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WINTER 2020

## Summary

We did our first online class today. The quality was much worse than in our test last week, so we'll try different software next time. I'll make the summary more complete on account of the change of medium.
$\Delta$ and $S$ in other bases. First we discussed $\Delta$ in other bases, you got into groups and worked out

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta\left(e_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} e_{k} \otimes e_{n-k} \quad \text { using } e_{n}=m_{(\underbrace{1,1,1, \ldots, 1)}_{n \text { times }}} \\
& \Delta\left(p_{n}\right)=p_{n} \otimes 1+1 \otimes p_{n} \quad \text { using } p_{n}=m_{(n)} \\
& \Delta\left(h_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} h_{n-k} \otimes h_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last one is hardest to see from the monomial symmetric functions and later in the class we'll see a nicer way to get it.

We know Sym has an antipode since it is graded and connected, and we have the usual recursive formula

$$
S(f)=-f-\sum_{\substack{(f) \\ \text { nonprimitive part }}} S\left(f_{1}\right) f_{2}
$$

From this we can compute directly

$$
S\left(p_{n}\right)=-p_{n}
$$

The others take a little more work so we made a proposition
Proposition 1.

$$
S\left(e_{n}\right)=(-1)^{n} h_{n}
$$

Proof. Apply the usual recursive formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(e_{n}\right) & =-e_{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S\left(e_{i}\right) e_{n-i} \\
& =-e_{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{i} h_{i} e_{n-i} \quad \text { inductively } \\
& =-e_{n}+e_{n}+(-1)^{n} h_{n} \quad \text { by claim below } \\
& =(-1)^{n} h_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we needed the claim which some of you may know

$$
\text { Claim: } \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i} h_{i} e_{n-i}= \begin{cases}0 & n>0 \\ 1 & n=0\end{cases}
$$

The proof of the claim is by generating series. Let $H(t)=\sum_{n \geq 0} h_{n} t^{n}$ and $E(t)=\sum_{n \geq 0} e_{n} t^{n}$ then by usual generating function stuff

$$
H(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x_{i} t} \quad \text { and } \quad E(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(1+x_{i} t\right)
$$

and so $H(-t) E(t)=1$. Extracting coefficients gives the claim.
As a corollary of the proposition we get

$$
S\left(h_{n}\right)=(-1)^{n} e_{n}
$$

since $S^{2}=\mathrm{id}$ in the commutative case, and we get a quicker way to calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(h_{n}\right) & =\Delta\left(S\left((-1)^{n} e_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =(-1)^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} S\left(e_{n-i} \otimes S\left(e_{i}\right)\right. \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n} h_{n-i} \otimes h_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Sym as an incidence Hopf algebra. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of finite linear orders and let $\sim$ be poset isomorphism.

This is not yet hereditary so let $\mathcal{L}^{*}$ be finite products of elements of $\mathcal{L}$.
Then we have a hereditary family. Poset isomorphism always automatically has the properties we need, so we have a Hopf relation. Hence we have an incidence Hopf algebra.

Up to isomorphism $\mathcal{L}$ has one element of each size, call the one of size $n, \ell_{n}$. As posets these are the ladder trees, that is rootes trees where all vertices have at most 1 child.

The product is poset product. This is the free commutative product on the $\ell_{i}$.
The coproduct is $\Delta\left(\ell_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \ell_{i} \otimes \ell_{n-i}$ because the coproduct in an incidence Hopf algebra sums over intermediate $z$ and then takes initial and final segments.

So, there are two nice isomorphisms with Sym, $\ell_{i} \mapsto e_{i}$ or $\ell_{i} \mapsto h_{i}$.
Self-duality. Recall the graded dual: Given $V=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} V_{i}$ with each $V_{i}$ finite dimensional, we have the graded dual $V^{\circ}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} V_{i}^{*}$.

There is a natural inner product on Sym given by

$$
\left\langle m_{\lambda}, h_{\mu}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}1 & \lambda=\mu \\ 0 & \lambda \neq \mu\end{cases}
$$

Then we view $h_{\mu}$ as the characteristic function of $m_{\mu}$, and hence we identify $\operatorname{Sym}_{n}^{*}$ with $\mathrm{Sym}_{n}$.

Now $\mathrm{Sym}^{\circ}$ is (by things we showed before) also a Hopf algebra, the thing is to show that it is Sym itself.

Proposition 2. Sym ${ }^{\circ}=$ Sym using the identification from the previous slide. Therefore Sym is self dual.

Proof. It suffices to check that the structure coefficients match on a basis. Let's use the $m_{\lambda}$ on the Sym side and $h_{\lambda}$ on the $\mathrm{Sym}^{\circ}$ side. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Delta\left(m_{\lambda}\right), h_{\mu} \otimes h_{\nu}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sum_{\alpha \dot{\cup} \beta=\lambda} m_{\alpha} \otimes m_{\beta}, h_{\mu} \otimes h_{\nu}\right\rangle \\
& = \begin{cases}1 & \lambda=\mu \dot{\cup} \nu \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& =\left\langle m_{\lambda}, h_{\mu \dot{\cup} \nu}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle m_{\lambda}, h_{\mu} h_{\nu}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.

QSym. Consider again a function of finite degree

$$
f(x)=\sum c_{\underline{\eta}} \underline{x}^{\underline{\eta}} \in K\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right]\right]
$$

If $x_{i_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} x_{i_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}}$ and $x_{j_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} x_{j_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots x_{j_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}}$ have the same coefficient whenever $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k}$ and $j_{1}<\cdots j_{k}$, then we say $f$ is quasisymmetric.
$\operatorname{Eg} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+x_{1}^{2} x_{3}+x_{2}^{2} x_{3}+\cdots=\sum_{i<j} x_{i}^{2} x_{j}$ is quasisymmetric but not symmetric.
Let QSym be the set of quasisymmetric functions.
For $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$ a composition, define the monomial quasisymmetric function to be

$$
M_{\alpha}=\sum_{i_{1}<\cdots i_{k}} x_{i_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}}
$$

This is quasisymmetric almost directly from the definition.
Note that symmetric functions are quasisymmetric so Sym $\subseteq$ QSym.
QSym is a vector space because addition also preserves the quasisymmetry property.
The set $\left\{M_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha}$ composition gives a vector space basis of QSym so dim $\mathrm{QSym}_{n}=2^{n-1}$.
QSym as an algebra. We checked this but it didn't write very well in Bongo. What is the point? Given a monominal $m$ in $f g$ for $f, g \in$ QSym, for each way to write $m$ as a product of $m_{1} m_{2}$ with $m_{1}$ a monomial in $f$ and $m_{2}$ a monomial in $g$, then thinkng of the monomials as ordered ( $x_{1}$ before $x_{2}$ etc), then $m$ is a particular shuffle of $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$. Any monomial $m^{\prime}$ in $f g$ with the same composition of exponents can be split into $m_{1}^{\prime}$ and $m_{2}^{\prime}$ according to the same shuffle giving $m_{1}^{\prime}$ with the same composition of exponents as $m_{1}$ and similarly for $m_{2}^{\prime}$. The quasisymmetry of $f$ means the coefficients of $m_{1}$ and $m_{1}^{\prime}$ are the same and similarly for the $m_{2}$. The same holds for every way of obtaining $m$. Thus the coefficients of $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ are the same and so $f g$ is quasisymmetric.

QSym is a coalgebra with the $\Delta(f(\underline{x}))=f(\underline{y}, \underline{z})$ coproduct. It is still a composition of algebra maps so QSym is a bialgebra in the same way as Sym. QSym is graded by degree and is connected so QSym is a Hopf algebra. The inclusion Sym $\subseteq$ QSym is a Hopf algebra map.
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