

# Bayesian Inference

- $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)$  [ really,  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)$  here ]
- parameter  $\theta$  is unknown, so treat it as a **random variable**
- a **random variable** must have a distribution, so

$$\theta \sim \pi(\theta),$$

called the **prior distribution**

- “only” objective is to find

$$\pi(\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) \pi(\theta)}{\int f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta},$$

the **posterior distribution**, by the **Bayes theorem**

## Example 6.1 (pp. 93–95)

$$\begin{aligned} X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n | \lambda &\stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda) \\ \lambda &\sim \text{gamma}(\alpha, \beta) \end{aligned}$$

- want to compute

$$\pi(\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda) \pi(\lambda)}{\int f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda) \pi(\lambda) d\lambda}$$

- mainly, the denominator

$$m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda) \pi(\lambda) d\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda) \pi(\lambda) d\lambda \\
&= \int \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right] \times \left[ \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda} \right] d\lambda \\
&= \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha) \prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} \times \frac{\Gamma(n\bar{x} + \alpha)}{(n + \beta)^{n\bar{x} + \alpha}} \times \\
&\quad \underbrace{\int \frac{(n + \beta)^{n\bar{x} + \alpha}}{\Gamma(n\bar{x} + \alpha)} \lambda^{n\bar{x} + \alpha - 1} e^{-(n + \beta)\lambda} d\lambda}_{\text{gamma}(n\bar{x} + \alpha, n + \beta)} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(n\bar{x} + \alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} \left[ \frac{\beta}{n + \beta} \right]^\alpha \left[ \frac{1}{n + \beta} \right]^{x_1} \cdots \left[ \frac{1}{n + \beta} \right]^{x_n} \\
\Rightarrow \quad \lambda | X_1, \dots, X_n &\sim \text{gamma}(n\bar{X} + \alpha, n + \beta) \quad [\text{why}]
\end{aligned}$$

# Posterior Expectation vs MLE

- a kind of “Bayesian point estimate”,

$$\hat{\lambda}_{bayes} = \mathbb{E}(\lambda | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{n\bar{X} + \alpha}{n + \beta}$$

- compare with frequentist point estimate,

$$\hat{\lambda}_{mle} = \bar{X},$$

as if given **an additional  $\beta$  observations with  $\alpha$  incidences**

**Think** How important is the choice of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  when  $n$  is large?

$$\hat{\lambda}_{bayes} = \frac{n\bar{X} + \alpha}{n + \beta} = \frac{\bar{X} + (\alpha/n)}{1 + (\beta/n)} \longrightarrow \bar{X} = \hat{\lambda}_{mle}$$

# The Gamma Prior

- choice of **gamma** mainly so that integral in denominator is tractable
- is a **conjugate prior** for the **Poisson**
- but still must choose the parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , e.g.,  $\text{gamma}(1/2, 1/2) = \chi^2_{(1)}$ , or whatever
- if following the so-called **Jeffreys' rule**, would choose

$$\text{gamma}(1/2, 0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \text{gamma}(1/2, \varepsilon),$$

but we will **skip** this topic — not exactly a short story

# Simultaneous Estimation

<u>General</u>	<u>Example</u>
$X_i \sim f(\cdot \theta_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$	$X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$
$\theta_i \sim \pi(\cdot \psi)$	$\lambda_i \sim \text{gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$
$\pi(\theta_i x_i, \psi) = \frac{f(x_i \theta_i)\pi(\theta_i \psi)}{\int f(x_i \theta_i)\pi(\theta_i \psi)d\theta_i}$	$\lambda_i X_i, \alpha, \beta \sim \text{gamma}(X_i + \alpha, 1 + \beta)$

**Remark** Each individual problem (indexed by  $i$ ) can still have multiple observations  $X_{i1}, \dots, X_{im_i}$  “as usual”, in which case the left side simple leads to  $\pi(\theta_i|x_{i1}, \dots, x_{im_i}; \psi)$  and the right side to  $\text{gamma}(m_i \bar{X}_i + \alpha, m_i + \beta)$ . Here, we simplify with  $m_i = 1 \forall i$ .

# Empirical Bayes

- do not specify  $\psi$ , e.g., choose  $(\alpha, \beta) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  or whatever
- can integrate out  $\theta_i$  to obtain the **marginal distribution** of  $X_i$ :

$$m(x_i|\psi) = \int f(x_i|\theta_i)\pi(\theta_i|\psi)d\theta_i$$

- $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} m(\cdot|\psi)$  all contain some information about  $\psi$
- can obtain an estimate, say  $\hat{\psi}$ , using all of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ; and use the “plug-in” posterior,  $\pi(\theta_i|x_i, \hat{\psi})$
- e.g., obtain  $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$  using all  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , and use  $\text{Gamma}(X_i + \hat{\alpha}, 1 + \hat{\beta})$  for posterior inference

**Remark** Alternatively, can put another prior on  $\psi$ . Called **hierarchical Bayes**. Can keep on doing it but eventually must stop.

## Remarks

Will use  $\alpha = 1, \beta = \theta$  in examples to follow, so that there is only one prior parameter ( $\theta$ ) to “worry about” rather than two ( $\alpha, \beta$ ).

Will also look at [hierarchical Bayes](#) ([later](#)).

## Example 6.2 (pp. 96–97)

In a data set of  $n = 100$  individuals, some have had no accident while various others have had one, two, or three accidents.

None ( $X_i = 0$ )	One ( $X_i = 1$ )	Two ( $X_i = 2$ )	Three ( $X_i = 3$ )	Total ( $n$ )
70	20	8	2	100

Will model  $X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$ , and use prior  $\lambda_i \sim \text{gamma}(1, \theta)$  — aka  $\text{exponential}(\theta)$ . Would like to compare

$$\hat{\lambda}_{Amy}^{(mle)} \text{ vs } \hat{\lambda}_{Amy}^{(eb)}; \quad \hat{\lambda}_{Bob}^{(mle)} \text{ vs } \hat{\lambda}_{Bob}^{(eb)},$$

where Amy is one of those who has had one accident ( $X_{Amy} = 1$ ) and Bob, one of those who has had two ( $X_{Bob} = 2$ ).

# The James-Stein Estimator

$X_i \sim N(\theta_i, \sigma^2)$ ,  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  all independent,  $\sigma^2$  known

**Fact** The celebrated James-Stein estimator,

$$\hat{\theta}_i^{(js)} = \bar{X} + \left[ 1 - \frac{(n-3)\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \right] (X_i - \bar{X}),$$

can be shown to have smaller MSE,

$$\mathbb{E}(\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\theta}_i - \theta_i)^2 \right],$$

than  $\hat{\theta}_i^{(mle)} = X_i$  for  $n > 3$ . But, mathematics aside, what's the intuition? Answer: It is an empirical Bayes estimator of  $\theta_i$  under a conjugate prior,  $\theta_i \sim N(\mu, \tau^2)$ .

# Key Steps

(a) **posterior** of  $\theta_i|X_i$  is normal with mean

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{\tau^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2} \right] X_i + \left[ \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2} \right] \mu \\ &= \mu + \left[ 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2} \right] (X_i - \mu) \end{aligned}$$

and variance ...

(b) **marginal** of  $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2 + \tau^2)$

$\Rightarrow$  from which to estimate  $\mu$  and  $\frac{1}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2}$

## Details for (b)

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X} \quad (\text{of course; what else})$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1} \right] = \sigma^2 + \tau^2 \quad (\text{related to } \chi^2);$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{n-3}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \right] = \frac{1}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2} \quad (\text{related to Inv-}\chi^2);$$

skip details)

# Intuition of James-Stein

$$\mathbb{E}(\theta_i | X_i) = \mu + \left[ 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2} \right] (X_i - \mu)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_i^{(js)} = \bar{X} + \left[ 1 - \frac{(n-3)\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \right] (X_i - \bar{X})$$