

# Chapter 10. Covering Spaces

## Covering Spaces

**10.1 Definition:** A **covering** consists of two topological spaces  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  and a continuous map  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  such that every point in  $X$  is contained in an open set  $U$  in  $X$  which has the property that  $p^{-1}(U)$  is a disjoint union of open sets in  $\tilde{X}$ , each of which is homeomorphic to  $U$  under the map  $p$ . The space  $X$  is called the **base space**, the space  $\tilde{X}$  is called the **covering space** and the map  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is called the **covering map**. An open set  $U$  in  $X$  with the above-stated property is called an **elementary open set** in  $X$ .

**10.2 Example:** For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , the map  $p : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  given by  $p(z) = z^n$  is a covering map. The map  $q : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  given by  $q(t) = e^{it}$  is a covering map.

**10.3 Example:** For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , the map  $p : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  given by  $p(z) = z^n$ . The map  $q : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  given by  $q(z) = e^z$  is a covering map. A closely related covering map is the polar coordinates map  $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  given by  $g(r, \theta) = re^{i\theta}$ .

**10.4 Example:** When  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  and  $q : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  are covering maps, the map  $g : \tilde{X} \times \tilde{Y} \rightarrow X \times Y$  given by  $g(x, y) = (p(x), q(y))$  is a covering map.

**10.5 Example:** For  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , the map  $p : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$  given by  $p(z, w) = (z^n, w^m)$  is a covering map. The map  $q : \mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$  given by  $q(s, w) = (e^{is}, w^m)$  is a covering map. The map  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$  given by  $g(s, t) = (e^{is}, e^{it})$  is a covering map.

**10.6 Example:** In  $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ , let  $A = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{1\}$  and  $B = \{1\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ . Note that  $A \cup B$  is homeomorphic to the wedge product of two circles. The three covering maps  $p$ ,  $q$  and  $g$  in the previous example, restricted to the inverse image of  $A \cup B$ , give three covering maps of the wedge product of two circles.

## Path Lifting and Homotopy Lifting

**10.7 Definition:** Let  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a covering and let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map, where  $Y$  is a topological space. A **lift** of  $f$  is a continuous map  $\tilde{f} : Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  such that  $p \circ \tilde{f} = f$ .

**10.8 Example:** Let  $p : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  be the polar coordinates map  $p(r, \theta) = re^{i\theta}$ . Given a path  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ , a lift of  $\alpha$  is a path  $\tilde{\alpha} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$ , say given by  $\tilde{\alpha}(t) = (r(t), \theta(t))$ , such that  $\alpha(t) = r(t)e^{i\theta(t)}$  (giving a representation of  $\alpha$  in polar coordinates).

**10.9 Theorem:** Let  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a covering.

(1) (Path Lifting) Given a path  $\alpha$  in  $X$  with  $\alpha(0) = a$ , and given  $\tilde{a} \in p^{-1}(a)$ , there exists a unique lift  $\tilde{\alpha}$  of  $\alpha$  with  $\tilde{\alpha}(0) = \tilde{a}$ .

(2) (Homotopy Lifting) Given a continuous map  $F : [0, 1] \times Y \rightarrow X$ , and given a lift  $\tilde{f} : Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  of the function  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  given by  $f(y) = F(0, y)$ , there exists a unique lift  $\tilde{F}$  of  $F$  with  $\tilde{F}(0, y) = \tilde{f}(y)$  for all  $y$ .

Proof: To prove Part 1, let  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  with  $\alpha(0) = a$ , and let  $\tilde{a} \in p^{-1}(a)$ . Since  $X$  is covered by elementary sets, the sets  $\alpha^{-1}(U)$  with  $U$  elementary form an open cover of  $[0, 1]$ , which is compact. Choose a Lebesgue number  $\lambda > 0$  for this cover, and choose  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\frac{1}{n} < \lambda$ . Then for each interval  $I_j = [\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j}{n}]$ , the image  $\alpha(I_j)$  lies in an elementary set, say  $U_j$ , in  $X$ . Let  $j \geq 1$  and suppose, inductively, that we have constructed a lift  $\tilde{\alpha} : [0, \frac{j-1}{n}] \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  of the restriction  $\alpha : [0, \frac{j-1}{n}] \rightarrow X$ , with  $\tilde{\alpha}(0) = \tilde{a}$ , and suppose this lift is unique. We wish to extend the constructed lift to the interval  $[0, \frac{j}{n}]$ : we have constructed  $\tilde{\alpha}(\frac{j-1}{n})$ , and we need to extend  $\tilde{\alpha}$  to the rest of the interval  $I_j$ . We know that  $\alpha(I_j) \subseteq U_j$ , so in order to obtain  $p \circ \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$ , we must have  $\tilde{\alpha}(I_j) \subseteq p^{-1}(U_j)$ . Since  $U_j$  is elementary,  $p^{-1}(U_j)$  is a disjoint union of open sets, each of which is homeomorphic to  $U_j$  under the (restriction of the) map  $p$ . Let  $\tilde{U}_j$  be the open set in  $p^{-1}(U_j)$  which contains the point  $\tilde{\alpha}(\frac{j-1}{n})$ , and let  $p_j : \tilde{U}_j \rightarrow U_j$  be the homeomorphism given by restricting  $p$ . In order for  $\tilde{\alpha}$  to be continuous, the image  $\tilde{\alpha}(I_j)$  must be connected, so since it contains the point  $\tilde{\alpha}(\frac{j-1}{n})$ , it must lie entirely in the set  $\tilde{U}_j$  (otherwise the disjoint open sets  $\tilde{U}_j$  and  $p^{-1}(U_j) \setminus \tilde{U}_j$  would be nonempty, and they would separate  $\tilde{\alpha}(I_j)$ ). Thus, in order to have  $p \circ \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$ , the lift  $\tilde{\alpha}$  must be given by  $\tilde{\alpha}(t) = p_j^{-1}(\alpha(t))$  for all  $t \in I_j$ . Also note that by defining  $\tilde{\alpha}$  according to this formula for all  $t \in I_j$ , we have uniquely extended the lift to the interval  $[0, \frac{j}{n}]$  (the extension is continuous by the glueing lemma).

To prove Part 2, let  $F : [0, 1] \times Y \rightarrow X$  be continuous, define  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  by  $f(y) = F(0, y)$ , and let  $\tilde{f} : Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  be a lift of  $f$ . Let  $u \in Y$ . For each  $s \in [0, 1]$ , the point  $F(s, u)$  is contained in an open elementary set, say  $U_s$ , in  $X$ , and so  $(s, u)$  is contained in the open set  $F^{-1}(U_s)$ . Choose an open neighbourhood  $J_s$  of  $s$  in  $[0, 1]$  and an open neighbourhood  $V_s$  of  $u$  in  $Y$  so that  $J_s \times V_s \subseteq F^{-1}(U_s)$ . The sets  $J_s$  with  $s \in [0, 1]$  form an open cover of  $[0, 1]$ , which is compact. Choose a Lebesgue number  $\lambda > 0$  for this open cover, and choose  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\frac{1}{n} < \lambda$ . Then for each interval  $I_j = [\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j}{n}]$ , we have  $I_j \subseteq J_s$  for some  $s \in [0, 1]$ , say  $I_j \subseteq J_{s_j}$ , and hence  $I_j \times V_{s_j} \subseteq F^{-1}(U_{s_j})$ . Let  $V = \bigcap_{j=1}^n V_{s_j}$  and simplify notation by writing  $U_{s_j}$  simply as  $U_j$ . Then for all indices  $j$  we have  $I_j \times V \subseteq F^{-1}(U_j)$ , hence we have  $F(I_j \times V) \subseteq U_j$  with  $U_j$  elementary.

Let  $W_0 = V$  and define  $\tilde{F}(0, y) = \tilde{f}(y)$  for all  $y \in W_0$ . Let  $j \geq 1$  and suppose, inductively, that we have constructed an open set  $W_{j-1}$  in  $Y$  with  $u \in W_{j-1} \subseteq V$ , and a lift  $\tilde{F} : [0, \frac{j-1}{n}] \times W_{j-1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  of the restriction  $F : [0, \frac{j-1}{n}] \times W_{j-1} \rightarrow X$ , with  $\tilde{F}(0, y) = \tilde{f}(y)$  for all  $y \in W_{j-1}$ . Since  $W_{j-1} \subseteq Y$  we have  $F(I_j \times W_{j-1}) \subseteq U_j$ . To get  $p \circ \tilde{F} = F$  we need  $\tilde{F}(I_j \times W_{j-1}) \subseteq p^{-1}(U_j)$ . Since  $U_j$  is elementary,  $p^{-1}(U_j)$  is a disjoint union of open sets, each of which is homeomorphic to  $U_j$  under the restriction of  $p$ . Let  $\tilde{U}_j$  be the one which contains the point  $\tilde{F}(\frac{j-1}{n}, u)$ , and let  $p_j : \tilde{U}_j \rightarrow U_j$  be the homeomorphism obtained by restricting  $p$ . We remark that we do not know that  $W_{j-1}$  is connected so we cannot deduce that the image  $\tilde{F}(\{\frac{j-1}{n}\} \times W_{j-1})$  must be contained entirely in the set  $\tilde{U}_j$ , and so we shall restrict the open neighbourhood  $W_{j-1}$  of  $u$ .

Note that the restriction  $\tilde{F} : \left\{ \frac{j-1}{n} \right\} \times W_{j-1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is continuous, so the inverse image  $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\tilde{U}_j)$  is open in  $\left\{ \frac{j-1}{n} \right\} \times W_{j-1}$ . Choose an open set  $W_j$  with  $u \in W_j \subseteq W_{j-1}$  such that  $\tilde{F}(\left\{ \frac{j-1}{n} \right\} \times W_j) \subseteq \tilde{U}_j$ . Restrict the domain of the constructed lift  $\tilde{F}$  from  $[0, \frac{j-1}{n}] \times W_{j-1}$  to  $[0, \frac{j-1}{n}] \times W_j$ , then we extend this to obtain a lift  $\tilde{F} : [0, \frac{j}{n}] \times W_j \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  by defining  $\tilde{F}(t, y) = p_j^{-1}(F(t, y)) \in \tilde{U}_j$  for all  $t \in I_j$  and all  $y \in W_j$ . This extension is continuous by the glueing lemma. Thus, by induction, writing  $W = W_n$ , we can construct a lift  $\tilde{F} : [0, 1] \times W \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  of the restriction  $F : [0, 1] \times W \rightarrow X$  with  $\tilde{F}(0, y) = \tilde{f}(y)$  for all  $y \in W$ .

The above construction was carried out after selecting an arbitrary element  $u \in Y$ , so we have proven that for every  $u \in Y$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $W_u$  of  $u$  in  $Y$  and a lift  $\tilde{F}_u : [0, 1] \times W_u \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  of (the restriction of)  $F$  with  $\tilde{F}_u(0, y) = \tilde{f}(y)$  for all  $y \in W_u$ . To finish the proof, we note that these lifts are unique, and they glue together by the glueing lemma to form a well-defined and continuous lift  $\tilde{F} : [0, 1] \times Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  because for each  $u \in Y$  and each  $y \in W_u$ , the restriction of  $\tilde{F}_u$  to the set  $[0, 1] \times \{y\}$  is uniquely determined by the uniqueness of path liftings: indeed the path  $\tilde{\alpha}(t) = \tilde{F}_u(t, y)$  must be equal to the unique lift of the path  $\alpha(t) = F(t, y)$  with  $\tilde{\alpha}(0) = \tilde{F}_u(0, y) = \tilde{f}(y)$ .

**10.10 Corollary:** *Let  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a covering. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two paths from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $X$ . Let  $\tilde{a} \in p^{-1}(a)$  and let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}$  be the lifts of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  starting at the point  $\tilde{a}$  (that is with  $\tilde{\alpha}(0) = \tilde{\beta}(0) = \tilde{a}$ ). If  $\alpha \sim \beta$  in  $X$  then  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}$  end at the same point.*

Proof: Let  $F$  be a homotopy from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  in  $X$ . Note that the constant function  $\tilde{f}(s) = \tilde{a}$  is a lift of the constant function  $f(s) = F(s, 0) = a$ . Let  $\tilde{F}$  be the lift of  $F$  with  $\tilde{F}(0, t) = \tilde{f}(t) = \tilde{a}$ . Then the unique lift of  $\alpha$  starting at  $\tilde{a}$  is  $\tilde{\alpha}(t) = \tilde{F}(0, t)$ , the unique lift of  $\beta$  starting at  $\tilde{a}$  is  $\beta(t) = \tilde{F}(1, t)$ , the unique lift of the constant function  $a$  starting at  $\tilde{a}$  is the constant function  $\tilde{F}(s, 0)$ , and the unique lift of the constant function  $b$  starting at  $\tilde{b} = \tilde{\alpha}(1) = \tilde{F}(0, 1)$  is the constant function  $\tilde{F}(s, 1)$ . Thus  $\tilde{\alpha}(1) = \tilde{F}(0, 1) = \tilde{b} = \tilde{F}(1, 1) = \tilde{\beta}(1)$ , as required.

**10.11 Corollary:** *Let  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a covering. Let  $\alpha$  be a path from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $X$ . Let  $\tilde{a} \in p^{-1}(a)$ , let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be the lift of  $\alpha$  starting at  $\tilde{a}$ , and let  $\tilde{b} = \tilde{\alpha}(1)$ .*

(1) *Let  $\widetilde{\alpha^{-1}}$  be the lift of  $\alpha^{-1}$  starting at  $\tilde{b}$ . Then  $\widetilde{\alpha^{-1}} = \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}$ .*

(2) *Let  $\beta$  be a path from  $b$  to  $c$  in  $X$ . Let  $\widetilde{\alpha\beta}$  be the lift of  $\alpha\beta$  starting at  $\tilde{a}$ , and let  $\tilde{\beta}$  be the lift of  $\beta$  starting at the point  $\tilde{b}$ . Then  $\widetilde{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}$ .*

Proof: Since  $p(\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(t)) = p(\tilde{\alpha}(1-t)) = \alpha(1-t) = \alpha^{-1}(t)$  with  $\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(1) = \tilde{\alpha}(1) = \tilde{b}$ , it follows that  $\widetilde{\alpha^{-1}}$  is a lift of  $\alpha^{-1}$  starting at  $\tilde{b}$ . By the uniqueness of lifts, it must be equal to  $\widetilde{\alpha^{-1}}$ . Similarly,  $\widetilde{\alpha\beta}$  is a lift of  $\alpha\beta$  starting at  $\tilde{a}$ , so it must be equal to  $\widetilde{\alpha\beta}$ .

**10.12 Corollary:** *Let  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a covering. Let  $a \in X$  and let  $\tilde{a} \in p^{-1}(a)$ . Then the induced map  $p_* : \pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, a)$  is injective. The image of  $p_*$  consists of the elements of the form  $[\alpha]$  where  $\alpha$  is a loop at  $a$  in  $X$  whose lift  $\tilde{\alpha}$ , starting at  $\tilde{a}$ , is a loop.*

Proof: Let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be a loop at  $\tilde{a}$  in  $\tilde{X}$ . Let  $\alpha = p \circ \tilde{\alpha}$ , so that  $\alpha$  is a loop at  $a$  in  $X$  and we have  $p_*(\tilde{\alpha}) = [\alpha]$ . Suppose that  $[\tilde{\alpha}] \in \text{Ker } \phi$ . Then we have  $[\alpha] = 0 \in \pi_1(X, a)$ , which means that  $\alpha \sim \kappa$  in  $X$  where  $\kappa$  is the constant loop at  $a$  in  $X$ . The lift of  $\kappa$  starting at  $\tilde{a}$  is the constant loop  $\tilde{\kappa}$  at  $\tilde{a}$  in  $\tilde{X}$ . A homotopy from  $\alpha$  to  $\kappa$  in  $X$  lifts to a homotopy from  $\tilde{\alpha}$  to  $\tilde{\kappa}$  in  $\tilde{X}$ , and so we have  $[\tilde{\alpha}] = 0$  in  $\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a})$ . This shows that  $\text{Ker } p_* = 0$  so that  $p_*$  is injective. We leave it as an exercise to prove the statement about the image of  $p_*$ .

**10.13 Corollary:** Let  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a covering with  $X$  path-connected. Then the sets  $p^{-1}(a)$ ,  $a \in X$ , all have the same cardinality.

Proof: Suppose that  $X$  is path-connected. Let  $a, b \in X$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a path in  $X$  from  $a$  to  $b$ , and let  $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$ . Define  $\phi : p^{-1}(a) \rightarrow p^{-1}(b)$  by  $\phi(\tilde{a}) = \tilde{\alpha}(1)$  where  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the lift of  $\alpha$  starting at  $\tilde{a}$ , and define  $\psi : p^{-1}(b) \rightarrow p^{-1}(a)$  by  $\psi(\tilde{b}) = \tilde{\beta}(1)$  where  $\tilde{\beta}$  is the lift of  $\beta$  starting at  $\tilde{b}$ . We claim that  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are inverses of one another.

Let  $\tilde{a} \in p^{-1}(a)$ , let  $\tilde{b} = \phi(\tilde{a}) = \tilde{\alpha}(1)$ , let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be the lift of  $\alpha$  starting at  $\tilde{a}$ , and let  $\tilde{\beta}$  be the lift of  $\beta$  starting at  $\tilde{b}$ . We have  $\alpha\beta = \alpha\alpha^{-1} \sim \kappa$  in  $X$ , where  $\kappa$  is the constant loop at  $a$  in  $X$ . The lift of  $\kappa$  at  $\tilde{a}$  is the constant loop  $\tilde{\kappa}$  at  $\tilde{a}$  in  $\tilde{X}$ . Since  $\alpha\beta \sim \kappa$  in  $X$ , the lifts  $\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}$  and  $\tilde{\kappa}$  have the same endpoints, and so

$$\psi(\phi(\tilde{a})) = \psi(\tilde{b}) = \tilde{\beta}(1) = (\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta})(1) = \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}(1) = \tilde{\kappa}(1) = \tilde{a}.$$

This shows that  $\psi(\phi(\tilde{a})) = \tilde{a}$  for every  $\tilde{a} \in p^{-1}(a)$ , and a similar argument shows that  $\phi(\psi(\tilde{b})) = \tilde{b}$  for all  $\tilde{b} \in p^{-1}(b)$ .

**10.14 Corollary:** Let  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a covering with  $\tilde{X}$  path-connected, and let  $a \in X$ .

- (1) Given  $\tilde{a} \in p^{-1}(a)$ , the index of  $p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}))$  in  $\pi_1(X, a)$  is the cardinality of  $p^{-1}(a)$ .
- (2) The set  $\{p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a})) \mid \tilde{a} \in p^{-1}(a)\}$  is a conjugacy class of subgroups of  $\pi_1(X, a)$ .

Proof: Let us write  $H = p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}))$ . Define a map  $\phi$  from the set of right cosets of  $H$  in  $\pi_1(X, a)$  to  $p^{-1}(a)$  by  $\phi(H[\alpha]) = \tilde{\alpha}(a)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a loop at  $a$  in  $X$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the lift of  $\alpha$  starting at  $\tilde{a}$ . We claim that this map is well-defined. First, note that  $\tilde{\alpha}(1)$  does lie in  $p^{-1}(a)$  because  $p(\tilde{\alpha}(1)) = \alpha(1) = a$ . Next note that if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two loops at  $a$  in  $X$  with  $[\alpha] = [\beta] \in \pi_1(X, a)$ , that is with  $\alpha \sim \beta$  in  $X$ , then the lifts  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}$ , starting at  $\tilde{a}$ , have the same endpoint, that is  $\tilde{\alpha}(1) = \tilde{\beta}(1)$ . Finally, suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two loops at  $a$  in  $X$  such that  $H[\alpha] = H[\beta]$ . Then we have  $[\alpha][\beta]^{-1} \in H$ , hence  $[\alpha\beta^{-1}] \in H = p_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a})$ .

By Corollary 2.12), the lift  $\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}^{-1}$  of  $\alpha\beta^{-1}$ , starting at  $\tilde{a}$ , is a loop. By Corollary 2.11), we have  $\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}^{-1} = \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}^{-1} = \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}^{-1}$ , so the path  $\tilde{\beta}^{-1}$  starts at  $\tilde{\alpha}(1)$  and ends at  $\tilde{\alpha}(0) = \tilde{a}$ , and hence  $\tilde{\beta}(1) = \tilde{\alpha}(1)$ . This completes the proof that  $\phi$  is well-defined.

We claim  $\phi$  is injective. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be loops at  $a$  in  $X$  such that  $\phi(H[\alpha]) = \phi(H[\beta])$ , that is such that  $\tilde{\alpha}(1) = \tilde{\beta}(1)$  where  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}$  are the lifts of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  starting at  $\tilde{a}$ . Let  $\tilde{b} = \tilde{\alpha}(1) = \tilde{\beta}(1)$ . Note that  $\alpha\beta^{-1}$  is a loop at  $a$  in  $X$ , and let  $\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}^{-1}$  be the lift at  $\tilde{a}$ . By Corollary 2.11, since  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}$  are both paths from  $\tilde{a}$  to  $\tilde{b}$ , we have  $\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}^{-1} = \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}^{-1}$ , which is a loop at  $\tilde{a}$  in  $\tilde{X}$ . Since  $\alpha\beta^{-1}$  is a loop, it follows from Corollary 2.12 that  $\alpha\beta^{-1} \in p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a})) = H$ . Thus we have  $[\alpha][\beta]^{-1} = [\alpha\beta^{-1}] \in H$ . This completes the proof that  $\phi$  is injective.

Finally, we note that  $\phi$  is surjective because  $\tilde{X}$  is path-connected: indeed, given  $\tilde{b} \in p^{-1}(a)$ , we can choose a path  $\tilde{\alpha}$  from  $\tilde{a}$  to  $\tilde{b}$  in  $\tilde{X}$  and let  $\alpha$  be the loop at  $a$  in  $X$  given by  $\alpha = p \circ \tilde{\alpha}$ , and then we have  $\phi(H[\alpha]) = \tilde{\alpha}(1) = \tilde{b}$ . This completes the proof of Part 1.

We leave the proof of Part 2 as an exercise.

## Local Connectedness and Path-Connectedness

**10.15 Definition:** Let  $X$  be a topological space. We say that  $X$  is **locally connected at the point**  $a \in X$  when every open neighbourhood of  $a$  in  $X$  contains a connected open neighbourhood of  $a$  in  $X$ . We say that  $X$  is **locally connected** when it is locally connected at every point. Similarly, we say that  $X$  is **locally path-connected at the point**  $a \in X$  when every open neighbourhood of  $a$  in  $X$  contains a path-connected open neighbourhood of  $a$  in  $X$ , and we say that  $X$  is **locally path-connected** when it is locally path-connected at every point.

**10.16 Example:** The topologist's sine curve is locally path-connected (hence locally connected) at every point  $a \in A = \{(x, \sin \frac{1}{x}) \mid x > 0\}$ , but is not locally path-connected (or locally connected) at any point  $b \in B = \{0\} \times [-1, 1]$ .

**10.17 Theorem:** Let  $X$  be a topological space.

- (1)  $X$  is locally connected if and only if  $X$  has the property that for every open set  $U$  in  $X$ , the connected components of  $U$  are open in  $X$ .
- (2)  $X$  is locally path-connected if and only if  $X$  has the property that for every open set  $U$  in  $X$ , the path-connected components of  $U$  are open in  $X$ .

Proof: We prove Part 2 (the proof of Part 1 is similar). Suppose that  $X$  is path-connected. Let  $U$  be an open set in  $X$ . Let  $P$  be a path-component of  $U$ . For each  $a \in P$ , since  $X$  is locally path-connected and the open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $a$  contains a path-connected open neighbourhood, say  $C_a$ , of  $a$  in  $X$ . Since  $P$  is the path-component of  $a$  in  $X$  and  $C_a$  is path-connected, we must have  $C_a \subseteq P$ . Thus  $P = \bigcup_{a \in P} C_a$ , which is open.

Suppose, conversely, that every path-component, of every open set in  $X$ , is open in  $X$ . Let  $a \in X$  and let  $U$  be an open neighbourhood of  $a$  in  $X$ . Let  $P$  be the path-component of  $U$  containing  $a$ . Then, by our supposition,  $P$  is open in  $X$ , and so  $P$  is a path-connected open neighbourhood of  $a$  which is contained in  $U$ .

**10.18 Theorem:** Let  $X$  be a topological space. If  $X$  is locally path-connected then the path-components of  $X$  are equal to the connected components of  $X$ .

Proof: Suppose that  $X$  is locally path-connected. Let  $C$  be a connected component of  $X$ . We know that  $C$  is a union of path-components. Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $C$  contains at least two distinct path-components, and let  $P$  be one of the path-components of  $X$  which is contained in  $C$ . Since  $X$  is locally path-connected, each of its path-components is open. In particular  $P$  is open and  $C \setminus P$  (which is a union of path-components) is open. But then  $P$  and  $C \setminus P$  are nonempty disjoint open sets which cover  $C$ , which is not possible, since  $C$  is connected.

**10.19 Example:** Every topological  $n$ -manifold is locally path-connected (since every neighbourhood of a point contains an open neighbourhood of the point which is homeomorphic to an open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and every open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is convex, hence path-connected). Consequently, in an  $n$ -manifold, the connected components are equal to the path-components.

## The Classification of Covering Spaces

**10.20 Definition:** When  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a})$  and  $(X, a)$  are based topological spaces and  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a covering with  $p(\tilde{a}) = a$ , we write  $p : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}) \rightarrow (X, a)$  and say that  $p$  is a **based covering**. For a continuous map  $f : (Y, b) \rightarrow (X, a)$ , a **based lift** of  $f$  to  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a})$  is a continuous map  $\tilde{f} : (Y, b) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{a})$  such that  $p \circ \tilde{f} = f$ .

**10.21 Theorem:** let  $Y$  be path-connected and locally path-connected with  $b \in Y$ . Let  $p : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}) \rightarrow (X, a)$  be a based covering, and let  $f : (Y, b) \rightarrow (X, a)$  be a continuous map of based spaces. Then there exists a based lift  $\tilde{f} : (Y, b) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{a})$  if and only if  $f_*(\pi_1((Y, b))) \subseteq p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}))$ . In this case, the based lift is unique

Proof: I may include a proof later.

**10.22 Definition:** Let  $X$  be a topological space. We say that  $X$  is **locally path-connected** when for every  $a \in X$ , every open set  $V$  with  $a \in V$  contains an open set  $U$  with  $a \in U \subseteq V$  such that  $\pi_1(U, a) = 0$ . We say that  $X$  is **semi-locally path-connected** when every point  $a \in X$  is contained in an open neighbourhood  $U$  with the property that every loop at  $a$  in  $U$  is homotopic in  $X$  to the constant loop at  $a$ .

**10.23 Example:** The shrinking wedge of circles discussed in Example 6.8 is not locally (or semi-locally) simply connected.

**10.24 Definition:** Let  $p_1 : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  and  $p_2 : \tilde{X}_1 \rightarrow X$  be coverings. A covering space **homomorphism** from  $\tilde{X}_1$  to  $\tilde{X}_2$  is a continuous map  $f : \tilde{X}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{X}_2$  such that  $p_2 \circ f = p_1$ . In the case that  $f$  is a homeomorphism, it is called a covering space **isomorphism**.

When  $p_1 : (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) \rightarrow (X, a)$  and  $p_2 : (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{a}_2) \rightarrow (X, a)$  are based coverings, a **based homomorphism** (or **isomorphism**) from  $(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1)$  to  $(\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{a}_2)$  is a homomorphism (or isomorphism)  $f : \tilde{X}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{X}_2$  with  $f(\tilde{a}_1) = \tilde{a}_2$ .

**10.25 Theorem:** (The Classification of Covering Spaces) Let  $X$  be path-connected, locally path-connected, and semi-locally simply connected, and let  $a \in X$ . There is a bijective correspondence between the set of path-connected based coverings  $p : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}) \rightarrow (X, a)$  and the set of subgroups  $H \subseteq \pi_1(X, a)$ . The correspondence associates the based covering  $p : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}) \rightarrow (X, a)$  with the group  $H = p_*\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a})$ . In particular, there exists a cover  $p : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}) \rightarrow (X, a)$ , unique up to isomorphism, with  $\tilde{X}$  simply connected, and this cover is called the **universal cover** of  $(X, a)$ .

Proof: Step 1. First, let us construct a universal cover. Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the set of homotopy classes of paths in  $X$  which start at the point  $a$ , that is

$$\tilde{X} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \text{ is a path in } X \text{ with } \alpha(0) = a\},$$

let  $\tilde{a} = [\kappa]$  where  $\kappa$  is the constant path at  $a$ , and define  $p : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}) \rightarrow (X, a)$  by  $p([\alpha]) = \alpha(1)$ . Note that  $p$  is well-defined because homotopic paths have the same endpoints.

We need to define a topology on  $\tilde{X}$  under which  $p$  is a covering map. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of path-connected open sets  $U$  in  $X$  with the property that every loop in  $U$  is homotopic, in  $X$ , to a constant loop. Note that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for the topology on  $X$  because  $X$  is locally path-connected and semi-locally simply connected. Given  $U \in \mathcal{B}$  and given a path  $\alpha$  in  $X$  with  $\alpha(0) = a$  and  $\alpha(1) \in U$ , define

$$\tilde{U}_{[\alpha]} = \{[\alpha\lambda] \mid \lambda \text{ is a path in } U \text{ with } \lambda(0) = \alpha(1)\}.$$

We claim that the sets  $\tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$ , where  $U \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\alpha$  is a path in  $X$  with  $\alpha(0) = a$  and  $\alpha(1) \in U$ , form a basis for a topology on  $\tilde{X}$ . Note that when  $\alpha$  is a path with  $\alpha(0) = a$  and  $\alpha(1) \in U \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have  $[\alpha] \in \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$ . It follows that the sets  $\tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$  cover  $\tilde{X}$ . Now suppose that  $[\gamma] \in \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]} \cap \tilde{V}_{[\beta]}$  where  $U, V \in \mathcal{B}$ , say  $[\gamma] = [\alpha\lambda]$  and  $[\gamma] = [\beta\mu]$  where  $\alpha(1) = \lambda(0) \in U$  and  $\beta(1) = \mu(0) \in V$ . Since  $\alpha\lambda \sim \gamma \sim \beta\mu$ , the paths  $\lambda, \gamma$  and  $\mu$  have the same endpoint, say  $b = \lambda(1) = \gamma(1) = \mu(1)$ . Since  $U, V \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $b \in U \cap V$ , we can choose  $W \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $b \in W \subseteq U \cap V$ . Note that  $\tilde{W}_{[\gamma]} \subseteq \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$ : indeed a point in  $\tilde{W}_{[\gamma]}$  is of the form  $[\gamma\nu]$  where  $\nu$  is a path in  $W$  with  $\nu(0) = \gamma(1)$ , and we have  $\gamma\nu \sim \alpha\lambda\nu$  so that  $[\gamma\nu] = [\alpha\lambda\nu] \in \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$ . Similarly,  $\tilde{W}_{[\gamma]} \subseteq \tilde{V}_{[\beta]}$  and so we have  $[\gamma] \in \tilde{W}_{[\gamma]} \subseteq \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}\tilde{V}_{[\beta]}$ , and this completes the proof that the sets  $\tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$  form a basis for a topology on  $\tilde{X}$ .

We now have a topology on the space  $\tilde{X}$ . Using this topology, note that the map  $p : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}) \rightarrow (X, a)$  is continuous because, given a basic open set  $U \in \mathcal{B}$  we have

$$p^{-1}(U) = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha(1) \in U\} = \bigcup_{\alpha(1) \in U} \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$$

which is a union of basic open sets in  $\tilde{X}$ .

We claim that when  $U \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two paths starting at  $a$  with endpoints in  $U$ , we have

$$\tilde{U}_{[\alpha]} = \tilde{U}_{[\beta]} \iff [\beta] \in \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}.$$

One direction is immediate: if  $\tilde{U}_{[\alpha]} = \tilde{U}_{[\beta]}$  then of course since  $[\beta] \in \tilde{U}_{[\beta]}$  we also have  $[\beta] \in \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$ . Suppose, conversely, that  $[\beta] \in \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$ , say  $[\beta] = [\alpha\lambda]$ , that is  $\beta \sim \alpha\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is a path in  $U$  with  $\lambda(0) = \alpha(1)$ . An element in  $\tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$  is of the form  $[\alpha\mu]$  where  $\mu$  is a path in  $U$  with  $\mu(0) = \alpha(1)$ , and we have  $\alpha\mu \sim \beta\lambda^{-1}\mu$  so that  $[\alpha\mu] = [\beta\lambda^{-1}\mu] \in \tilde{U}_{[\beta]}$ , and this shows that  $\tilde{U}_{[\alpha]} \subseteq \tilde{U}_{[\beta]}$ . A similar argument shows that  $\tilde{U}_{[\beta]} \subseteq \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$ , so that we have  $\tilde{U}_{[\alpha]} = \tilde{U}_{[\beta]}$ , as required.

By the above claim, the distinct sets  $\tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$  in the inverse image  $p^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{\alpha(1) \in U} \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$  are disjoint. Let us verify that the restriction  $p : \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]} \rightarrow U$  is a homeomorphism. Note that  $p$  is surjective because the set  $U$  is path-connected: given  $b \in U$  we can choose a path  $\lambda$  in  $U$  from  $\alpha(1)$  to  $b$  and then  $[\alpha\lambda] \in \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$  with  $p([\alpha\lambda]) = \lambda(1) = b$ . Note that  $p$  is injective because  $U$  has the property that every loop in  $U$  is homotopic, in  $X$ , to a constant loop: indeed if  $p([\alpha\lambda]) = p([\alpha\mu])$  then we have  $\lambda(1) = \mu(1)$  so that  $\lambda\mu^{-1}$  is a loop in  $U$ , and hence  $\lambda\mu^{-1}$  is homotopic, in  $X$ , to a constant loop, so we have  $[\alpha\lambda] = [\alpha\lambda\mu^{-1}\mu] = [\alpha\mu]$ . Finally, note that the inverse  $p^{-1} : U \rightarrow \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$  is continuous because for a basic open set  $\tilde{V}_{[\beta]} \subseteq \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$  we have  $V = p(\tilde{V}_{[\beta]}) \subseteq p(\tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}) = U$  with  $V$  open.

Let us verify that  $\tilde{X}$  is simply connected. To show this, we find a formula for the lift of a path in  $X$ . Let  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  be a path in  $X$  with  $\alpha(0) = a$ . Define  $\tilde{\alpha} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  by  $\tilde{\alpha}(s) = [\alpha_s]$  where  $\alpha_s : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is given by  $\alpha_s(t) = \alpha(st)$ . Note that  $\tilde{\alpha}(0) = [\alpha_0] = \tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}(1) = [\alpha_1] = [\alpha]$ . Verify, as an exercise, that  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is continuous, and note that  $p(\tilde{\alpha}(s)) = p([\alpha_s]) = \alpha_s(1) = \alpha(s)$ , and so  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the lift of  $\alpha$  starting at  $\tilde{\alpha}(0) = [\alpha_0] = \tilde{a}$ . To see that  $\tilde{X}$  is path-connected, note that for any path  $\alpha$  in  $X$  with  $\alpha(0) = a$ , the lift  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is a path in  $\tilde{X}$  from  $\tilde{a}$  to  $\tilde{\alpha}(1) = [\alpha_1] = [\alpha]$ , so  $[\alpha]$  is in the same path-component as  $\tilde{a}$ . To see that  $\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}) = 0$ , recall that the group homomorphism  $p_* : \pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}) \rightarrow (X, a)$  is injective, and the image consists of elements of the form  $[\alpha]$  where  $\alpha$  is a loop at  $a$  in  $X$  whose lift  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is a loop at  $\tilde{a}$  in  $\tilde{X}$ . If  $[\alpha]$  is in the image of  $p_*$  then  $\tilde{\alpha}(1) = \tilde{a}$ , that is  $[\alpha] = \tilde{a} = [\kappa]$  where  $\kappa$  is the constant loop at  $a$ , so that  $[\alpha] = 0 \in \pi_1(X, a)$ . Thus  $p_*$  is the zero map and hence (since  $p_*$  is injective) we have  $\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}) = 0$ .

Step 2. Let  $H \subseteq \pi_1(X, a)$ . We wish to construct a covering  $p_H : (\tilde{X}_H, \tilde{a}_H) \rightarrow (X, a)$  with  $p_{H*}\pi_1(\tilde{X}_H, \tilde{a}_H) = H$ . Define a relation on the universal cover  $\tilde{X}$  by stipulating that  $[\alpha] \equiv [\beta]$  when  $\alpha(1) = \beta(1)$  and  $[\alpha\beta^{-1}] \in H$ . Note that this is an equivalence relation because  $H$  is a subgroup of  $\pi_1(X, a)$ . Denote the equivalence class of  $[\alpha]$  by  $[\alpha]_H$ , and let  $\tilde{X}_H$  be the quotient space of  $\tilde{X}$  under this equivalence relation, that is

$$\tilde{X}_H = \tilde{X}/\equiv = \{[\alpha]_H \mid \alpha \text{ is a path with } \alpha(0) = a\},$$

and let  $q : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_H$  be the quotient map. The covering map  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ , which is given by  $p([\alpha]) = \alpha(1)$ , is clearly constant on equivalence classes (since when  $[\alpha] \equiv [\beta]$  we have  $\alpha(1) = \beta(1)$ ) and so it induces a continuous map  $p_H : \tilde{X}_H \rightarrow X$  which is given by  $p_H([\alpha]_H) = \alpha(1)$ . To see that  $p_H$  is a covering map, we make the following observation. Let  $U \in \mathcal{B}$  and let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two paths in  $X$  starting at  $a$  and ending at  $b \in U$ , with  $[\alpha] \equiv [\beta]$ , that is with  $[\alpha\beta^{-1}] \in H$ . Then for any path  $\lambda$  in  $U$  starting at  $b$ , we have  $[(\alpha\lambda)(\beta\lambda)^{-1}] = [\alpha\beta^{-1}] \in H$  so that  $[\alpha\lambda] \equiv [\beta\lambda]$ . Thus every point  $[\alpha\lambda] \in \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$  is equivalent to the corresponding point  $[\beta\lambda] \in \tilde{U}_{[\beta]}$ . It follows that the equivalence relation  $\equiv$  on  $X$  induces an equivalence relation on the disjoint sets in the inverse image  $p^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{\alpha(1) \in U} \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}$ , so that the inverse image  $p_H^{-1}(U)$  is the disjoint union  $p_H^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{\alpha(1) \in U} \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]_H}$ , where

$$\tilde{U}_{[\alpha]_H} = \{[\alpha\lambda]_H \mid \lambda \text{ is a path in } U \text{ starting at } \alpha(1)\} = q^{-1}(\tilde{U}_{[\alpha]}).$$

The restriction  $p : \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]} \rightarrow U$  is a homeomorphism, so the restriction  $p_H : \tilde{U}_{[\alpha]_H} \rightarrow U$  is also a homeomorphism: we have  $p = p_H \circ q$  and the inverses of the restrictions are related by  $p_H^{-1} = q \circ p^{-1}$ .

Step 3. It remains to show that for two based coverings  $p_1 : (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) \rightarrow (X, a)$  and  $p_2 : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{a}) \rightarrow (X, a)$ , we have  $(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) \cong (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{a}_2) \iff p_{1*}\pi_1(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) \cong p_{2*}\pi_1(\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{a}_2)$ . Suppose that  $(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) \cong (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{a}_2)$  and let  $f : (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{a}_2)$  be a based isomorphism of coverings, so  $f$  is a homeomorphism with  $p_1 = p_2 \circ f$ . Since  $f$  is a homeomorphism, the induced map  $f_* : \pi_1(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{a}_2)$  is an isomorphism. Since  $p_1 = p_2 \circ f$  we have  $p_{1*} = p_{2*} \circ f_*$  and so  $\pi_{1*}\pi_1(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) = p_{2*}(f_*\pi_1(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1)) = p_{2*}\pi_1(\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{a}_2)$ , as required.

Suppose, conversely, that  $\pi_{1*}\pi_1(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) = p_{2*}\pi_1(\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{a}_2)$ . By Theorem 10.9, there exists a (unique) lift  $\tilde{p}_1 : (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{a}_2)$  of the map  $p_1 : (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) \rightarrow (X, a)$ , and there exists a (unique) lift  $\tilde{p}_2 : (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{a}_2) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1)$  of the map  $p_2 : (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{a}_2) \rightarrow (X, a)$ , so we have  $p_1 = p_2 \circ \tilde{p}_2$  and  $p_2 = p_1 \circ \tilde{p}_1$ . Consider the map  $\tilde{p}_2 \circ \tilde{p}_1 : (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1)$ . Since  $p_1 \circ \tilde{p}_2 \circ \tilde{p}_1 = p_2 \circ \tilde{p}_1 = p_1$  with  $\tilde{p}_2(\tilde{p}_1(\tilde{a}_1)) = (\tilde{a}_2) = a$ , it follows that  $\tilde{p}_2 \circ \tilde{p}_1$  is equal to the unique lift of the map  $p_1 : (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) \rightarrow (X, a)$ , so it must be equal to the identity map  $\text{id} : (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1)$  (which is also a lift of  $p_1$ ). Thus we have  $\tilde{p}_2 \circ \tilde{p}_1 = \text{id}$ , and similarly  $\tilde{p}_1 \circ \tilde{p}_2 = \text{id}$  so that  $\tilde{p}_1$  and  $\tilde{p}_2$  are inverses of one another. Thus  $(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{a}_1) \cong (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{a}_2)$ .