

# DILATION THEORY: SOLUTIONS TO ASSIGNMENT 1

THANKS TO MICHAEL HARTZ

## PROBLEM 1

(a) For  $a = (a_n) \in \ell_1(\mathbb{Z})$ , define

$$f_a(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{T}).$$

Note that the series converges absolutely and uniformly on  $\mathbb{T}$ , so  $f_a$  is continuous. Moreover,  $a_n$  is the  $n$ th Fourier coefficient of  $f_a$ . Therefore, we obtain a map

$$\Phi : \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{T}), \quad a \mapsto f_a,$$

which is obviously linear and an isometric isomorphism by definition of  $\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{T})$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{T})$  is a Banach space. Since  $\ell_1(\mathbb{Z})$  is a Banach algebra under involution, it suffices to show that  $\Phi$  is multiplicative. To this end, let  $a = (a_n)$  and  $b = (b_n)$  be sequences in  $\ell_1(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then for all  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(a * b)(z) &= \Phi\left(\left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k b_{n-k}\right)_n\right)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k b_{n-k}\right) z^n \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n\right) \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n z^n\right) \\ &= \Phi(a)(z) \Phi(b)(z), \end{aligned}$$

where the Cauchy product is justified due to absolute convergence of the sums. Consequently,  $\ell_1(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{T})$  are isometrically isomorphic as Banach algebras.

(b) We claim that the maximal ideal space  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}$  is homeomorphic to the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ . Suppose that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}$  and let  $\lambda = \varphi(z)$ . Since characters are contractive, and since  $\|z\|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$ , we have  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ . On the other hand, we observe that  $\|z^{-1}\| = 1$ , so that  $|\varphi(z^{-1})| \leq 1$ , and hence

$$1 = |\varphi(z z^{-1})| = |\lambda| |\varphi(z^{-1})| \leq |\lambda| \leq 1.$$

Therefore,  $|\lambda| = 1$ , so that we may define a map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi(z).$$

By definition of the weak\* topology, this map is continuous. Moreover, since  $\mathbb{A}$  is a function algebra on  $\mathbb{T}$ , any point  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  gives rise to an evaluation functional  $\delta_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}$ . Clearly,  $\Phi(\delta_\lambda) = \lambda$ , so that  $\Phi$  is surjective.

We finish the proof by showing that  $\Phi$  is injective. This is enough, because every continuous bijection between compact Hausdorff spaces is a homeomorphism. So let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be characters on  $\mathbb{A}$  satisfying  $\varphi(z) = \psi(z)$ . It follows that

$$\varphi(z^k) = \psi(z^k) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0.$$

From this identity and from

$$\varphi(z^k) \varphi(z^{-k}) = \varphi(1) = 1 = \psi(1) = \psi(z^k) \psi(z^{-k}),$$

we deduce that the identity also holds for negative exponents  $k$ , so that  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  agree on all trigonometric polynomials. Since these form a dense subspace of  $\mathbb{A}$ , we have  $\varphi = \psi$ , as desired.

(c) If  $f \in \mathbb{A}$  has no zeros, then by part (b), we have

$$0 \notin \{\varphi(f) : \varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}\}.$$

Gelfand theory tells us this set is the spectrum of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{A}$ , so that  $f$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{A}$ . Since  $\mathbb{A}$  is a function algebra, this inverse is necessarily  $1/f$ , thus  $1/f \in \mathbb{A}$ .

PROBLEM 2

(a) The assumption on  $f$  implies in particular that  $\varphi \circ f$  is continuous on the compact set  $D$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{X}'$ . Thus, the set  $f(D)$  is weakly bounded in  $\mathcal{X}$ , so by the uniform boundedness principle, it is norm-bounded.

(b) Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{X}'$  with  $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$ . Cauchy's integral formula, applied to the analytic function  $\varphi \circ f$ , yields for  $0 < |h| < r$  the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h}(\varphi(f(z_0 + h)) - \varphi(f(z_0))) &= \frac{1}{h} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{(\varphi \circ f)(\zeta)}{\zeta - (z_0 + h)} - \frac{(\varphi \circ f)(\zeta)}{\zeta - z_0} d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{(\varphi \circ f)(\zeta)}{(\zeta - (z_0 + h))(\zeta - z_0)} d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Cauchy's integral formula for the first derivate shows that

$$(\varphi \circ f)'(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{(\varphi \circ f)(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_0)^2} d\zeta.$$

By (a), there is constant  $M \geq 0$  such that  $\|f(z)\| \leq M$  for all  $z \in D$ , so that combining the last two identities, we obtain for  $0 < h < r/2$  the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{h}(\varphi(f(z_0 + h)) - \varphi(f(z_0))) - (\varphi \circ f)'(z_0) \right| \\ &\leq r \sup_{\zeta \in \partial D} \left| \frac{(\varphi \circ f)(\zeta)}{(\zeta - (z_0 + h))(\zeta - z_0)} - \frac{(\varphi \circ f)(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_0)^2} \right| \\ &\leq rM \sup_{\zeta \in \partial D} \left| \frac{h}{(\zeta - (z_0 + h))(\zeta - z_0)^2} \right| \leq \frac{2M}{r^2} |h|, \end{aligned}$$

and the last quantity, which does not involve  $\varphi$ , clearly tends to 0 as  $h$  goes to 0.

(c) For  $0 < |h| < r/2$ , let

$$d_h = \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h}.$$

Part (b) implies that the net  $(\varphi(d_h))_{0 < |h| < r}$  is Cauchy uniformly for  $\varphi \in B_{\mathcal{X}'}$ . An application of the Hahn-Banach theorem therefore shows that the net  $(d_h)_{0 < |h| < r/2}$  is Cauchy in the Banach space  $\mathcal{X}$  and therefore convergent. By definition, this means that  $f$  is differentiable at  $z_0$ . A fortiori, it is continuous and thus, by the theory of vector valued Riemann integrals, Riemann integrable.

(d) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a rectifiable contour in  $\Omega$  which is homologous to zero and let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{X}'$ . Expressing the Riemann integral as a limit of Riemann sums, we see that

$$\varphi\left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz\right) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} (\varphi \circ f)(z) dz.$$

By the scalar-valued version of Cauchy's theorem, the last integral equals zero, as the assumption on  $f$  clearly implies that  $\varphi \circ f$  is holomorphic. Therefore, by the Hahn-Banach theorem,  $\int_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz = 0$ .

PROBLEM 3

(a) We define a function

$$f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \quad z \mapsto \exp(zN^*)X \exp(-zN^*).$$

It is easy to see that the product of two  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ -valued analytic functions is analytic, so that  $f$  is entire. Since  $N$  belongs to the commutant of  $X$ , which is a closed subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the operator  $\exp(\bar{z}N)$  also commutes with  $X$  for each  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Moreover, since  $\bar{z}N$  and  $-\bar{z}N$  commute, we have

$$\exp(-\bar{z}N) \exp(\bar{z}N) = \exp(0) = 1.$$

From this, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \exp(zN^*)X \exp(-zN^*) = \exp(zN^*) \exp(-\bar{z}N)X \exp(\bar{z}N) \exp(-zN^*) \\ &= \exp(zN^* - \bar{z}N)X \exp(\bar{z}N - zN^*), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step, we have used that  $N$  commutes with  $N^*$ . Observe that

$$zN^* - \bar{z}N = 2i \left( \frac{zN^* - \bar{z}N}{2i} \right) = 2i \operatorname{Im}(zN^*)$$

and that  $\operatorname{Im}(zN^*)$  is hermitian. Consequently,  $\exp(zN^* - \bar{z}N)$  is unitary, and in particular,

$$\|\exp(zN^* - \bar{z}N)\| \leq 1.$$

The same reasoning applies to  $\exp(\bar{z}N - zN^*)$ , so that

$$\|f(z)\| \leq \|\exp(zN^* - \bar{z}N)\| \|X\| \|\exp(\bar{z}N - zN^*)\| \leq \|X\|.$$

This means that  $f$  is a bounded entire function. For each  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}'$ ,  $\phi \circ f$  is a bounded scalar valued entire function. Thus by Liouville's theorem, each  $\phi \circ f$  is constant. By the Hahn-Banach theorem,  $f$  is constant. Hence,

$$X = f(0) = f(z) = \exp(zN^*)X \exp(-zN^*)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , that is,

$$X \exp(zN^*) = \exp(zN^*)X.$$

Expanding both sides in a power series, we conclude that the coefficients of  $z$  must agree (again, by the corresponding scalar-valued fact and the Hahn-Banach theorem), so that

$$XN^* = N^*X.$$

(b) Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_i, N_i^* : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ . By assumption and by Fuglede's theorem,  $\mathcal{N}$  is a self-adjoint set of pairwise commuting operators. Polynomials in these operators thus forms a commutative  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Hence the norm closure, namely  $C^*(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{A}$ , is commutative.

For the second part, consider the map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{A}} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sigma(N_i), \quad \varphi \mapsto (\varphi(N_i))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}.$$

It is clear that  $\Phi$  takes its range in the product of the spectra, and that  $\Phi$  is continuous. Moreover, since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is generated by  $N_i$ , and since the characters on  $\mathfrak{A}$  are  $*$ -homomorphisms, each character is uniquely determined by its values on the  $N_i$ , so that  $\Phi$  is injective. Thus, being a continuous injective map from a compact space into a Hausdorff space,  $\Phi$  is a topological embedding. In particular,  $X = \Phi(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{A}})$  is compact. Finally, note that the image of  $\pi_i \circ \Phi$  is the set

$$\{\varphi(N_i) : \varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{A}}\} = \sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}(N_i)$$

by Gelfand theory. Since  $C^*$ -algebras are inverse closed, the last set equals  $\sigma(N_i)$ .

(c) If  $\mathfrak{A}$  denotes the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $U_1, \dots, U_n$ , then by part (b),  $\mathfrak{A}$  is commutative and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{A}}$  can be identified with a compact subset  $X$  of  $\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma(U_i)$ . Since the spectrum of unitaries is contained in the unit circle, we may regard  $\mathfrak{A}$  as a compact subset of  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . Thus, composing the map  $\rho : C(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow C(X)$  given by restriction to  $X$  with the inverse of the Gelfand transformation,  $\Gamma^{-1}$  of  $C(X)$  onto  $\mathfrak{A}$ , we obtain a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\Gamma^{-1}\rho : C(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{A}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Observe that the coordinate function  $z_i$  restricts to  $\pi_i$  on  $\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma(U_i)$ , which corresponds to the Gelfand transform  $\widehat{U}_i$  by the identification from part (b). Thus,  $\rho(z_i) = U_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , so that

$$\rho(f) = f(U_1, \dots, U_n)$$

holds for all polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ , and for arbitrary functions  $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ , we may define  $f(U_1, \dots, U_n)$  to be  $\rho(f)$ . Since  $*$ -homomorphisms are contractive, we conclude that

$$\|p(U_1, \dots, U_n)\| \leq \|p\|_{\infty, \mathbb{T}^n}$$

holds for all polynomials  $p$ , as asserted.