

Probability vs Statistics

Probability If $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta)$, what can we say about

$$\mathbb{P}[g(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in A] = \int_{g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

or

$$\mathbb{E}[h(X_1, \dots, X_n)] = \int h(x_1, \dots, x_n) f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n?$$

Statistics If $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta)$, what can we say about f — “often”, the unknown parameter θ — based on observed values of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n ?

Remark The parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ may be multi-dimensional, i.e., $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_d)^\top$.

I. Frequentist Approach

- treat θ as a **fixed** (i.e., non-random) constant, albeit unknown
- want to estimate the value of θ
- in particular, by

$$\hat{\theta} = g(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n),$$

referred to as an **estimator**

- a key question: how can we say $\hat{\theta}_1 = g_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is better than $\hat{\theta}_2 = g_2(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, without knowing what θ actually is

“Hard” Probability Problem #1: Functions of RVs

- the **estimator**

$$\hat{\theta} = g(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$$

is a function of RVs, so an RV itself

- interested in its distribution
- no way to assess numeric **estimate**, e.g.,

$$g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 8.45,$$

because don't know true value θ to start with

Sampling Distribution

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}\} \rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{(1)} \\ \{x_1^{(2)}, \dots, x_n^{(2)}\} \rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{(2)} \\ \{x_1^{(3)}, \dots, x_n^{(3)}\} \rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{(3)} \\ \vdots \\ \{x_1^{(B)}, \dots, x_n^{(B)}\} \rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{(B)} \end{array} \right\} \text{distribution of } \hat{\theta}$$

imagine **many** data sets from the **same** data-generating process

Computer Simulation

```
for b = 1 to B
  data = generate(model)
  theta[b] = estimate(data)
end for
histogram(theta[1:B])
```

Exercise From $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$, estimate the unknown parameter θ with ...

II. Bayesian Approach

- $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)$ [really, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)$ here]
- parameter θ is unknown, so treat it as a **random variable**
- a **random variable** must have a distribution, so

$$\theta \sim \pi(\theta),$$

called the **prior distribution**

- “only” objective is to find

$$\pi(\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) \pi(\theta)}{\int f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta},$$

the **posterior distribution**, by the **Bayes theorem**

“Hard” Probability Problem #2: Compound Distributions

- quantity in denominator,

$$\underbrace{m(x_1, \dots, x_n)}_{\text{marginal of } x_1, \dots, x_n} \equiv \int \underbrace{f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) \pi(\theta)}_{\text{joint of } x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta} d\theta,$$

is a **compound distribution** — the distribution of something (here, X_1, \dots, X_n) depends on some parameter (here, θ), which is itself an RV; then, the (marginal, unconditional) distribution of something is a compound distribution

- main technical bottleneck, as RHS integral often intractable
- main philosophical bottleneck is choice of prior, $\pi(\theta)$