a_k)^{-2/3}}{3 \cdot 2^k}$  when  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$  and  $f'(x) = \infty$  when  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Verify that  $f'(x) \geq \frac{1}{3}$  for all  $x$ . The map  $f$  sends the interval  $[0, 1]$  homeomorphically to an interval  $[a, b]$  and the inverse map  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is increasing and differentiable with  $g'(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $g'(x) \leq 3$  for all  $x$ . Note that  $g'$  cannot be Riemann integrable because if it was then we would have  $\int_a^b g' = g(b) - g(a) = 1$  but, because  $g'(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , all of the lower Riemann sums are zero.

**2.13 Definition:** For  $E \subseteq A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , the **characteristic function** for  $E$  on  $A$  is the function  $\chi_E : A \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  given by

$$\chi_E(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in E, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin E. \end{cases}$$

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a < b$ , a **step function** on  $[a, b]$  is a function  $s : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the form

$$s = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{I_k}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , each  $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ , and the sets  $I_k$  are disjoint intervals with  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n I_k = [a, b]$ . The numbers  $c_k$  and the intervals  $I_k$  are uniquely determined from  $s$  if we require that  $I_{k-1}$  is to the left of  $I_k$  and  $c_{k-1} \neq c_k$  for  $1 < k \leq n$ , and then we have  $I_k = s^{-1}(c_k)$ .

**2.14 Theorem:** For the step function on  $[a, b]$  given by  $s = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{I_k}$ , we have

$$\int_a^b s = \int_a^b s(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k |I_k|.$$

For a bounded function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$U(f) = \inf \left\{ \int_a^b s \mid s \text{ is a step function on } [a, b] \text{ with } s \geq f \right\},$$

$$L(f) = \sup \left\{ \int_a^b s \mid s \text{ is a step function on } [a, b] \text{ with } s \leq f \right\}.$$

We say that  $f$  is **Riemann integrable** on  $[a, b]$  when  $U(f) = L(f)$ , and in this case we define the **Riemann integral** of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$  to be

$$\int_a^b f = \int_a^b f(x) dx = U(f) = L(f).$$

**2.15 Definition:** We shall find it useful on occasion to allow our functions to take the values  $\pm\infty$  so we use the set of **extended real numbers**  $[-\infty, \infty] = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ . In  $[-\infty, \infty]$ , the open balls are the open intervals  $B(-\infty, r) = (-\infty, -\frac{1}{r})$ ,  $B(\infty, r) = (\frac{1}{r}, \infty)$  and  $B(a, r) = (a - r, a + r)$  with  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $A \subseteq [-\infty, \infty]$ , we say that  $A$  is **open** in  $[-\infty, \infty]$  when for every  $a \in A$  there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $B(a, r) \subseteq A$ . Verify that every open set in  $[-\infty, \infty]$  is a finite or countable union of disjoint open intervals, where each open interval is of one of the forms  $\emptyset$ ,  $(a, b)$ ,  $(-\infty, a)$ ,  $(a, \infty)$ ,  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $[-\infty, a)$ ,  $(a, \infty]$  or  $[-\infty, \infty]$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . We also use (partially-defined) addition and multiplication operations on  $[-\infty, \infty]$ , as usual, leaving certain sums and products undefined. We do not define the expressions  $\infty + (-\infty)$ ,  $-\infty + \infty$ ,  $0 \cdot (\pm\infty)$  and  $(\pm\infty) \cdot 0$ .

**2.16 Definition:** For  $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B \subseteq [-\infty, \infty]$ , we say that  $f$  is **measurable** (in  $A$ ) when  $f^{-1}(U)$  is measurable for every open set  $U$  in  $[-\infty, \infty]$  (or equivalently for every open set  $U$  in  $B$ ). Note that in particular, in order for  $f$  to be measurable, the set  $A$  must be measurable because  $A = f^{-1}([-\infty, \infty])$ .

**2.17 Note:** If  $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B \subseteq [-\infty, \infty]$  is measurable and  $\varphi : B \subseteq [-\infty, \infty] \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  is continuous, then the composite  $\varphi \circ f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  is measurable because, for every open set  $U$  in  $[-\infty, \infty]$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $B$  since  $\varphi$  is continuous, and hence the set  $(\varphi \circ f)^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(U))$  is measurable since the function  $f$  is measurable.

**2.18 Theorem:** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be measurable and let  $f : A \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ , Then

$$\begin{aligned} f \text{ is measurable} &\iff f^{-1}((a, \infty]) \text{ is measurable for all } a \in \mathbb{R} \\ &\iff f^{-1}([a, \infty]) \text{ is measurable for all } a \in \mathbb{R} \\ &\iff f^{-1}([-\infty, a)) \text{ is measurable for all } a \in \mathbb{R} \\ &\iff f^{-1}([-\infty, a]) \text{ is measurable for all } a \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: We shall prove the first equivalence (the others are similar). If  $f$  is measurable then  $f^{-1}(U)$  is measurable for every open set  $U \subseteq [-\infty, \infty]$  so, in particular,  $f^{-1}((a, \infty])$  is measurable for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose, conversely, that  $f^{-1}((a, \infty])$  is measurable for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then for every  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a < b$ , each of the following sets is measurable.

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}([-\infty, a]) &= \mathbb{R} \setminus f^{-1}((a, \infty]), \\ f^{-1}([-\infty, a)) &= f^{-1}([-\infty, a-1]) \cup f^{-1}((a-1, a)), \\ f^{-1}(a, b) &= f^{-1}([-\infty, b]) \cap f^{-1}((a, \infty]). \end{aligned}$$

Since every open  $U$  set in  $[-\infty, \infty]$  is a finite or countable union of sets  $U_k$ , each of which is of one of the forms  $[-\infty, a)$ ,  $(a, b)$ ,  $(a, \infty]$ , and because  $f^{-1}(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(U_k)$ , it follows that  $f^{-1}(U)$  is measurable for every open set  $U$  in  $[-\infty, \infty]$ .

**2.19 Theorem:** Let  $E \subseteq A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $A$  measurable, and let  $f : A \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ .

- (1) The function  $\chi_E : A \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is measurable if and only if the set  $E$  is measurable.
- (2) If  $f$  is continuous then  $f$  is measurable.
- (3) If  $f$  is monotonic then  $f$  is measurable.

Proof: To prove Part (1), note that if  $E$  is not measurable then neither is  $\chi_E$  because  $\chi_E^{-1}((0, 2)) = E$ , and if  $E$  is measurable then so is  $\chi_E$  because for all sets  $U$  in  $[-\infty, \infty]$ , the set  $f^{-1}(U)$  is equal to one of the measurable sets  $\emptyset$ ,  $E$ ,  $A \setminus E$  or  $A$ .

To prove Part (2), suppose that  $f$  is continuous and let  $U$  be any open set in  $[-\infty, \infty]$ . Since  $f$  is continuous and  $U$  is open, the set  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $A$ . Since  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $A$ , we can choose an open set  $V$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $f^{-1}(U) = V \cap A$ , which is measurable.

To prove Part (3), suppose that  $f$  is monotonic, say  $f$  is increasing. Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . For all  $x, y \in A$ , if  $x \in f^{-1}((a, \infty])$  and  $y \geq x$  then  $f(y) \geq f(x) > a$  so that  $y \in f^{-1}((a, \infty])$ . It follows that the set  $f^{-1}((a, \infty])$  must be a set of one of the forms  $\emptyset$ ,  $A \cap (b, \infty]$ ,  $A \cap [b, \infty]$  or  $A$ , and so  $f^{-1}((a, \infty])$  is measurable.

**2.20 Definition:** Given a function  $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ , we define  $f^+ : A \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  and  $f^- : A \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  by

$$f^+(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } f(x) \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } f(x) \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad f^-(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f(x) \geq 0, \\ -f(x) & \text{if } f(x) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

**2.21 Theorem:** (Operations on Measurable Functions) Let  $f, g : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  be measurable functions, and let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then each of the following functions are measurable

$$cf, f + g, fg, |f|, f^+, f^-$$

provided they are well-defined.

Proof: We give the proof in the case that  $f, g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and we leave it as an exercise to deal with the case in which  $f$  and  $g$  take infinite values. Suppose that  $f, g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\varphi(x) = cx$  is continuous and so the function  $cf = \varphi \circ f$  is measurable, as in Note 2.17.

The function  $f + g$  is measurable because for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g)^{-1}((a, \infty]) &= \{x \in A \mid f(x) + g(x) > a\} \\ &= \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} \{f(x) > r \text{ and } g(x) > a - r\} \\ &= \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} (f^{-1}(r, \infty) \cap g^{-1}(a - r, \infty)), \end{aligned}$$

which is measurable.

The function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\varphi(x) = x^2$  is continuous so, as in Note 2.17, for every measurable function  $h : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the function  $h^2 = \varphi \circ h$  is also measurable. It follows that the function  $fg = \frac{1}{4}((f + g)^2 - (f - g)^2)$  is measurable.

The function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\varphi(x) = |x|$  is continuous so, as in Note 2.17, the function  $|f| = \varphi \circ f$  is measurable, hence so are the functions  $f^+ = \frac{1}{2}(|f| + f)$  and  $f^- = \frac{1}{2}(|f| - f)$ .

**2.22 Theorem:** (Decomposition) Let  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$  where the sets  $A_k$  are disjoint measurable sets in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $f : A \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ . Then  $f$  is measurable (in  $A$ ) if and only if the restriction of  $f$  to each of the sets  $A_k$  is measurable (in  $A_k$ ).

Proof: Let  $f_k : A_k \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  be the restriction of  $f$  to  $A_k$ . For  $U \subseteq [-\infty, \infty]$  open, since  $f_k^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(U) \cap A_k$  it follows that if  $f$  is measurable then so is each  $f_k$ , and since  $f^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k^{-1}(U)$  it follows that if each  $f_k$  is measurable then so is  $f$ .

**2.23 Theorem:** (Limits of Measurable Functions) Let  $f_n : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  be measurable for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then each of the following functions are well-defined and measurable:

$$\sup\{f_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}, \inf\{f_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{f_n\}, \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{f_n\}.$$

Proof: Let  $g = \sup\{f_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ . For  $x \in A$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in g^{-1}((a, \infty]) &\iff g(x) > a \iff \sup\{f_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} > a \\ &\iff f_n(x) > a \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \iff x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^{-1}((a, \infty]). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $g^{-1}((a, \infty]) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^{-1}((a, \infty])$ , which is measurable. Similarly,

when  $h = \inf\{f_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $h^{-1}([a, \infty]) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^{-1}([a, \infty])$ , which is measurable. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n &= \inf \left\{ \sup\{f_n | n \geq 1\}, \sup\{f_n | n \geq 2\}, \sup\{f_n | n \geq 3\}, \dots \right\} \text{ and} \\ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n &= \sup \left\{ \inf\{f_n | n \geq 1\}, \inf\{f_n | n \geq 2\}, \inf\{f_n | n \geq 3\}, \dots \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$  and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$  are measurable.

**2.24 Definition:** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be measurable. We say that a property or statement holds for **almost every** (written a.e.)  $x \in A$ , or **almost everywhere** (written a.e.) in  $A$ , when the property or statement holds for every  $x \in A \setminus E$  for some set  $E \subseteq A$  with  $\lambda(E) = 0$ . For example, for functions  $f, g : A \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ , we say that  $f(x) = g(x)$  for a.e.  $x \in A$  (or  $f = g$  a.e. in  $A$ ) when  $f(x) = g(x)$  for every  $x \in A \setminus E$  for some set  $E \subseteq A$  with  $\lambda(E) = 0$ .

**2.25 Theorem:** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be measurable and let  $f, g : A \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ .

- (1) If  $\lambda(A) = 0$  then  $f$  is measurable.
- (2) If  $f = g$  a.e. in  $A$  then  $f$  is measurable if and only if  $g$  is measurable.

Proof: The proof is left as an exercise.

**2.26 Definition:** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . A **simple function** on  $A$  is a function  $s : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the form

$$s = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{A_k}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , each  $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ , and the sets  $A_k$  are disjoint measurable sets with  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k = A$ . The numbers  $c_k$  and sets  $A_k$  are uniquely determined from the function  $s$  if we require that  $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_n$ , and then we have  $A_k = s^{-1}(c_k)$ .

**2.27 Definition:** For the nonnegative simple function  $s : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  given by  $s = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{A_k}$ , the (Lebesgue) **integral** of  $s$  on  $A$  is defined to be

$$\int_A s(x) dx = \int_A s = \int_A s d\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \lambda(A_k).$$

Note that the value of the integral does not depend on whether or not the numbers  $c_k$  are distinct because if  $c_k = c_l$  then  $c_k \lambda(A_k) + c_l \lambda(A_l) = c_k (\lambda(A_k) + \lambda(A_l)) = c_k \lambda(A_k \cup A_l)$ .

**2.28 Theorem:** (Properties of Integration for Non-negative Simple Functions)  
Let  $r, s : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be nonnegative simple functions, and let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (1) If  $r \leq s$  then  $\int_A r \leq \int_A s$ .
- (2) We have  $\int_A (cs) = c \int_A s$  and  $\int_A (r+s) = \int_A r + \int_A s$ .
- (3) If  $A = B \cup C$ , where  $B$  and  $C$  are disjoint and measurable, then  $\int_A s = \int_B s + \int_C s$ .
- (4) If  $B \subseteq A$  is measurable then  $\int_B s = \int_A s \cdot \chi_B$ .
- (5) If  $\lambda(A) = 0$  then  $\int_A s = 0$ .
- (6) If  $r = s$  a.e. in  $A$  then  $\int_A r = \int_A s$ , and if  $\int_A r = 0$  then  $r = 0$  a.e. in  $A$ .

Proof: We shall prove Parts (1) and (2) and leave the proofs of the remaining parts as an exercise. Let  $r = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{A_k}$  and  $s = \sum_{l=1}^m b_l \chi_{B_l}$  and let  $C_{k,l} = A_k \cap B_l$ . Note that the

sets  $C_{k,l}$  are disjoint with  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n C_{k,l} = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (A_k \cap B_l) = (\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k) \cap B_l = A \cap B_l = B_l$  and it

follows that  $\sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{C_{k,l}} = \chi_{B_l}$  and that  $\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda(C_{k,l}) = \lambda(B_l)$ . Similary, we have  $\bigcup_{l=1}^m C_{k,l} = A_k$ ,

$\sum_{l=1}^m \chi_{C_{k,l}} = \chi_{A_k}$  and  $\sum_{l=1}^m \lambda(C_{k,l}) = \lambda(A_k)$ .

To prove Part (1), suppose that  $r \leq s$ . For all pairs  $(k, l)$  with  $C_{k,l} \neq \emptyset$ , we can choose  $x \in C_{k,l}$  and then we have  $a_k = r(x) \leq s(x) = b_l$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A r &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \lambda(A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{l=1}^m \lambda(C_{k,l}) = \sum_{k,l} a_k \lambda(C_{k,l}) = \sum_{k,l: C_{k,l} \neq \emptyset} a_k \lambda(C_{k,l}) \\ &\leq \sum_{k,l: C_{k,l} \neq \emptyset} b_l \lambda(C_{k,l}) = \sum_{k,l} b_l \lambda(C_{k,l}) = \sum_{l=1}^m b_l \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda(C_{k,l}) = \sum_{l=1}^m b_l \lambda(B_l) = \int_A s. \end{aligned}$$

The first formula in Part (2) is clear. Let us prove the second formula. We have

$$r + s = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{A_k} + \sum_{l=1}^m b_l \chi_{B_l} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{l=1}^m \chi_{C_{k,l}} + \sum_{l=1}^m b_l \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{C_{k,l}} = \sum_{k,l} (a_k + b_l) \chi_{C_{k,l}}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A (r + s) &= \sum_{k,l} (a_k + b_l) \lambda(C_{k,l}) = \sum_{k,l} a_k \lambda(C_{k,l}) + \sum_{k,l} b_l \lambda(C_{k,l}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{l=1}^m \lambda(C_{k,l}) + \sum_{l=1}^m b_l \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda(C_{k,l}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \lambda(A_k) + \sum_{l=1}^m b_l \lambda(B_l) = \int_A r + \int_A s. \end{aligned}$$

**2.29 Note:** Given any nonnegative measurable function  $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , we can construct an increasing sequence  $\{s_n\}$  of nonnegative simple functions  $s_n : A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $s_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise in  $A$  as follows. For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we let

$$s_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{k-1}{2^n}, & \text{if } \frac{k-1}{2^n} \leq f(x) < \frac{k}{2^n} \text{ with } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n2^n\}, \\ n, & \text{if } f(x) \geq n, \end{cases}$$

that is  $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n2^n} \frac{k-1}{2^n} \chi_{A_k}$  where  $A_k = f^{-1} \left[ \frac{k-1}{2^n}, \frac{k}{2^n} \right)$  for  $1 \leq k < n2^n$  and  $A_{n2^n} = f^{-1} [n, \infty]$ .

We remark that if  $f$  is bounded then  $s_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly in  $A$ .

**2.30 Definition:** For a nonnegative measurable function  $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , we define the (Lebesgue) **integral** of  $f$  on  $A$  to be

$$\int_A f(x) dx = \int_A f d\lambda = \sup \left\{ \int_A s \mid s \text{ is a simple function on } A \text{ with } 0 \leq s \leq f \right\}.$$

We say that  $f : A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is (Lebesgue) **integrable** (on  $A$ ) when  $\int_A f < \infty$ .

**2.31 Theorem:** (Properties of Integration for Non-negative Measurable Functions)  
Let  $f, g : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be non-negative measurable functions and let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

- (1) If  $f \leq g$  on  $A$  then  $\int_A f \leq \int_A g$ .
- (2) We have  $\int_A (cf) = c \int_A f$  and  $\int_A (f + g) = \int_A f + \int_A g$ .
- (3) If  $A = B \cup C$ , where  $B$  and  $C$  are disjoint and measurable, then  $\int_A f = \int_B f + \int_C f$ .
- (4) If  $B \subseteq A$  is measurable then  $\int_B f = \int_A f \cdot \chi_B$ .
- (5) If  $\lambda(A) = 0$  then  $\int_A f = 0$ .
- (6) If  $f = g$  a.e. in  $A$  then  $\int_A f = \int_A g$ , and  $\int_A f = 0$  then  $f = 0$  a.e. in  $A$ .

Proof: All parts follow fairly easily from the analogous parts of Theorem 2.28 except for the second formula in Part (2). We shall return to the proof of this formula later.

**2.32 Theorem:** (Fatou's Lemma) Let  $f_n : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be nonnegative measurable functions for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then

$$\int_A \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n.$$

Proof: By the definition of the integral on the left, it suffices to prove that for every nonnegative simple function  $s$  on  $A$  with  $s \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$  we have  $\int_A s \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n$ . Let  $s$  be any nonnegative simple function on  $A$  with  $s \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ . Write  $s = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \chi_{A_k}$ . For all  $x \in A_k$  we have  $a_k = s(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ , and it follows that for all  $0 \leq r < 1$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for all  $l \geq n$  we have  $f_l(x) \geq r a_k$ . Let  $0 \leq r < 1$ . For  $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , let

$$B_{k,n} = \{x \in A_k \mid f_l(x) \geq r a_k \text{ for all } l \geq n\} = \bigcap_{l \geq n} f_l^{-1}[r a_k, \infty].$$

Note that each set  $B_{k,n}$  is measurable with  $B_{k,1} \subseteq B_{k,2} \subseteq B_{k,3} \subseteq \dots$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{k,n} = A_k$ . It follows that  $\lambda(A_k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(B_{k,n})$ . For all  $x \in B_{k,n}$  we have  $f_l(x) \geq r a_k$  for all  $l \geq n$  so that, in particular,  $f_n(x) \geq r a_k$ . It follows that  $f_n \geq \sum_{k=1}^m r a_k \chi_{B_{k,n}}$  hence

$$\int_A f_n \geq \sum_{k=1}^m r a_k \lambda(B_{k,n}).$$

Taking the  $\liminf$  on both sides gives

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m r a_k \lambda(B_{k,n}) = \sum_{k=1}^m r a_k \lambda(A_k) = r \int_A s.$$

Since  $0 \leq r < 1$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n \geq \int_A s$ , as required.

**2.33 Corollary:** Let  $f_n : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be nonnegative measurable functions for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Suppose that the pointwise limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  exists with  $f_n(x) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ . Then

$$\int_A \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n.$$

Proof: For all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , since  $f_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$  we have  $\int_A f_n \leq \int_A \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ . Taking the  $\limsup$  gives

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n \leq \int_A \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n.$$

By Fatou's Lemma, we also have

$$\int_A \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \int_A \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n.$$

**2.34 Corollary:** (Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem) Let  $f_n : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be nonnegative measurable functions such that  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is increasing for every  $x \in A$ . Then

$$\int_A \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n.$$

Proof: This is a special case of the previous corollary.

**2.35 Note:** We now return to the proof of the second formula in Part (2) of Theorem 2.30. We suppose that  $f, g : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  are nonnegative measurable functions, and we need to prove that

$$\int_A (f + g) = \int_A f + \int_A g.$$

Proof: Using the construction described in Note 2.28, choose increasing sequences  $\{r_n\}$  and  $\{s_n\}$  of nonnegative simple functions on  $A$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = f$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = g$ . Then the sequence  $\{r_n + s_n\}$  is also increasing with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (r_n + s_n) = f + g$ . By the Monotone Convergence Theorem, along with Part (2) of Theorem 2.27, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A (f + g) &= \int_A \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (r_n + s_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A (r_n + s_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_A r_n + \int_A s_n \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A r_n + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A s_n = \int_A \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n + \int_A \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \int_A f + \int_A g. \end{aligned}$$

**2.36 Corollary:** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be measurable and let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions  $f_n : A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ . Then

$$\int_A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_A f_n.$$

Proof: This follows by applying Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem to the sequence of partial sums  $S_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x)$ .

**2.37 Corollary:** Let  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$  where the sets  $A_n$  are measurable and disjoint, and let  $f : A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be nonnegative and measurable. Then

$$\int_A f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_n} f.$$

Proof: This follows from the above corollary using  $f_n = f \cdot \chi_{A_n}$ .

**2.38 Remark:** For a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$ , a **measure** on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a function  $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that

- (1)  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , and
- (2) if  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots \in \mathcal{C}$  are disjoint then  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k)$ .

When  $\mathcal{M}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is any nonnegative measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , the above corollary shows that we can define a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  by

$$\mu(A) = \int_A f.$$

**2.39 Definition:** For a measurable function  $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ , we say that  $f$  is (Lebesgue) **integrable** (on  $A$ ) when the functions  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  are both Lebesgue integrable on  $A$  and, in this case, we define the (Lebesgue) **integral** of  $f$  on  $A$  to be

$$\int_A f(x) dx = \int_A f d\lambda = \int_A f^+ d\lambda - \int_A f^- d\lambda.$$

In the case that  $A = [a, b]$  we also write  $\int_A f(x) dx$  as  $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ .

**2.40 Note:** For  $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ ,  $f$  is integrable if and only if  $|f|$  is integrable.

**2.41 Theorem:** (Integration) Let  $f, g : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  be integrable and let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (1) We have  $\left| \int_A f \right| \leq \int_A |f|$ .
- (2) If  $f \leq g$  then  $\int_A f \leq \int_A g$ .
- (3) We have  $\int_A (cf) = c \int_A f$  and  $\int_A (f + g) = \int_A f + \int_A g$ .
- (4) If  $A = B \cup C$  where  $B$  and  $C$  are disjoint and measurable then  $\int_A f = \int_B f + \int_C f$ .
- (5) If  $B \subseteq A$  is measurable then  $\int_B f = \int_A f \cdot \chi_B$ .
- (6) If  $\lambda(A) = 0$  then  $\int_A f = 0$ .
- (7) If  $f = g$  a.e. on  $A$  then  $\int_A f = \int_A g$ , and if  $\int_A |f| = 0$  then  $f = 0$  a.e. in  $A$ .

Proof: The proof is left as an exercise.

**2.42 Theorem:** (Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem) Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable set and let  $f_n : A \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  be measurable functions for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Suppose the pointwise limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  exists for all  $x \in A$ . Suppose there exists an integrable function  $g : A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that  $|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $x \in A$ . Then

$$\int_A \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n.$$

Proof: Let  $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ . Note that since  $-g \leq f_n \leq g$  for all  $n$  we have  $-g \leq f \leq g$  so that  $f$  is integrable. By Fatou's Lemma, applied to the function  $g + f_n$ , we have

$$\int_A g + \int_A \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \int_A \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g + f_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A (g + f_n) = \int_A g + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n.$$

It follows, since  $\int_A g < \infty$ , that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n \geq \int_A \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n.$$

By Fatou's Lemma, applied to the function  $g - f_n$ , we have

$$\int_A g - \int_A \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \int_A \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g - f_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A (g - f_n) = \int_A g - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n.$$

It follows, since  $\int_A g < \infty$ , that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n \leq \int_A \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n.$$

**2.43 Theorem:** *Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded and Riemann integral. Then  $f$  is also measurable and Lebesgue integrable, and the two kinds of integral are equal.*

Proof: I may include a proof later.

**2.44 Remark:** I may include a discussion of complex-valued functions  $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  later.