THE MORDELL-LANG CONJECTURE IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC REVISITED

RAHIM MOOSA AND THOMAS SCANLON

ABSTRACT. We prove versions of the Mordell-Lang conjecture for semiabelian varieties defined over fields of positive characteristic.

Introduction

Faltings proved the Mordell-Lang conjecture (itself a generalization of the Mordell conjecture) in the following form [2].

Theorem 0.1 (Faltings). Let G be a semiabelian variety defined over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} . Let $X \subseteq G$ be a closed subvariety and $\Gamma \leq G(\mathbb{C})$ a finitely generated subgroup of the group of \mathbb{C} -points on G. Then $X(\mathbb{C}) \cap \Gamma$ is a finite union of cosets of subgroups of Γ .

Theorem 0.1 has been generalized in various ways. The reader may consult [6] for a discussion of the history of this problem and some of its generalizations. In attempting to generalize the Mordell-Lang conjecture to positive characteristic one encounters obstructions in even the simplest cases.

Let $K = \mathbb{F}_p(t)$ be the field of rational functions over the field of size p. Consider the square of the multiplicative group $G := \mathbb{G}_m^2$ regarded as the complement of the coordinate axes in the plane, X the subvariety defined by x + y = 1, and Γ the subgroup of G(K) generated by (t, 1 - t). One checks easily that $X(K) \cap \Gamma = \{(t^{p^m}, 1 - t^{p^m}) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Visibly, this set cannot be expressed as a finite union of cosets of subgroups of Γ .

The situation was salvaged when Hrushovski [3] proved a version of the Mordell-Lang conjecture in positive characteristic that had been formulated – and in certain special cases established – by Abramovich and Voloch in [1]. This version treats varieties defined over finite fields as exceptional. The present authors dealt with the exceptional case in [7], proving a form of the Mordell-Lang conjecture for semiabelian varieties defined over finite fields. Moreover, they extracted its model theoretic content in analogy to Pillay's analysis of Theorem 0.1. That is, the original Mordell-Lang conjecture may be rephrased as if G is a semiabelian variety defined over $\mathbb C$ and $\Gamma \leq G(\mathbb C)$ is a finitely generated subgroup of its $\mathbb C$ -points, then the induced structure on Γ is stable and weakly normal [8]. The bulk of the work in [7] is directed at a quantifier elimination theorem for the induced structure on the R-rational points of semiabelian schemes over finite fields where R is a finitely generated domain extending the field of definition.

Date: April 21, 2004.

Thomas Scanlon was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-00071890.

In this current paper we survey the methods and results of [7] while extending them to obtain, among other things, an *absolute* version of the Mordell-Lang conjecture in positive characteristic.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 1 we survey the main methods and results of [7]. In Section 2 we prove a version of the Mordell-Lang conjecture for groups of the form G(R) where G is a semiabelian variety over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q and R is the ring of regular functions of some irreducible affine variety over \mathbb{F}_q^{alg} . While the group G(R) is not finitely generated, the methods of [7] apply directly to this problem. In Section 3 we prove an absolute version of the Mordell-Lang conjecture.

We thank Luc Bélair for inviting us to write this paper for these proceedings. We are grateful to the organizers (especially Paola D'Aquino) of the Ravello Euroconference on Model Theory for putting together and running such a wonderful meeting. We thank the anonymous referee for suggesting many useful improvements to this paper. The second author thanks Dragos Ghioca for discussing some problems related to this paper. As mentioned below, some extensions of theorems proved here will appear in Ghioca's thesis.

1. F-sets and varieties defined over finite fields

The counter-example to an immediate translation of the Mordell-Lang conjecture to positive characteristic described in the introduction comes close to being paradigmatic. Frobenius orbits give the primary obstruction to finiteness while sums of such orbits and sums with groups give the others. This is the main content of [7], whose methods and results we now survey. All definitions and results are taken from [7] unless explicitly stated otherwise.

Let us consider a few examples before delving into a technical discussion. Before we can say much about these examples, we need to recall the notion of a Frobenius morphism.

Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ be the field of q elements. If R is any k-algebra, then the function $\tau_q: R \to R$ defined by $x \mapsto x^q$ is a morphism of k-algebras which we call the q-power Frobenius or just the Frobenius if q is clear from the context. If K is an algebraically closed field extending k and $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is an affine variety over K, then $X^{(q)}$ is the Zariski closure of the set $\{(a_1^q,\ldots,a_n^q):(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in X(K)\}$, and the q-power Frobenius defined co-ordinatewise on \mathbb{A}^n maps X(K) to $X^{(q)}(K)$. Visibly, the function $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\mapsto (x_1^q,\ldots,x_n^q)$ is a regular morphism of algebraic varieties. We denote the induced morphism on X by $F:X\to X^{(q)}$ and refer to F as the Frobenius morphism of X induced by the the q-power Frobenius. This construction can be carried out in a co-ordinate free manner that extends to arbitrary algebraic varieties (not just affine ones). Moreover, it is not hard to see that if G is an algebraic group, then the Frobenius morphism $F:G\to G^{(q)}$ is a morphism of algebraic groups.

Notice that if X is defined over $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, then $X^{(q)} = X$ and F is a morphism from X to itself. We shall use this construction mostly in the case of G a commutative algebraic group over k.

Example 1.1. Let $C \subset G$ be a smooth curve of genus $g \geq 3$ over a finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ embedded as a subvariety of its Jacobian G (also defined over k). Let $F: G \to G$ be the Frobenius morphism coming from the q-power Frobenius. Let K := k(C) be the function field of C and set $\Gamma := G(K)$. Note that $C(K^{\mathrm{alg}}) \cap \Gamma = C(K)$, and

the latter can be identified with the set of rational maps from C to C over k. As Cis smooth, every rational map is regular. Every regular morphism over k is of the form $F^n \circ \gamma$ where $\gamma: C \to C$ is a separable morphism over k. But the only such separable morphisms are the constant maps and the automorphisms of C. That is,

$$C(K^{\mathrm{alg}}) \cap \Gamma = C(K) = C(k) \cup \{F^n \gamma : n \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)\}.$$

Since the automorphism group of C is finite (as C has genus greater than 1), this set is a union of finitely many points and finitely many Frobenius orbits.

Now consider X := C + C. Visibly, $X(K^{\text{alg}}) \cap \Gamma = X(K)$ contains

$$\{a+F^n\gamma:a\in C(k),\gamma\in \operatorname{Aut}(C),n\in\mathbb{N}\}\cup \{F^m\gamma+F^n\delta:\gamma,\delta\in\operatorname{Aut}(C),m,n\in\mathbb{N}\}.$$

As the genus of C is at least three, the variety X is not a subgroup of G. If Cis chosen sufficiently generally, then X does not even contain translates of infinite subgroups of G. It follows from our main theorem in this case that the set X(K)consists of the above displayed set and possibly finitely more points, Frobenius orbits, or sums of Frobenius orbits.

Finally, to obtain the most general example we should take a sum with a group. For instance, we could think of G as an algebraic subgroup of $G \times G$ via $x \mapsto (x,0)$. If we set $Y := X + (0 \times G)$, then $Y(K) = X(K) + (0 \times G)(K)$.

In order to give a precise statement about the induced structure on the groups of integral points on semiabelian schemes over finite fields, we abstract from this Diophantine context to a certain general class of modules.

Definition 1.2. A Frobenius ring is a commutative ring $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- As the notation suggests, $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ is a simple extension of the ring of integers generated by a distinguished element F.
- $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ is a finite integral extension of \mathbb{Z} .
- F is not a zero divisor in Z[F].
 The ideal F[∞]Z[F] := ⋂_{n≥0} FⁿZ[F] is trivial.

Example 1.3. We verify that these conditions hold for our intended example.

Fix G a semiabelian variety over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p > 0. This means that G is a commutative algebraic group which, over $\mathbb{F}_q^{\text{alg}}$, is an extension of an abelian variety by a cartesian power of the multiplicative group:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{\mu} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

Let $F: G \to G$ be the q-power Frobenius morphism. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[F]$ be the (commutative) subring of the endomorphism ring of G, End(G), generated by F. We verify that R is a Frobenius ring.

Using the fact that there are no non-trivial algebraic homomorphisms from \mathbb{G}_m^{μ} to A, nor from A to \mathbb{G}_m^{μ} , $\operatorname{End}(G)$ embeds into $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\mu}) \times \operatorname{End}(A)$. As both $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\mu})$ and $\operatorname{End}(A)$ are finite extensions of \mathbb{Z} (see VII.1 of [4] for the latter), it follows that $\operatorname{End}(G)$ is also. Hence F is integral over \mathbb{Z} .

Since F is injective on $G(\mathbb{F}_q^{alg})$, it is not a zero-divisor. Finally, the only infinitely F-divisible element of R is the zero map. To see this, choose a finitely generated field extending \mathbb{F}_q , L, such that G(L) is Zariski-dense in G. If $\alpha \in F^{\infty}R$, then $\alpha G(L) \subset \bigcap_{n>0} F^n G(L) = G(k)$, where $k:=\bigcap_{n>0} L^{q^n}$ is a finite field. Hence α takes a Zariski-dense subgroup of G to a finite group. It follows that the kernel of α is of finite index in G, and as G is connected, α must be the zero map.

From now on, when we write $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ we mean that this ring is a Frobenius ring. We are almost in a position to define F-sets. Unfortunately, for technical reasons which will be explained later, the natural candidate for this definition is not adequate. We therefore only define cycle-free F-sets for the moment, and later describe what the more general class of F-sets should be.

Definition 1.4. If M is a $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -module, $a \in M$, and $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is a positive integer, then we denote the F^{δ} orbit of a by $S(a;\delta) := \{F^{\delta n}a : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. If $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in M$ is a sequence of elements of M and $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is a sequence of positive integers of the same length, then we denote the sum of the F^{δ_i} orbits of the a_i s by $S(\vec{a}; \vec{\delta}) := \sum_{i=1}^n S(a_i; \delta_i) = \{\sum_{i=1}^n F^{\delta_i m_i} a_i : (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$. A set of the form $b + S(\vec{a}; \vec{\delta}) + H$ with $b \in M$ and $H \leq M$ a $\mathbb{Z}[F^{\ell}]$ -submodule of M for some ℓ is called a *cycle-free* F-set. If H is the trivial group, then we refer to such a set as a *groupless cycle-free* F-set.

Remark 1.5. Definition 1.4 differs from the definition of cycle-free F-set as used in [7] in three respects. First, in [7], M is taken to be finitely generated. Secondly, the groups H were required to be $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -modules rather than merely $\mathbb{Z}[F^{\ell}]$ -modules for some ℓ . Thirdly, in [7] a finite union of cycle-free F-sets was considered to be a cycle-free F-set itself.

With these definitions in place we can state a version of the main Mordell-Lang theorem of [7].

Theorem 1.6. Let G be a semiabelian variety defined over a finite field, $F: G \to G$ the corresponding Frobenius morphism, and K an algebraically closed field extending the field of definition of G. If $\Gamma \leq G(K)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -submodule of G(K) and $X \subseteq G$ is a closed subvariety, then $X(K) \cap \Gamma$ is a finite union of (cyclefree) F-sets.

Remark 1.7. Comparing the hypotheses of Theorem 1.6 with those of the Mordell-Lang statement for characteristic 0 (Theorem 0.1), notice that finitely generated subgroups have been replaced by finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -submodules (that is, we require Γ to be closed under F). Nevertheless, some of the natural cases are included in this statement. For example, if R/\mathbb{F}_q is a finitely generated domain, then G(R) is closed under F, and our theorem does solve the problem of describing the R-rational points of subvarieties of semiabelian varieties over finite fields.

In the statement of Theorem 1.6 we have been a bit loose with the meaning of "F-set". In our definition of cycle-free F-set we take parameters b, a_1, \ldots, a_n from the module M. In Theorem 1.6 there are two reasonable interpretations of M: Γ and G(K). The theorem is correct as written with M = G(K), but it is false with Γ unless we drop the parenthetical "cycle-free" and give a more intrinsic notion of F-set. Before doing so we consider yet another example.

Example 1.8. Let $C \subset G$ be a smooth curve of genus at least two defined over a finite field k, embedded in its Jacobian G, and having a trivial automorphism group. Let $F: G \to G$ be the Frobenius morphism corresponding to k. Let K:=k(C) be the function field of C. Then $C(K)=C(k)\cup S(\gamma;1)$ where $\gamma\in C(K)$ is the identity automorphism of C viewed as an element of G(K). Let $\Gamma:=\mathbb{Z}[F]\gamma$ be

the $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -submodule of G(K) generated by γ . It is an easy matter to see that $C(K^{\text{alg}}) \cap \Gamma = S(\gamma; 1)$.

Now let $\gamma' := -\gamma + F\gamma \in G(K)$ and $\Gamma' := \mathbb{Z}[F]\gamma' \leq \Gamma$ the $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -submodule generated by γ' . Let $Y := C - \gamma$. Thus, $Y(K^{\text{alg}}) \cap \Gamma = S(\gamma; 1) - \gamma$. However,

$$S(\gamma;1) - \gamma = \{0\} \cup \{\sum_{i=0}^{n} F^{i} \gamma' : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \Gamma'$$

so that we have $Y(K^{\text{alg}}) \cap \Gamma' = S(\gamma; 1) - \gamma$ as well. However, $S(\gamma; 1) - \gamma$ is a cycle-free F-set in the sense of Γ , but not in the sense of Γ' .

We refer to sets of the form appearing in the intrinsic description of $Y(K) \cap \Gamma'$ above as *cycles*. Taking them as a the basis of our definition for F-sets we obtain an intrinsic description of the induced structure. More precisely,

Definition 1.9. If M is a $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -module, $a \in M$, and $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is a positive integer, then the F^{δ} cycle of a is the set $C(a; \delta) := \{\sum_{i=0}^n F^{i\delta}a : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. If $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in M$ is a sequence of elements of M and $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is a sequence of positive integers of the same length, then we denote the sum of the F^{δ_j} cycles of the a_j s by $C(\vec{a}; \vec{\delta}) := \sum_{j=1}^m C(a_j; \delta_j) = \{\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^{n_j} F^{i\delta_j} a_j : (n_1, \ldots, n_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m\}$. An F-set in a $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -module M is a set of the form $b + C(\vec{a}; \vec{\delta}) + H$ where $b, a_1, \ldots, a_m \in M$ are elements of M and $H \leq M$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[F^{\ell}]$ -submodule of M for some ℓ .

Remark 1.10. If $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is a positive integer, then any F^{ℓ} -set is automatically an F-set. Conversely, any F-set may be expressed as a finite union of F^{ℓ} -sets.

It turns out that every cycle-free F-set may be expressed as a finite union of F-sets. For the sake of illustration, we note that the single orbit $S(a; \delta)$ may be expressed as $\{a\} \cup a + C(F^{\delta}a - a; \delta) = (a + C(0; 1)) \cup (a + C(F^{\delta}a - a; \delta))$. Thus, we do not lose any structure by working with cycles instead of orbits.

Moreover, if $X \subseteq M$ is an F-set in some module M, then there is an embedding of M into some other module M' so that X is a finite union of cycle-free F-sets in the sense of M'. In this case, it is a matter of reversing the operations of the previous paragraph. That is, if $F^{\delta}b - b = a$, then we may express $C(a; \delta)$ as $-b + S(F^{\delta}b; \delta)$. One checks (using properties of Frobenius rings) that if M' is the quotient of $M \oplus \mathbb{Z}[F]$ by the submodule generated by $(-a, F^{\delta} - 1)$, then there is a natural embedding of M into M' and one may take b to be the image of (0,1) in M'. In the case that M arises as a submodule of G(K), the K-points of a semiabelian variety G over a finite field with K algebraically closed, then one can find $b \in G(K)$ since the map $(F^{\delta} - 1) : G(K) \to G(K)$ is surjective.

If one passes from a module to an extension, then while the class of cycle-free F-sets might change, the class of finite unions of F-sets does not. That is, if $M \leq M'$ is an extension of $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -modules and X is a subset of M which is an F-set in the sense of M', then X is already a union of F-sets in the sense of M. So, to say that a set is a union of F-sets is the same as to say that it is a union of cycle-free F-sets in the sense of some extension module.

The conclusion of Theorem 1.6 should be that $X(K) \cap \Gamma$ is a finite union of F-sets. Our proof of Theorem 1.6 is (mostly) an exercise in elementary algebraic geometry. There is a point in the proof at which a detailed analysis of the combinatorics of F-sets plays a decisive rôle. We sketch the proof in a more general situation in

Section 2. For the remainder of this section we discuss the combinatorics of F-sets and their consequences for the model theory of these structures.

Suppose $S := b + S(a_1, \ldots, a_m; \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_m)$ and $T := d + S(c_1, \ldots, c_n; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$ are two groupless cycle-free F-sets. How does one study their intersection? For simplicity let us consider the case when all the δ_i 's and γ_j 's are 1. Trivially, we can express $S \cap T$ as the set of all $b + F^{r_1}a_1 + \cdots + F^{r_m}a_m$ such that for some s_1, \ldots, s_n ,

$$F^{r_1}a_1 + \dots + F^{r_m}a_m + F^{s_1}(-c_1) + \dots + F^{s_n}(-c_n) = d - b$$

We are thus lead to consider "logarithmic sets" of tuples of natural numbers.

Definition 1.11. Given $\overline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_\ell) \in M^\ell$ and $Y \subseteq M$, we define

$$\log_{\overline{x}} Y := \{ (r_1, \dots, r_\ell) \in \mathbb{N}^\ell : F^{r_1} x_1 + \dots + F^{r_\ell} x_\ell \in Y \}$$

The logarithmic set $\log_{\overline{x}} Y$ describes the ways that elements of Y may be expressed as a sum of iterates of F applied to the x_i 's.

Going in the other direction we have a notion of exponentiation as well.

Definition 1.12. Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\ell}$ be a set of ℓ -tuples of natural numbers. We define

$$F^B := \{ (F^{b_1}, \dots, F^{b_\ell}) \in \mathbb{Z}[F]^\ell : (b_1, \dots, b_\ell) \in B \}$$

If $\overline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_\ell) \in M^\ell$, then

$$F^B \overline{x} := \{ \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} F^{b_i} x_i : (b_1, \dots, b_{\ell}) \in B \}$$

The key technical observation in [7] is that

Fact 1.13. If M is a $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -module, $\overline{x} \in M^{\ell}$, and $y \in M$, then there is a positive integer δ such that $\log_{\overline{x}}\{y\}$ is the projection of a positive quantifier-free definable set in the structure $(\mathbb{N}, 0, \sigma, P_{\delta})$ on the natural numbers, where σ is the successor function and $P_{\delta}(x)$ is a predicate that is interpreted as $x \equiv 0 \mod \delta$.

Now a projection of a positive quantifier-free definable set in $(\mathbb{N}, 0, \sigma, P_{\delta})$ is called δ -closed and is a finite union of sets of the form $\overline{t} + V$ where $\overline{t} \in \mathbb{N}^{\ell}$ and $V \subset \mathbb{N}^{\ell}$ is given by a conjunction of finitely many equations of the form $x \equiv q \mod \delta$, for some $0 \leq q < \delta$; $x = \sigma^s(y)$, for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$; or x = p, for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Returning to our description of the intersection of groupless cycle-free F-sets S and T above, and using Fact 1.13, we see that there is a δ -closed set $B \subset \mathbb{N}^m$ such that

$$S \cap T = b + F^B \overline{a}$$
.

It is then not hard to see that $S \cap T$ is a finite union of groupless cycle-free F-sets in M. Using this technique, one shows:

Fact 1.14. Suppose M is a $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -module.

- (a) An intersection of two groupless F-sets is a finite union of groupless F-sets.
- (b) If $N \leq M$ is a submodule and U is a groupless F-set in M, then $U \cap N$ is a finite union of groupless F-sets in N.
- (c) The class of finite unions of F-sets is preserved under finite intersections.

The consequences of Fact 1.13 go beyond an understanding of intersections of F-sets. For example, given $\overline{a} = (a_1, \dots a_\ell) \in M^\ell$, we can define an equivalence relation, $\sim_{\overline{a}}$, on \mathbb{N}^ℓ , by $\overline{r} \sim_{\overline{a}} \overline{s} \iff F^{r_1}a_1 + \dots + F^{r_\ell}a_\ell = F^{s_1}a_1 + \dots + F^{s_\ell}a_\ell$. It follows from Fact 1.13 that $E_{\overline{a}}$ is a definable equivalence relation in $(\mathbb{N}, 0, \sigma, P_{\delta})$

for some $\delta > 0$. In this way, one can study the cycle-free groupless F-sets that are based on \overline{a} by considering sets interpretable in the structures $(\mathbb{N}, 0, \sigma, P_{\delta})$.

These structures on \mathbb{N} , which are naturally bi-interpretable with $(\mathbb{N}, 0, \sigma)$ itself, are structurally extremely simple. For example, they are of Morley rank 1, admit elimination of quantifiers and weak elimination of imaginaries, have definable Skolem functions, and are of trivial geometry. Via the "logarithmic" equivalence relations described above, these properties impose heavy restrictions on the behaviour of F-sets in $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -modules.

Let us say a word about the combinatorics behind Fact 1.13. First we reduce to the case that M is finitely generated by working in the $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -submodule generated by $\{x_1, \ldots, x_\ell, y\}$. Let $K = \bigcup_n \ker F^n$. As M is finitely generated, $K = \ker F^{N_1}$ for

some $N_1 \geq 0$. It follows that F is injective on $F^{\infty}M := \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} F^nM$. A consequence of the fact that $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ is a Frobenius ring is that $F^{\infty}M$ is a finite set. (In fact, this

of the fact that $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ is a Frobenius ring is that $F^{\infty}M$ is a finite set. (In fact, this consequence was one of the motivating factors behind the definition of a Frobenius ring, see Proposition 2.1 of [7] for a proof.) It follows that some positive power of F must fix $F^{\infty}M$ pointwise. The δ that appears in Fact 1.13 is this positive integer.

For each $i \geq 0$, let $M_i = K + F^i M$. These are the points that are F^i divisible modulo K. We obtain a filtration of M, and define M_{ω} to be the intersection of this descending chain of $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -submodules: $M_0 = M \geq M_1 \geq M_2 \geq \cdots \geq M_{\omega} = M_1 \leq M_2 \leq \cdots \leq M_{\omega}$

 $\bigcap_{n=0} M_n$. This in turn induces a valuation on $M, v: M \to \omega + 1$, given by $v(x) \ge n$ if and only if $x \in M_n$. Properties of the valuation are then used to describe the shape that the logarithmic sets can take.

This analysis eventually leads to a quantifier elimination and stability theorem for $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -modules with F-sets.

Theorem 1.15 (Theorems 5.13 and 6.11 of [7]). Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -module. Consider M as a structure in the language \mathcal{L} having a predicate for each F-set in each Cartesian power of M. Then, M admits elimination of quantifiers in \mathcal{L} and is stable.

Theorem 1.15 together with Theorem 1.6 implies the stability of the induced structure on a finitely generated submodule of a semiabelian variety defined over a finite field. This in turn implies a uniform version of Theorem 1.6 where one obtains a uniform description of $X_a(K) \cap \Gamma$ as X_a varies in an algebraic family of closed subvarieties of G (Corollary 7.15 of [7]).

2. A GEOMETRIC VERSION

In this section we prove a geometric version of Theorem 1.6. While this version generalizes our previous theorem, the proof follows a similar scheme.

We consider the case of G a semiabelian variety defined over a finite field k, $F:G\to G$ the corresponding Frobenius morphism, $K\geq k$ an algebraically closed extension field of k, and $\Gamma=\Theta+G(k^{\mathrm{alg}})$ where $\Theta\leq G(K)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -module. We obtain an example of such a situation by taking R an integral domain that is finitely generated as an algebra over k^{alg} , and letting $\Gamma:=G(R)$ be the group of R-points on G. Indeed, by the Lang-Néron theorem $\Gamma/G(k^{\mathrm{alg}})$ is a finitely generated group (see Theorem 6.1 and Corollary 2.7.3 of [5]). Let $S\leq R$

be a finitely generated k-algebra such that G(S) surjects onto $\Gamma/G(k^{\text{alg}})$. Then $\Gamma = \Theta + G(k^{\text{alg}})$ where $\Theta := G(S)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -module.

Of course, we cannot expect $X(K) \cap \Gamma$ to be a finite union of F-sets for $X \subseteq G$ a general algebraic subvariety. For example, if X is itself defined over a finite field, then $X(K) \cap \Gamma$ contains $X(k^{\text{alg}})$. However, this is essentially the only extra complication.

Theorem 2.1. If $X \subseteq G$ is a closed subvariety of G, then $X(K) \cap \Gamma$ is a finite union of sets of the form $S + Y(k^{\text{alg}})$ where $S \subseteq \Gamma$ is an F-set and $Y \subseteq G$ is a closed subvariety over k^{alg} .

Proof. For certain details, we will refer the reader to arguments in [7].

We work by induction on dim X. Replacing X with the Zariski closure of $X(K) \cap \Gamma$ we may assume that $X(K) \cap \Gamma$ is Zariski dense in X. Taking finite unions, we may assume that X is irreducible. Passing to a quotient, we may assume that the stabilizer of X is trivial.

Note that the natural maps $\Theta/F^n\Theta \to \Gamma/F^n\Gamma$, are surjective for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ since $G(k^{\text{alg}}) \subseteq F^n\Gamma$. From Lemma 7.5 of [7] it follows that $\Theta/F^n\Theta$ is finite for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ so that the same is true of $\Gamma/F^n\Gamma$.

We claim that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ there is some $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$ with $(\gamma_n + F^n\Gamma) \cap X(K)$ Zariski dense in X. Indeed, let $A \subseteq \Gamma$ be a finite set of coset representatives for $F^n\Gamma$ in Γ . For each $a \in A$ let $Y_a := \overline{X(K) \cap (a + F^n\Gamma)}$. We have reduced to the case that $X(K) \cap \Gamma$ is Zariski dense in X so that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X & = & \overline{X(K) \cap \Gamma} \\ & = & \overline{\bigcup_{a \in A} X(K) \cap (a + F^n \Gamma)} \\ & = & \bigcup_{a \in A} \overline{X(K) \cap (a + F^n \Gamma)} \\ & = & \bigcup_{a \in A} Y_a \end{array}$$

As X is irreducible and A is finite, we have $X = Y_a$ for some $a \in A$, as desired.

Let L be the separable closure of a finitely generated extension of k^{alg} with $G(L) \geq \Gamma$. Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on ω and $\gamma := [(\gamma_n)]_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the limit of $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \omega}$ with respect to \mathcal{U} . Let *K be the ultrapower of K with respect to \mathcal{U} , ${}^*L \subset {}^*K$ the corresponding ultrapower of L, and ${}^*\Gamma \leq G({}^*L)$ the corresponding ultrapower of Γ . Note that $F^{\infty *}\Gamma \leq G(({}^*L)^{p^{\infty}})$.

To say that a particular type definable set (in some expansion of the language of rings) is Zariski dense in a variety is a type definable condition on the canonical parameter of the variety. Thus, $X(^*K) \cap (\gamma + F^{\infty} ^*\Gamma)$ is Zariski dense in X. That is, $X - \gamma$ meets $G((^*L)^{p^{\infty}})$ in a Zariski dense set. So $X - \gamma$ is defined over $(^*L)^{p^{\infty}}$.

Working in the model $({}^*K, ({}^*L)^{p^\infty})$ of the first order theory of pairs of algebraically closed fields, notice that γ realises a formula which witnesses the fact that $\gamma \in G({}^*K)$ and that $X-\gamma$ is defined over $({}^*L)^{p^\infty}$. But $({}^*K, ({}^*L)^{p^\infty})$ is an elementary extension of the pair (K, k^{alg}) . Indeed, it suffices to observe that K is linearly disjoint from $({}^*L)^{p^\infty}$ over k^{alg} , the details of which can be found in Proposition 7.7 of [7]. Thus, we find $\gamma' \in G(K)$ with $X-\gamma'$ defined over k^{alg} .

Let Γ' be the module generated by Γ and γ' . If we show that $X(K) \cap \Gamma'$ has the correct form, then the result follows for $X(K) \cap \Gamma$. Indeed, suppose $X(K) \cap \Gamma' = \bigcup_i S_i + Y_i(k^{\text{alg}})$, where $S_i \subseteq \Gamma'$ is an F-set and $Y_i \subseteq G$ is a subvariety over k^{alg} .

Fix $i \leq \ell$ and notice that $S_i \cap \Gamma = \bigcup_j T_{i,j}$ for appropriate F-sets $T_{i,j} \subseteq \Gamma$ as

the intersection of an F-set with a submodule is a union of F-sets. As $Y_i(k^{\text{alg}}) \subseteq G(k^{\text{alg}}) \le \Gamma$ we have that $[S_i + Y_i(k^{\text{alg}})] \cap \Gamma = (S_i \cap \Gamma) + Y_i(k^{\text{alg}}) = \bigcup_i T_{i,j} + Y_i(k^{\text{alg}})$.

Thus,
$$X(K) \cap \Gamma = \bigcup_{i,j} T_{i,j} + Y_i(k^{\text{alg}}).$$

Thus, we may assume that $\Gamma = \Gamma'$. Replacing X with $X - \gamma'$ and F with some power of itself, we may assume that X is defined over k.

We note for the sequel that there is a natural number n such that if $a \in (\Gamma \setminus F\Gamma)$, then X-a is not defined over L^{q^n} . The proof of this assertion is given during the course of the proof of Theorem 7.8 of [7] and follows along the lines of our reduction to the case that X is defined over k. It follows that if $a \in \Gamma \setminus F\Gamma$, then $\overline{(X-a)(K) \cap F^n\Gamma}$ is not equal to X-a and therefore has lower dimension than X. As $F^n\Gamma$ has finite index in $F\Gamma$, one obtains from this that $\overline{(X-a)(K) \cap F\Gamma}$ has lower dimension than X for all $a \in \Gamma \setminus F\Gamma$.

Let $A \subseteq \Gamma$ be a finite set of coset representatives for the *non-zero* cosets of $F\Gamma$ in Γ . Let $Z_a := \overline{(X-a)(K) \cap F\Gamma}$ as above.

By induction we have that

$$X(K) \cap (\Gamma \setminus F\Gamma) = \bigcup_{a \in A} X(K) \cap (a + F\Gamma)$$

$$= \bigcup_{a \in A} a + [(X - a)(K) \cap F\Gamma]$$

$$= \bigcup_{a \in A} a + Z_a(K) \cap F\Gamma$$

$$= \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i + Y_i(k^{\text{alg}})$$

where each S_i is an F-set and Y_i is an algebraic variety defined over k^{alg} . Let m be sufficiently divisible so that each Y_i is defined over the extension of k of degree m. We compute.

$$\begin{split} X(K) \cap (\Gamma \setminus F^{\infty}\Gamma) &= \bigcup_{t=0}^{\infty} X(K) \cap (F^{t}\Gamma \setminus F^{t+1}\Gamma) \\ &= \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{m-1} X(K) \cap [F^{mj+\ell}\Gamma \setminus F^{mj+\ell+1}\Gamma] \\ &= \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} F^{mj} [\bigcup_{\ell=0}^{m-1} F^{\ell}(X(K) \cap (\Gamma \setminus F\Gamma))] \\ &= \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} F^{mj} [\bigcup_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} F^{\ell}S_i + Y_i^{(q^{\ell})}(k^{\text{alg}})] \\ &= \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} F^{mj}(F^{\ell}S_i) + Y_i^{(q^{\ell})}(k^{\text{alg}}) \end{split}$$

By Corollary 7.3 of [7], the set $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} F^{mj}(F^{\ell}S_i)$ is a subset of a finite union of F-sets that are themselves contained in X. Thus, $X(K) \cap [\Gamma \setminus F^{\infty}\Gamma]$ is a finite union of sets of the requisite form.

Finally, observe that $F^{\infty}\Gamma = G(k^{\text{alg}})$. Indeed that $G(k^{\text{alg}}) \subseteq F^{\infty}\Gamma$ is clear, and it remains to show that $F^{\infty}\Theta \subseteq G(k^{\text{alg}})$. But as Θ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -module, $F^{\infty}\Theta$ is a finite group (see Proposition 2.1 of [7]) and hence made up of torsion points of G. As G is over k^{alg} , all torsion points are contained in $G(k^{\text{alg}})$. We thus have that $X(K) \cap F^{\infty}\Gamma = X(k^{\text{alg}})$, which completes the proof. \square

$Further\ Extensions$

Dragos Ghioca has extended this argument to some other cases. Ghioca has considered the case of t-adic closures of finitely generated groups. That is, if k is a finite field and G is a semiabelian variety over k((t)), then the group G(k((t))) is naturally a topological group with the topology inherited from the t-adic topology on k((t)). If $\Gamma \leq G(k((t)))$ is a finitely generated group, then one can consider $\overline{\Gamma}$, the closure of Γ with respect to this topology. Ghioca has shown that when G is defined over a finite field, $\Gamma \leq G(k((t)))$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ -module, and $X \subseteq G$ is a closed subvariety, then $X(k((t))) \cap \overline{\Gamma}$ is a finite union of sets of the form $a+S+[H(k((t)))\cap \overline{\Gamma}]$ where S is a groupless F-set, a is a point, and $H \leq G$ is an algebraic subgroup.

Ghioca has also extended this study to purely inseparable extensions.

Theorem 2.2 (Ghioca). Let G be a semiabelian variety over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q and let $F:G\to G$ be the corresponding Frobenius morphism. Let R be a finitely generated integral domain extending \mathbb{F}_q . Let K be the algebraic closure of the fraction field of R and let $R':=\{x\in K: (\exists n\in\mathbb{Z}_+)x^{q^n}\in R\}$ be the perfect closure of R in K. [Note that $F:G(R')\to G(R')$ is an automorphism of this group.] Then, if $X\subseteq G$ is a subvariety of G the set X(R') is a finite union of sets of the form $a+H(R')+\{\sum_{i=1}^n F^{\delta_i m_i}b_i: \vec{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^n\}$ for some $a,b_1,\ldots,b_n\in G(K),\ \delta_1,\ldots,\delta_n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, and $H\leq G$ an algebraic subgroup.

Theorem 2.2 follows from the uniform version of Theorem 1.6 given in [7]. The above results will appear as parts of Ghioca's doctoral dissertation.

3. Absolute Mordell-Lang

In the introduction we said that Hrushovski salvaged the Mordell-Lang conjecture in positive characteristic by treating the case of varieties defined over finite fields as exceptions [3]. It would be fairer to say that he reduced the general problem to the case of varieties defined over finite fields. Let us recall what Hrushovski actually showed. We begin by fixing some notation. Let p be a prime number, $k := \mathbb{F}_n^{\text{alg}}$ be the algebraic closure of the prime field, and let K be any algebraically closed field extending k. If G is a semiabelian variety over K, then a closed subvariety $X \subseteq G$ is said to be special if it is of the form $c + h^{-1}(X_{\circ})$, where $c \in G(K)$, $h: G_1 \to G_{\circ}$ is a surjective morphism from an algebraic subgroup $G_1 \subset G$ to a group variety G_{\circ} over k, and $X_{\circ} \subset G_{\circ}$ is a closed subvariety also over k. Note, for instance, that translates of algebraic subgroups of G are special in this sense. Hrushovski's theorem (restricted to the case of finitely generated subgroups of semiabelian varieties in characteristic p) then states:

Theorem 3.1 (Relative Mordell-Lang – Characteristic p). Suppose G is a semiabelian variety over K, $X \subset G$ is a closed subvariety, and $\Gamma < G(K)$ is a finitely generated subgroup of the K-points. Then there are special closed subvarieties

$$X_1, \ldots, X_\ell \subset X \text{ such that } X(K) \cap \Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^\ell X_i(K) \cap \Gamma.$$

It is instructive to consider what happens in two extreme cases. Suppose G is an abelian variety such that no subabelian variety of G admits a nontrivial map to an abelian variety over k. We say that G is of k-trace zero. It follows that the only special subvarieties of G are the translates of abelian subvarieties. Hence in this case Theorem 3.1 says that $X(K) \cap \Gamma$ is a finite union of cosets of Γ – that is, the conclusion of the characteristic 0 Mordell-Lang conjecture holds in characteristic pfor abelian varieties of k-trace zero.

The other extreme case is exactly what we considered in [7] (and have been discussing here); it is when G is itself defined over k. In this case Theorem 3.1 says that $X(K) \cap \Gamma$ is a finite union of sets of the form $X'(K) \cap \Gamma$ where X' is a translate of a subvariety of G over k. However, it does not describe what these latter intersections look like. Under the additional assumption that Γ is preserved by a Frobenius morphism, we have described $X(K) \cap \Gamma$ (in Theorem 1.6 of the current paper) as a finite union of F-sets.

For all intermediate cases, Hrushovski's theorem says (loosely speaking) that the failure of the conclusion of the characteristic 0 Mordell-Lang conjecture in characteristic p comes from semiabelian varieties over finite fields. This being the case, our results should give a general solution to the Mordell-Lang problem in positive characteristic. As there are several possible interpretations of the problem, we cannot rightly claim to have a complete solution. Nevertheless, in this section we describe one such solution.

To pass from the case of semiabelian varieties defined over a finite field to the general case, we must first consider weakly isotrivial varieties.

In what follows $k := \mathbb{F}_n^{\text{alg}}$ is the algebraic closure of the prime field and \mathbb{U} is an uncountable algebraically closed field of characteristic p. All varieties and morphisms, unless otherwise stated, will be defined over U. All fields will be contained in \mathbb{U} . Also, defined over will be meant in the algebraic geometric sense (as opposed

to the model-theoretic sense). Moreover, we restrict attention to the case of abelian varieties.

We begin with some generalities on the notion of isotriviality and trace.

Definition 3.2. Suppose X is a variety.

- (a) X is strongly isotrivial if it is defined over k.
- (b) X is isotrivial if there is a variety Y over k, and an isomorphism $f: Y \to X$.
- (c) X is weakly isotrivial if there exists a variety Y over k, and a purely inseparable surjective morphism $f: Y \to X$.

Note that in both parts (b) and (c) of the definition, the morphism f need not be over the field of definition of X. That is, additional parameters may be required to witness (weak) isotriviality. Also, recall that at the level of \mathbb{U} -rational points, a purely inseparable morphism is just a morphism that is a bijection between its domain and its image.

Definition 3.3. Let K/k be any field extension, and G an abelian variety over K. A K/k-trace of G is a pair (G_{\circ}, h) where G_{\circ} is an abelian variety over k and $h: G_{\circ} \to G$ is a homomorphism over K with finite kernel; such that the following universal property holds:

Given any abelian variety G' over k and a homomorphism $h': G' \to G$ over K, there exists a unique homomorphism $g: G' \to G_{\circ}$ over k such that h' = hg.

Remark 3.4. As K/k is primary, a K/k-trace of G exists. Moreover, by the universal property, if (G'_{\circ}, h') is another K/k-trace of G then there is a (unique) isomorphism $g: G'_{\circ} \to G_{\circ}$ over k with h' = hg.

Lemma 3.5. Suppose K/k is an extension of fields and G is an abelian variety over K. Assume that G is weakly isotrivial, and let (G_{\circ},h) be a K^{sep}/k -trace of G. Then h is purely inseparable and surjective. In particular, there is a witness for the weak isotriviality of G over K^{sep} .

Proof. By weak isotriviality, there is L/K^{sep} a finitely generated field extension, H an abelian variety over k, and $f: H \to G$ a purely inseparable surjective morphism over L. Translating by $-f(O_H) \in G(L)$, we may assume that f is a homomorphism of algebraic groups. Let (G'_{\circ}, h') be any L/k-trace of G. By the universal property we have a homomorphism $g: H \to G'_{\circ}$ over k such that the following commutes:



As h' has finite kernel and f is a purely inseparable surjection, we obtain

$$\dim(G'_{\circ}) = \dim G = \dim H = \dim g(H).$$

Hence, $g(H) = G'_{\circ}$. It follows that h' is purely inseparable and surjective.

Now G is over K^{sep} , K^{sep} is a primary extension of k, and L is a primary extension of K^{sep} . Hence by VIII.3.7 of [4], (G_{\circ}, h) is also an L/k-trace of G. By the above observation h is purely separable and surjective.

¹See Lang [4].

Until further notice, we fix G a weakly isotrivial abelian variety, and K the minimal field of definition for G. Note that K/\mathbb{F}_p is finitely generated, and if G is strongly isotrivial then K is a finite field.

We wish to construct, in as canonical a manner as possible, an endomorphism of G that is "induced by the Frobenius automorphism of \mathbb{U} ".

Definition 3.6. A pseudo-Frobenius endomorphism of G, $\widetilde{F}: G \to G$, is a purely inseparable surjective endomorphism over $K\mathbb{F}_q$ of the form hFh^{-1} , where

- (G_{\circ}, h) is a K^{sep}/k -trace of G;
- q is a power of p such that G_{\circ} is over \mathbb{F}_q ; and,
- $F: G_{\circ} \to G_{\circ}$ is the algebraic endomorphism induced by the q-power Frobenius map.

Lemma 3.7. A pseudo-Frobenius endomorphism of G exists.

Proof. Let (G_{\circ}, h) be any K^{sep}/k -trace of G. As G is weakly isotrivial, Lemma 3.5 tells us that $h: G_{\circ} \to G$ is a purely inseparable surjective homomorphism over K^{sep} . Now let g be a power of g such that:

- 1. G_{\circ} is over \mathbb{F}_{a} ;
- 2. every algebraic automorphism of G_{\circ} is over \mathbb{F}_q ; and,
- 3. Fh^{-1} is an algebraic morphism, where $F: G_{\circ} \to G_{\circ}$ is the morphism induced by the q-power Frobenius map.

That such a power of p exists follows from the following facts: G_{\circ} is over $k = \mathbb{F}_p^{\mathrm{alg}}$; every algebraic automorphism of G_{\circ} is over k and the group of algebraic automorphisms of G_{\circ} (which is the multiplicative group of units in the endomorphism ring of G_{\circ}) is finitely generated; and $h^{-1}: G \to G_{\circ}$ is a definable endomorphism, which means that after composing with a suifficiently high power of the Frobenius it is an algebraic morphism. Let $\widetilde{F}: G \to G$ be the algebraic morphism $\widetilde{F}:=hFh^{-1}$. It remains to show that \widetilde{F} is over $K\mathbb{F}_q$.

If $\Gamma(F) \subset G_{\circ} \times G_{\circ}$ is the graph of F, then $(h \times h)[\Gamma(F)(K^{\text{sep}})] \subset (G \times G)(K^{\text{sep}})$ is Zariski dense in the graph of \widetilde{F} , and hence \widetilde{F} is over K^{sep} . It suffices, therefore, to show that \widetilde{F} is model-theoretically definable over $K\mathbb{F}_q$. Indeed, this would imply that \widetilde{F} is over $(K\mathbb{F}_q)^{p^{-\infty}}$, and hence over $(K\mathbb{F}_q)^{p^{-\infty}} \cap (K\mathbb{F}_q)^{\text{sep}} = K\mathbb{F}_q$, as desired. To verify model-theoretic definability over $K\mathbb{F}_q$, it suffices to show that every automorphism of the universe which fixes $K\mathbb{F}_q$ pointwise fixes \widetilde{F} .

Let α be an automorphism of the universe which fixes $K\mathbb{F}_q$ pointwise. Then α fixes G_{\circ} and G setwise, and

$$\widetilde{F}^{\alpha}=(hFh^{-1})^{\alpha}=\alpha hFh^{-1}\alpha^{-1}=(\alpha h\alpha^{-1})F(\alpha h\alpha^{-1})^{-1}=h^{\alpha}F(h^{\alpha})^{-1},$$

where the penultimate equality is by the fact that α commutes with F (on G_{\circ}). Now (G_{\circ}, h^{α}) is another K^{sep}/k -trace of G. Hence, there is an algebraic automorphism g of G_{\circ} over k, such that $h^{\alpha} = hg$. Moreover, by our choice of q, g is over \mathbb{F}_q . Hence $\widetilde{F}^{\alpha} = hgFg^{-1}h^{-1} = hFh^{-1} = \widetilde{F}$, where the penultimate equality is by the fact that F commutes with g. This proves the lemma.

A pseudo-Frobenius endomorphism on a weakly isotrivial abelian variety is only unique up to iterations:

Lemma 3.8. Suppose $\widetilde{F}': G \to G$ is another pseudo-Frobenius endomorphism. Then for some n, n' > 0, $\widetilde{F}^n = (\widetilde{F}')^{n'}$.

Proof. Let $(G'_{\circ},h'),q',F'$ be data that witnesses the pseudo-Frobenius nature of \widetilde{F}' (see Definition 3.6). Note that (G_{\circ},h) and (G'_{\circ},h') are both K^{sep}/k traces of G, and hence there is an isomorphism $g:G'_{\circ}\to G_{\circ}$ over k, with h'=hg. Let N>0 be such that \mathbb{F}_{p^N} contains \mathbb{F}_q and $\mathbb{F}_{q'}$, and such that g is over \mathbb{F}_{p^N} . Let n,n'>0 be such that $q^n=p^N=(q')^{n'}$. Then the p^N -power Frobenius automorphism induces F^n on G_{\circ} and $(F')^{n'}$ on G'_{\circ} . Moreover, as g is over \mathbb{F}_{p^N} and the p^N -power Frobenius automorphism fixes \mathbb{F}_{p^N} -pointwise, we have that $g(F')^{n'}g^{-1}=F^n$. Hence,

$$(\widetilde{F}')^{n'}=[h'F'(h')^{-1}]^{n'}=hg(F')^{n'}g^{-1}h^{-1}=hF^nh^{-1}=\widetilde{F}^n,$$
 as desired.

Recall from Definition 1.2 that a Frobenius ring is the abstract counterpart of the subring of the endomorphism ring of a strongly isotrivial abelian variety generated by a Frobenius.

Lemma 3.9. If \widetilde{F} is a pseudo-Frobenius endomorphism of G, then the subring of the endomorphism of G generated by \widetilde{F} , $R = \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{F}]$, is a Frobenius ring.

Proof. Let $R_{\circ} = \mathbb{Z}[F]$ be the subring of the endomorphism ring of G_{\circ} generated by F. As G_{\circ} is over \mathbb{F}_q and F is induced by the q-power Frobenius, R_{\circ} is a Frobenius ring. Hence it suffices to show that the map $\alpha: R_{\circ} \to R$ over \mathbb{Z} induced by $F \mapsto \widetilde{F}$ is an isomorphism of R and R_{\circ} . But this map is just $P(F) \mapsto hP(F)h^{-1} = P(\widetilde{F})$. As h is bijective, α is an isomorphism of rings.

Question 3.10. Suppose A is an abelian variety and $P:A\to A$ is a purely inseparable surjective endomorphism such that the subring of the endomorphism ring of A generated by P is a Frobenius ring. Does it follow that A is weakly isotrivial and αP is a pseudo-Frobenius endomorphism for some $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$?

In any case, from the strongly isotrivial case we deduce a version of the Mordell-Lang conjecture for weakly isotrivial groups.

Theorem 3.11 (Absolute Mordell-Lang – Weakly Isotrivial Case). Suppose G is a weakly isotrivial abelian variety and $\widetilde{F}: G \to G$ is a pseudo-Frobenius endomorphism. Suppose $\Gamma \leq G(\mathbb{U})$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{F}]$ -submodule. Then for $X \subset G$ a closed subvariety, $X(\mathbb{U}) \cap \Gamma$ is a finite union of \widetilde{F} -sets.

Proof. Let $(G_{\circ}, h), q, F$ be data that witnesses the pseudo-Frobenius nature of \widetilde{F} . Let $R_{\circ} = \mathbb{Z}[F]$ be the subring of the endomorphism ring of G_{\circ} generated by F. Let $\Gamma_{\circ} = h^{-1}(\Gamma) \leq G_{\circ}(\mathbb{U})$ and $X_{\circ} = h^{-1}(X) \subset G_{\circ}$. As $\widetilde{F} = hFh^{-1}$, Γ_{\circ} is a finitely generated R_{\circ} -submodule of $G_{\circ}(\mathbb{U})$. Now h is a bijective group homomorphism from $G_{\circ}(\mathbb{U})$ to $G(\mathbb{U})$ that takes the action of F to the action of \widetilde{F} , and restricts to a bijection between $X_{\circ}(\mathbb{U}) \cap \Gamma_{\circ}$ and $X(\mathbb{U}) \cap \Gamma$. The theorem thus follows from Theorem 1.6 applied to $G_{\circ}, \Gamma_{\circ}, F, X_{\circ}$.

Remark 3.12. If L is a finitely generated field over which G and \widetilde{F} are defined, then $\Gamma := G(L)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{F}]$ -submodule; and so Theorem 3.11 describes the L-points on subvarieties of weakly isotrivial abelian varieties. Note that while L may not always be taken to be the minimal field of definition for G, it can be taken to be the extension of such by a finite field (see Definition 3.6 of a pseudo-Frobenius morphism).

A general case of the Mordell-Lang conjecture follows.

Theorem 3.13. Let G be an abelian variety over \mathbb{U} , $X \subseteq G$ be a closed subvariety, and $\Gamma \leq G(\mathbb{U})$ a finitely generated subgroup. Let $G' \leq G$ be the maximal connected weakly isotrivial algebraic subgroup of G and set $\Gamma' := \Gamma \cap G'(\mathbb{U})$. We assume that Γ' is a $\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{F}]$ -submodule for some pseudo-Frobenius \widetilde{F} on G'. Then $X(\mathbb{U}) \cap \Gamma$ is a finite union of sets of the form $a + S + (H(\mathbb{U}) \cap \Gamma)$ where $a \in G(\mathbb{U})$, $S \subseteq G'(\mathbb{U})$ is a groupless \widetilde{F} -set in G', and $H \leq G$ is an algebraic subgroup.

Proof. We work by induction on $\dim X$. Taking finite unions, we may assume that X is irreducible. Passing to a quotient, we may assume that X has a trivial stabilizer. Replacing X with the Zariski closure of $X(\mathbb{U}) \cap \Gamma$, we may assume that $X(\mathbb{U}) \cap \Gamma$ is Zariski dense in X.

By Hrushovski's theorem (3.1) there is a connected algebraic subgroup $G_1 \leq G$, an abelian variety G_{\circ} defined over k, an algebraic variety $X_{\circ} \subseteq G_{\circ}$, and a surjective morphism of algebraic groups $h: G_1 \to G_0$ for which X is a translate of $h^{-1}X_0$. As X has no stabilizer, h has no kernel, and hence is in particular an isogeny. As the relation of two abelian varieties being isogenous is symmetric, we have a surjective morphism $\hat{h}: G_{\circ} \to G_{1}$. Then $G_{\circ}/\ker \hat{h}$ is again an abelian variety over k, and \hat{h} induces a purely inseparable surjective morphism $G_{\circ}/\ker \hat{h} \to G_1$. That is, G_1 is weakly isotrivial.

It follows that G_1 is a subgroup of G'. Hence $X \subseteq \alpha + G'$ for some $\alpha \in G(\mathbb{U})$. Since $X(\mathbb{U}) \cap \Gamma$ is nonempty (in fact Zariski-dense in X) there must be a point in Γ of the form $\alpha + g$ for some $g \in G'(\mathbb{U})$. Let $\gamma = -\alpha - g \in \Gamma$. Then $\gamma + X \subseteq G'$; and so $X(\mathbb{U}) \cap \Gamma = -\gamma + [(\gamma + X)(\mathbb{U}) \cap \Gamma] = -\gamma + [(\gamma + X)(\mathbb{U}) \cap \Gamma']$. We are now in the case of Theorem 3.11.

Remark 3.14. Suppose L is a finitely generated field over which G, G', and F are defined. Then $\Gamma := G(L)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.13.

References

- [1] D. Abramovich and J.F. Voloch. Toward a proof of the Mordell-Lang conjecture in characteristic p. International Mathematics Research Notices, (5):103-115, 1992.
- [2] G. Faltings. The general case of S. Lang's conjecture. In Barsotti Symposium in Algebraic Geometry (Abano Terme, 1991), volume 15 of Perspect. Math., pages 175-182. Academic Press, 1994.
- [3] E. Hrushovski. The Mordell-Lang conjecture for function fields. Journal of the American Mathematical Society, 9(3):667-690, 1996.
- [4] S. Lang. Abelian Varieties. Interscience Publishers, Inc., 1959.
- [5] S. Lang. Fundamentals of Diophantine geometry. Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [6] S. Lang. Number theory III. Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [7] R. Moosa and T. Scanlon. F-structures and integral points on semiabelian varieties over finite fields. To appear in the American Journal of Mathematics.
- [8] A. Pillay. The model-theoretic content of Lang's conjecture. In E. Bouscaren, editor, Model theory and algebraic geometry, number 12 in Lecture Notes in Logic, pages 101-106. Springer, Berlin, 1998.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 77 MASS. AVE., Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA

E-mail address: moosa@math.mit.edu

University of California, Berkeley, Department of Mathematics, Evans Hall, Berke-LEY, CALIFORNIA 94720-3840, USA

E-mail address: scanlon@math.berkeley.edu