

# HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF A WEDGE SUM OF SPHERES

MICHAEL ALBANESE

ABSTRACT. There is a trick for computing the first few homotopy groups of a wedge sum of spheres which uses cellular approximation. But how do you compute the remaining homotopy groups? The answer is given by Hilton's Theorem. After introducing the trick, I explain Hilton's theorem and how to implement it to calculate the homotopy groups of a wedge sum of spheres in terms of the homotopy groups of spheres.

Consider the space  $S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k}$ . As  $A \vee B$  and  $B \vee A$  are homotopy equivalent, we can (and will) assume  $m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_k$ .

If  $m_1 = 0$ , then  $\pi_0(S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k}) = \pi_0(S^{m_2} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k}) \oplus \pi_0(S^{m_2} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k})$  and for  $n > 0$ ,  $\pi_n(S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k}) = \pi_n(S^{m_2} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k})$ . From now on we will assume that  $m_1 > 0$  (and hence  $m_i > 0$  for all  $i$ ).

## CELLULAR APPROXIMATION

If  $X$  is an  $p$ -dimensional CW complex and  $Y$  is a CW complex, then by cellular approximation  $[X, Y] = [X, Y^{(p+1)}]$  where  $Y^{(p+1)}$  denotes the  $(p+1)$ -skeleton of  $Y$ . If  $X$  and  $Y$  are also pointed, then the same is true of the pointed homotopy classes; in particular,  $\pi_p(Y) = \pi_k(Y^{(p+1)})$ .

Returning to the problem at hand, note that  $S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k}$  is a subcomplex of  $S^{m_1} \times \dots \times S^{m_k}$  – the latter is obtained from the former by attaching cells of dimension at least  $m_1 + m_2$ . However,  $S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k}$  need not be the  $(m_1 + m_2 - 1)$ -skeleton of  $S^{m_1} \times \dots \times S^{m_k}$  as it may contain cells of dimension greater than  $m_1 + m_2 - 1$  (e.g. the 4-skeleton of  $S^2 \times S^3 \times S^5$  is  $S^2 \vee S^3$ , not  $S^2 \vee S^3 \vee S^5$ ). However,  $S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k}$  and  $S^{m_1} \times \dots \times S^{m_k}$  have the same  $(m_1 + m_2 - 1)$ -skeleton, namely  $S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_a}$  where  $a$  is such that  $m_a \leq m_1 + m_2 - 1 < m_{a+1}$ . Therefore, for any  $n < m_1 + m_2 - 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_n(S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k}) &= \pi_n(S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_a}) \\ &= \pi_n(S^{m_1} \times \dots \times S^{m_k}) \\ &= \pi_n(S^{m_1}) \oplus \dots \oplus \pi_n(S^{m_k}). \end{aligned}$$

As  $m_1 + m_2 - 1 < m_{a+1} \leq \dots \leq m_k$ ,  $\pi_n(S^{m_{a+1}}) = \dots = \pi_n(S^{m_k}) = 0$  so we can also express the above result as

$$\pi_n(S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k}) = \pi_n(S^{m_1}) \oplus \dots \oplus \pi_n(S^{m_a}).$$

## HILTON'S THEOREM

Before introducing Hilton's Theorem, we need to make one further reduction.

If  $m_1 = \dots = m_b = 1$  and  $m_{b+1}, \dots, m_k > 1$ , the Seifert-van Kampen Theorem shows that  $\pi_1(S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k}) \cong F_b$ , the free group on  $b$  generators. The higher homotopy groups are isomorphic to the higher homotopy groups of the universal cover which is homotopy equivalent to the wedge sum of countably many copies of  $S^{m_{b+1}} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k}$ . With this in mind, we will assume from now on that  $m_1 > 1$  (and hence  $m_i > 1$  for all  $i$ ) and set  $m_i = r_i + 1$ ; note  $r_i \geq 1$ .

In order to state Hilton's Theorem, we need to introduce what he calls *basic products*.

Let  $\alpha_j$  be the positive generator of  $\pi_{m_j}(S^{m_j})$  (i.e. the homotopy class of the identity map)<sup>1</sup>. We call  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  basic products of weight one, and we order them as follows:  $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_k$ .

Now assume the basic products of weight less than  $w$  have been defined and ordered. Basic products of weight  $w$  are Whitehead products  $[a, b]$  where  $a, b$  are basic products of weights  $u$  and  $v$  respectively,  $u + v = w$ ,  $a < b$  (in the ordering), and if  $b = [c, d]$  where  $c$  and  $d$  are basic products, then  $c \leq a$ . Order the weight  $w$  elements arbitrarily among themselves and greater than all lower weight basic products. It follows that  $u \leq v$ .

**Example:** Suppose  $k = 3$ . Then there are three weight one basic products, namely  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ , which are ordered as follows:  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3$ .

The weight two basic products are  $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2], [\alpha_1, \alpha_3], [\alpha_2, \alpha_3]$ . We choose to extend the order as follows:  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < [\alpha_1, \alpha_2] < [\alpha_1, \alpha_3] < [\alpha_2, \alpha_3]$ .

The weight three basic products are  $[\alpha_1, [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]], [\alpha_1, [\alpha_1, \alpha_3]], [\alpha_2, [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]], [\alpha_2, [\alpha_1, \alpha_3]], [\alpha_2, [\alpha_2, \alpha_3]], [\alpha_3, [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]], [\alpha_3, [\alpha_1, \alpha_3]], [\alpha_3, [\alpha_2, \alpha_3]]$ . One possible ordering is

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < [\alpha_1, \alpha_2] < [\alpha_1, \alpha_3] < [\alpha_2, \alpha_3] < [\alpha_1, [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]] < [\alpha_1, [\alpha_1, \alpha_3]] < [\alpha_2, [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]] \\ < [\alpha_2, [\alpha_1, \alpha_3]] < [\alpha_2, [\alpha_2, \alpha_3]] < [\alpha_3, [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]] < [\alpha_3, [\alpha_1, \alpha_3]] < [\alpha_3, [\alpha_2, \alpha_3]]. \end{aligned}$$

Note, the ordering on the weight two basic products played no role in determining the basic products of weight three. However, they do now play a role in determining the basic products of weight four. For example,  $[[\alpha_1, \alpha_2], [\alpha_1, \alpha_3]]$  is a basic product of weight four but  $[[\alpha_1, \alpha_3], [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]]$  is not; this is because we chose an order in which  $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] < [\alpha_1, \alpha_3]$ . Had we chosen to extend the order to basic products of weight two in such a way that  $[\alpha_1, \alpha_3] < [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$ , then  $[[\alpha_1, \alpha_3], [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]]$  would be a basic product of weight four but  $[[\alpha_1, \alpha_2], [\alpha_1, \alpha_3]]$  would not be. In general, the ordering of the elements of weight  $k$  only affects the basic products of weight  $2k$  and above.

Any basic product  $p$  of weight  $w$  is a string of symbols  $\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_w}$  suitably bracketed. Let  $w_j$  be the number of occurrences of  $\alpha_j$  in the string representing  $p$ . The *height* of  $p$  is defined to be  $q = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i w_i$ .

Let  $\{p_s\}$  be the sequence of basic products written in increasing order, and denote the height of  $p_s$  by  $q_s$ . Then Hilton's Theorem [1] states that there is an isomorphism

$$\pi_n(S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi_n(S^{q_i+1}).$$

There were choices involved in the definition of basic products (namely the orderings of the weight  $w$  basic products for  $w \geq 2$ ). It turns out that had we made different choices, the only difference is that the direct summands are reordered. More precisely, by a theorem of Witt [2], the number of basic products involving  $w_j$  copies of  $\alpha_j$ , which necessarily have weight  $w = w_1 + \dots + w_k$ , is given by

$$A(w_1, \dots, w_k) = \frac{1}{w} \sum_{d|w_j} \frac{\mu(d)(w/d)!}{(w_1/d)! \dots (w_k/d)!}$$

where  $\mu$  denotes the Möbius function defined on the positive integers by

$$\mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & d \text{ is square-free with an even number of prime factors} \\ -1 & d \text{ is square-free with an odd number of prime factors} \\ 0 & d \text{ has a squared prime factor.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that for any  $q$ , the number of direct summands of the form  $\pi_n(S^{q+1})$  is independent of the choices made. For the purposes of calculation, it is useful to note that  $A(w_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, w_{\sigma(k)}) = A(w_1, \dots, w_k)$  for all  $\sigma \in S_k$ .

<sup>1</sup>Note that Hilton uses the notation  $\iota_j$  instead of  $\alpha_j$ .

We can calculate  $\pi_n(S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k})$  as follows: for each  $q$ , find the sum of all  $A(w_1, \dots, w_k)$  for which  $\sum_{i=1}^k r_i w_i = q$ ; call it  $c_{q+1}$  (this is the number of direct summands of the form  $\pi_n(S^{q+1})$ ). Therefore we have

$$\pi_n(S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k}) \cong \bigoplus_{q=1}^{\infty} \pi_n(S^{q+1})^{\oplus c_{q+1}} = \bigoplus_{q=2}^{\infty} \pi_n(S^q)^{c_q}.$$

Furthermore,  $\pi_n(S^q) = 0$  for  $q > n$ , so we only need to consider  $q \leq n$  and hence

$$\pi_n(S^{m_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{m_k}) \cong \bigoplus_{q=2}^n \pi_n(S^q)^{c_q}.$$

If  $n < m_1 + m_2 - 1$ , this agrees with the expression we found earlier. To see this, note that for  $2 \leq q < m_1 + m_2 - 1$ , any solution of the equation  $(m_1 - 1)w_1 + \dots + (m_k - 1)w_k = q - 1$  must be of the form  $w_i = 1$  for some  $i$  with  $m_i = q$  and zero for all other  $m_j$ . This solution corresponds to a unique basic product of weight one, namely  $\alpha_i$ . So we see that  $c_q$  is equal to the number of spheres in the wedge product of dimension  $q$  and hence recover the previous result.

**Example:** Suppose we want to calculate the homotopy groups of  $S^3 \vee S^4 \vee S^5 = S^{2+1} \vee S^{3+1} \vee S^{4+1}$ . For  $n < 3 + 4 - 1 = 6$  we have  $\pi_n(S^3 \vee S^4 \vee S^5) = \pi_n(S^3) \oplus \pi_n(S^4) \oplus \pi_n(S^5)$ .

For  $n = 6$  we want to find the solutions of the equation  $2w_1 + 3w_2 + 4w_3 = 5$ . The only solution is  $(1, 1, 0)$  and the only basic product involving  $\alpha_1$  once and  $\alpha_2$  once is  $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$ , so  $c_6 = A(1, 1, 0) = 1$  and therefore

$$\pi_6(S^3 \vee S^4 \vee S^5) \cong \pi_6(S^3) \oplus \pi_6(S^4) \oplus \pi_6(S^5) \oplus \pi_6(S^6).$$

For  $n = 7$  the equation of interest is  $2w_1 + 3w_2 + 4w_3 = 6$ . The solutions are  $(3, 0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 2, 0)$ , and  $(1, 0, 1)$ . There are no basic products involving  $\alpha_1$  three times but no  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$ . Let's check with the formula

$$A(3, 0, 0) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{d|w_i} \frac{\mu(d)(3/d)!}{(3/d)!0!0!} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{d|w_i} \mu(d) = \frac{1}{3}(\mu(1) + \mu(3)) = \frac{1}{3}(1 - 1) = 0$$

Likewise, there are no basic products with  $\alpha_2$  twice, but no  $\alpha_1$  or  $\alpha_3$  (note,  $[\alpha_2, \alpha_2]$  is not a basic product), so  $A(0, 2, 0) = 0$ . Finally, there is only one basic product with  $(w_1, w_2, w_3) = (1, 0, 1)$ , namely  $[\alpha_1, \alpha_3]$ . Therefore,  $c_7 = A(3, 0, 0) + A(0, 2, 0) + A(1, 0, 1) = 1$ , so

$$\pi_7(S^3 \vee S^4 \vee S^5) \cong \pi_7(S^3) \oplus \pi_7(S^4) \oplus \pi_7(S^5) \oplus \pi_7(S^6) \oplus \pi_7(S^7).$$

Here is a table of the relevant information for the next few values of  $n$ :

$n$	solutions of $2w_1 + 3w_2 + 4w_3 = n - 1$	$A$	$c_n$
8	(2, 1, 0) (0, 1, 1)	$A(2, 1, 0) = 1$ $A(0, 1, 1) = 1$	$1 + 1 = 2$
9	(4, 0, 0) (2, 0, 1) (1, 2, 0) (0, 0, 2)	$A(4, 0, 0) = 0$ $A(2, 0, 1) = 1$ $A(1, 2, 0) = 1$ $A(0, 0, 2) = 0$	$0 + 1 + 1 + 0 = 2$
10	(3, 1, 0) (1, 1, 1) (0, 3, 0)	$A(3, 1, 0) = 1$ $A(1, 1, 1) = 2$ $A(0, 3, 0) = 0$	$1 + 2 + 0 = 3$
11	(5, 0, 0) (3, 0, 1) (2, 2, 0) (1, 0, 2) (0, 2, 1)	$A(5, 0, 0) = 0$ $A(3, 0, 1) = 1$ $A(2, 2, 0) = 1$ $A(1, 0, 2) = 1$ $A(0, 2, 1) = 1$	$0 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 4$
12	(4, 1, 0) (2, 1, 1) (1, 2, 0) (0, 1, 2)	$A(4, 1, 0) = 1$ $A(2, 1, 1) = 3$ $A(1, 2, 0) = 1$ $A(0, 1, 2) = 1$	$1 + 3 + 1 + 1 = 6$

So, using our knowledge of the homotopy groups of spheres, we see for example that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{12}(S^3 \vee S^4 \vee S^5) \\
& \cong \pi_{12}(S^3) \oplus \pi_{12}(S^4) \oplus \pi_{12}(S^5) \oplus \pi_{12}(S^6) \oplus \pi_{12}(S^7) \oplus \pi_{12}(S^8)^2 \oplus \pi_{12}(S^9)^2 \oplus \pi_{12}(S^{10})^3 \\
& \quad \oplus \pi_{12}(S^{11})^4 \oplus \pi_{12}(S^{12})^6 \\
& \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{30} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus 0 \oplus 0^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{24}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^6 \\
& \cong \mathbb{Z}^6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{30} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{24}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{11} \\
& \cong \mathbb{Z}^6 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3)^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{11} \\
& \cong \mathbb{Z}^6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{12}
\end{aligned}$$

In this example, every sphere of dimension greater than  $m_1 + m_2 - 1$  occurs at least once. This is not always the case. For example, consider  $S^3 \vee S^5$ . As the expression  $2w_1 + 4w_2$  is never odd,  $c_q = 0$  for  $q$  even, i.e. only homotopy groups of odd-dimensional spheres appear as direct summands. More generally, if the greatest common divisor of  $r_1, \dots, r_k$  is  $r$ , then  $c_q = 0$  if  $r \nmid q$ . Even if the relevant equation has solutions for a given  $q$ , there may not be any corresponding basic products. For example,  $S^3 \vee S^4$ . The equation  $2w_1 + 3w_2 = 4$  has a unique solution, namely  $(2, 0)$ , but there are no basic products with two  $\alpha_1$  and no  $\alpha_2$ , so  $\pi_n(S^5)$  does not appear as a direct summand of  $\pi_n(S^3 \vee S^4)$ .

Here is some pseudocode for calculating the values of  $c_q$

- Enter  $n$ .
- Enter  $m_1, \dots, m_k$ .
- Reorder  $m_i$  in increasing order to get  $m'_i$
- Set  $r_i = m'_i - 1$ .
- For  $q = 2, \dots, \min(r_1 + r_2, n)$ , set  $c_q$  to be the number of elements of  $[r_i]$  equal to  $q - 1$ .
- For  $q = r_1 + r_2 + 1, \dots, n$ 
  - Calculate non-negative integer solutions of  $[r_i]^T \mathbf{w} = q - 1$
  - For each solution  $\mathbf{w}$ , calculate (using Witt's Theorem)  $A(\mathbf{w})$ .

- Set  $c_q = \text{sum of } A(\mathbf{w})$
- Output  $[c_q]$

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Hilton, *On the homotopy groups of the union of spheres*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 30 (1955), pp. 154–172.
- [2] E. Witt, *Treue Darstellung Liescher Ringe*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 177 (1937), pp. 152–160.