

# Chapter 3. Fields

## Algebraic and Transcendental Extensions

**3.1 Definition:** When we say that  $F$  and  $K$  are fields with  $F \subseteq K$ , we shall assume, unless otherwise stated, that  $F$  is using the same operation used in  $K$ , so that  $F$  is a subfield of  $K$  (that is  $K$  is an extension field of  $F$ ). In this case, the field  $K$  is a vector space over the field  $F$ , and we define the **index** of  $K$  over  $F$  to be  $[K : F] = \dim_F K$ .

**3.2 Example:**  $\mathbb{R}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $[\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{R}] = 2$ .

**3.3 Exercise:** Verify that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Q}[i] &= \{a+bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\} \\ \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] &= \{a+b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\} \\ \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}, i] &= \{a+b\sqrt{2}+ci+d\sqrt{2}i \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}\}\end{aligned}$$

are all fields with  $[\mathbb{Q}[i] : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ ,  $[\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ , and  $[\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}, i] : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ .

**3.4 Theorem:** Let  $F$ ,  $K$  and  $L$  be fields with  $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$ . Then  $[L : F] = [K : F][L : K]$ . Indeed if  $U$  is a basis for  $K$  over  $F$  and  $V$  is a basis for  $L$  over  $K$  then

$$W = \{uv \mid u \in U, v \in V\}$$

is a basis for  $K$  over  $F$ .

Proof: Let  $U$  be a basis for  $K$  over  $F$ , and let  $V$  be a basis for  $L$  over  $K$ , and let  $W = \{uv \mid u \in U, v \in V\}$ . In the case that  $U$  or  $V$  is infinite, recall that  $|X|$  denotes the cardinality of a set  $X$ , recall that for two sets  $X$  and  $Y$ , we write  $|X| = |Y|$  when there is a bijection  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ , and recall that  $|X||Y| = |X \times Y|$  (by definition). With this in mind, note that  $|W| = |U||V| = |U \times V|$  because the map  $F : U \times V \rightarrow W$  given by  $F(u, v) = uv$  is bijective: indeed it is clearly surjective, and it is injective because for  $u_1, u_2 \in U$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ , if  $u_1v_1 = u_2v_2$  then since  $V$  is linearly independent we have  $u_1 = u_2$ , and since  $U$  is linearly independent so that  $u_1 \neq 0$ ,  $u_1v_1 = u_1v_2$  implies that  $v_1 = v_2$ .

Note that  $W$  spans  $L$  because given  $w \in L$ , since  $V$  spans  $L$  over  $K$ , we can choose  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in K$  such that  $w = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j v_j$ , then since  $U$  spans  $K$  over  $F$ , for each index  $j$ , we can choose  $t_{j,1}, \dots, t_{j,\ell_j}$ , such that  $s_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell_j} t_{j,i} u_i$ , and then we have

$$w = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j v_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell_j} t_{j,i} u_i \right) v_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\ell_j} t_{j,i} u_i v_j.$$

It remains to show that  $W$  is linearly independent. Suppose  $\sum_{k=1}^m s_k w_k = 0$  where  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  are distinct elements in  $W$  and each  $s_k \in F$ . By the bijective correspondence  $F : U \times V \rightarrow W$ , the distinct elements  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  can be written as  $u_{i,j} v_j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $1 \leq i \leq \ell_j$ , such that  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$  are distinct and, for each index  $j$ ,  $u_{1,j}, \dots, u_{\ell_j,j} \in U$  are distinct, and when  $w_k = u_{i,j} v_j$ , we write the corresponding coefficient as  $s_k = t_{i,j}$ . Then we have  $0 = \sum_{k=1}^m s_k w_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\ell_j} t_{i,j} u_{i,j} v_j$ . Since  $V$  is linearly independent, we must have  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell_j} t_{i,j} u_{i,j} = 0$  for all  $j$ , and since  $U$  is linearly independent, we have  $t_{i,j} = 0$  for all  $i, j$ , and hence  $s_k = 0$  for all  $k$ .

**3.5 Definition:** When  $R$  and  $S$  are commutative rings with 1, where  $R \subseteq S$  and  $U$  is a subset of  $S$ , the **subring of  $S$  generated by  $U$  over  $R$** , denoted by  $R[U]$ , is the smallest subring of  $S$  which contains  $R \cup U$ . When  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  we write  $R[U]$  as  $R[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n]$ , and we have

$$R[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n] = \{f(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \mid f \in R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]\}.$$

When  $S = R[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n]$  for some  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in S$ , we say that  $S$  is **finitely generated** as a ring over  $R$ .

When  $F$  and  $K$  are fields with  $F \subseteq K$  and  $U \subseteq K$ , the **subfield of  $K$  generated by  $U$  over  $F$** , denoted by  $F(U)$ , is the smallest subfield of  $K$  which contains  $F \cup U$ . When  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  we write  $F(U)$  as  $F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$ , and we have

$$F(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \left\{ \frac{f(u_1, \dots, u_n)}{g(u_1, \dots, u_n)} \mid f, g \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n] \text{ and } g(u_1, \dots, u_n) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

When  $K = F(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  for some  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in K$ , we say that  $K$  is **finitely generated** as a field over  $F$ .

**3.6 Definition:** Let  $F$  and  $K$  be fields with  $F \subseteq K$ . For  $a \in K$ , we say that  $a$  is **algebraic** over  $F$  when there exists a polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$  such that  $f(a) = 0$  in  $K$ , otherwise we say that  $a$  is **transcendental** over  $F$ . We say that  $K$  is **algebraic** over  $F$  when every element  $a \in K$  is algebraic over  $F$ , otherwise we say that  $K$  is **transcendental** over  $F$ .

**3.7 Theorem:** Let  $F$  and  $K$  be fields with  $F \subseteq K$  and let  $a \in K$ .

(1) If  $a$  is transcendental over  $F$  then we have

$$F[a] \cong F[x] \text{ and } F(a) \cong F(x).$$

In this case  $[F(a) : F] = \infty$  and the set  $\{1, a, a^2, \dots\}$  is linearly independent over  $F$ .

(2) If  $a$  is algebraic over  $F$  then there is a unique monic irreducible polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$  with  $f(a) = 0$ , the ideal generated by this polynomial in  $F[x]$  is  $\langle f \rangle = \{g \in F[x] \mid g(a) = 0\}$  and we have

$$F(a) = F[a] \cong F[x]/\langle f \rangle.$$

For  $n = \deg(f)$  the set  $\{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$  is a basis for  $F(a)$  over  $F$ , and  $[F(a) : F] = n$ .

Proof: To prove Part 1, suppose  $a$  is transcendental over  $F$ . The evaluation homomorphism  $\phi : F[x] \rightarrow F[a]$ , given by  $\phi(f) = f(a)$ , is clearly surjective (since  $F[a] = \{f(a) \mid f \in F[x]\}$ ), and it is injective because  $a$  is transcendental (so if  $f(a) = 0$  then  $f = 0$ ). Thus  $F[a] \cong F[x]$ .

The evaluation homomorphism  $\phi : F(x) \rightarrow F(a)$ , given by  $\phi(f/g) = f(a)/g(a)$  where  $f, g \in F[x]$  with  $g \neq 0$ , is well-defined because  $a$  is transcendental (so when  $g \neq 0$ , we have  $g(a) \neq 0$ ). Also  $\phi$  is clearly surjective (since  $F(a) = \{ \frac{f(a)}{g(a)} \mid f, g \in F[x], g(a) \neq 0 \}$ ), and  $\phi$  is injective, indeed every nonzero homomorphism from a field to a ring is injective because its kernel is an ideal, and the only ideals in a field are the trivial ideal and the entire field).

Finally, note that  $\{1, a, a^2, \dots\}$  is linearly independent (hence  $[F(a) : F] = \infty$ ) since if we have  $\sum_{i=0}^n c_i a^i = 0$  with  $c_i \in F$ , and we let  $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i \in F[x]$ , then we have  $g(a) = 0$ , hence  $g = 0$  (since  $a$  is transcendental), and hence  $c_i = 0$  for all  $i$ .

To prove Part 2, suppose that  $a$  is algebraic over  $F$ . The evaluation homomorphism  $\phi : F[x] \rightarrow F[a]$ , given by  $\phi(f) = f(a)$ , is clearly surjective (since  $F[a] = \{f(a) \mid f \in F[x]\}$ ), and we have  $\text{Ker } \phi = \{g \in F[x] \mid g(a) = 0\}$ . Note that  $\text{Ker } \phi \neq \{0\}$  because  $a$  is algebraic (so there exists  $0 \neq g \in F[x]$  such that  $g(a) = 0$ ). Since  $F[x]$  is a Euclidean domain, with Euclidean norm  $E(g) = \deg(g)$ , we know that  $F[x]$  is a principal ideal domain, and that  $\text{Ker } \phi = \langle g \rangle$  where  $g$  is a nonzero polynomial of smallest degree in  $\text{Ker } \phi$ , that is a nonzero polynomial of smallest degree with  $g(a) = 0$ . Note that  $\deg g \geq 1$  because  $g \neq 0$  and if  $g$  was a nonzero constant polynomial, say  $g(x) = c$  with  $0 \neq 0 \in F$ , then  $g(a) = c \neq 0$ . Also note that there is a unique monic polynomial  $f$  with  $\langle f \rangle = \langle g \rangle$ , namely  $f = \frac{1}{c}g$  where  $c$  is the leading coefficient of  $g$ . Thus we have

$$\text{Ker } \phi = \{g \in F[x] \mid g(a) = 0\} = \langle f \rangle$$

where  $f$  is the unique monic polynomial of minimal degree with  $f(a) = 0$ . By the First Isomorphism Theorem, since  $\phi$  is surjective with  $\text{Ker } \phi = \langle f \rangle$ , we have

$$F[a] \cong F[x]/\langle f \rangle.$$

Since  $F[a]$  is a subring of a field, it is an integral domain, so the ideal  $\langle f \rangle$  must be a prime ideal in  $F[x]$ , and hence  $f$  is a prime element in  $F[x]$ . Since  $F[x]$  is a principal ideal domain, it follows that  $f$  is irreducible in  $F[x]$ , and the ideal  $\langle f \rangle$  is maximal, and hence  $F[x]/\langle f \rangle$  is a field. Thus the ring  $F[a] \cong F[x]/\langle f \rangle$  is actually a field, and so we have

$$F(a) = F[a].$$

Note that  $f$  is the unique monic irreducible polynomial with  $f(a) = 0$ , since if  $g$  is another monic irreducible polynomial with  $g(a) = 0$  then, since  $g(a) = 0$  we have  $g \in \text{Ker } \phi = \langle f \rangle$  so that  $f \mid g$ , hence  $f$  and  $g$  are associates (since  $f$  and  $g$  are irreducible), and hence  $f = g$  (since  $f$  and  $g$  are monic).

Let  $n = \deg f$ . We claim that  $\{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$  spans  $F(a) = F[a]$ . Let  $u \in F[a]$ , say  $u = g(a)$  where  $g \in F[x]$ . By the Division Algorithm, we can choose  $q, r \in F[x]$  with  $\deg r < n$  such that  $g = qf + r$ , say  $r(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1}$  with  $c_i \in F$ . Since  $f(a) = 0$  we have  $u = g(a) = q(a)f(a) + r(a) = r(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i a^i \in \text{Span}\{1, a, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$ . Thus  $\{1, a, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$  spans  $F(a) = F[a]$  as claimed. We claim that  $\{1, a, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$  is linearly independent. Let  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \in F$  and suppose that  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i a^i = 0$ . Let  $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i x^i \in F[x]$ . Since  $f$  is a nonzero polynomial of minimal degree with  $f(a) = 0$ , and  $g$  is a polynomial with  $\deg g < \deg f$  and  $g(a) = 0$ , it follows that  $g = 0$ , and hence  $c_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Thus  $\{1, a, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$  is linearly independent, as claimed.

**3.8 Definition:** When  $F$  and  $K$  are fields with  $F \subseteq K$ , and  $a \in K$  is algebraic over  $F$ , the unique monic irreducible polynomial  $f(x) \in K[x]$  with  $f(a) = 0$  in  $K$  is called the **minimal polynomial** of  $a$  over  $F$ .

**3.9 Exercise:** Find the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{3}}$ , and of  $\sqrt{3 + 2\sqrt{5}}$ , over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**3.10 Exercise:** Let  $\theta = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{9}$ . Find  $[\mathbb{Q}(\theta) : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

**3.11 Exercise:** Let  $F$  and  $K$  be fields. Let  $u \in K$  be transcendental over  $F$ . Note that  $F(u^2) \subseteq F(u)$ . Find the minimal polynomial of  $u + 1$  over  $F(u^2)$ .

**3.12 Exercise:** Let  $f(x) = x^3 - 2$ . Note that the roots of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  are  $\sqrt[3]{2}$ ,  $\sqrt[3]{2}\omega$  and  $\sqrt[3]{2}\omega^2$ , where  $\omega = e^{i2\pi/3}$ . Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[3]{2}, \sqrt[3]{2}\omega, \sqrt[3]{2}\omega^2]$  and  $L = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega]$ . Show that  $K = L$  and find  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

**3.13 Corollary:** Let  $F, K$  and  $L$  be fields with  $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$  and let  $a \in L$ . Let  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be the minimal polynomial for  $a$  over  $F$  and let  $g(x) \in K[x]$  be the minimal polynomial for  $a$  over  $K$ . Then  $g(x) \mid f(x)$  in  $K[x]$ .

Proof: Since  $f \in F[x]$  we also have  $f \in K[x]$ . Since  $f \in K[x]$  with  $f(a) = 0$ , and  $g$  is the minimal polynomial of  $a$  over  $K$ , we have  $f \in \langle g \rangle \subseteq K[x]$ , and hence  $g \mid f \in K[x]$ .

**3.14 Theorem:** Let  $F$  and  $K$  be fields with  $F \subseteq K$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $[K:F]$  is finite.
- (2)  $K$  is algebraic and finitely generated as a field over  $F$ .
- (3) There exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in K$ , with each  $a_k$  algebraic over  $F$ , such that  $K = F[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ .

Proof: Suppose that  $[K : F]$  is finite. Note that  $K$  is algebraic over  $F$  since if we had an element  $u \in K$  which was transcendental over  $F$ , then the set  $\{1, u, u^2, \dots\}$  would be linearly independent so that  $[K : F] = \infty$ . Also note that  $K$  is finitely generated because if  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  is a basis for  $K$  over  $F$  then we have  $K = F[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ . Indeed given  $u \in K$ , we can write  $u = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k a_k$  with each  $c_k \in F$ , and then for the polynomial  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we have  $u = g(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in F[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$ .

Suppose that  $K$  is algebraic and finitely generated over  $F$ . Since  $K$  is finitely generated over  $F$  we can choose  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in K$  such that  $K = F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Since  $K$  is algebraic over  $F$ , each  $a_k$  is algebraic over  $F$ , so we have  $K = F(a_1, \dots, a_n) = F[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ .

Suppose that  $K = F[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$  with each  $a_k \in K$  algebraic over  $F$ . Let  $F_0 = F$  and  $F_k = F[a_1, \dots, a_k]$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Note that  $F_n = K$  and  $F_k = F_{k-1}[a_k]$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Since  $a_k$  is algebraic over  $F$ , it is also algebraic over  $F_{k-1}$ , so we have  $[F_k : F_{k-1}] = d_k$  where  $d_k$  is the degree of the minimal polynomial of  $a_k$  over  $F_{k-1}$ . Since  $F = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_n = K$ , by Theorem 3.4 we have

$$[K : F] = [F_n : F_0] = [F_n : F_{n-1}][F_{n-1} : F_{n-2}] \cdots [F_2 : F_1][F_1 : F_0] = d_n d_{n-1} \cdots d_2 d_1,$$

which is finite.

**3.15 Corollary:** Let  $F, K$  and  $L$  be fields with  $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$ . If  $L$  is algebraic over  $K$  and  $K$  is algebraic over  $F$  then  $L$  is algebraic over  $F$ .

Proof: Suppose that  $L$  is algebraic over  $K$  and  $K$  is algebraic over  $F$ . Let  $u \in L$ . Since  $u$  is algebraic over  $K$  we can choose  $0 \neq g \in K[x]$  such that  $g(u) = 0$ , say  $g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k x^k$  with each  $c_k \in K$ . Let  $E = F[c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n]$ , and note that since each  $c_i \in E$  we have  $g \in E[x]$ . Since  $g \in E[x]$  and  $g(u) = 0$ ,  $u$  is algebraic over  $E$ , and hence  $[E(u) : E]$  is finite. Since  $E = F[c_0, \dots, c_n]$  with each  $c_k$  algebraic over  $F$ , the above theorem implies that  $[E : F]$  finite, and hence so is  $[E(u) : F] = [E(u) : E][E : F]$ . Since  $[E(u) : F]$  is finite,  $E(u)$  is algebraic over  $F$ , so every element in  $E(u)$  is algebraic over  $F$ , so in particular  $u$  is algebraic over  $F$ . Since  $u \in L$  was arbitrary,  $L$  is algebraic over  $F$ , as required.

**3.16 Corollary:** Let  $F$  be a subfield of  $K$ . Let  $E = \{a \in K \mid a \text{ is algebraic over } F\}$ . Then  $E$  is a field with  $F \subseteq E \subseteq K$ .

Proof: Note that  $F \subseteq E$  because every  $a \in F$  is algebraic over  $F$  with minimal polynomial  $x - a \in F[x]$ . We claim that  $E$  is a subfield of  $K$ . Let  $a, b \in E$ . Then we have  $a, b \in F[a, b]$ , which is a field, and so  $a + b, a - b, ab$  and (if  $b \neq 0$ )  $\frac{a}{b}$  all lie in  $F[a, b]$ . Since  $F[a, b]$  is algebraic over  $F$ , each of the elements  $a + b, a - b, ab$  and (if  $b \neq 0$ )  $\frac{a}{b}$  is algebraic over  $F$ , so they all lie in  $E$ . Thus  $E$  is a subfield of  $K$ , as claimed.

## Geometric Constructions

**3.17 Definition:** Let  $S$  be a set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which contains at least two points. A **line on  $S$**  is a line through any two distinct points in  $S$ , and a **circle on  $S$**  is a circle centred at one point in  $S$  which passes through another.

A point  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is **constructible in one step from  $S$**  when  $p \in A \cap B$  for some  $A \neq B$  where each of the sets  $A$  and  $B$  is either a line on  $S$  or a circle on  $S$ . We say that a point  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is **constructible from  $S$**  when there is a finite sequence of points  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  with  $p_n = p$  such that each  $p_k$  is constructible in one step from  $S \cup \{p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}\}$ . We say that a line  $L$  (or a circle  $C$ ) is **constructible from  $S$**  when there is a finite set  $P$  of points, constructible from  $S$ , such that  $L$  is a line on  $S \cup P$  (or  $C$  is a circle on  $S \cup P$ ).

When a point (or line or circle) in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is constructible from the set  $S_0 = \{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}$ , we simply say that the point (or line or circle) is **constructible** (in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ). For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , we say that  $a$  is **constructible** (in  $\mathbb{R}$ ) when  $p = (a, 0)$  is constructible in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**3.18 Note:** Given two distinct points  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , the perpendicular bisector of  $a$  and  $b$  is constructible from  $\{a, b\}$  because it is the line through the two points of intersection of the circle  $C$  centred at  $a$  through  $b$  with the circle  $D$  centred at  $b$  through  $a$ .

Given two distinct points  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and a point  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , let  $L$  be the line through  $a$  and  $b$ . Note that we can construct the line  $M$  through  $p$  perpendicular to  $L$ : indeed, if  $q$  is the point on  $L$  nearest to  $p$  then at least one of the two points  $a, b$  is not equal to  $q$ , say  $a \neq q$ , then the circle centred at  $p$  through  $a$  meets  $L$  at another point  $c$ , and the desired line  $M$  is the perpendicular bisector of  $a$  and  $c$ . It follows that we can also construct the line  $N$  through  $p$  parallel to  $L$ , which is the line through  $p$  perpendicular to  $M$ .

**3.19 Note:** From the set  $S_0 = \{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}$  we can (of course) construct the  $x$ -axis, and we can construct the  $y$ -axis (since it is the line through  $(0, 0)$  perpendicular to the  $x$ -axis).

Note that for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , the point  $(a, 0)$  is constructible if and only if the point  $(0, a)$  is constructible. Indeed when  $a = 0$  we have  $(a, 0) = (0, 0) = (0, a)$  and when  $a \neq 0$ , the circle centred at  $(0, 0)$  through  $(a, 0)$  intersects the  $y$ -axis at  $(0, a)$  (and also at  $(0, -a)$ ), and the circle centred at  $(0, 0)$  through  $(0, a)$  intersects the  $x$ -axis at  $(a, 0)$ .

Note that for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p = (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , the point  $p$  is constructible in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  are both constructible in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, if  $p = (a, b)$  is constructible in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , then  $(a, 0)$  is constructible (since it is the point of intersection of the  $x$ -axis with the line through  $(a, b)$  perpendicular to the  $x$ -axis) and  $(0, b)$  is constructible (since it is the point of intersection of the  $y$ -axis with the line through  $(a, b)$  perpendicular to the  $y$ -axis). And conversely, if  $(a, 0)$  and  $(0, b)$ , hence also  $(0, b)$ , are constructible, then so is  $(a, b)$  (since it is the point of intersection of the line through  $(a, 0)$  perpendicular to the  $x$ -axis with the line through  $(0, b)$  perpendicular to the  $y$ -axis).

**3.20 Theorem:** (Constructible Points) Let  $F$  be the set of all constructible real numbers.

- (1)  $F$  a field with  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .
- (2) For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $a \in F$  if and only if there is a tower of fields

$$\mathbb{Q} = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_n$$

with  $a \in F_n$  such that for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  we have  $F_k = F_{k-1}[\sqrt{u_k}]$  for some  $0 \leq u_k \in F_{k-1}$ .

- (3) For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $a \in F$  then  $[\mathbb{Q}(a):\mathbb{Q}]$  is a power of 2.

Proof: To prove Part 1, let  $a, b \in F$ , and note that the points  $(a, 0)$ ,  $(0, a)$ ,  $(b, 0)$ ,  $(0, b)$  and  $(a, b)$  are constructible. If  $b = 0$  then we have  $a \pm b = a \in F$ . If  $b \neq 0$  then the circle centred at  $(a, 0)$  through  $(a, b)$  meets the  $x$ -axis at  $(a \pm b, 0)$  so we have  $a \pm b \in F$ . We can construct the line  $L$  through  $(0, a)$  and  $(1, 0)$  ( $L$  has equation  $y = a - ax$ ), and we can construct the line  $M$  through  $(b, 0)$  parallel to  $L$  ( $M$  has equation  $y = ab - ax$ ) and then the line  $M$  intersects the  $y$ -axis at  $(0, ab)$ , so we have  $ab \in F$ . Suppose  $b \neq 0$ . We can construct the line  $J$  through  $(0, a)$  and  $(b, 0)$  ( $J$  has equation  $y = a - \frac{a}{b}x$ ), and we can construct the line  $K$  through  $(1, 0)$  parallel to  $J$  ( $K$  has equation  $y = \frac{a}{b} - \frac{a}{b}x$ ) and then the line  $K$  intersects the  $y$ -axis at  $(0, \frac{a}{b})$  so we have  $\frac{a}{b} \in F$ . Thus  $F$  is a field with  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , and note that every subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$  contains  $\mathbb{Q}$  so we have  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

To prove Part 2, let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $a \in F$ , so  $p = (a, 0)$  is constructible. Choose points  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $p_n = p$  such that each  $p_k$  is constructible in one step from the set  $S_0 \cup \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k-1}\}$ , where  $S_0 = \{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}$ . Say  $p_k = (a_k, b_k)$ , let  $F_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $F_k = \mathbb{Q}[a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_k, b_k]$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Note that  $F_k = F_{k-1}[a_k, b_k]$  and  $a = a_n \in F_n$ .

Fix  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . We claim that  $F_k = F_{k-1}[\sqrt{u_k}]$  for some  $0 \leq u_k \in F_{k-1}$ . Since  $p_k$  is constructible in one step from  $S_0 \cup \{p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}\}$ , we have  $p \in A \cap B$  for some  $A \neq B$  where each of the sets  $A$  and  $B$  is either a line or a circle on  $S_0 \cup \{p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}\}$ . Note that each of the points in  $S_0 \cup \{p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}\}$  has coordinates which lie in  $F_{k-1}$ .

Any line through two distinct points in  $F_{k-1}$  has an equation of the form  $Ax + By + C = 0$  with  $A, B, C \in F_{k-1}$ . When two such lines are distinct and have a point of intersection, the point of intersection is unique, and its coordinates lie in  $F_{k-1}$ , so when  $p_k$  is the point of intersection of two such lines, we have  $F_k = F_{k-1}[a_k, b_k] = F_{k-1}$ , so we can take  $u_k = 0$ .

Any circle centred at one point in  $F_{k-1}$  through another point in  $F_{k-1}$  has an equation of the form  $x^2 + y^2 + ax + by + c = 0$  with  $a, b, c \in F_{k-1}$ . A line  $Ax + By + C = 0$  (1) and a circle  $x^2 + y^2 + ax + by + c = 0$  (2) can have 0, 1 or 2 points of intersection, and we can find the points of intersection by solving the two equations. In the case  $B \neq 0$ , we can write (1) in the form  $y = dx + e$  with  $d, e \in F_{k-1}$ , and we can put this into (2) to obtain a quadratic in  $x$  with coefficients in  $F_{k-1}$ . Let  $u_k$  be the discriminant of this quadratic. When the quadratic has a unique solution  $x$ , we have  $u_k = 0$ , and  $x \in F_{k-1} = F_{k-1}[0]$  so that  $p_k = (a_k, b_k)$  with  $a_k = x \in F_{k-1}$  and  $b_k = da_k + e \in F_{k-1}$ . When the quadratic has two distinct real solutions  $x_1, x_2$ , we have  $u_k > 0$ , and the two solutions both lie in  $F_{k-1}[\sqrt{u_k}]$ , so that  $p_k = (a_k, b_k)$  with  $a_k = x_1$  or  $x_2$  so  $a_k \in F_{k-1}[\sqrt{u_k}]$  and  $b_k = da_k + e \in F_{k-1}[\sqrt{u_k}]$ .

Note that for two circles  $C$  and  $D$  with  $C$  given by  $x^2 + y^2 + ax + by + c = 0$  (1) and  $D$  given by  $x^2 + y^2 + dx + ey + f = 0$  (2) with  $a, b, c, d, e, f \in F_{k-1}$ , subtracting (2) from (1) gives  $(a-d)x + (b-e)y + (c-f) = 0$  so that the points of intersection of  $C$  and  $D$  are equal to the points of intersection of  $C$  and  $L$  where  $L$  is the line  $(a-d)x + (b-e)y + (c-f) = 0$  (noting that if  $a = d$  and  $b = e$  then  $C$  and  $D$  have the same centre so they are either equal or have no points of intersection).

We have proven that if  $a \in F$  then there is a tower of fields  $\mathbb{Q} = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_n$  with  $a \in F_n$  such that  $F_k = F_{k-1}[\sqrt{u_k}]$  for some  $0 \leq u_k \in F_{k-1}$ . Suppose, conversely, that we have such a tower of fields. By Part 1, we have  $F_0 = \mathbb{Q} \subseteq F$ . Fix  $k \geq 1$  and suppose, inductively, that  $F_{k-1} \subseteq F$ . We have  $0 \leq u_k \in F_{k-1}$  so we can construct the point  $(u_k, 0)$ , and hence we can construct the points  $(u_k \pm 1, 0)$ . The circle  $C$  centred at  $(0, 0)$  through  $(u_k + 1, 0)$  meets the line through  $(u_k - 1, 0)$  perpendicular to the  $x$ -axis at the point  $(u_k - 1, 2\sqrt{u_k})$ . Thus  $2\sqrt{u_k} \in F$ , and hence  $\sqrt{u_k} \in F$ . Since  $F_{k-1} \subseteq F$  and  $\sqrt{u_k} \in F$  we have  $F_k = F_{k-1}[\sqrt{u_k}] \subseteq F$ . By induction,  $F_k \subseteq F$  for all  $k$ . In particular, we have  $a \in F_n$  and  $F_n \subseteq F$  so that  $a \in F$ . This completes the proof of Part 2.

Let  $a \in F$ . By Part 2, we can choose a tower of fields  $\mathbb{Q} = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_n$  with  $a \in F_n$  where  $F_k = F_{k-1}[\sqrt{u_k}]$  with  $0 \leq u_k \in F_{k-1}$ . Since  $\sqrt{u_k}$  is a root of  $f(x) = x^2 - u_k \in F_{k-1}[x]$ , the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt{u_k}$  over  $F_{k-1}$  is of degree 1 or 2, so that we have  $[F_k : F_{k-1}] \in \{1, 2\}$ . Since  $[F_n : \mathbb{Q}] = [F_n, F_0] = \prod_{k=1}^n [F_k : F_{k-1}]$  with each  $[F_k : F_{k-1}] \in \{1, 2\}$ , we see that  $[F_n : \mathbb{Q}]$  is a power of 2, say  $[F_n : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^\ell$ . Since  $a \in F_n$  we have  $2^\ell = [F_n : \mathbb{Q}] = [F_n : \mathbb{Q}(a)][\mathbb{Q}(a) : \mathbb{Q}]$ , and hence  $[\mathbb{Q}(a) : \mathbb{Q}]$  divides  $2^\ell$ , and so  $[\mathbb{Q}(a) : \mathbb{Q}]$  is a power of 2.

**3.21 Example:** The real number  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  is not constructible (so we cannot construct the vertices of a square of area 2).

**3.22 Exercise:** Show that, for a real number  $\theta$ , we can construct  $\cos \theta$  if and only if we can construct  $\sin \theta$  if and only if we can construct  $\cos 2\theta$  and/or  $\sin 2\theta$ .

**3.23 Exercise:** Show that we can construct the real number  $\cos \frac{\pi}{5}$  (so we can construct the vertices of a regular pentagon or decagon), but we cannot construct the real number  $\cos \frac{\pi}{9}$  (so we cannot construct the vertices of a regular 9-gon or 18-gon).

**3.24 Example:** It has been shown that the real numbers  $e$  and  $\pi$  are transcendental, so we cannot construct them (and hence we cannot construct a circle of area 1).

## Splitting Fields

**3.25 Definition:** Let  $F$  be a subfield of  $K$  and let  $f \in F[x]$  be a polynomial with  $n = \deg f \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . We say that  $f$  **splits** over  $K$  (or that  $f$  splits in  $K[x]$ ) when  $f$  factors as a product of linear factors in  $K[x]$ , that is when  $f(x) = c(x-a_1)(x-a_2) \cdots (x-a_n) \in K[x]$  for some  $0 \neq c \in F$  and some  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in K$  (not necessarily distinct). In this case the field  $F[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] \subseteq K$  is called the **splitting field** of  $f$  over  $F$  in  $K$ .

**3.26 Theorem:** (Kronecker's Theorem) Let  $F$  be a field and let  $f \in F[x]$  be a nonconstant polynomial. Then there exists an extension field  $K$  of  $F$  which contains a root of  $f$ .

Proof: Let  $g \in F[x]$  be an irreducible factor of  $f$ . Note that it suffices to construct an extension field  $K$  of  $F$  which contains a root of  $g$  (since if  $f = gh$  and  $g(a) = 0$  then  $f(a) = g(a)h(a) = 0$  so that  $a$  is a root of  $f$ ). Let  $L = F[x]/\langle g \rangle$ . Since  $g$  is irreducible, the ideal  $\langle g \rangle$  is maximal, so  $L$  is a field. Note that the homomorphism  $\phi : F \rightarrow L$  given by  $\phi(c) = c + \langle g \rangle$  is injective: indeed if  $c, d \in F$  and  $c + \langle g \rangle = d + \langle g \rangle$  then we have  $d - c \in \langle g \rangle$  and hence  $d - c = 0$  (because 0 is the only constant polynomial in  $\langle g \rangle$ ). Let  $E = \phi(F)$  and note that  $\phi : F \rightarrow E$  is an isomorphism. Extend  $\phi$  to the isomorphism  $\phi : F[x] \rightarrow E[y]$  given by  $\phi(\sum_{k=0}^n c_k x^k)(y) = \sum_{k=0}^n (c_k + \langle g \rangle)y^k$ . Note that the element  $x + \langle g \rangle \in L$  is a root of  $\phi(g)$  because if  $g(x) = \sum a_k x^k$  then we have

$$\phi(g)(x + \langle g \rangle) = \sum_{k=0}^n (a_k + \langle g \rangle)(x + \langle g \rangle)^k = \left( \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \right) + \langle g \rangle = g + \langle g \rangle = 0 + \langle g \rangle,$$

which is the zero element in  $L$ .

If we are willing to identify  $F$  with  $E$  (by identifying  $c \in F$  with  $\phi(c) = c + \langle g \rangle \in E$ ) and to identify  $f \in F[x]$  with  $\phi(f) \in E[y]$ , then we can take  $K = L$  and we have obtained a field extension of  $F$  which contains a root of  $f$ . If we insist on constructing a field  $K$  which actually contains  $F$  as a subfield, we can do so as follows. Choose any set  $A$  which is disjoint from  $F$  and has the same cardinality as  $L \setminus E$ , let  $\theta : A \rightarrow L \setminus E$  be any bijection, and let  $K = F \cup A$ . Let  $\psi : K \rightarrow L$  be the bijection given by  $\psi(u) = \phi(u)$  when  $u \in F$  and  $\psi(u) = \theta(u)$  when  $u \in A$ . Use  $\psi$  to pull the field operations from  $L$  back to  $K$  by defining  $u + v = \psi^{-1}(\psi(u) + \psi(v))$  and  $u \cdot v = \psi^{-1}(\psi(u) \cdot \psi(v))$  for  $u, v \in K$ . Using these operations on  $K$ ,  $K$  is an extension field of  $F$ , and  $\psi : K \rightarrow L$  is an isomorphism with  $\psi(u) = \phi(u)$  for all  $u \in F$ , and the element  $a = \psi^{-1}(x + \langle g \rangle) \in K$  is a root of  $f$ .

**3.27 Corollary:** Let  $F$  be a field and let  $f \in F[x]$  be a nonconstant polynomial. Then there exists a splitting field for  $f$  over  $F$ .

Proof: We apply Kronecker's Theorem repeatedly: Let  $g_1$  be an irreducible factor of  $f$  in  $F[x]$ . Let  $K_1$  be an extension field of  $F$  which contains a root  $a_1$  of  $g_1$ . Let  $F_1 = F[a_1] \subseteq K_1$ . Note that  $f(a_1) = 0$  in  $F_1$  so that  $(x - a_1) \mid f(x)$  in  $F_1[x]$ , say  $f(x) = (x - a_1)f_2(x)$ . If  $\deg f = 1$  so that  $f_2(x)$  is a constant polynomial, we are done. Otherwise repeat the argument. Let  $g_2$  be an irreducible factor of  $f_2$  in  $F_1[x]$ . Let  $K_2$  be an extension field of  $F_1$  which contains a root  $a_2$  of  $g_2$  and let  $F_2 = F_1[a_2] = F[a_1, a_2]$ . Note that  $f_2(a_2) = 0$ , say  $f_2(x) = (x - a_2)f_3(x)$  and note that we have  $f(x) = (x - a_1)(x - a_2)f_3(x) \in F_2[x]$ . If  $\deg f = 2$  we are done and, if not, we repeat.

**3.28 Theorem:** Let  $F$  be a subfield of  $K$ , let  $E$  be a subfield of  $L$ , let  $\phi : F \rightarrow E$  be an isomorphism of fields, and extend  $\phi$  to obtain an isomorphism of rings  $\phi : F[x] \rightarrow E[x]$  given by  $\phi(\sum_{k=0}^n c_k x^k) = \sum_{k=0}^n \phi(c_k) x^k$ . Let  $f \in F[x]$  be an irreducible polynomial, and let  $g = \phi(f) \in E[x]$ . Let  $a \in K$  be a root of  $f$  in  $K$  and let  $b \in L$  be a root of  $g$  in  $L$ . Then the isomorphism  $\phi : F \rightarrow E$  extends uniquely to an isomorphism  $\phi : F[a] \rightarrow E[b]$  such that  $\phi(a) = b$ .

Proof: Let  $n = \deg f$ . Note that  $g = \phi(f)$  is irreducible with  $\deg g = n$ . By Theorem 3.7, the set  $A = \{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$  is a basis for  $F[a]$  over  $F$ , and the set  $B = \{1, b, b^2, \dots, b^{n-1}\}$  is a basis for  $E[b]$  over  $E$ . The desired extension  $\phi : F[a] \rightarrow E[b]$  must be given by  $\phi(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k a^k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \phi(c_k) b^k$ . This map is bijective since  $A$  and  $B$  are bases for  $F[a]$  over  $F$  and  $E[b]$  over  $E$ , and it is easy to see that this map is a ring homomorphism, so it is an isomorphism.

**3.29 Corollary:** Let  $F$  be a field and let  $f \in F[x]$  be a nonconstant polynomial. Let  $K$  and  $L$  be splitting fields of  $f$  over  $F$ . Then there is an isomorphism of fields  $\phi : K \rightarrow L$  with  $\phi(x) = x$  for every  $x \in F$ .

Proof: Let  $K$  and  $L$  be splitting fields for  $f$  over  $F$ , with  $K = F[a_1, \dots, a_\ell]$  where  $a_1, \dots, a_\ell$  are the distinct roots of  $f$  in  $K$ , and  $L = F[b_1, \dots, b_m]$  where  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  are the distinct roots of  $f$  in  $L$ . If  $f$  splits over  $F$ , then  $K = L = F$  and we are done. Suppose  $f$  does not split over  $F$ . Let  $g_1$  be an irreducible factor of  $f$  in  $F[x]$  with  $g_1(a_1) = 0$  in  $K$ . Let  $h_1 = g_1$  and reorder the roots  $b_1, \dots, b_m$ , if necessary, so that  $b_1$  is a root of  $h_1$  in  $L$ . By Theorem 3.28, we can extend the identity map  $I : F \rightarrow F$  to a field isomorphism  $\phi : F[a_1] \rightarrow F[b_1]$  with  $\phi(a_1) = b_1$ . We also write  $\phi : F[a_1][x] \rightarrow F[b_1][x]$  to denote the associated ring isomorphism. Note that  $f$  splits over  $F[a_1]$  if and only if  $f$  splits over  $F[b_1]$  and, in this case, we have  $K = F[a_1]$  and  $L = F[b_1]$  we are done. Suppose that  $f$  does not split over  $F[a_1]$  or over  $F[b_1]$ . Let  $g_2$  be an irreducible factor of  $f$  in  $F[a_1][x]$  with  $g_2(a_2) = 0$ . Let  $h_2 = \phi(g_2)$  and note that  $h_2$  is an irreducible factor of  $f$  in  $F[b_1][x]$ . Also note that  $h_2$  has a root in  $L$  which is distinct from  $b_1$  (if  $b_1$  was the only root of  $h_2$  in  $L$  then  $h_2$  would split over  $F[b_1]$ , hence  $g_1$  would split over  $F[a_1]$  with  $a_1$  as its only root). Reorder the roots  $b_2, \dots, b_m$ , if necessary, so that  $b_2$  is a root of  $h_2$  in  $L$ . By Theorem 3.28, we can extend the isomorphism  $\phi : F[a_1] \rightarrow F[b_1]$  to an isomorphism  $\phi : F[a_1, a_2] \rightarrow F[b_1, b_2]$  with  $\phi(a_1) = b_1$  and  $\phi(a_2) = b_2$ . We also write  $\phi : F[a_1, a_2][x] \rightarrow F[b_1, b_2][x]$  to denote the associated ring isomorphism. Note that  $f$  splits over  $F[a_1, a_2]$  if and only if  $f$  splits over  $F[b_1, b_2]$  and, in this case, we have  $K = F[a_1, a_2]$  and  $L = F[b_1, b_2]$  and we are done. Otherwise, we repeat the above procedure until  $f$  splits.

**3.30 Exercise:** For each of the following polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , find the splitting field  $K$  of  $f$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and find  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

$$(a) f(x) = x^4 - 4. \quad (b) f(x) = x^4 - 2. \quad (c) f(x) = x^5 - 1.$$

**3.31 Exercise:** Let  $f(x) = x^2 + x + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x]$  and note that  $f$  is irreducible (because it has no roots in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ). Let  $K$  be a splitting field for  $f$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , and let  $a \in K$  be a root of  $f$  in  $K$ . Note that  $[K : \mathbb{Z}_2] = 2$  and  $\{1, a\}$  is a basis for  $K$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , and so we have  $K = \{s \cdot 1 + t \cdot a \mid s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_2\} = \{0, 1, a, a+1\}$ . Determine the addition and multiplication tables in  $K$ .

## Multiple Roots

**3.32 Definition:** Let  $F$  be a field. For  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \in F[x]$ , we define the (formal) derivative of  $f$  to be

$$\frac{d}{dx} f(x) = f'(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n k a_k x^{k-1}.$$

**3.33 Theorem:** Let  $F$  be a field. For all  $f, g \in F[x]$  and  $c \in F$ , we have

$$(cf)' = cf' , (f + g)' = f' + g' , (fg)' = f'g + fg' \text{ and } (f \circ g)' = (f' \circ g)g'.$$

Proof: We leave the proof that  $(cf)' = cf'$  and  $(f + g)' = f' + g'$  as an exercise. Let  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^m b_\ell x^\ell$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (fg)'(x) &= \frac{d}{dx} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^m a_k b_\ell x^{k+\ell} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^m (k+\ell) a_k b_\ell x^{k+\ell-1}, \text{ and} \\ (f'g + fg')(x) &= \left( \sum_{k=0}^n k a_k x^{k-1} \right) \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^m b_\ell x^\ell \right) + \left( \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \right) \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^m \ell b_\ell x^{\ell-1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^m k a_k b_\ell x^{k+\ell-1} + \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^m \ell a_k b_\ell x^{k+\ell-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^m (k+\ell) a_k b_\ell x^{k+\ell-1} = (fg)'(x). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the Product Rule. To prove the Chain Rule, we first claim that

$$\frac{d}{dx} g(x)^k = k g(x)^{k-1} g'(x)$$

for all  $k \geq 0$ . This clearly holds when  $k = 0$ . Suppose, inductively, it holds for some  $k \geq 0$ . Then, by the Product Rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} g(x)^{k+1} &= \frac{d}{dx} (g(x)^k g(x)) = \frac{d}{dx} g(x)^k \cdot g(x) + g(x)^k g'(x) \\ &= k g(x)^{k-1} g'(x) \cdot g(x) + g(x)^k g'(x) = (k+1)g(x)^k g'(x). \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have  $\frac{d}{dx} g(x)^k = k g(x)^{k-1} g'(x)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ , as claimed. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ g)'(x) &= \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k g(x)^k = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \frac{d}{dx} g(x)^k = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \cdot k g(x)^{k-1} g'(x) \\ &= \left( \sum_{k=0}^n k a_k g(x)^{k-1} \right) g'(x) = (f' \circ g)(x) \cdot g'(x). \end{aligned}$$

**3.34 Theorem:** Let  $F$  be a field and let  $f \in F[x]$  be a non-constant polynomial. Then  $f$  has no repeated roots in its splitting field if and only if  $\gcd(f, f') = 1$ .

Proof: Let  $K$  be a splitting field for  $f$  over  $F$ . Note that we can consider  $f$  to lie in  $F[x]$  or in  $K[x]$ . When we calculate  $f'(x)$ , the coefficients of  $f'$  lie in  $F$ , so the derivative of  $f$  in  $F[x]$  is equal to the derivative of  $f$  in  $K[x]$ . The same holds for  $\gcd(f, f')$ : when we calculate  $\gcd(f, f')$  using the Euclidean Algorithm, at each step in our calculation the coefficients of all the polynomials lie in  $F$ , and in particular, all of the coefficients of  $\gcd(f, f')$  lie in  $F$ , so the greatest common divisor of  $f$  and  $f'$  in  $K[x]$  is equal to the greatest common divisor of  $f$  and  $f'$  in  $F[x]$ .

Suppose  $f$  has a repeated root in  $K$ , say  $f(x) = (x - a)^2 g(x) \in K[x]$ . Then we have  $f'(x) = 2(x - a)g(x) + (x - a)^2 g'(x) \in K[x]$ . Thus  $(x - a)$  divides both  $f(x)$  and  $f'(x)$  so that  $(x - a)$  divides  $\gcd(f, f')$  in  $K[x]$ . Thus the degree of  $\gcd(f, f')$  is at least 2 (in  $K[x]$  and in  $F[x]$ ), and so  $\gcd(f, f') \neq 1$ .

Suppose that  $f$  has no repeated roots in  $K$ , say  $f(x) = c(x - a_1)(x - a_2) \cdots (x - a_n) \in K[x]$  with the elements  $a_k \in K$  all distinct. Then we have  $f'(x) = c \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{i \neq k} (x - a_i)$ .

For each  $k$  we have  $f'(a_k) = c \prod_{i \neq k} (a_k - a_i) \neq 0$ , so none of the linear polynomials  $(x - a_i)$  divides  $f'$  in  $K[x]$ . Thus we have  $\gcd(f, f') = 1$  in  $K[x]$ , hence also in  $F[x]$ .

**3.35 Corollary:** Let  $F$  and let  $f \in F[x]$  be irreducible. Then  $f$  has a repeated root in its splitting field if and only if  $f' = 0$ . So when  $\text{char}(F) = 0$ ,  $f$  has no repeated roots, and when  $\text{char}(F) = p$  with  $p$  prime,  $f$  has a repeated root if and only if  $f$  is of the form  $g(x^p)$  for some  $g \in F[x]$ .

Solution: If  $f' = 0$  then  $\gcd(f, f') = f' \neq 1$ , so  $f$  has a repeated root in its splitting field. Suppose that  $f' \neq 0$ . Let  $g$  be a common factor of  $f$  and  $f'$  in  $F[x]$ . Since  $g|f'$  and  $f' \neq 0$  we have  $\deg(g) \leq \deg(f') < \deg(f)$ . Since  $g|f$  and  $f$  is irreducible, either  $g$  is a unit or  $g$  is an associate of  $f$ , so either  $\deg(g) = 0$  or  $\deg(g) = \deg(f)$ . Thus we must have  $\deg(g) = 0$ . So the common divisors of  $f$  and  $f'$  in  $F[x]$  are the non-zero constant polynomials, so we have  $\gcd(f, f') = 1$ , hence  $f$  has no repeated roots.

Finally, note that when  $\text{char}(F) = 0$  we have  $f' \neq 0$  (indeed  $\deg(f') = \deg(f) - 1$ ) and when  $\text{char}(F) = p$  we have  $f' = 0$  if and only if  $f$  is of the form  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^{kp}$  for some  $a_k \in F$ , if and only if  $f$  is of the form  $f(x) = g(x^p)$  for some  $g \in F[x]$ .

**3.36 Example:** Consider the polynomial  $f(x) = x^2 + u \in \mathbb{Z}_2(u)[x]$  where  $u$  is a variable symbol, so that  $\mathbb{Z}_2(u) = \left\{ \frac{f(u)}{g(u)} \mid f(u), g(u) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[u], g(u) \neq 0 \right\}$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  is the ring of polynomials over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  in the variable  $u$ . Note that  $f$  has no roots in  $\mathbb{Z}_2(u)$  because if we had  $\left(\frac{f(u)}{g(u)}\right)^2 + u = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2(u)$  then we would have  $f(u)^2 = -u g(u)^2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ , but this is not possible since the polynomial  $f(u)^2$  has even degree but the polynomial  $u g(u)^2$  has odd degree. Since  $f(x)$  has degree 2 and has no roots in  $\mathbb{Z}_2(u)$ , it is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}_2(u)[x]$ . But since  $f'(x) = 2x = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2(u)[x]$ , it follows (from the above corollary) that  $f$  has a repeated root in its splitting field. Indeed we do not need to rely on the above corollary as it is easy to check that if  $K$  is a splitting field of  $f$ , and  $a \in K$  is a root of  $f$  in  $K$ , then we have  $a^2 = u \in K$  and we have  $(x - a)^2 = x^2 - 2ax + a^2 = x^2 + a^2 = x^2 + u = f(x) \in K[x]$ .

## Finite Fields

**3.37 Definition:** When  $X$  is a subset of  $Y$ , and  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is any function, the **fixed point set** of  $\phi$  is the set

$$\text{Fix}(\phi) = \{x \in X \mid \phi(x) = x\}.$$

When  $R$  is a subring of  $S$  and  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism, note that  $\text{Fix}(\phi)$  is a subring of  $R$ : indeed if  $a, b \in \text{Fix}(\phi)$  so that  $\phi(a) = a$  and  $\phi(b) = b$ , then we have  $\phi(a + b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b) = a + b$  and  $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b) = ab$  so that  $a + b \in \text{Fix}(\phi)$  and  $ab \in \text{Fix}(\phi)$ . When  $F$  is a subfield of  $K$  and  $\phi : F \rightarrow K$  is a non-zero homomorphism, the fixed point set  $\text{Fix}(\phi)$  is a subfield of  $F$ : indeed recall (or verify) that  $\phi(1) = 1$ , so when  $0 \neq a \in \text{Fix}(\phi)$  so that  $\phi(a) = a$ , then we have  $\phi(a) \cdot \phi(\frac{1}{a}) = \phi(a \cdot \frac{1}{a}) = \phi(1) = 1$  and hence  $\phi(\frac{1}{a}) = \frac{1}{\phi(a)} = \frac{1}{a}$  so that  $\frac{1}{a} \in \text{Fix}(\phi)$ .

**3.38 Definition:** When  $R$  is a commutative ring with 1 with prime characteristic  $p$ , the **Frobenius map** is the map  $\phi : F \rightarrow F$  given by  $\phi(x) = x^p$ . Note that  $\phi$  is a ring homomorphism because  $\phi(xy) = (xy)^p = x^p y^p$  and  $\phi(x+y)^p = \sum \binom{p}{k} x^k y^{p-k} = x^p + y^p$ . When  $R$  is an integral domain, this map  $\phi$  is injective since  $x^p = 0 \implies x = 0$ . When  $F$  is a finite field, every injective map from  $F$  to  $F$  is bijective so, in particular, the Frobenius map  $\phi$  is bijective. Also note that  $\phi$  fixes every element in the prime subfield  $E$  because  $E \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  and for every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  we have  $x^p = x$  (by Fermat's Little Theorem), so  $E \subseteq \text{Fix}(\phi)$ .

**3.39 Theorem:** If  $F$  is a finite field then the multiplicative group of units  $F^*$  is cyclic.

Proof: Let  $F$  be a finite field. We claim that the multiplicative group of units  $F^*$  is cyclic. By the Classification of Finite Abelian groups, we have  $F^* \cong C_{n_1} \times C_{n_2} \times \cdots \times C_{n_\ell}$  where  $C_n$  is the standard multiplicative cyclic group of order  $n$  (the group of  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ ) and  $n_k | n_{k+1}$ . Note that every  $a \in F^*$  satisfies  $a^{n_\ell} = 1$ , so every  $a \in F^*$  is a root of  $f(x) = x^{n_\ell} - 1 \in F[x]$ . Since  $F$  is a field,  $f$  has at most  $n_\ell$  roots, so we must have  $\ell = 1$  and  $n_\ell = |F^*|$  so that  $F^*$  is cyclic, as claimed.

**3.40 Theorem: (The Classification of Finite Fields)**

- (1) If  $F$  is a finite field then  $|F| = p^n$  for some prime number  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .
- (2) For every prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  there is, up to isomorphism, a unique field  $F$  with  $|F| = p^n$ . This field  $F$  is the splitting field of  $f(x) = x^{p^n} - x$  over the prime subfield  $E$ . Indeed,  $f$  has  $p^n$  distinct roots in  $F$ , and  $F$  is equal to the set of roots of  $f$ .

Proof: Recall that every finite field has prime characteristic, and in a field of prime characteristic  $p$ , the prime subfield is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (the prime subfield is the field  $E = \{0 \cdot 1, 1 \cdot 1, 2 \cdot 1, \dots, (p-1) \cdot 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ ). To prove Part 1, let  $F$  be a finite field. Let  $p = \text{char}F$ , and let  $E$  be the prime subfield of  $F$ . Let  $n = [F:E] = \dim_E F$ . Note that  $n$  is finite (since any basis for  $F$  is a subset of  $F$ , which must be finite). Let  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  be a basis for  $F$  over  $E$ . Since each element in  $F$  can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination  $\sum_{k=1}^n t_k u_k$ , and we have  $p$  choices for each of the  $n$  elements  $t_k \in E$ , it follows that  $|F| = p^n$ .

To prove Part 2, let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be prime and let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . First let us prove that there exists a field  $F$  with  $|F| = p^n$ . Let  $F$  be the splitting field of  $f(x) = x^{p^n} - x$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Note that since  $f'(x) = p^n x^{p^n-1} - 1 = -1$  we have  $\gcd(f, f') = 1$  so that  $f$  has  $p^n$  distinct roots in  $F$  (so  $F$  has at least  $p^n$  elements). Note that since the Frobenius map  $\phi(x) = x^p$  is an automorphism of  $F$  which fixes elements in  $E$ , so is the map  $\psi = \phi^n$  given by  $\psi(x) = x^{p^n}$ . For  $a \in F$ , note that  $a$  is a root of  $f$  if and only if  $a^{p^n} = a$  if and only if  $\psi(a) = a$ , so the set of roots of  $f$  in  $F$  is equal to  $\text{Fix}(\psi) = \{a \in F \mid \psi(a) = a\}$ , which is a subfield of  $F$ . Since  $F$  is the splitting field of  $f$  over  $E$ , which is the smallest subfield of  $F$  which contains all the roots of  $f$ , and since the set of all roots of  $f$  is a field, it follows that  $F$  is equal to the set of all the roots of  $f$ , and so  $F$  has exactly  $p^n$  elements.

Now let us prove uniqueness. Suppose that  $F$  is any field with  $|F| = p^n$ . Let  $E$  be the prime subfield and note that  $E \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since  $F^*$  is cyclic of order  $p^n - 1$ , every  $a \in F^*$  satisfies  $a^{p^n-1} = 1$ , and hence every  $a \in F$  (including  $a = 0$ ) satisfies  $a^{p^n} = a$ . Thus every element in  $F$  is a root of  $f(x) = x^{p^n} - x \in E[x]$ . Since  $f$  has at most  $p^n$  roots in  $F$ , it follows that the roots of  $f$  are distinct in  $F$ , and the elements in  $F$  are equal to the roots of  $f$ , hence  $F$  is the splitting field of  $f$  over  $E$ .

**3.41 Corollary:** If  $F$  is a finite field and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  then there exists an extension field  $K$  of  $F$  with  $[K : F] = n$ . This extension field  $K$  is unique up to isomorphism and it is of the form  $K = F(a)$  for some  $a \in K$ .

**3.42 Corollary:** If  $F$  is a finite field and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  then there exists an irreducible polynomial  $f \in F[x]$  of degree  $n$ .

**3.43 Corollary:** Let  $K$  be a finite field with  $|K| = p^n$  where  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is prime and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . If  $F$  is a subfield of  $K$  then  $|F| = p^d$  for some divisor  $d$  of  $n$ . Conversely, for every divisor  $d$  of  $n$ , there is exactly one subfield  $F$  of  $K$  with  $|F| = p^d$ . This subfield  $F$  is the splitting field of (and the set of roots of)  $f(x) = x^{p^d} - x$  over the prime subfield  $E$  in  $K$ .

**3.44 Corollary:** Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be prime and let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . In the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ , the polynomial  $f(x) = x^{p^n} - x$  is equal to the product of all the distinct monic irreducible polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  whose degree divides  $n$ .

**3.45 Example:** In  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ , the irreducible polynomials of degree 1 are  $x$  and  $x + 1$ , and the irreducible polynomials of degree 3 are  $x^3 + x + 1$  and  $x^3 + x^2 + 1$ , and we have

$$x^{2^3} - x = x^8 + x = x(x + 1)(x^3 + x + 1)(x^3 + x^2 + 1).$$