

Example 6.3 (pp. 101–105)

Model

$$\begin{aligned} X_i | \lambda_i &\sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i) && \text{independently } i = 1, \dots, n \\ \lambda_i | \theta &\sim \text{exponential}(\theta) && \text{also independently} \\ \theta &\sim \text{gamma}(\alpha, \beta) \end{aligned}$$

Goal

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \theta | x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \theta) \cdot \pi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \theta)}{m(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \cdot \pi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n | \theta) \cdot \pi(\theta)}{m(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \end{aligned}$$

Technical Bottleneck

$$\begin{aligned} & m(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \int f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \cdot \pi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n | \theta) \cdot \pi(\theta) \, d\theta d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n \\ &= \int \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right] \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \theta e^{-\theta \lambda_i} \right] \left[\frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta \theta} \right] d\theta d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n \\ &= \begin{cases} \text{integrate over } \theta \text{ first} \\ \text{integrate over } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \text{ first} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Integrate Over θ First

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right] \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \theta e^{-\theta \lambda_i} \right] \left[\frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta \theta} \right] d\theta d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n \\
 &= \int \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right] \cdot \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \underbrace{\left[\int \theta^{n+\alpha-1} e^{-(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i) \theta} d\theta \right]}_{(*)} d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n \\
 &= \int \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right] \cdot \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha)}{(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i)^{n+\alpha}} d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n \\
 &= \frac{(\beta^\alpha) \Gamma(n + \alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} \underbrace{\int \frac{(e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i}) (\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{x_i})}{(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i)^{n+\alpha}} d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n}_{\text{uh, oh ...}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrate Over $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ First

$$\int \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right] \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \theta e^{-\theta \lambda_i} \right] \left[\frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\theta} \right] d\theta d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n$$

$$= \int \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\left[\int \frac{e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^{x_i}}{x_i!} \times \theta e^{-\theta \lambda_i} d\lambda_i \right]}_{(**)} \right\} \times \left[\frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\theta} \right] d\theta$$

$$= \int \left[\frac{\theta}{1+\theta} \right]^n \times \left[\frac{1}{1+\theta} \right]^{n\bar{x}} \times \left[\frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\theta} \right] d\theta$$

$$= \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \underbrace{\int \frac{\theta^{n+\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\theta}}{(1+\theta)^{n(\bar{x}+1)}} d\theta}_{\text{uh, oh ...}}$$

Monte Carlo

For $\mathbf{z} \sim f(\mathbf{z})$, $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, want

$$\mathbb{E}[h(\mathbf{z})] \equiv \int h(\mathbf{z})f(\mathbf{z})d\mathbf{z}, \quad \mathbb{P}[g(\mathbf{z}) \in A] \equiv \int_{g(\mathbf{z}) \in A} f(\mathbf{z})d\mathbf{z}.$$

Can approximate by

$$\int h(\mathbf{z})f(\mathbf{z})d\mathbf{z} \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\mathbf{z}_i),$$

$$\int_{g(\mathbf{z}) \in A} f(\mathbf{z})d\mathbf{z} \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I[g(\mathbf{z}_i) \in A]$$

if can obtain (**somehow**) a sample $\{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\} \sim f(\mathbf{z})$.

Using the Gibbs Sampler

- want, but don't know how, to sample from a multivariate distribution, $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d)$

- can repeatedly sample from the conditional distributions

$$f(z_j | z_1, \dots, z_{j-1}, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_d), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, d, 1, 2, \dots, d, \dots$$

until “things stabilize” (called “[burn in](#)”)

Back to Example 6.3

Want

$$\pi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \theta | \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n).$$

So repeatedly sample from

$$\pi(\lambda_i \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_n, \theta, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n;$$

$$\pi(\theta \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n).$$

Exercise Show that the integral marked earlier by (\star) implies

$$\pi(\theta | \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \sim \text{gamma}\left(\alpha + n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\right)$$

and the integral marked earlier by ($\star\star$) implies

$$\pi(\lambda_i | \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_n, \theta, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \sim \text{gamma}(x_i + 1, 1 + \theta).$$

Algorithm

initialize $t = 1$ and $\lambda_i^{(0)} = x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

while ($t < t_{\text{max}}$)

draw $\theta^{(t)} \sim \text{gamma}\left(\alpha + n, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{(t-1)}\right)$

draw $\lambda_i^{(t)} \sim \text{gamma}\left(x_i + 1, 1 + \theta^{(t)}\right)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

increment $t = t + 1$

end while

return $\left\{ (\lambda_1^{(t)}, \dots, \lambda_n^{(t)}, \theta^{(t)}) : t > t_{\text{burn.in}} \right\}$