

K-Theory for  $C^*$ -Algebras and for  
Topological Spaces

by

Rui Philip Xiao

A thesis  
presented to the University of Waterloo  
in fulfilment of the  
thesis requirement for the degree of  
Master of Mathematics  
in  
Pure Mathematics

Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, 2015

©Rui Philip Xiao 2015

## **AUTHOR'S DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that I am the sole author of this thesis. This is a true copy of the thesis, including any required final revisions, as accepted by my examiners.

I understand that my thesis may be made electronically available to the public.

Rui Philip Xiao

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>K-theory of C*-algebras</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Unitaries and projections</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4</b>	<b><math>K_0</math> as a functor</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>5</b>	<b><math>K_0</math> of general C*-algebras</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Functorial properties of <math>K_0</math></b>	<b>25</b>
6.1	Homotopy invariance . . . . .	26
6.2	Half- and split-exactness . . . . .	27
<b>7</b>	<b>K-theory of compact Hausdorff spaces</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>8</b>	<b><math>K^0(X) \cong K_0(C(X))</math></b>	<b>37</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>K-theory of locally compact spaces</b>	<b>44</b>
9.1	Relative and reduced K-theory . . . . .	48
<b>10</b>	<b>Functorial properties of <math>K^0</math></b>	<b>49</b>
10.1	Homotopy invariance . . . . .	49
10.2	Half-exactness of $\tilde{K}^0$ . . . . .	51
<b>11</b>	<b>What's next</b>	<b>53</b>

# 1 Introduction

The K-theory of C\*-algebras is the study of a collection of abelian groups  $K_n(A)$  that are invariants of a C\*-algebra  $A$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this paper we will focus on the group  $K_0(A)$ . The map  $K_0$  taking a C\*-algebra to an abelian group can be viewed as a covariant functor from the category of C\*-algebras to the category of abelian groups with some additional properties. We will follow [4] for this part of the theory.

The K-theory is useful in distinguishing C\*-algebras. The class of AF-algebras is completely classified by their  $K_0$  groups. In general, the  $K_0$  group is not a complete invariant for all C\*-algebras, but it is an important part of the classification program of C\*-algebras.

Topological K-theory is the “original version” of K-theory, introduced by Sir Michael Atiyah. We will follow his classical text [1]. Topological K-theory is the study of a collection of abelian groups  $K^n(X)$  that are invariants of a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . Unlike the case of C\*-algebras, the map  $K^0$  is a contravariant functor from the category of locally compact Hausdorff spaces to the category of abelian groups.

It is well-known that there is a contravariant functor mapping the category of unital C\*-algebras bijectively onto the category of compact Hausdorff spaces that reverses the direction of morphisms. We will see that  $K^0(X) \cong K_0(C(X))$  for every compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . Furthermore, the functors  $K_0$  and  $K^0$  preserve morphisms by reversing their directions. This result can be extended to non-unital C\*-algebras and locally compact Hausdorff spaces, where  $K^0(X) \cong K_0(C_0(X))$  for every locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . This correspondence is explained in [6].

The reader is assumed to be familiar with the basics of C\*-algebras and topological bundles. If one needs a review on these subjects, we recommend [2] for C\*-algebras and the introductory chapter of [6] for vector bundles.

## 2 K-theory of C\*-algebras

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra. For  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $M_{m,n}(A)$  be the set of all  $m \times n$  matrices with entries in  $A$ . If  $m = n$ , write  $M_{n,n}(A) = M_n(A)$ , then  $M_n(A)$  is a C\*-algebra with the involution  $(a^*)_{ij} = (a_{ji})^*$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$  to be the set of all projections in  $M_n(A)$ . For  $n \leq m$ , there is a natural embedding

of  $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$  into  $\mathcal{P}_m(A)$  given by

$$p \mapsto \text{Diag}(p, 0_{m-n}) = p \oplus 0_{m-n}.$$

Define  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A) = \varinjlim_n \mathcal{P}_n(A)$  as the direct limit of this inclusion. We can also think of it as  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{P}_n(A)$ .

**Note 2.3.** It might be more notationally clear to write  $p$  as an element in  $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $[p]$  denote its equivalence class in the direct limit  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ . But there are two more equivalence relations to be quotiented by later, and to save ourselves from the nested square brackets,  $p$  will denote a finite matrix as well as its equivalence class in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ , or, an  $\aleph_0 \times \aleph_0$  matrix with finitely many non-zero entries.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\sim_0$  be the relation on  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$  given by the following: for  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$  and  $q \in \mathcal{P}_m(A)$ , we say  $p \sim_0 q$  if there exists  $v \in M_{m,n}(A)$  such that  $v^*v = p$  and  $vv^* = q$ . The relation  $\sim_0$  is called the **Murray - von Neumann equivalence**.

**Remark 2.5.** A matrix  $v \in M_{m,n}(A)$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $v^*v$  and  $vv^*$  are both projections is called a partial isometry. In the special case that  $A = B(H)$  for some Hilbert space  $H$ , then  $v$  is a partial isometry if and only if it maps  $(\ker v)^\perp$  isometrically onto  $\text{im } v$ . If  $T$  is a partial isometry in  $B(H)$ , then  $TT^*$  is the projection onto  $\text{im } T$  and  $T^*T$  is the projection onto  $(\ker T)^\perp$ .

**Example 2.6.** Let  $H$  be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Since  $H \cong H \oplus H$ , there exists some  $T \in B(H \oplus H)$  such that  $T|_{H \oplus 0}$  is an isometry from  $H \oplus 0$  onto  $H \oplus H$ , and  $T|_{0 \oplus H} = 0$ . Then  $TT^* = I_{H \oplus H}$  and  $T^*T = P_{H \oplus 0}$ . Note that  $T$  can be considered as an element in  $B(H \oplus H)$  as well as an element in  $M_2(B(H))$ . In the latter case

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ T_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some  $T_1, T_2 \in B(H)$ . If we let  $S = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $SS^* = I_1 \in M_1(B(H))$  and  $S^*S = I_2 \in M_2(B(H))$ . So  $I_2 \sim_0 I_1$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, let  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$  and  $q \in \mathcal{P}_m(A)$  for some  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and suppose there exists  $v \in M_{m,n}(A)$  for which  $v^*v = p$  and  $vv^* = q$ . Then  $v = qv = vp = qvp$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w = (1 - q)v$ , then

$$w^*w = v^*(1 - q)(1 - q)v = v^*(1 - q)v = v^*v - v^*vv^*v = p - pp = 0.$$

However  $\|w\|^2 = \|w^*w\| = 0$ , which implies that  $w = 0$ . So  $0 = w = v - qv$ . This implies that  $v = qv$ . The case  $v = pv$  is proved similarly. Lastly,

$$qvp = (qv)p = vp = v. \blacksquare$$

**Proposition 2.8.** *The relation  $\sim_0$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ .*

*Proof.* It is not yet clear that  $\sim_0$  is well-defined on  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ , since  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$  is a direct limit, where  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$  can also be represented by  $p \oplus 0_k$  in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ , for any  $k \geq 0$ . We will show that  $\sim_0$  is an equivalence relation on  $\bigsqcup_{r=1}^\infty \mathcal{P}_r(A)$ , and also satisfies  $p \sim_0 p \oplus 0_k$  for  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 0$ . Then for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{P}_m(A)$  and  $k, k' \geq 0$ , have  $p \sim_0 q$  if and only if

$$p \oplus 0_k \sim_0 p \sim_0 q \sim_0 q \oplus 0_{k'}.$$

So  $\sim_0$  descends to an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ . To this end, let  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{P}_m(A)$  and  $r \in \mathcal{P}_l(A)$  for some  $l, m, n \geq 1$ .

To show  $p \sim_0 p \oplus 0_k$ , let  $v = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0_{n \times k} \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $v^*v = p$  and  $vv^* = p \oplus 0_k$ . The special case with  $k = 0$  verifies reflexivity.

Suppose there exists  $v \in M_{m,n}(A)$  such that  $v^*v = p$  and  $vv^* = q$ . Let  $w = v^* \in M_{n,m}(A)$ . We have

$$w^*w = q \text{ and } ww^* = p.$$

So  $\sim_0$  is symmetric.

Suppose  $p \sim_0 q$  and  $q \sim_0 r$ . Then there exists some  $v \in M_{m,n}(A)$  and  $u \in M_{l,m}(A)$  for which

$$v^*v = p, \quad vv^* = q, \quad u^*u = q \text{ and } uu^* = r$$

hold. Let  $z = uv$ . Using Lemma 2.7, the following computations hold.

$$z^*z = v^*u^*uv = v^*qv = v^*v = p,$$

$$zz^* = uvv^*u^* = uqu^* = r.$$

Thus  $p \sim_0 r$ , which proves transitivity.  $\blacksquare$

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $p, q$  projections in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ . We say that  $p$  and  $q$  are **mutually orthogonal** if  $pq = 0$ , written  $p \perp q$ .

**Remark 2.10.** If  $p \perp q$  then

$$qp = q^*p^* = (pq)^* = 0^* = 0,$$

so  $q \perp p$ . And also,

$$(p+q)^* = p^* + q^* = p + q$$

$$(p+q)(p+q) = pp + pq + qp + qq = pp + qq = p + q.$$

So  $p+q$  is also a projection in  $A$ .

In the special case that  $A = B(H)$  for some Hilbert space  $H$  and  $P, Q \in B(H)$  are projections, we have  $P \perp Q$  if and only if  $\text{ran } P \perp \text{ran } Q$ .

**Proposition 2.11.** Let  $p, p', q, q' \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ . Then

1.  $p \oplus q \sim_0 q \oplus p$ .
2.  $p \sim_0 p'$  and  $q \sim_0 q'$  implies  $p \oplus q \sim_0 p' \oplus q'$ .
3.  $(p \oplus q) \oplus r = p \oplus (q \oplus r)$ .
4. Suppose  $p$  and  $q$  are represented by matrices of the same size, and  $p \perp q$ , then  $p + q \sim_0 p \oplus q$ .

*Proof.* 1. Suppose  $p$  is  $n \times n$  and  $q$  is  $m \times m$ . Let  $v = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times m} & p \\ q & 0_{m \times n} \end{bmatrix}$ . Then

$$v^*v = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{m \times n} & q^* \\ p^* & 0_{n \times m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times m} & p \\ q & 0_{m \times n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q^*q & 0_{m \times n} \\ 0_{n \times m} & p^*p \end{bmatrix} = q \oplus p;$$

$$vv^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times m} & p \\ q & 0_{m \times n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0_{m \times n} & q^* \\ p^* & 0_{n \times m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} pp^* & 0_{n \times m} \\ 0_{m \times n} & qq^* \end{bmatrix} = q \oplus p.$$

So  $q \oplus p \sim_0 p \oplus q$ .

2. Suppose  $v^*v = p$ ,  $vv^* = p'$ ,  $w^*w = q$  and  $ww^* = q'$ , then

$$(v \oplus w)^*(v \oplus w) = p \oplus q$$

and

$$(v \oplus w)(v \oplus w)^* = p' \oplus q'.$$

So  $p \oplus q \sim_0 p' \oplus q'$ .

3. This is by definition.

4. Suppose  $p$  and  $q$  are of the same size and  $pq = 0$ . Let  $v = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \end{bmatrix}$ , then

$$vv^* = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix} = pp + qq = p + q,$$

$$v^*v = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} pp & pq \\ qp & qq \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{bmatrix} = p \oplus q.$$

So  $p + q \sim_0 p \oplus q$ . ■

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra. Define  $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{P}_\infty(A) / \sim_0$ . The equivalence class of  $p$  in  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is written  $[p]_{\mathcal{D}}$ . Equip  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  with an operation  $+$  by  $[p]_{\mathcal{D}} + [q]_{\mathcal{D}} = [p \oplus q]_{\mathcal{D}}$ .

**Proposition 2.13.**  $(\mathcal{D}(A), +)$  is an abelian monoid.

*Proof.* This is mostly a consequence of Proposition 2.11. Point 2 implies that the operation  $+$  is well-defined after quotienting by  $\sim_0$ . Point 3 implies that  $+$  is associative. Point 1 implies that it is commutative. So  $(\mathcal{D}(A), +)$  is an abelian semigroup. Now we claim that  $[0_1]_{\mathcal{D}}$  is the identity element (note that  $0_n \sim_0 0_m$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  by Proposition 2.8). To this end, take any  $p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ . By point 1 of Proposition 2.11 and Proposition 2.8,

$$0_1 \oplus p \sim_0 p \oplus 0_1 \sim_0 p,$$

so

$$[0_1]_{\mathcal{D}} + [p]_{\mathcal{D}} = [p]_{\mathcal{D}} + [0_1]_{\mathcal{D}} = [p]_{\mathcal{D}}. \blacksquare$$

From the abelian monoid  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  we will construct an abelian group, by a construction called the **Grothendieck completion**.

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $(S, +)$  be an abelian semigroup, then  $S \times S$  is also naturally a semigroup. Let  $\sim$  be a relation on  $S \times S$  given by  $(a_1, b_1) \sim (a_2, b_2)$  if there exists  $x \in S$  so that

$$a_1 + b_2 + x = a_2 + b_1 + x.$$

Define  $G(S) = (S \times S) / \sim$ , and equip it with the operation  $+$  by

$$[(a, b)] + [(c, d)] = [(a + c, b + d)].$$



**Proposition 2.15.** *The above construction is well-defined, and  $G(S)$  is an abelian group. Furthermore, if  $S$  is an abelian monoid with identity element  $0$ , then  $\varphi : S \rightarrow G(S)$  by  $\varphi(s) = [(s, 0)]$  is a monoid homomorphism.*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $S \times S$ . To see that  $+$  is well-defined on  $G(S)$ , let  $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \in S$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and suppose that  $(a_1, b_1) \sim (a_2, b_2)$  and  $(c_1, d_1) \sim (c_2, d_2)$ . Then there exists  $x, y \in S$  such that

$$a_1 + b_2 + x = a_2 + b_1 + x \quad \text{and} \quad c_1 + d_2 + y = c_2 + d_1 + y.$$

Then

$$(a_1 + c_1) + (b_2 + d_2) + (x + y) = (a_2 + c_2) + (b_2 + d_2) + (x + y),$$

so  $[(a_1 + c_1, b_1 + d_1)] = [(a_2 + c_2, b_2 + d_2)]$ .

Since  $+$  is associative and commutative on  $S$ , the addition induced on  $G(S)$  is associative and commutative as well. For  $a, b, c, d \in S$ , it is clear that  $[(a, a)] = [(b, b)]$ . Furthermore

$$[(c, d)] + [(a, a)] = [(c + a, d + a)] = [(c, d)].$$

So  $(a, a)$  is the identity element of  $G(S)$ . Also,

$$[(a, b)] + [(b, a)] = [(a + b, a + b)],$$

so  $[(b, a)]$  is the inverse of  $[(a, b)]$ . Hence  $G(S)$  is indeed an abelian group.

Now suppose that  $S$  is an abelian monoid with  $0$ , and  $\varphi : S \rightarrow G(S)$  by  $\varphi(s) = [(s, 0)]$ . Then it is clear that  $\varphi(a + b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$  and that  $\varphi(0)$  is the identity element of  $G(S)$ . ■

It is convenient to think of  $[(a, b)] \in G(S)$  as “ $a - b$ ”.

**Example 2.16.** 1.  $S = \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $G(\mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{Z}$ . This is the standard construction of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

2.  $S = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . For any  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,

$$a + c + \infty = \infty = b + d + \infty,$$

so  $[(a, b)] = [(c, d)]$ . Hence  $G(S) \cong \{0\}$ . This example demonstrates why we required the  $x$  in defining  $\sim$  in Definition 2.14, where  $(a_1, b_1) \sim (a_2, b_2)$

if and only if there exists  $x$  for which  $a_1 + b_2 + x = a_2 + b_1 + x$ . Suppose for instance we define another relation  $\sim_{\text{bad}}$  on  $S$  by  $(a_1, b_1) \sim_{\text{bad}} (a_2, b_2)$  if  $a_1 + b_2 = a_2 + b_1$ . For any  $a, b \in S$ , we have

$$\infty + a = \infty = b + \infty,$$

so  $(\infty, \infty) \sim_{\text{bad}} (a, b)$ . In particular,  $(1, 1) \sim_{\text{bad}} (\infty, \infty) \sim_{\text{bad}} (1, 2)$ , but clearly  $(1, 1) \not\sim_{\text{bad}} (1, 2)$ , which shows that  $\sim_{\text{bad}}$  is not an equivalence relation! This is the same problem that one runs into when asking “Surely  $\infty + \infty = \infty$ , but what is  $\infty - \infty$ ?”

Now we are ready to give the definition of the  $K_0$  group of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra.

**Definition 2.17.** Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Define  $K_0(A) = G(\mathcal{D}(A))$ . Define the map  $[\cdot]_0 : \mathcal{P}_\infty(A) \rightarrow K_0(A)$  by  $[p]_0 = \varphi([p]_{\mathcal{D}})$  where  $\varphi : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow G(\mathcal{D}(A))$  is the monoid homomorphism defined in Proposition 2.15.

**Example 2.18.** 1. Let  $A = \mathbb{C}$ . All projections in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$  are projection matrices. Take  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ . We may assume that  $p$  and  $q$  are both  $n \times n$ . Suppose  $p$  and  $q$  have the same rank  $k \leq n$ , and let  $\{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\text{ran } p$  and extend it to an orthonormal basis  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ; let  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\text{ran } q$ . Let  $v \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the matrix that takes  $z_j$  to  $w_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , and takes  $z_j$  to 0 for all  $j = k + 1, \dots, n$ . Then

$$v^*v z_j = \begin{cases} v^*w_j = z_j & : j = 1, \dots, k \\ v^*0 = 0 & : j = k + 1, \dots, n \end{cases}.$$

So  $v^*v$  is the projection onto  $\text{ran } p$ , hence  $v^*v = p$ . Similarly,  $vv^* = q$ , so  $p \sim_0 q$ .

Conversely suppose  $p \sim_0 q$ . Then there exists a matrix  $v$  for which  $v^*v = p$  and  $vv^* = q$ . Since row rank and column rank coincide, we have

$$\text{rank } p = \text{rank } v^*v = \text{rank } vv^* = \text{rank } q.$$

Hence  $p \sim_0 q$  if and only if  $p$  and  $q$  have the same rank. Furthermore it is clear that  $\text{rank } p + \text{rank } q = \text{rank}(p \oplus q)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore

$K_0(\mathbb{C}) \cong G(\mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

2. Let  $A = M_m(\mathbb{C})$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra  $M_n(A)$  is naturally a subalgebra of  $M_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ , and the rank argument from above works just as well. Hence  $K_0(M_m(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

3. Let  $A = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. The same rank argument works since every two Hilbert spaces of the same dimension are isometric. So projections in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$  are once again determined up to Murray - von Neumann equivalence by their dimensions, and  $\mathcal{D}(A) \cong \{\dim p : p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is infinite dimensional,  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  has a largest element  $\alpha_0 = \dim \mathcal{H}$  since  $\dim(\mathcal{H}^n) = \dim \mathcal{H}$  for all finite  $n$ , and  $\alpha_0 + \alpha = \alpha_0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ . So by the same argument in part 2 of Example 2.16, have  $K_0(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) = G(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))) = 0$ .

To summarize,

$$K_0(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & : \dim \mathcal{H} < \aleph_0 \\ 0 & : \dim \mathcal{H} \geq \aleph_0 \end{cases}$$

### 3 Unitaries and projections

In this section we develop some properties of unitary and projection elements in a  $C^*$ -algebra. These will be necessary for exploring meaningful properties of the  $K_0$ -group of  $C^*$ -algebras.

From here on  $\tilde{A}$  denotes the unitization of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . For more information on unitization, see [2].

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $x, y \in X$ . Say  $x$  and  $y$  are **homotopy equivalent** in  $X$ , written  $x \sim_h y$ , if there exists a continuous path  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  such that  $\alpha(0) = x$  and  $\alpha(1) = y$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, and  $a, b \in A$ . We say  $a$  is **unitarily equivalent** to  $b$ , written  $a \sim_u b$ , if there exists a unitary  $u \in \tilde{A}$  such that  $uau^* = b$ . It is clear that these are equivalence relations.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, define  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  to be the group of unitary elements in  $A$ , and define  $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$  to be all  $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u \sim_h 1$ . That is,  $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$  is the path-connected component of 1 in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$ .

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $a \in A$ . The spectrum  $\sigma(a)$  of  $a$  is defined to be

$$\sigma(a) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda 1 \text{ is not invertible in } A\}.$$

The general theory of spectrum and of continuous functional calculus can be found in [2].

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ . If  $\sigma(u) \neq \mathbb{T}$ , then  $u \in \mathcal{U}_0(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\sigma(u) \neq \mathbb{T}$ . Let  $w \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(u)$  and let  $\log_w : \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the branch of logarithm that avoids the ray containing  $w$ . Then  $\exp(\log_w(z)) = z$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{w\} \supseteq \sigma(u)$ , so  $\exp(\log_w(u)) = u$ . Let  $h = \log_w(u)$ , then

$$\sigma(h) \subseteq \log_w(\mathbb{T} \setminus w) \subseteq i\mathbb{R}.$$

For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , let  $h_t = th$ . Clearly  $\sigma(th) \subseteq i\mathbb{R}$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , so  $\sigma(\exp(th)) \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , which implies that  $\exp(th)$  is unitary for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Furthermore the map  $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$  mapping  $\beta(t) = \exp(th)$  is a continuous path of unitaries from  $1_A \in A$  to  $u \in A$ . Hence  $u \in \mathcal{U}_0(A)$ . ■

**Lemma 3.6** (Whitehead). *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ . Then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix} \sim_h \begin{bmatrix} uv & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim_h \begin{bmatrix} vu & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim_h \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{bmatrix} \text{ in } \mathcal{U}(M_2(A)).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  has spectrum  $\{\pm 1\}$ , by Lemma 3.5 have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim_h \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_0(M_2(A))$  be a path from  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  to  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Define  $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(A)$  by

$$\beta(t) = \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \alpha(t) \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \alpha(t).$$

Since for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\beta(t)$  is the product of four unitaries, so  $\beta$  is in fact a path in  $\mathcal{U}(M_2(A))$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(0) &= \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & u \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\beta(1) = \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} uv & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim_h \begin{bmatrix} uv & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By symmetry and transitivity, it is only left to prove that

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix} \sim_h \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{bmatrix}.$$

This can be accomplished by defining the path

$$\gamma(t) = \alpha(t) \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix} \alpha(t). \blacksquare$$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ , then  $\begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{U}_0(M_2(A))$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.6,

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix} \sim_h \begin{bmatrix} uu^* & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \blacksquare$$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ . If  $\|u - 1\| < 2$  then  $u = \exp(ih)$  for some self-adjoint element  $h \in A$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\|u - 1\| < 2$  then  $\sigma(u - 1) \subseteq B_2(0)$ , in particular  $-2 \notin \sigma(u - 1)$ , so  $-1 \notin \sigma(u)$ . Since  $\sigma(u) \neq \mathbb{T}$ , by the proof of Lemma 3.5,  $u = \exp(s)$  for some  $s \in A$  with  $\sigma(s) \in i\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $h = -is$ , then  $h$  is self-adjoint and  $\exp(ih) = \exp(s) = u$ . ■

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then*

$$\mathcal{U}_0(A) = \{\exp(ih_1) \dots \exp(ih_l) : l \in \mathbb{N}, h_j \in A \text{ self-adjoint}\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \mathcal{U}_0(A)$ . A continuous path from  $u$  to 1 can be partitioned into segments

$$u = u_0 \sim_h u_1 \sim_h \dots \sim_h u_k = 1$$

where  $\|u_{j-1} - u_j\| < 2$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Now apply induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 1$ ,  $\|u - 1\| < 2$ , and the result follows Lemma 3.8. Suppose the result is true for  $k = n - 1$ , and the inductive step for  $n$  has been completed. Then  $u_1 = \exp(ih_1) \dots \exp(ih_l)$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $h_j$  self-adjoint. Because  $\|u - u_1\| < 2$ , so

$$\|uu_1^* - 1\| = \|(u - u_1)u_1^*\| = \|u - u_1\| < 2.$$

By Lemma 3.8, there exists a self-adjoint element  $h_0 \in A$  such that  $uu_1^* = \exp(ih_0)$ . Then

$$u = \exp(ih_0)u_1 = \exp(ih_0) \exp(ih_1) \dots \exp(ih_l).$$

This completes the induction.

Conversely if  $h$  is self-adjoint, the proof of Lemma 3.5 implies that  $\exp(ih) \in \mathcal{U}_0(A)$ . The product of such unitaries is also homotopic to the identity. Thus all elements in  $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$  are indeed equal to finite products as in the claim. ■

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $A, B$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras,  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  a surjective  $*$ -homomorphism. Then*

1.  $\varphi(\mathcal{U}_0(A)) = \mathcal{U}_0(B)$

2. For any  $u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$ , there exists  $v \in \mathcal{U}_0(M_2(A))$  such that

$$\varphi(v) = \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix}$$

*Proof.* 1. Since  $\varphi$  takes unitaries to unitaries,  $\varphi(\mathcal{U}_0(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_0(B)$ . The converse requires some work. Let  $u \in \mathcal{U}_0(B)$ . By Proposition 3.9, there exists hermitian elements  $h_1, \dots, h_l \in B$  such that

$$u = \exp(ih_1) \exp(ih_2) \dots \exp(ih_l).$$

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_l \in A$  such that  $\varphi(t_j) = h_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, l$ , and let  $\tilde{t}_j = \frac{1}{2}(t_j + t_j^*)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, l$ . Then  $\tilde{t}_j$  are self-adjoint, and

$$\varphi(\tilde{t}_j) = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(t_j) + \varphi(t_j)^*) = \frac{1}{2}(h_j + h_j) = h_j.$$

Let

$$v = \exp(i\tilde{t}_1) \dots \exp(i\tilde{t}_l).$$

The proof of Lemma 3.5 implies that  $v \in \mathcal{U}_0(A)$ . And happily,  $\varphi(v) = u$ .

2. Let  $u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$ . By Corollary 3.7  $\begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{U}_0(M_2(B))$ . Then by part 1 there exists some  $v \in \mathcal{U}_0(M_2(A))$  such that  $\varphi(v) = u \oplus u^*$ . ■

**Definition 3.11.** Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $a \in A$ . Then  $\sigma(a^*a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , where the square root function is defined. So we may define  $|a| = (a^*a)^{1/2}$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra.

1. If  $z \in GL(A)$ , then  $|z| \in GL(A)$ , and  $w(z) := z|z|^{-1} \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ .
2. The map  $w : GL(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$  defined in 1. is continuous. And  $w(u) = u$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ .
3. If  $a, b \in GL(A)$  with  $a \sim_h b$  in  $GL(A)$ , then  $w(a) \sim_h w(b)$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$ .

*Proof.* 1. Suppose  $z$  is invertible. Then  $z^*$  is also invertible, so  $z^*z \in GL(A)$ . It follows that

$$\sigma(|z|) = \sigma((z^*z)^{1/2}) = \{t^{1/2} : t \in \sigma(z^*z)\} \not\cong 0.$$

Thus  $|z|$  is invertible.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} w(z)w(z)^* &= z|z|^{-1}(z|z|^{-1})^* = z|z|^{-1}|z|^{-1}z^* \\ &= z(z^*z)^{-1}z^* = zz^{-1}(z^*)^{-1}z^* = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly  $w(z)^*w(z) = 1$ . So  $w(z) \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ .

2. The map  $a \mapsto a^*a$  is continuous. Also inversion and multiplication are continuous in  $GL(A)$ . So to prove the claim it is sufficient to prove that  $a \mapsto a^{1/2}$  is continuous on  $A_{\geq 0}$ , where  $A_{\geq 0}$  is the set of normal elements in  $A$  with spectrum contained in  $[0, \infty)$ .

Suppose we fix  $a \in A_{\geq 0}$  and let  $U$  be a bounded open neighbourhood containing  $\sigma(a)$ . The upper-semicontinuity of spectra [5] implies that there is some  $d > 0$  such that if  $b \in A$  and  $\|b - a\| < d$  then  $\sigma(b) \subseteq U$ . Thus the problem reduces to proving that the square root map is continuous on  $\Omega_r \subseteq A_{\geq 0}$  where

$$\Omega_r = \{a \in A : a^*a = aa^*, \sigma(a) \subseteq [0, r]\}.$$

Let  $f$  denote the square root function and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, there exists a complex polynomial  $g$  such that  $\|g - f\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon/3$  on  $[0, r]$ . For  $c \in \Omega_t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(c) - g(c)\| &= \|(f - g)(c)\| \\ &= \sup\{|(f - g)(z)| : z \in \sigma(c)\} \\ &\leq \|f - g\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon/3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $g$  is continuous on  $\Omega_t$  since  $a \mapsto a^n$  is continuous. So there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|g(a) - g(b)\| < \varepsilon/3$  whenever  $a, b \in A$  with  $\|a - b\| < \delta$ . Thus when  $a, b \in \Omega_t$  with  $\|a - b\| < \delta$ , have  $\|f(a) - f(b)\| < \varepsilon$ .

3. Let  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow GL(A)$  be a continuous path from  $a$  to  $b$ . Then by part 2,  $w \circ \alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$  is a continuous path from  $w(a)$  to  $w(b)$ . ■

For an element  $z \in A$ , the form  $z = w(z)|z|$  is called the **polar decomposition** of  $z$ .



**Definition 3.13.** The relations  $\sim_u$  and  $\sim_h$  induce equivalence relations on  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$  as follows:  $p \sim_u q$ , if by representing  $p$  and  $q$  both as  $n \times n$  matrices for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a unitary element  $u \in \widetilde{M_n(A)}$  such that  $u^*pu = q$ . We say that  $p \sim_h q$  if by representing  $p$  and  $q$  both as  $n \times n$  matrices for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a path  $\alpha(t)$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$  such that  $\alpha(0) = p$  and  $\alpha(1) = q$ .

**Proposition 3.14.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $a, b \in A$  self-adjoint elements,  $z \in GL(A)$  and  $z = u|z|$  the polar decomposition of  $z$ . If  $za = bz$  then  $ua = bu$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $a$  and  $b$  are self-adjoint, take the adjoint of the equality to have  $az^* = z^*b$ . Then

$$|z|^2a = z^*za = z^*bz = az^*z = a|z|^2.$$

So  $a$  commutes with  $|z|^2$ . Consequently  $a$  commutes with  $g(|z|^2)$  for all complex polynomials  $g$ . By Stone-Weierstrass theorem, the element  $|z|^{-1} = ((|z|^2)^{1/2})^{-1}$  is the limit of a sequence of polynomials in  $|z|^2$ . Hence  $a$  commutes with  $|z|^{-1}$ . It follows that

$$uau^* = z|z|^{-1}au^* = za|z|^{-1}u^* = bz|z|^{-1}u^* = buu^* = b. \blacksquare$$

**Proposition 3.15.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ , and  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$ . Then*

1.  $p \sim_h q$  implies  $p \sim_u q$ .
2.  $p \sim_u q$  implies  $p \sim_0 q$ .
3.  $p \sim_0 q$  implies  $p \oplus 0_n \sim_u q \oplus 0_n$ .
4.  $p \sim_u q$  implies  $p \oplus 0_n \sim_h q \oplus 0_n$ .

*Proof.* 1. Let  $\alpha(t)$  be a path in  $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$  that connects  $p$  to  $q$ , then we can partition the path into segments of length less than  $1/2$ . It is now sufficient to prove that if  $\|p - q\| < 1/2$  then  $p \sim_u q$ . Let  $z = pq + (I - p)(I - q) \in \widetilde{A}$ , and  $pz = pq = zq$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - I\| &= \|pq + (I - p)(I - q) - I\| \\ &= \|pq + (I - p)(I - q) - p - (I - p)\| \\ &= \|p(q - p) + (I - p)((I - q) - (I - p))\| \\ &= \|p(q - p) + (I - p)(p - q)\| \\ &\leq \|p\|\|q - p\| + \|I - p\|\|p - q\| \\ &\leq 2\|p - q\| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $z \in GL(A)$ . Let  $z = u|z|$  be the polar decomposition of  $z$ . By Proposition 3.14,  $pu = uq$ .

2. Suppose  $p \sim_u q$ . Then there exists some unitary  $u \in \widetilde{M_n(A)}$  such that  $u^*pu = q$ . Let  $v = u^*p$ , then  $vv^* = u^*ppu = q$  and  $v^*v = puu^*p = pp = p$ . Also note that  $v = u^*p \in M_n(A)$  since  $M_n(A)$  is an ideal in  $\widetilde{M_n(A)}$ . Hence  $p \sim_0 q$ .

3. Suppose there exists  $v \in M_n(A)$  such that  $vv^* = q$  and  $v^*v = p$ . Define

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} v & 1 - q \\ 1 - p & v^* \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } w = \begin{bmatrix} q & 1 - q \\ 1 - q & q \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} u^*u &= \begin{bmatrix} v & I_n - q \\ I_n - p & v^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v^* & I_n - p \\ I_n - q & v \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} vv^* + (I_n - q) & v - vp + v - qv \\ v^* - pv^* + v^* - v^*q & (I_n - p) + v^*v \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} I_n + q - q & v - v + vv^*v - vv^*v \\ v^* - v^* + v^*vv^* - v^*vv^* & I_n - v^*v + v^*v \end{bmatrix} \\ &= I_{2n} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.7 is used to equate the second line to the third in the above equation. Similar computations show that  $uu^* = w^*w = ww^* = I_{2n}$ . So  $u, w, wu \in \mathcal{U}_{2n}(\widetilde{A})$ . And

$$\begin{aligned} wu &= \begin{bmatrix} q & I - q \\ I - q & q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v & I - q \\ I - p & v^* \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} qv + (I - q)(I - p) & q - qq + v^* - qv^* \\ v - qv + q - qp & (I - q)(I - q) + qv^* \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} v + (I - q)(I - p) & (I - q)v^* \\ q(I - p) & (I - q) + qv^* \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is an element of  $\widetilde{M_{2n}(A)}$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& wu(p \oplus 0_n)(wu)^* \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} v+(I-q)(I-p) & 0 \\ q-qp & I-q+v^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v^*+(I-p)(I-q) & q-pq \\ 0 & I-q+v \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v^*+(I-p)(I-q) & q-pq \\ 0 & I-q+v \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} vv^*+v(I-p)(I-q) & vq-vpq \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = q \oplus 0_n
\end{aligned}$$

noting that

$$v(I-p)(I-q) = (v-vv^*v)(I-q) = 0$$

and

$$vq-vpq = vvv^* - (vv^*v)vv^* = vvv^* - vvv^* = 0$$

by Lemma 2.7.

4. Suppose  $p \sim_u q$ . Then there exists unitary  $u \in \widetilde{M_n(A)}$  such that  $upu^* = q$ . By Lemma 3.6 there exists a path  $t \mapsto w_t$  in  $\mathcal{U}(M_{2n}(\widetilde{A}))$  such that

$$w_0 = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $p_t = w_t \text{Diag}(p, 0_n) w_t^*$ . Then  $p_t \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}(A)$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Furthermore,

$$p_0 = \text{Diag}(p, 0_n) \text{ and } p_1 = \begin{bmatrix} upu^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{Diag}(q, 0_n).$$

Therefore  $p \oplus 0_n \sim_h q \oplus 0_n$ . ■

## 4 $K_0$ as a functor

We will see that  $K_0$  is a contravariant functor from the category of  $C^*$ -algebras to the category of abelian groups, and that it enjoys many useful properties. Before starting the functoriality, we will first need a way to induce group homomorphisms from semigroups homomorphisms in the Grothendieck completion.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $S$  be an abelian semigroup. For any abelian group  $H$  and any semigroup homomorphism  $\rho : S \rightarrow H$ , the map  $\rho_G : G(S) \rightarrow H$  given by  $\rho_G([(s, t)]_G) = \rho(s) - \rho(t)$  for all  $(s, t) \in S \times S$  is a well-defined group homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $\rho_G$  be as defined above and let  $s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \in S$ . To see that  $\rho_G$  is well-defined, suppose that  $[(s_1, t_1)]_0 = [(s_2, t_2)]_0$ . Then there exists  $r \in S$  such that  $s_1 + t_2 + r = s_2 + t_1 + r$ , which implies that

$$\rho(s_1) + \rho(t_2) + \rho(r) = \rho(s_2) + \rho(t_1) + \rho(r).$$

But  $H$  is a group, where all elements are invertible. So

$$\rho_G([(s_1, t_1)]_G) = \rho(s_1) - \rho(t_1) = \rho(s_2) - \rho(t_2) = \rho_G([(s_2, t_2)]_G).$$

Hence  $\rho_G$  is well-defined. Now to check that  $\rho_G$  is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_G([(s_1, t_1)]_G + [(s_2, t_2)]_G) &= \rho_G([(s_1 + s_2, t_1 + t_2)]_G) \\ &= \rho(s_1 + s_2) - \rho(t_1 + t_2) \\ &= (\rho(s_1) - \rho(t_1)) + (\rho(s_2) - \rho(t_2)) \\ &= \rho_G([(s_1, t_1)]_0) + \rho_G([(s_2, t_2)]_0) \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $C^*$ -algebras, with  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  a continuous  $*$ -homomorphism, then  $\varphi$  extends naturally to a  $*$ -homomorphism  $M_n(A) \rightarrow M_n(B)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by applying  $\varphi$  entry-wise to matrix entries, i.e.  $\varphi(T)_{ij} = \varphi(T_{ij})$ . This map clearly respects matrix multiplication and involution. In the same way,  $\varphi$  extends entry-wise to  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$  and respects direct sum, and is thus a monoid homomorphism  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\infty(B)$ . Let  $\pi : \mathcal{P}_\infty(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\infty(B)/\sim_0$  be the quotient map. Then  $\pi \circ \varphi$  is a monoid homomorphism  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\infty(B)/\sim_0$ . If  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$  with  $p \sim_0 q$ , there exists some matrix  $v$  with entries in  $A$  such that  $vv^* = p$  and  $v^*v = q$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \circ \varphi(p) &= \pi(\varphi(vv^*)) = \pi(\varphi(v)\varphi(v^*)) \\ &= \pi(\varphi(v^*)\varphi(v)) = \pi(\varphi(v^*v)) \\ &= \pi \circ \varphi(q) \end{aligned}$$

So  $\pi \circ \varphi(p)$  factors into a monoid homomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)/\sim_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\infty(B)/\sim_0$  by  $\tilde{\varphi}([p]) = \pi \circ \varphi(p) (= [\varphi(p)])$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras and  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  a continuous  $*$ -homomorphism. Then there exists a group homomorphism  $K_0(\varphi) : A \rightarrow B$  satisfying  $K_0(\varphi)([p]_0) = [\varphi(p)]_0$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $K_0(A) = G(\mathcal{P}_\infty(A)/\sim_0)$ , where there is a monoid homomorphism  $[\cdot]_0 : A \rightarrow K_0(A)$ . By the previous paragraph, we have a monoid homomorphism

$$\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)/\sim_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\infty(B)/\sim_0.$$

By Proposition 4.1, let  $K_0 = \tilde{\varphi}_G$ , and let  $\iota_A, \iota_B$  be the “inclusion” from  $\mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow K_0(A)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(B) \rightarrow K_0(B)$  respectively, as in Proposition 2.14. Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\varphi)([p]_0) &= K_0(\varphi)(\iota_A([p]_{\mathcal{D}})) = \tilde{\varphi}_G([(p]_{\mathcal{D}}, [0]_{\mathcal{D}})]_G) \\ &= [(\tilde{\varphi}([p]_{\mathcal{D}}), \tilde{\varphi}([0]_{\mathcal{D}}))]_G = \iota_B \circ \tilde{\varphi}([p]_{\mathcal{D}}) \\ &= \iota_B([\varphi(p)]_{\mathcal{D}}) = [\varphi(p)]_0 \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $K_0(A) = \{[p]_0 - [q]_0 : p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)\}$ , and  $[0]_0 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Every element of  $K_0(A)$  can be written as  $[(p]_{\mathcal{D}}, [q]_{\mathcal{D}})]_G$  for some  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} [(p]_{\mathcal{D}}, [q]_{\mathcal{D}})]_G &= [(p]_{\mathcal{D}}, 0)]_G + [(0, [q]_{\mathcal{D}})]_G \\ &= [(p]_{\mathcal{D}}, 0)]_G - [(q]_{\mathcal{D}}, 0)]_G. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$[0]_0 = [(0]_{\mathcal{D}}, 0)]_G = [(0, 0)]_G = 0. \blacksquare$$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $A, B$  and  $C$  be  $C^*$ -algebras, let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\psi : B \rightarrow C$  be continuous  $*$ -homomorphisms. Then  $K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi \circ \varphi)$ . Also, let  $0$  denote the zero map between any two  $C^*$ -algebras, then  $K_0(0) = 0$ , the zero group map.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.3, every element in  $K_0(A)$  is of the form  $[p]_0 - [q]_0$  for some  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ . Computing using Proposition 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\varphi)([p]_0 - [q]_0) &= K_0(\psi)(K_0(\varphi)([p]_0) - K_0(\varphi)([q]_0)) \\ &= K_0(\psi)([\varphi(p)]_0 - [\varphi(q)]_0) \\ &= [\psi \circ \varphi(p)]_0 - [\psi \circ \varphi(q)]_0 \\ &= K_0(\psi \circ \varphi)([p]_0 - [q]_0). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$K_0(0)([p]_0 - [q]_0) = [0(p)]_0 - [0(q)]_0 = 0 - 0 = 0. \blacksquare$$

**Corollary 4.5.** *The map  $K_0$  is a (covariant) functor, with  $K_0$  on  $C^*$ -algebras defined as in Definition 2.17 and  $K_0$  on continuous  $*$ -morphisms defined as in Proposition 4.2.*

*Proof.* Simply collect the results from Propositions 4.2 and 4.4.  $\blacksquare$

## 5 $K_0$ of general $C^*$ -algebras

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, possibly non-unital. Let  $\tilde{A}$  denote the unitization of  $A$ . Then  $\tilde{A} = A \oplus \mathbb{C}I$  as a vector space, and  $\tilde{A}$  is an ideal in  $\tilde{A}$ . Let  $\iota_I, \iota_A$  be the inclusion maps from  $\mathbb{C}I$  and  $A$  into  $\tilde{A}$  respectively, and let  $\pi_I$  and  $\pi_A$  be the natural quotient maps from  $\tilde{A}$  onto  $\mathbb{C}I$  and  $A$  respectively. Both  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\mathbb{C}I$  are unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Their  $K_0$  groups are defined as in the first section. Also, the inclusion  $\iota_I$  induces a group homomorphism  $K_0(\iota_I) : K_0(\mathbb{C}I) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ .

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Define  $\overline{K_0}(A) = \ker K_0(\pi_I)$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{K_0}(A) &= \{[p]_0 - [q]_0 : p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A}), \pi_I(p) \sim_0 \pi_I(q)\} =: S_1 \\ &= \{([p]_0 - [q]_0) - ([\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0) : p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})\} =: S_2 \\ &= \{[p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0 : p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})\} =: S_3 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $g \in K_0(\tilde{A})$  and  $g \in \ker K_0(\pi_I)$ . Then there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\tilde{A})$  such that  $g = [p]_0 - [q]_0$ , and that

$$0 = K_0(\pi_I)([p]_0 - [q]_0) = [\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0.$$

So  $\pi_I(p) \sim_0 \pi_I(q)$ . Conversely suppose  $\pi_I(p) \sim_0 \pi_I(q)$ , then

$$K_0(\pi_I)([p]_0 - [q]_0) = [\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0.$$

This proves the first equality.

With the first equality in mind, suppose  $\pi_I(p) \sim_0 \pi_I(q)$ . Then

$$[p]_0 - [q]_0 = ([p]_0 - [q]_0) - ([\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0) \in S_2.$$

So  $\overline{K_0}(A) = S_1 \subseteq S_2$ . And

$$\begin{aligned} & K_0(\pi_I) (([p]_0 - [q]_0) - ([\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0)) \\ &= ([\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0) - ([\pi_I \circ \pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I \circ \pi_I(q)]_0) \\ &= ([\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0) - ([\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So  $S_2 \subseteq \overline{K_0}(A)$ , this proves the second equality.

Clearly  $S_3 \subseteq S_2$ . Take

$$g = ([p]_0 - [q]_0) - ([\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0) \in S_2.$$

Suppose  $q$  is  $n \times n$ , and let  $p' = p \oplus (I_n - q)$ . Then

$$[p']_0 = [p]_0 - [q]_0 + [I_n]_0.$$

Also

$$\pi_I(p') = \pi_I(p) \oplus (I_n - \pi_I(q)),$$

so

$$[\pi_I(p')]_0 = [\pi_I(p)]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0 + [I_n]_0.$$

Thus  $[p']_0 - [\pi_I(p')]_0 = g$ , this proves  $S_2 = S_3$ . ■

The above gives a definition for the  $K_0$  group of non-unital  $C^*$ -algebras, and defines another abelian group for a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. We need to verify that it coincides with the previous definition for the unital case.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $1_A$  denote the identity of  $A$ , and let  $\tilde{A} = A \oplus \mathbb{C}I$  as vector space. Then  $\tilde{A} \cong A \oplus \mathbb{C}J$ . The  $C^*$ -algebra  $A \oplus \mathbb{C}J$  is defined with norm  $\|a + zJ\| = \max(\|a\|, |z|)$  and involution  $(a + zJ)^* = a^* + \bar{z}J$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $\tau : A \oplus \mathbb{C}J \rightarrow \tilde{A}$  by  $a \oplus zJ \mapsto a + z(I - 1_A)$ . This is clear a vector space isomorphism and respects the involution. Lastly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau(a \oplus zJ)\tau(b \oplus wJ) \\
&= (a + z(I - 1_A))(b + w(I - 1_A)) \\
&= ab + w(aI - a1_A) + z(Ib - 1_A b) + zw(II - I1_A - 1_A I + 1_A 1_A) \\
&= ab + w(a - a) + z(b - b) + zw(I - 1_A - 1_A + 1_A) \\
&= ab + zw(I - 1_A) \\
&= \tau(ab \oplus zwJ).
\end{aligned}$$

So  $\tau$  is an isomorphism. ■

**Remark 5.4.** To gain an intuitive idea of the above lemma, consider the case of where  $A = C(X)$  is the set of continuous functions from a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  into the complex numbers. The unitization  $\widetilde{C(X)}$  is isomorphic to  $C(X \sqcup \{*\})$  (see Proposition 9.9). Let  $1_A$  denote the function that is constantly 1 on  $X$  and zero on  $*$ . Let  $1_*$  be the function that is 1 on  $*$  and constantly zero on  $X$ . Then we have

$$C(X \sqcup \{*\}) \cong C(X) \oplus C(\{*\}) \cong C(X) \oplus \mathbb{C}1_*,$$

where  $1_* = 1 - 1_A$ . The proof of the lemma imitates this idea to prove it in the non-commutative case.

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $\overline{K_0(A)} \cong K_0(A)$ .*

*Proof.* By the lemma above,  $\tilde{A} \cong A \oplus \mathbb{C}J$ . Let  $\iota_A : A \rightarrow A \oplus \mathbb{C}J$  be the natural inclusion map and  $\pi_A : A \oplus \mathbb{C}J \rightarrow A$  the quotient map. The map  $\tau : A \oplus \mathbb{C}J \rightarrow \tilde{A}$  is defined in the previous proof. Define  $\alpha : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(\tilde{A})$  by

$$[p]_0 - [q]_0 \mapsto [\tau(\iota_A(p))]_0 - [\tau(\iota_A(q))]_0.$$

In other words,  $\alpha = K_0(\tau \circ \iota_A)$ . Since  $\pi_I(\tau(\iota_A(p))) = 0 = \pi_I(\tau(\iota_A(q)))$ , the image of  $\alpha$  is indeed in  $\overline{K_0(A)}$ . Let  $\beta = K_0(\pi_A \circ \tau^{-1}) : \overline{K_0(A)} \rightarrow K_0(A)$ . Then,

$$\beta \circ \alpha = K_0(\pi_A \circ \tau^{-1} \tau \circ \iota_A) = K_0(\pi_A \circ \iota_A) = K_0(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_{K_0(A)}.$$



For  $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$  with  $\pi_I(\tilde{p}) = \pi_I(\tilde{q})$ , let  $p_1 = \tau \circ \iota_A \circ \pi_A \circ \tau^{-1}(\tilde{p})$  and  $p_2 = \tilde{p} - p_1$ . Then  $p_1 + p_2 = \tilde{p}$  and  $p_1, p_2$  are orthogonal projections. Write  $\tilde{q} = q_1 + q_2$  in the same way. Since  $\pi_I(\tilde{p}) = \pi_I(\tilde{q})$ , by the way that  $\tau$  is defined, we have that  $p_2 = q_2$ . So

$$[\tilde{p}]_0 - [\tilde{q}]_0 = ([p_1]_0 + [p_2]_0) - ([q_1]_0 + [q_2]_0) = [p_1]_0 - [q_1]_0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \circ \beta)([\tilde{p}]_0 - [\tilde{q}]_0) &= K_0(\tau \circ \iota_A \circ \pi_A \circ \tau^{-1})([\tilde{p}]_0 - [\tilde{q}]_0) \\ &= [p_1]_0 - [q_1]_0 = [\tilde{p}]_0 - [\tilde{q}]_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are mutual inverses. ■

**Definition 5.6.** Let  $A$  be a non-unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Define  $K_0(A) := \overline{K}_0(A)$ .

**Remark 5.7.** By Proposition 5.5, we can safely write  $K_0(A) = \overline{K}_0(A)$  for any unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$ .

The description  $S_3$  in Proposition 5.2 is the one will be used most often. Next is a discussion of when two elements in such description are equivalent.

**Lemma 5.8.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $v \in M_{m,n}(A)$  and  $w \in M_{n,k}(A)$  for some  $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\pi_I(vw) = \pi_I(v)\pi_I(w)$ .*

*Proof.* We compute  $\pi_I(vw)$  to be

$$\pi_I[(v - \pi_I(v))(w - \pi_I(w)) + \pi_I(v)(w - \pi_I(w)) + (v - \pi_I(v))w + \pi_I(v)\pi_I(w)]$$

Since  $A$  is an ideal in  $\tilde{A}$ , all of  $(v - \pi_I(v))(w - \pi_I(w))$ ,  $\pi_I(v)(w - \pi_I(w))$  and  $(v - \pi_I(v))w$  have entries in  $A$ , which are 0 when they are evaluated under  $\pi_I$ . So

$$\pi_I(vw) = \pi_I(\pi_I(v)\pi_I(w)) = \pi_I(v)\pi_I(w)$$

since  $\pi_I(v)\pi_I(w) \in M_{k,l}(\mathbb{C}I)$ . ■

**Lemma 5.9.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$ . Then  $p \sim_0 q$  in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$  implies  $\pi_I(p) \sim_0 \pi_I(q)$ .*

*Proof.* There exists a matrix  $v$  with entries in  $\tilde{A}$  such that  $vv^* = p$  and  $v^*v = q$ . By Lemma 5.8,

$$\pi_I(p) = \pi_I(vv^*) = \pi_I(v)\pi_I(v^*) \sim_0 \pi_I(v^*)\pi_I(v) = \pi_I(v^*v) = \pi_I(q). \blacksquare$$

**Proposition 5.10.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, and  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$ . The following are equivalent*

1.  $[p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0 = [q]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0$
2. there exists  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$  with  $p \oplus r_1 \sim_0 q \oplus r_2$
3. there exists  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p \oplus I_k \sim_0 q \oplus I_l$  in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$

*Proof.* (1  $\implies$  2) The equality  $[p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0 = [q]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0$  implies that

$$[p \oplus \pi_I(q)]_0 = [p]_0 + [\pi_I(q)]_0 = [q]_0 + [\pi_I(p)]_0 = [q \oplus \pi_I(p)]_0$$

So let  $r_1 = \pi_I(q)$  and  $r_2 = \pi_I(p)$ . This satisfies 2.

(2  $\implies$  3) Since  $r_i = \pi_I(r_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , we see that  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  can be considered as matrices in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M_m(\mathbb{C})$  respectively. Let  $k = \text{rank } r_1 \leq n$ . Let  $\{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\text{Ran } r_1 \mathbb{C}^n$ , and extend it to an orthonormal basis  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  denote the standard basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and define  $u \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  by  $uz_j = e_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $u$  is unitary since it takes an orthonormal basis to another one, and

$$ur_1u^*e_j = ur_1z_j = \begin{cases} uz_j = e_j & : j = 1, \dots, k \\ u0 = 0 & : j = k + 1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

So

$$r_1 \sim_0 ur_1u^* = I_k \oplus 0_{n-k} \sim_0 I_k.$$

By identifying  $u$  as a unitary matrix in  $M_k(\mathbb{C}I)$ , this also holds true in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$ . Similarly,  $r_2 \sim_0 I_l$  in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$  for  $l = \text{rank } r_2$ . So

$$p \oplus I_k \sim_0 p \oplus r_1 \sim_0 q \oplus r_2 \sim_0 q \oplus I_l.$$

(3  $\implies$  1) We use Lemma 5.9 here and compute

$$\begin{aligned} [p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0 &= [p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0 + [I_k]_0 - [I_k]_0 \\ &= [p \oplus I_k]_0 - [\pi_I(p) \oplus I_k]_0 \\ &= [p \oplus I_k]_0 - [\pi_I(p \oplus I_k)]_0 \\ &= [q \oplus I_l]_0 - [\pi_I(q \oplus I_l)]_0 \\ &= [q]_0 - [\pi_I(q)]_0. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The next natural step is to extend the functor  $K_0$  to all  $*$ -homomorphisms on all  $C^*$ -algebras. Let  $A, B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras. A  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  can be extended to a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\tilde{A} = A \oplus \mathbb{C}I_A \rightarrow \tilde{B} = B \oplus \mathbb{C}I_B$  by  $\tilde{\varphi}|_A = \varphi$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}(I_A) = I_B$ .

**Definition 5.11.** Let  $A, B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras,  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  a  $*$ -homomorphism. Define  $\overline{K}_0(\varphi) = K_0(\tilde{\varphi})|_{K_0(A)} : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ . Then  $\overline{K}_0(\varphi)$  is a well-defined group homomorphism.

*Proof.* Note that  $\overline{K}_0(\varphi)$  is the restriction of  $K_0(\tilde{\varphi})$  to  $K_0(A)$ . So it is a group homomorphism.  $\pi_I(\tilde{\varphi}(p)) = \pi_I(\tilde{\varphi}(q))$  by the way  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is defined. So the image of  $\overline{K}_0(\varphi)$  is in  $K_0(B)$ . ■

**Proposition 5.12.** Let  $A, B$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras, let  $\alpha : K_0(A) \rightarrow \overline{K}_0(A)$  be the group isomorphism described in the proof of Proposition 5.5, and similarly let  $\beta : K_0(B) \rightarrow \overline{K}_0(B)$  be such group isomorphism. Then for any group homomorphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ , we have

$$\overline{K}_0(\varphi) \circ \alpha = \beta \circ K_0(\varphi).$$

*Proof.* We adopt all notation used in Proposition 5.5, where  $\alpha = K_0(\tau_A \circ \iota_A)$  and  $\beta = K_0(\tau_B \circ \iota_B)$ . Then

$$\beta \circ K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\tau_B \circ \iota_B) \circ K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\tau_B \circ \iota_B \circ \varphi)$$

and

$$\overline{K}_0(\varphi) \circ \alpha = K_0(\tilde{\varphi})|_{\overline{K}_0(A)} \circ K_0(\tau_A \circ \iota_A) = K_0(\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tau_A \circ \iota_A).$$

For  $a \in A$ ,

$$\tau_B \circ \iota_B \circ \varphi(a) = \varphi(a) \oplus 0I_B = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tau_A \circ \iota_A(a).$$

So  $\tau_B \circ \iota_B \circ \varphi = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tau_A \circ \iota_A$  as maps  $A \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ , so applying  $K_0$  they are the same as maps from  $K_0(A)$  to  $K_0(\tilde{B})$  whose image lie in  $\overline{K}_0(B)$ . This concludes the proof. ■

**Remark 5.13.** By the above proposition and Proposition 5.5, we can safely write  $\overline{K}_0(\varphi) = K_0(\varphi)$  for any  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi$ .

**Proposition 5.14.** Let  $A, B, C$  be  $C^*$ -algebras, and let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\psi : B \rightarrow C$  be  $*$ -homomorphisms. Then  $K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi \circ \varphi)$ . Also,  $K_0(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_{K_0(A)}$  and  $K_0(0) = 0$  for 0 any zero map.

*Proof.* We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\varphi) &= K_0(\widetilde{\psi})|_{K_0(B)} \circ K_0(\widetilde{\varphi})|_{K_0(A)} \\
&= K_0(\widetilde{\psi \circ \varphi})|_{K_0(A)} \\
&= K_0(\widetilde{\psi \circ \varphi})|_{K_0(A)} \\
&= K_0(\psi \circ \varphi).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_0(\text{id}_A) &= K_0(\widetilde{\text{id}_A})|_{K_0(A)} \\
&= K_0(\widetilde{\text{id}_{\widetilde{A}}})|_{K_0(A)} \\
&= \text{id}_{K_0(\widetilde{A})}|_{K_0(A)} \\
&= \text{id}_{K_0(A)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$K_0(0) = K_0(\widetilde{0})|_{K_0(A)} = K_0(\pi_I)|_{K_0(A)}.$$

But  $K_0(A)$  is exactly  $\ker K_0(\pi_I)$ , so  $K_0(0) = 0$ . ■

Now we have a functor  $K_0$  from the category of  $C^*$ -algebras to the category of abelian groups.

## 6 Functorial properties of $K_0$

The  $K_0$ -group of a  $C^*$ -algebra can be difficult to compute even for most  $C^*$ -algebras. With the functoriality of  $K_0$  in hand, some useful properties of the functor  $K_0$  will aid calculation. One might say this is similar to how exact sequences help the computation of cohomology groups. In fact,  $K_0$  is an extraordinary cohomology functor, but this will not be discussed here. In short summary, the most basic and important properties of the functor  $K_0$  are homotopy invariance, half exactness and split exactness. Also,  $K_0$  is a continuous functor, meaning that the inductive limit  $K_0$ -group is isomorphic to the  $K_0$ -group of inductive limits. Other useful tools for computing the  $K_0$ -groups include the higher  $K$ -groups, Bott periodicity, and the 6-term exact sequence. In this paper we will only prove the three basic functorial properties of  $K_0$ .

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras and  $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$  be  $*$ -homomorphisms. We say  $\varphi$  is **homotopic** to  $\psi$ , written  $\varphi \sim_h \psi$ , if there exists a family of continuous  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\varphi_t : A \rightarrow B$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\varphi_0 = \varphi$  and  $\varphi_1 = \psi$ , and that for each  $a \in A$ ,  $t \mapsto \varphi_t(a)$  is a continuous map  $[0, 1] \rightarrow B$ . The family  $\varphi_t$  is called a homotopy from  $\varphi$  to  $\psi$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras. We say  $A$  is **homotopic** to  $B$ , written  $A \sim_h B$ , if there exists  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\psi : B \rightarrow A$  continuous  $*$ -homomorphisms such that  $\varphi \circ \psi \sim_h \text{id}_A$  and  $\psi \circ \varphi \sim_h \text{id}_B$ .

## 6.1 Homotopy invariance

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras,  $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$  be continuous  $*$ -homomorphisms with  $\varphi \sim_h \psi$ , then  $K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi)$ . If  $A \sim_h B$ , then  $K_0(A) \cong K_0(B)$ .*

*Proof.* Once again, a typical element in  $K_0(A)$  is  $[p]_0 - [q]_0$  for some  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(A)$ . Hence it is sufficient to show that  $K_0(\varphi)(p) = K_0(\psi)(p)$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$ . Let  $\varphi_t$  be a homotopy from  $\varphi$  to  $\psi$ . The family  $\varphi_t$  extends to a homotopy from  $\varphi$  to  $\psi$  on  $M_n(A)$ . The map  $[0, 1] \rightarrow M_n(B)$  given by  $t \mapsto \varphi_t(p)$  is continuous, and since each  $\varphi_t$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism,  $\varphi_t(p) \in \mathcal{P}_n(B)$ , so  $t \mapsto \varphi_t(p)$  is a homotopy of

$$\varphi(p) = \varphi_0(p) \sim_h \varphi_1(p) = \psi(p).$$

But we know homotopic projections are equivalent in  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ , so

$$K_0(\varphi)(p) = [\varphi(p)]_0 = [\psi(p)]_0 = K_0(\psi)(p).$$

Hence  $K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi)$ .

Suppose  $A \sim_h B$ . There exists continuous homomorphisms  $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\beta : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $\alpha \circ \beta \sim_h \text{id}_A$  and  $\beta \circ \alpha \sim_h \text{id}_B$ . Then using Proposition 4.4 and the first half of this proof,

$$K_0(\alpha) \circ K_0(\beta) = K_0(\alpha \circ \beta) = K_0(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_{K_0(A)},$$

$$K_0(\beta) \circ K_0(\alpha) = K_0(\beta \circ \alpha) = K_0(\text{id}_B) = \text{id}_{K_0(B)}.$$

Hence  $K_0(\alpha) : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$  is a group isomorphism, whose inverse is  $K_0(\beta)$ . ■

## 6.2 Half- and split-exactness

**Definition 6.3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be categories, and  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor.

1.  $\mathcal{F}$  is exact if whenever

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(f)} \mathcal{F}(B) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(g)} \mathcal{F}(C) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

2.  $\mathcal{F}$  is half exact if whenever

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then

$$\mathcal{F}(A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(f)} \mathcal{F}(B) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(g)} \mathcal{F}(C)$$

is sequence in  $\mathcal{D}$  that is exact at  $\mathcal{F}(B)$ .

3.  $\mathcal{F}$  is split exact if whenever

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{h} \end{array} C \longrightarrow 0$$

is a split exact sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(f)} \mathcal{F}(B) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\mathcal{F}(g)} \\ \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(h)} \end{array} \mathcal{F}(C) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a split exact sequence in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Clearly an exact functor would be half-exact. In this section we will show that the functor  $K_0$  is half-exact and split-exact. However,  $K_0$  is not an exact functor. We will see a counterexample in a later section when we have developed more machinery.

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of  $C^*$ -algebras, and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\tilde{\varphi} : M_n(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\tilde{B})$  and  $\tilde{\psi} : M_n(\tilde{B}) \rightarrow M_n(\tilde{C})$  be the unital  $*$ -homomorphisms induced by  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , respectively. Then,

1. The map  $\tilde{\varphi} : M_n(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\tilde{B})$  is injective.
2. An element  $a \in M_n(\tilde{B})$  belongs to the image of  $\tilde{\varphi}$  if and only if  $\tilde{\psi}(a) = \pi_I(\tilde{\psi}(a))$ .

*Proof.* 1. The map  $\tilde{\varphi} : A \oplus \mathbb{C}I_A \rightarrow B \oplus \mathbb{C}I_B$  is injective on both  $A$  and  $\mathbb{C}I_A$ . Therefore it is injective  $\tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ , and also the induced map  $\tilde{\varphi} : M_n(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\tilde{B})$  is continuous.

2. For  $a \in A$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(a + zI_A) &= \tilde{\psi}(\varphi(a) + zI_B) = \psi \circ \varphi(a) + zI_C = zI_C \\ &= \pi_I(\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(a + zI_A)). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose  $b \in B$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with

$$\psi(b) + zI_C = \tilde{\psi}(b + zI_B) = \pi_I(\tilde{\psi}(b + zI_B)) = zI_C.$$

Then  $\psi(b) = 0$ . By exactness there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $\varphi(a) = b$ , then  $b + zI_B = \tilde{\varphi}(a + zI_A)$ . ■

**Proposition 6.5.**  *$K_0$  is half-exact.*

*Proof.* Let  $A, B$  and  $C$  be  $C^*$ -algebras with  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\psi : B \rightarrow C$ , where  $\varphi$  is injective,  $\psi$  is surjective, and  $\text{im}(\varphi) = \ker(\psi)$ .

A typical element in  $K_0(A)$  is  $[p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0$  for some  $p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$ . By Lemma 6.4 the equation

$$\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(p) = \pi_I(\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(p)) = \tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(\pi_I(p))$$

holds. So

$$K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\varphi)([p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0) = [\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(p)]_0 - [\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}(\pi_I(p))]_0 = 0.$$

So  $\text{im}(K_0(\varphi)) \subseteq \text{ker}(K_0(\psi))$ .

Conversely, let  $[p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0 \in K_0(B)$  be in the kernel of  $K_0(\psi)$ . Since  $\tilde{\psi}(p) \sim_0 \tilde{\psi}(\pi_I(p))$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n(C)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Proposition 3.15 there exists a unitary element  $u \in M_{2n}(C)$  such that

$$u(\tilde{\psi}(p) \oplus 0_n)u^* = \tilde{\psi}(\pi_I(p)) \oplus 0_n.$$

By Lemma 3.10 there exists a unitary  $v \in M_{4n}(B)$  such that  $\tilde{\psi}(v) = u \oplus u^*$ . Let  $p_1 = v(p \oplus 0_{3n})v^*$ . Then

$$p \sim_0 p \oplus 0_{3n} \sim_0 p_1,$$

and similarly  $\pi_I(p) \sim_0 \pi_I(p_1)$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}(p_1) &= \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\psi}(p) \oplus 0_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0_{2n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u^* & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} u(\tilde{\psi}(p) \oplus 0_n)u^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0_{2n} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \pi_I(\tilde{\psi}(p)) \oplus 0_{3n}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\tilde{\psi}(p_1) = \pi_I(\tilde{\psi}(p_1))$ . By Lemma 6.4 there exists  $e \in M_{3n}$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi}(e) = p_1$ . Also,

$$\tilde{\varphi}(ee) = \tilde{\varphi}(e)\tilde{\varphi}(e) = p_1p_1 = p_1,$$

$$\tilde{\varphi}(e^*) = p_1^* = p_1.$$

By Lemma 6.4,  $\tilde{\varphi} : M_{4n}(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow M_{4n}(\tilde{B})$  is injective, which implies  $e = ee = e^*$ , and hence  $e$  is a projection. Now

$$K_0(\varphi)([e]_0 - [\pi_I(e)]_0) = [p_1]_0 - [\pi_I(p_1)]_0 = [p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0.$$

This shows that  $\text{ker } K_0(\psi) \subseteq \text{im } K_0(\varphi)$ . Therefore  $\text{ker } K_0(\psi) = \text{im } K_0(\varphi)$ . ■

**Proposition 6.6.** *The functor  $K_0$  is split-exact.*

*Proof.* Suppose

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\psi} \\ \xrightarrow{\lambda} \end{array} C \longrightarrow 0$$



is a split exact sequence of  $C^*$ -algebras. By the half-exactness just proved, the sequence

$$K_0(A) \xrightarrow{K_0(\varphi)} K_0(B) \xrightarrow{K_0(\psi)} K_0(C)$$

is exact. Also, since  $K_0$  is a functor, we have

$$K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\lambda) = K_0(\psi \circ \lambda) = K_0(\text{id}_C) = \text{id}_{K_0(C)},$$

so the sequence is also exact at  $K_0(C)$ . It is left to show that  $K_0(\varphi)$  is injective.

Let  $g \in K_0(A)$  be in the kernel of  $K_0(\varphi)$ . By the proof of Proposition 6.5, there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\tilde{A})$  and some unitary  $u \in M_n(\tilde{B})$  such that  $g = [p]_0 - [\pi_I(p)]_0$  and  $u\tilde{\varphi}(p)u^* = \pi_I(\tilde{\varphi}(p))$ . Let  $v = (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi})(u^*)u$ . Then

$$v^*v = u^*(\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi}(u))(\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi}(u^*))u = u^*I_n u = I_n,$$

$$vv^* = (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi}(u^*))uu^*(\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi}(u)) = I_n,$$

and

$$\tilde{\psi}(v) = (\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi}(u^*))(\tilde{\psi}(u)) = \tilde{\psi}(u^*)\tilde{\psi}(u) = \tilde{\psi}(I_n) = I_n.$$

Since  $\tilde{\psi}(v) = \pi_I(\tilde{\psi}(v))$ , by Lemma 6.4, there exists  $w \in M_n(\tilde{A})$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi}(w) = v$ . Since  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is injective and  $\tilde{\varphi}(w^*w) = I_n = \tilde{\varphi}(ww^*)$ , have  $ww^* = I_n = w^*w$ , so  $w$  is unitary. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(wpw^*) &= v\tilde{\varphi}(p)v^* = (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi})(u^*)u\tilde{\varphi}(p)u^*(\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi})(u) \\ &= (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi})(u^*)\pi_I(\tilde{\varphi}(p))(\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi})(u) \\ &= (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi})(u^*\pi_I(\tilde{\varphi}(p))u) \\ &= (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\psi})(\tilde{\varphi}(p)) = \tilde{\lambda}((\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi})(p)) \\ &= \tilde{\lambda}((\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi})(\pi_I(p))) \\ &= \tilde{\varphi}(\pi_I(p)). \end{aligned}$$

By the injectivity of  $\tilde{\varphi}$  we can conclude that  $\pi_I(p) = wpw^*$ . Hence  $p \sim_0 \pi_I(p)$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n(\tilde{A})$ . Therefore  $g = 0$ . ■

**Corollary 6.7.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras. Then  $K_0(A \oplus B) \cong K_0(A) \oplus K_0(B)$ .*

*Proof.* The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A \oplus B \xleftarrow{\cong} B \longrightarrow 0$$

is split-exact. Hence by the split-exactness of  $K_0$ , we have a split-exact sequence of abelian groups:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_0(A) \longrightarrow K_0(A \oplus B) \xleftarrow{\cong} K_0(B) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore  $K_0(A) \oplus K_0(B) \cong K_0(A \oplus B)$ . ■

## 7 K-theory of compact Hausdorff spaces

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space,  $V$  and  $W$  topological vector bundles over  $X$ . Define the map  $\pi_V : V \rightarrow X$  by  $\pi_V(v) = x$  if  $v \in V_x$ . We write  $\pi = \pi_V$ , when it is understood that  $\pi$  has domain  $V$ . A map  $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$  is a bundle homomorphism if  $\varphi$  is continuous,  $\varphi(v) \in \pi_W^{-1}(\pi_V(v))$  for all  $v \in V$ , and that  $\varphi_x = \varphi|_{V_x} : V_x \rightarrow W_x$  is a linear homomorphism for all  $x \in X$ . We say  $V$  is isomorphic to  $W$  if there exists  $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$  and  $\psi : W \rightarrow V$  bundle homomorphisms such that  $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{id}_W$  and  $\psi \circ \varphi = \text{id}_V$ .

**Definition 7.2.** Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $\Theta^n(X)$  to be the rank- $n$  trivial bundle over  $X$ ; specifically,  $\Theta^n(X) = X \times \mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Definition 7.3.** For  $X$  a Hausdorff space, define  $\text{Vect}(X)$  to be the set of all isomorphism classes of topological vector bundles on  $X$ .

**Definition 7.4.** Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space, define  $C(X)$  to be the set of all continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $X$  is compact, then  $C(X)$  can be equipped with the sup-norm as the norm and with pointwise conjugation as its involution. This gives  $C(X)$  a  $C^*$ -algebra structure.

**Remark 7.5.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the category of compact Hausdorff spaces and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the category of unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Define a contravariant functor  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  as follows. If  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space, then  $\mathcal{F}(X) = C(X)$ . If  $X, Y$  are compact Hausdorff spaces and  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(X, Y)$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = \varphi^* \in \text{Hom}(C(Y), C(X))$  where  $\varphi^* f(x) = f(\varphi(x))$  for all  $f \in C(Y)$  and  $x \in X$ , where  $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$  is the set of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $Y$ , and  $\text{Hom}(C(Y), C(X))$  is the set of  $*$ -homomorphisms from  $C(Y)$  to  $C(X)$ .

If  $X$  is a Hausdorff space, not necessarily compact, then  $C(X)$  is not necessarily a  $C^*$ -algebra since the sup-norm cannot be defined. However  $C(X)$  is a ring, so for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , it makes sense to consider  $M_{m,n}(C(X))$ , all  $m$  by  $n$  matrices with entries in  $C(X)$ . Note that  $M_{m,n}(C(X))$  is naturally isomorphic to  $C(X, M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ , by taking a matrix  $F \in M_{m,n}(C(X))$  to  $f \in C(X, M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ , where  $[f(x)]_{ij} = F_{ij}(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma 7.6.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space, and let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For every  $f \in C(X, M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ , define a bundle homomorphism  $\Gamma(f) : \Theta^n(X) \rightarrow \Theta^m(X)$  by  $\Gamma(f)(x, v) = (x, f(x)v)$ . Then  $\Gamma : f \mapsto \Gamma(f)$  is a bijection from  $C(X, M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$  to  $\text{Hom}(\Theta^n(X), \Theta^m(X))$ . In other words, we have a one-to-one correspondence between  $\text{Hom}(\Theta^n(X), \Theta^m(X))$  and  $C(X, M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})) = M_{m,n}(C(X))$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f, g \in M_{m,n}(C(X))$  with  $f \neq g$ . Pick  $x \in X$  for which  $f(x) \neq g(x)$ . Then there exists  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$  for which  $g(x)v \neq f(x)v$ , which shows that  $\Gamma$  is injective. It is left to show that  $\Gamma$  is surjective.

Let  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}^m$  be equipped with their standard inner products. Define  $p : \Theta^n(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  by  $p(x, w) = w$ . Suppose  $\varphi : \Theta^n(X) \rightarrow \Theta^m(X)$  is a bundle homomorphism. Define  $f : X \rightarrow M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$  so that

$$f(x)_{ij} = \langle p(\varphi(x, e_j)), e_i \rangle$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Clearly  $f$  is continuous. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(f)(x, v) &= (x, f(x)v) \\ &= (x, \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n f(x)_{ij} v_j e_i) \\ &= (x, \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \langle p(\varphi(x, e_j)), e_i \rangle v_j e_i) \\ &= (x, \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \langle p(\varphi(x, v_j e_j)), e_i \rangle e_i) \\ &= (x, \sum_{i=1}^m \langle p(\varphi(x, v)), e_i \rangle e_i) \\ &= (x, \varphi(x, v)) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(x, v) \in \Theta^n(X)$ . Thus  $\Gamma(f) = \varphi$ , and we conclude that  $\Gamma$  is surjective. ■

**Lemma 7.7.** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector bundles over a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , and suppose that  $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$  is a bundle homomorphism such that  $\varphi_x$  is a vector space isomorphism for every  $x \in X$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a bundle isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  be the connected components of  $X$ , let  $V_j = V|_{X_j}$  and  $W_j = W|_{X_j}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . If  $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$  is a bundle homomorphism such that  $\varphi|_{V_j}$  is an isomorphism from  $V_j$  onto  $W_j$ , then  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism from  $V$  onto  $W$ . Thus for the rest of the proof we may assume that  $X$  is connected.

By hypothesis  $\varphi$  is a bijection, so  $\varphi^{-1}$  is defined, with  $\varphi^{-1}|_x$  a vector space isomorphism. We need to check that  $\varphi^{-1}$  is continuous. Choose an open cover  $\{U_1, \dots, U_l\}$  for which  $V|_{U_k}$  and  $W|_{U_k}$  are trivial for  $k = 1, \dots, l$ . For each  $k$ , let  $\varphi_k = \varphi|_{V|_{U_k}}$ . Then it is sufficient to show that  $\varphi_k^{-1}$  is continuous.

Let  $n$  be the rank of  $V$  and  $W$ . We can identify  $V|_{U_k}$  and  $W|_{U_k}$  with  $\Theta^n(U_k)$ , and can consider  $\varphi_k$  to be a bundle isomorphism from  $\Theta^n(U_k)$  to itself. Apply Lemma 7.6 to obtain a continuous function  $f_k : U_k \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\varphi_k(x, v) = (x, f_k(x)v)$  for all  $(x, v) \in \Theta^n(U_k)$ . Since  $\varphi_k(x)$  is an isomorphism for all  $x \in U_k$ , have  $f_k(x) \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  for all  $x \in U_k$ .

Each  $f_k$  is an element of  $C(U_k, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ . The matrix  $f_k(x)$  is invertible for every  $x \in U_k$ , since inversion is continuous, we have that  $f^{-1}(x) \in C(U_k, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ . Apply the lemma again have  $\varphi_k^{-1}$  is continuous. ■

**Proposition 7.8.** *Let  $V$  be a vector bundle over a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . Then  $V$  is isomorphic to a subbundle of the trivial bundle  $\Theta^N(X)$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  be the distinct connected components of  $X$ . If  $V|_{X_k}$  is a subbundle of  $\Theta^{N_k}(X_k)$  for some  $N_k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then let  $N = N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_m$ , and  $V$  is itself a subbundle of  $\Theta^N(X)$ . So for the rest of the proof we may assume that  $X$  is connected.

Since  $V$  is locally trivial, let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_l\}$  be an open cover of  $X$  such that  $V|_{U_k} \cong \Theta^M(U_k)$  for some  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ . (Note that this  $M$  is the same for all  $k$  since  $X$  is connected.) Let  $\varphi_k : V|_{U_k} \rightarrow \Theta^M(U_k)$  be a bundle isomorphism. Define  $q_k : \Theta^M(U_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^M$  by  $q_k(x, w) = w$  for  $x \in U_k$  and  $w \in \mathbb{C}^M$ ; also let  $\pi : V \rightarrow X$  be projection onto the point in  $X$  that an element  $v \in V$  lies above. Choose a partition of unity  $\{f_1, \dots, f_l\}$  subordinate to the cover  $\mathcal{U}$ , and let  $N = M \cdot l$ . Then define  $\Phi : V \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k=1}^l \mathbb{C}^M$  by

$$\Phi(v) = (f_1(\pi(v))q_1(\varphi_1(v)) \oplus \dots \oplus f_l(\pi(v))q_l(\varphi_l(v))).$$

Then  $\varphi(v) = (\pi(v), \Phi(v))$  defines a bundle homomorphism  $V \rightarrow \Theta^N(X)$ . Since  $\varphi$  is injective, this is a bijective homomorphism onto a subbundle of  $\Theta^N(X)$ . By Lemma 7.7 this is indeed an isomorphism. ■

**Corollary 7.9.** *Every vector bundle over a compact Hausdorff space admits a Hermitian metric.*

*Proof.* It is clear that every trivial bundle naturally has a Hermitian metric, and since every bundle over a compact Hausdorff space is a subbundle of some trivial bundle, then it inherits the restriction of the Hermitian metric. ■

**Definition 7.10.** Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space, and let  $[V], [W] \in \text{Vect}(X)$ . Define  $[V \oplus W]$  to be the isomorphism class of bundles as follows. There exists  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $V$  is a subbundle of  $\Theta^n(X)$  and  $W$  is a subbundle of  $\Theta^m(X)$ . Let  $Q$  be the subbundle of  $\Theta^{n+m}(X)$  such that  $Q_x = V_x \oplus W_x \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$  for all  $x \in X$ . Define  $[V \oplus W]$  to be  $[Q]$ .

**Proposition 7.11.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, and let  $V, W$  be vector bundles over  $X$ . Then  $[V \oplus W]$  is well-defined and it is a vector bundle.*

*Proof.* The proof is easy and is left as an exercise for the reader. ■

**Remark 7.12.** The vector bundle  $V \oplus W$  is called the Whitney sum of  $V$  and  $W$ . The general construction is more abstract and it may take some work to check the bundle definitions. Proposition 7.8 allows for a concrete description of the class  $[V \oplus W]$ . Also, in K-theory it is more helpful to think of a vector bundle as a subbundle of some trivial bundle, as we will see when we relate the topological K-theory to the C\*-algebra K-theory.

**Proposition 7.13.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. The set  $\text{Vect}(X)$  equipped with the operation  $[V] + [W] = [V \oplus W]$ , is an abelian monoid.*

*Proof.* The only non-trivial part is to verify that  $[V] + [W] = [W] + [V]$ . Suppose  $V$  is a subbundle of  $\Theta^n(X)$  and  $W$  is a subbundle of  $\Theta^m(X)$ . We'll write  $V \oplus W$  and  $W \oplus V$  as the corresponding subbundles of  $\Theta^{n+m}(X)$ . Let  $\rho : V \oplus W \rightarrow W \oplus V$  be such that

$$\rho(x, v \oplus w) = \rho(x, w \oplus v)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $v \in V_x, w \in W_x$ . Clearly  $\rho|_x$  is a vector space isomorphism for all  $x \in X$ , so by Lemma 7.7 it is left to show that  $\rho$  is continuous. For any  $x \in X$ , take an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  for which both  $V|_U$  and  $W|_U$  are trivial. There exists  $k \leq n$  and  $l \leq m$  for which there exists bundle isomorphisms

$$\varphi : V|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} \Theta^k(U); \quad \psi : W|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} \Theta^l(U).$$

**Definition 7.14.** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. Define  $K^0(X) = G(\text{Vect}(X))$ , where  $G(\cdot)$  is the Grothendieck completion.

The following is a lemma that helps with computation of  $K^0$ -groups.

**Lemma 7.15.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $I$  denote the closed interval  $[0, 1]$ . If  $V$  is a vector bundle over  $X \times I$ , then  $V|_{X \times \{0\}} \cong V|_{X \times \{1\}}$ .*

*Proof.* First we show that a bundle  $V$  over  $X \times [a, b]$  is trivial if there exists some  $c \in (a, b)$  such that  $V|_{X \times [a, c]}$  and  $V|_{X \times [c, b]}$  are trivial. To see this, let  $\varphi : V|_{X \times [a, c]} \rightarrow \Theta^n(X \times [a, c])$  and  $\psi : V|_{X \times [c, b]} \rightarrow \Theta^n(X \times [c, b])$  be bundle isomorphisms for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists a function  $h : X \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\varphi(v) = h(\pi(v))\psi(v)$  for all  $v \in V|_x$ . Then the map  $\Phi : V \rightarrow \Theta^n(X \times [a, b])$  defined by

$$\Phi(v) = \begin{cases} \varphi(v) & : a \leq t \leq c \\ h(\pi(v))\psi(v) & : c < t \leq b \end{cases}$$

is a bundle isomorphism.

Next, for every  $x \in X$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$  there exists some  $U_{x,t} \subseteq X$  a neighbourhood of  $x$  and some  $\delta_t > 0$  such that  $V$  is trivial over

$$U_{x,t} \times (t - \delta_t, t + \delta_t).$$

Because  $[0, 1]$  is compact, there exists a finite collection  $\{t_0, \dots, t_k\} \subseteq [0, 1]$  such that

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^k (t_i - \delta_{t_i}, t_i + \delta_{t_i}) \supseteq [0, 1].$$

Let  $U_x = \bigcap_{i=0}^k U_{x,t_i}$ . Then  $V$  is trivial over  $U_x \times (t_i - \delta_{t_i}, t_i + \delta_{t_i})$  for all  $i = 0, \dots, k$ . Hence by observation from the previous paragraph, we see

that  $V|_{U_x \times I}$  is trivial. Thus, since  $X$  is compact, there exists a finite cover  $\{U_1, \dots, U_r\}$  of  $X$  such that  $V|_{U_j \times I}$  is trivial for all  $j = 1, \dots, r$ .

Let  $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$  be a partition of unity subordinate to the cover  $\{U_1, \dots, U_r\}$ . For  $j = 0, \dots, r$  let

$$F_j = f_1 + \dots + f_j.$$

In particular  $F_0 = 0$  and  $F_r = 1$ . Also define

$$X_0 = \{(x, F_j(x)) : x \in X\}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . Because  $V|_{U_j \times I}$  is trivial, there exists a bundle isomorphism  $\Phi_j : V|_{U_j \times I} \rightarrow \Theta^n(U_j \times I)$ . Define  $\Psi_j : V|_{X_{j-1}} \rightarrow V|_{X_j}$  by

$$\Psi_j(v) = \begin{cases} v & : \pi(v) \notin U_j \times I \\ \Phi_j^{-1}(w) & : \pi(v) \in U_j \times I \end{cases}$$

where  $w = ((x, f_j(x)), u)$  if  $\Phi_j(v) = ((x, f_{j-1}(x)), u)$ . Then  $\Psi_j$  is a bundle isomorphism. Thus we have

$$V|_{X \times \{0\}} = V|_{X_0} \cong V|_{X_1} \cong \dots \cong V|_{X_r} = V|_{X \times \{1\}}. \blacksquare$$

**Corollary 7.16.** *Every vector bundle over a contractible compact Hausdorff space is trivial.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a contractible compact Hausdorff space. There exists a fixed point  $x_0 \in X$  and a continuous function  $\varphi : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\varphi|_{X \times \{0\}}(x) = x$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\varphi|_{X \times \{1\}}(x) = x_0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Suppose  $V$  is a vector bundle over  $X$ . Then  $\varphi^*(V)$  is a bundle over  $X \times [0, 1]$  with

$$V \cong \varphi^*(V)|_{X \times \{0\}} \cong \varphi^*(V)|_{X \times \{1\}} \cong \Theta^{\text{rank } V}(X)$$

by Lemma 7.15.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 7.17.** Consider the compact Hausdorff space  $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ . Let  $A = \{e^{i\theta} : 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi\}$  be the closed upper half of  $S^1$  and let  $B = \{e^{i\theta} : \pi \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$  be the lower half of  $S^1$ . Fix a rank  $n$  complex vector bundle  $V$  over  $S^1$ . Because  $A$  and  $B$  are both contractible, by Corollary 7.16  $V|_A$  and  $V|_B$  are trivial bundles. Let  $\varphi : V|_A \rightarrow \Theta^n(A)$  and  $\psi : V|_B \rightarrow \Theta^n(B)$  be bundle isomorphisms. Let  $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the matrix that represents  $\varphi \circ \psi^{-1}$  at 1, and let  $h$  be the matrix that represents  $\varphi \circ \psi^{-1}$  at  $-1$ . The

group  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  is path connected, so let  $g_t$  and  $h_t$  be continuous paths from  $A$  and  $B$  respectively to the identity matrix.

Define a rank  $n$  bundle  $W$  over  $S^1 \times I$  as follows. The bundle  $W$  is trivial over  $A \times I$  and  $B \times I$ , with trivializations  $\Phi : W|_{A \times I} \rightarrow \Theta^n(A \times I)$  and  $\Psi : W|_{B \times I} \rightarrow \Theta^n(B \times I)$ . Furthermore, the transition function is defined to be

$$\Psi^{-1}((1, t), u) = \Phi^{-1}((1, t), g_t u) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi^{-1}((-1, t), u) = \Phi^{-1}((-1, t), h_t u)$$

for  $\pm 1 \in S^1, t \in [0, 1]$  and  $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Finally, Lemma 7.15 implies that

$$V \cong W|_{S^1 \times \{0\}} \cong W|_{S^1 \times \{1\}} \cong \Theta^n(S^1).$$

Therefore equivalence classes of vector bundles over  $S^1$  are characterized by ranks, and  $K^0(S^1) \cong G(\mathbb{N}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

## 8 $K^0(X) \cong K_0(C(X))$

The main result of this section is the proof of the equivalence of K-theories. When  $X$  is compact Hausdorff, then  $C(X)$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, and it makes sense to ask if the two definitions of K-theories agree.

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let  $X$  be compact Hausdorff. Then  $K_0(C(X)) \cong K^0(X)$  as abelian groups.*

Now we will develop some results necessary to prove this theorem.

**Definition 8.2.** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. For  $E \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))$ , and  $x \in X$ , let  $\text{Ran } E(x)$  be the image of  $E(x)$ . That is, if  $E$  is  $n \times n$ , then  $\text{Ran } E(x) = E(x)\mathbb{C}^n$ . Define  $\text{Ran } E = \bigcup_{x \in X} \bigcup_{v \in \text{Ran } E(x)} (x, v)$ .

**Proposition 8.3.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $E \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))$ . Then  $\text{Ran } E$  is a vector bundle over  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $x_0 \in X$  and let

$$U = \{x \in X : \|E(x_0) - E(x)\|_{op} < 1\}$$

As  $E$  and the operator norm are both continuous, the set  $U$  is the pull back of  $(-\infty, 1)$  through a continuous function, and is hence open. Observe that



for any  $x_1 \in X$ , the element  $I_n + E(x_0) - E(x_1)$  is within distance 1 from  $I_n$ , and as such is an invertible matrix. Also, for any  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I_n + E(x_0) - E(x_1))E(x_1)v &= E(x_1)v + E(x_0)E(x_1)v - E(x_1)E(x_1)v \\ &= E(x_1)v + E(x_0)E(x_1)v - E(x_1)v \\ &= E(x_0)E(x_1)v \end{aligned}$$

So  $I_n + E(x_0) - E(x_1)$  maps  $\text{Ran } E(x_1)$  into  $\text{Ran } E(x_0)$ , and since this is an invertible matrix, we have that  $\dim \text{Ran } E(x_0) \geq \dim \text{Ran } E(x_1)$ . A similar calculation shows that

$$(I_n - E(x_0) + E(x_1))(\text{Ran } E(x_0)) \subseteq \text{Ran } E(x_1)$$

Thus we see that  $\text{Ran } E(x_0)$  and  $\text{Ran } E(x_1)$  have the same dimension, and  $I_n + E(x_0) - E(x_1)$  maps  $\text{Ran } E(x_1)$  to  $\text{Ran } E(x_0)$  isomorphically. Thus, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \text{Ran } E|_U &\rightarrow U \times \text{Ran } E(x_0) \\ (x, v) &\mapsto (x, (I_n + E(x_0) - E(x_1))v) \end{aligned}$$

is a bundle isomorphism. So  $\text{Ran } E$  is locally trivial, thus is a vector bundle. ■

**Proposition 8.4.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, and let  $E, F \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))$ . Then  $\text{Ran } E \cong \text{Ran } F$  as bundles if and only if  $E \sim_u F$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Ran } Q \cong \text{Ran } (\text{diag}(Q, 0_r))$  for any  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can take some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough so that  $E$  and  $F$  are both in  $M_n(C(X))$ .

Suppose that  $E \sim_u F$ . Then we can find  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n(C(X))$  such that  $UEU^* = F$ . Define  $\gamma : \text{Ran } E \rightarrow \text{Ran } F$  by

$$\gamma(x, E(x)v) = (x, U(x)E(x)v) = (x, F(x)U(x)v) \in \text{Ran } F(x),$$

for  $x \in X$  and  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . It has the inverse map

$$\gamma^{-1}(x, F(x)v) = (x, U^*(x)F(x)v) = (x, E(x)U^*(x)v).$$

So  $\gamma$  is a bundle isomorphism between  $\text{Ran } E$  and  $\text{Ran } F$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\text{Ran } E$  and  $\text{Ran } F$  are isomorphic vector bundles. Let  $\varphi : \text{Ran } E \rightarrow \text{Ran } F$  be a bundle isomorphism. We define matrices

$A, B \in M_n(C(X))$  as follows. For  $f \in (C(X))^n$ , let  $Af = \varphi(Ef)$  and  $Bf = \varphi^{-1}(Ff)$ . Then

$$ABf = A(\varphi^{-1}(Ff)) = \varphi(E(\varphi^{-1}(Ff))).$$

However  $\varphi^{-1}(Ff)$  is a continuous section of  $\text{Ran } E$ , so

$$ABf = \varphi(E(\varphi^{-1}(Ff))) = \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(Ff)) = Ff$$

Which shows that  $AB = F$ . A similar computation shows that  $BA = E$ . Also,

$$EBf = E\varphi^{-1}(Ff) = \varphi^{-1}(Ff) = Bf$$

and

$$BFf = \varphi^{-1}(FFf) = \varphi^{-1}(Ff) = Bf.$$

So  $EB = B = BF$ . Similarly,  $FA = A = AE$ .

Now define

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} A & I_n - F \\ I_n - E & B \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2n}(C(X)).$$

With the observations above it is straightforward to check that  $T$  is invertible, with inverse

$$T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} B & I_n - E \\ I_n - F & A \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} T \text{diag}(E, 0_n) T^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} A & I_n - F \\ I_n - E & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & I_n - E \\ I_n - F & A \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & I_n - E \\ I_n - F & A \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} F & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{diag}(F, 0_n) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $E$  is similar to  $F$  through an invertible matrix  $T$ . Since  $E$  and  $F$  are normal and similar to each other, they are in fact unitarily equivalent by Proposition 3.14. ■

**Corollary 8.5.** *Let  $X$  be compact Hausdorff. The range map*

$$\text{Ran} : \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X)) / \sim_u \rightarrow \text{Vect}(X)$$

mapping

$$[E] \mapsto [\text{Ran } E]$$

is well-defined and injective.

**Proposition 8.6.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and suppose that  $V$  is a subbundle of  $\Theta^N(X)$ . Let  $\Theta^N(X)$  be equipped with the standard Hermitian metric, and for  $x \in X$ , let  $E(x)$  be the orthogonal projection of  $\Theta^N(X)|_x$  onto  $V|_x$ . Then the map  $E : x \mapsto E(x)$  defines an idempotent  $E \in M_N(C(X))$ .*

*Proof.* By using Lemma 7.7 again, we only need to show that each  $x_0 \in X$  has an open neighbourhood for which  $E|_U : x \mapsto E(x)$  is continuous on  $U$ . Fix  $x_0$  and choose  $U$  to be a connected open neighbourhood of  $x_0$  over which  $V$  is trivial. Let  $n$  be the rank of  $V$ , and let  $\varphi : \Theta^n(U) \rightarrow V|_U$  be a bundle isomorphism. For  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , define  $s_k : U \rightarrow \Theta^n(U)$  by  $s_k(x) = (x, e_k)$ , the  $k^{\text{th}}$  standard basis vector lying above  $x$ . Then for each  $x \in U$ , the set

$$\{\varphi(s_1(x)), \varphi(s_2(x)), \dots, \varphi(s_n(x))\}$$

is a vector space basis for  $V|_x$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the standard Hermitian metric of  $\Theta^n(U)$  restricted to  $V$ . By the Gram-Schmidt process, we obtain an orthogonal basis of  $V|_x$  by defining inductively

$$s'_k(x) = \varphi(s_k(x)) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\langle \varphi(s_k(x)), s'_i(x) \rangle}{\langle s'_i(x), s'_i(x) \rangle} s'_i(x)$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Then the set

$$\left\{ \frac{s'_1(x)}{\|s'_1(x)\|}, \dots, \frac{s'_n(x)}{\|s'_n(x)\|} \right\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for  $V|_x$  equipped with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the norm induced by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Moreover, the map  $x \mapsto \frac{s'_1(x)}{\|s'_1(x)\|}$  is continuous. Finally, for  $E$  the orthogonal projection as in the statement, we have

$$E(x)w = \sum_{k=1}^n \left\langle \varphi(x, w), \frac{s'_k(x)}{\|s'_k(x)\|} \right\rangle \frac{s'_k(x)}{\|s'_k(x)\|}$$

and the above is jointly continuous in  $x \in X$  and  $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Therefore  $x \mapsto E(x)$  is continuous. ■

**Corollary 8.7.** *Let  $V$  be a vector bundle over a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . Then  $V \cong \text{Ran } E$  for some  $E \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))$ . Hence the map*

$$\text{Ran} : \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X)) / \sim_u \rightarrow \text{Vect}(X)$$

*is surjective.*

*Proof.* There exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $V$  is isomorphic to a subbundle of  $\Theta^N(X)$ . So assume that  $V$  is embedded in  $\Theta^N(X)$ , and let  $\Theta^N(X)$  be equipped with the canonical metric. For each  $x \in X$  let  $E(x)$  be the orthogonal projection of  $\Theta^N(X)_x$  onto  $V_x$ . By Proposition 8.6,  $x \mapsto E(x)$  defines an element in  $E \in \mathcal{P}_N(X)$ , and  $\text{Ran } E = V$ . ■

**Corollary 8.8.** *Let  $V$  be a vector bundle over a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . Then there exists another vector bundle  $V^\perp$  over  $X$  such that  $V \oplus V^\perp \cong \Theta^N(X)$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* We know that there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $V$  is isomorphic to a subbundle of  $\Theta^N(X)$ . For each  $x \in X$ , let  $E(x)$  be the orthogonal projection of  $\Theta^N(X)_x$  onto  $V_x$ . By Proposition 8.6, this family of projections defines an element  $E \in \mathcal{P}_N(C(X))$ . Define  $V^\perp = \text{Ran}(I_N - E)$ . Then

$$V \oplus V^\perp \cong \text{Ran } E \oplus \text{Ran}(I_N - E) = \text{Ran } I_N = \Theta^N(X). \blacksquare$$

**Theorem 8.9.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. Then  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))$  and  $\text{Vect}(X)$  are isomorphic as abelian monoids.*

*Proof.* Define  $\Psi : \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X)) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(X)$  by  $\Psi([E]) = [\text{Ran } E]$ . By Corollaries 8.5 and 8.7,  $\Psi$  is well-defined, injective and surjective. It is left to show that it is a monoid homomorphism, i.e.  $\text{Ran}(E \oplus F) \cong \text{Ran } E \oplus \text{Ran } F$ . But this is obvious, as they are not just isomorphic, but are in fact equal. ■

**Corollary 8.10.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. Then  $K^0(X) \cong K_0(C(X))$  as abelian groups.*

*Proof.* Apply the Grothendieck completion to the isomorphism obtained in Theorem 8.9 to obtain

$$K^0(X) = G(\text{Vect}(X)) \cong G(\mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))) = K_0(C(X)). \blacksquare$$

For  $X$  a compact Hausdorff space and  $V$  a topological vector bundle over  $X$ , we write  $[V]^0$  for the element in  $K^0(X)$  that is represented by  $V$ .

**Proposition 8.11.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, then*

$$K^0(X) = \{[V]^0 - [W]^0 : V, W \text{ vector bundles over } X\}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from Corollary 8.10 and Proposition 4.3. ■

Now that we've shown that  $K^0(X)$  and  $K_0(C(X))$  are isomorphic as abelian groups, we will verify that the associated morphisms are preserved by this identification.

**Definition 8.12.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact Hausdorff spaces, let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map and let  $V$  be a rank  $r$  subbundle of some trivial bundle  $\Theta^n(Y)$  of  $Y$ . (By Proposition 7.8 all vector bundles over  $Y$  are isomorphic to a bundle of this form). Define the pull-back of  $V$  via  $f$ , written  $f^*(V)$ , to be the rank  $r$  subbundle of  $\Theta^n(X)$  where the fibre at a point  $x \in X$  is  $(f^*(V))_x = V_{f(x)}$ .

**Proposition 8.13.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact Hausdorff spaces,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  continuous and  $V$  is a subbundle of  $\Theta^n(Y)$ . Then  $f^*(V)$  is indeed a vector bundle on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Take any  $x \in X$ , let  $U$  be an open neighbourhood of  $f(x)$  in  $Y$  for which  $V|_U$  is trivial. Then  $f^{-1}(U)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $x$  and  $f^*(V)|_{f^{-1}(U)} = f^*(V|_U)$  is trivial. ■

**Proposition 8.14.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact Hausdorff spaces, let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous, and  $E \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(C(Y))$ . Then  $f^*(E)$  is a projection in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(C(X))$ , and  $f^*(\text{Ran } E) = \text{Ran } f^*(E)$ .*

*Proof.* For  $x \in X$ ,

$$(E \circ f)(x) \cdot (E \circ f)(x) = E(f(x))E(f(x)) = EE(f(x)) = E \circ f(x)$$

and

$$(E \circ f)^*(x) = (E \circ f(x))^* = E^*(f(x)) = E \circ f(x).$$

So  $E \circ f$  is a projection. Furthermore, suppose  $E$  is  $n \times n$ . Then

$$f^*(\text{Ran } E)_x = (\text{Ran } E)_{f(x)} = E(f(x))\mathbb{C}^n = (\text{Ran } f^*(E))_x.$$

Therefore  $f^*(\text{Ran } E) = \text{Ran } f^*(E)$ . ■

**Definition 8.15.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact Hausdorff spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map. Then  $f^*$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism from  $C(Y)$  to  $C(X)$ . Define  $K^0(f) : K^0(Y) \rightarrow K^0(X)$  by

$$K^0(f)([V]_0 - [W]_0) = [f^*(V)]_0 - [f^*(W)]_0.$$

**Remark 8.16.** According to Proposition 8.14, if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map then by identifying  $K^0(Y)$  with  $K_0(C(Y))$  and  $K^0(X)$  with  $K_0(C(X))$ , we conclude that  $K^0(f)$  and  $K_0(f^*)$  are the same map. To be precise, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^0(Y) & \xrightarrow{K^0(f)} & K^0(X) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ K_0(C(Y)) & \xleftarrow{K_0(f^*)} & K_0(C(X)) \end{array}$$

commutes.

**Proposition 8.17.** *The map  $X \mapsto K^0(X)$  is a covariant functor from the category of compact Hausdorff spaces to the category of abelian groups.*

*Proof.* Let  $X, Y, Z$  be compact Hausdorff spaces, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  be continuous. Consider the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K^0(Z) & \xrightarrow{K^0(g)} & K^0(Y) & \xrightarrow{K^0(f)} & K^0(X) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ K_0(C(Z)) & \xleftarrow{K_0(g^*)} & K_0(C(Y)) & \xleftarrow{K_0(f^*)} & K_0(C(X)) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^0(Z) & \xrightarrow{K^0(f \circ g)} & K^0(X) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ K_0(C(Z)) & \xleftarrow{K_0((f \circ g)^*)} & K_0(C(X)) \end{array}$$

Since  $K_0$  is a functor, we have

$$K_0((f \circ g)^*) = K_0(g^* \circ f^*) = K_0(g^*) \circ K_0(f^*).$$

Hence the first rows of the two diagrams imply that  $K^0(f \circ g) = K^0(g) \circ K^0(f)$ . The fact that  $K^0(\text{id}_X) = \text{id}_{K^0(X)}$  also follows from the functoriality of  $K_0$  and Remark 8.16 in a similar way. ■

**Example 8.18.** Let  $X = \{*\}$  be a point. Then  $C(X) \cong \mathbb{C}$ . By Example 2.18 and Corollary 8.10, we see that  $K^0(X) \cong K_0(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

## 9 K-theory of locally compact spaces

The K-theory of locally compact spaces correspond to the K-theory of non-unital  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Definition 9.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. We say  $X$  is locally compact if for every  $x \in X$  there exists some open neighbourhood  $U \subseteq X$  of  $x$  such that the closure  $\overline{U}$  of  $U$  in  $X$  is compact.

**Definition 9.2.** Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Define  $X^+$  to be the set  $X \sqcup \{\infty\}$  with the collection of open sets given by

$$\mathcal{T}^+ := \{U \subseteq X : U \text{ open in } X\} \cup \{(X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\} : F \text{ closed and compact in } X\}.$$

**Proposition 9.3.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space, then  $X^+$  is a compact topological space. Moreover,  $X^+ \setminus \{\infty\}$  is homeomorphic to  $X$  in the obvious way.*

*Proof.* We first check that the collection of open sets  $\mathcal{T}^+$  is a topology on  $X^+$ .

1. The empty set  $\emptyset$  is open in  $X$ , so  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}^+$ . The empty set  $\emptyset$  is obviously closed and compact, so  $X^+ = (X \setminus \emptyset) \cup \{\infty\} \in \mathcal{T}^+$ .

2. Define

$$\mathcal{T}_0 := \{U : U \text{ open in } X\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_1 := \{(X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\} : F \text{ closed and compact in } X\}.$$

Clearly  $\mathcal{T}_0$  is closed under arbitrary union. Let  $\{F_i : i \in I\}$  be an arbitrary collection of closed compact subsets of  $X$ . Then  $F := \bigcap_{i \in I} F_i$  is clearly

closed. Pick any  $i_0 \in I$ . Then  $F$  is a closed subset of the compact set  $F_{i_0}$ , thus  $F$  is also compact. Then

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} (X \setminus F_i) \cup \{\infty\} = (X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\} \in \mathcal{T}_1.$$

So  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is closed under arbitrary union. Finally, take  $U \in \mathcal{T}_0$  and  $(X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\} \in \mathcal{T}_1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} U \cup (X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\} &= (X \setminus (X \setminus U)) \cup (X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\} \\ &= (X \setminus ((X \setminus U) \cap F)) \cup \{\infty\} \in \mathcal{T}_1 \end{aligned}$$

because  $(X \setminus U) \cap F$  is closed and compact (it is a closed subset of  $F$ ). Therefore  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_0 \cup \mathcal{T}_1$  is closed under arbitrary union.

3. Clearly  $\mathcal{T}_0$  is closed under finite intersection. A finite union of compact closed sets is also closed and compact, so  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is also closed under finite intersection. Lastly, suppose  $U$  is open and  $F$  is closed and compact, then

$$U \cap ((X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\}) = U \cap (X \setminus F) \in \mathcal{T}_1.$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under finite intersection.

The above verifies that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a topology on  $X$ . The subspace topology on  $X^+ \setminus \{\infty\}$  is  $\mathcal{T}_0$ , which coincides with the topology on  $X$ . Hence  $X^+ \setminus \{\infty\} \cong X$ . Next we check that  $X^+$  is compact.

Let  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an open cover for  $X^+$ . Since this collection covers the point  $\infty$ , there exists some  $i_0 \in I$  such that  $U_{i_0} \in \mathcal{T}_1$ . Then  $X^+ \setminus U_{i_0}$  is a compact subset of  $X$ , hence also a compact subset of  $X^+$ , so there exists a finite subset  $J \subseteq I$  for which  $X^+ \setminus U_{i_0} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in J} U_i$ . Whence  $\{U_i : i \in J \cup \{i_0\}\}$  is a finite cover for  $X^+$ . Therefore  $X^+$  is compact. ■

**Remark 9.4.** The space  $X^+$  is called the one point compactification of  $X$ .

**Proposition 9.5.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact topological space. If  $X$  is Hausdorff then  $X^+$  is also Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{T}_0$  be  $\mathcal{T}_1$  be as defined in the proof of Proposition 9.3. By Proposition 9.3 we know that  $X^+ \setminus \{\infty\} \cong X$  is Hausdorff. Fix  $x \in X^+ \setminus \{\infty\}$  and let  $U$  be an open neighbourhood of  $x$  where  $\bar{U}$  is compact in  $X$ . Then  $V := X^+ \setminus \bar{U}$  is an open neighbourhood of  $\infty$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $X^+$  is Hausdorff. ■



**Proposition 9.6.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, and let  $x_0 \in X$ . The map  $f : X \rightarrow (X \setminus x_0)^+$  given by*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & : x \neq x_0 \\ \infty & : x = x_0 \end{cases}$$

*is a homeomorphism.*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $f$  is bijective. It is also clear that for any  $S \subseteq X \setminus \{x_0\}$ ,  $S$  is open in  $X$  if and only if  $f(S)$  is open in  $(X \setminus \{x_0\})^+$ .

Suppose  $U \subseteq X$  is an open neighbourhood of  $x_0$ . Let  $F = X \setminus U$ . Since  $F$  is a closed subset of  $X$ , it is compact. Also,

$$U = ((X \setminus \{x_0\}) \setminus F) \cup \{x_0\}.$$

On the other hand, suppose  $F \subseteq X \setminus \{x_0\}$  is closed and compact, then

$$((X \setminus \{x_0\}) \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\} = X \setminus F$$

is an open neighbourhood of  $x_0$ . Hence  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\infty \in (X \setminus \{x_0\})^+$  have the “same” open neighbourhoods. It follows that a subset  $S \subseteq X$  containing  $x_0$  is open if and only if  $f(S)$  is open. Therefore  $f$  is a homeomorphism. ■

**Definition 9.7.** Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Define  $C_0(X)$  to be the set of all continuous functions  $f \in C(X)$  satisfying the following: for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact subset  $F \subseteq X$  such that  $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X \setminus F$ .

**Proposition 9.8.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let  $f \in C_0(X)$ . Define  $\tilde{f}$  on  $X^+$  to be*

$$\tilde{f} = \begin{cases} f(x) & : x \in X \\ 0 & : x = \infty \end{cases}.$$

*Then  $\tilde{f} \in C(X^+)$ . If  $h \in C(X^+)$  satisfies  $h(\infty) = 0$ , then  $h|_X \in C_0(X)$  and  $\widetilde{h|_X} = h$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\tilde{f}$  is continuous on  $X^+ \setminus \{\infty\}$ , so we only need to check that  $\tilde{f}$  is continuous at  $\infty$ . Given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by the definition of  $C_0(X)$ , there exists a compact subset  $F \subseteq X$  such that  $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X \setminus F$ . But  $U := (X \setminus F) \cup \{\infty\}$  is an open neighbourhood of  $\infty$ . We have  $|\tilde{f}(x) - \tilde{f}(\infty)| = |\tilde{f}(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in U$ . Therefore  $\tilde{f}$  is continuous.

The second part of the proof follows essentially the same proof. ■

**Proposition 9.9.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Let  $I_X$  denote the identity element of  $\widehat{C_0(X)}$  and let  $1_{X^+}$  denote the constant function 1 on  $X^+$ . Define  $\varphi : \widehat{C_0(X)} \rightarrow C(X^+)$  by  $\varphi(f) = \tilde{f}$  for all  $f \in C_0(X)$  and  $\varphi(I) = \varphi(1_{X^+})$  and extend linearly. Then  $\varphi$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism.*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\varphi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism. Suppose

$$0 = \varphi(f + zI_X) = \tilde{f} + z1_{X^+}$$

for some  $f \in C_0(X)$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$z = (\tilde{f} + z1_{X^+})(\infty) = 0.$$

It then follows that  $\tilde{f}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , so  $f = 0$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is injective.

Take any  $h \in C(X^+)$  and let  $z = h(\infty)$ . By Proposition 9.8 the function  $(h - z1_{X^+})|_X \in C_0(X)$ . Also,  $\varphi((h - z1_{X^+}) + zI_X) = h$ . This shows that  $\varphi$  is surjective. Therefore  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism. ■

**Definition 9.10.** Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space, and let  $\iota : \{\infty\} \rightarrow X^+$  be the inclusion map. Define  $K^0(X) := \ker K^0(\iota) \subseteq K^0(X^+)$ .

**Remark 9.11.** Suppose  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space and  $\iota : \{\infty\} \rightarrow X^+$  is the inclusion map. The induced  $*$ -homomorphism  $\iota^* : C(X^+) \rightarrow C(\{\infty\})$  does the following:

$$\iota^*(\tilde{f}) = \tilde{f} \circ \iota = 0, \quad \forall f \in C_0(X)$$

and

$$\iota^*(1_{X^+}) = 1_{X^+} \circ \iota = 1_{\{\infty\}}.$$

This means that  $\iota : C(X^+) \rightarrow C(\{\infty\})$  is the projection onto the one-dimensional subspace generated by the identity element and  $\ker \iota = C_0(X)$ . Whence in light of Remark 8.16 and Proposition 9.9,  $K^0(X)$  is isomorphic to  $K_0(C_0(X))$  in the expected way.

## 9.1 Relative and reduced K-theory

**Definition 9.12.** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, and let  $A$  be a compact subset of  $X$ . Let  $\iota : A \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion map. Then  $K^0(\iota)$  is a group homomorphism  $K^0(X) \rightarrow K^0(A)$ . Define  $K^0(X, A)$  to be  $\ker(K^0(\iota))$ . The group  $K^0(X, A)$  is called the relative K-group of the compact pair  $(X, A)$ .

**Proposition 9.13.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then  $K^0(X) \cong K^0(X^+, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Remark 9.11. ■

**Proposition 9.14.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and fix  $x_0 \in X$ . Then  $K^0(X) \cong K^0(X, x_0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\iota : \{x_0\} \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion map, and let  $\lambda : X \rightarrow \{x_0\}$  be the only constant map. Consider the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K^0(X, x_0) \longrightarrow K^0(X) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{K^0(\iota)} \\ \xleftarrow{K^0(\lambda)} \end{array} K^0(\{x_0\}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By the definition of  $K^0(X, x_0)$ , this sequence is exact. Furthermore,  $\iota \circ \lambda = \text{id}_{\{x_0\}}$ , then by the functoriality of  $K^0$  we have that

$$K^0(\lambda) \circ K^0(\iota) = K^0(\iota \circ \lambda) = K^0(\text{id}_{\{x_0\}}) = \text{id}_{K^0(\{x_0\})}.$$

Hence the above is a split exact sequence of abelian groups. Therefore  $K^0(X) \cong K^0(X, x_0) \oplus K^0(\{x_0\})$ . Lastly, by Example 8.18 we have  $K^0(\{x_0\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . ■

**Remark 9.15.** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. Let  $G_0$  be the subgroup of  $K^0(X)$  generated by  $[\Theta^1(X)]_0$ . Since

$$[\Theta^n(X)]_0 + [\Theta^m(X)]_0 = [\Theta^n(X) \oplus \Theta^m(X)]_0 = [\Theta^{n+m}(X)]_0,$$

we have that  $G_0 = \{\pm[\Theta^n(X)]_0 : n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Fix  $x_0 \in X$ , and let  $\iota_{x_0} : \{x_0\} \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion map. Then

$$K^0(\iota_{x_0})([\Theta^n(X)]_0) = [\iota_{x_0}^*(\Theta^n(X))]_0 = [\Theta^n(\{x_0\})]_0,$$

which corresponds to  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  in the isomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \cong K^0(\{x_0\})$ . Hence  $K^0(\iota_{x_0})|_{G_0} \rightarrow K^0(\{x_0\})$  is an isomorphism for any  $x_0 \in X$ . Thus we have that  $K^0(X, x_0) \cong K^0(X)/G_0$  for any  $x_0 \in X$ . More importantly, we have that  $K^0(X, x_0) \cong K^0(X, x_1)$  for any  $x_0, x_1 \in X$ .

**Definition 9.16.** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. Define the **reduced K-group** of  $X$ , denoted  $\tilde{K}^0(X)$ , to be  $K^0(X, x_0)$  for any choice of  $x_0 \in X$ .

**Remark 9.17.** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and fix  $x_0 \in X$ . By Proposition 9.13 we have  $\tilde{K}^0(X) \cong K^0(X, \{x_0\})$ . By Remark 9.15, the definition of  $\tilde{K}^0(X)$  is independent of the choice  $x_0 \in X$ .

## 10 Functorial properties of $K^0$

### 10.1 Homotopy invariance

**Definition 10.1.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces and let  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous maps. We say  $f$  is **homotopic** to  $g$  if there exists a continuous map  $f_\bullet : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow Y$  mapping  $(t, x) \mapsto f_t(x)$  such that  $f_0(x) = f(x)$  and  $f_1(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 10.2.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces. Then  $X$  is said to be **homotopic** to  $Y$  if there exist continuous maps  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ g$  is homotopic to  $\text{id}_Y$  and  $g \circ f$  is homotopic to  $\text{id}_X$ .

**Lemma 10.3.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact Hausdorff spaces, and let  $\varphi_\bullet : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow Y$  mapping  $(t, x) \mapsto \varphi_t(x)$  be continuous. Then the map  $t \mapsto (\varphi_t)^*(f) = f \circ \varphi_t$  is continuous from  $[0, 1]$  to  $C(X)$  for any  $f \in C(Y)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C(Y)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then  $f \circ \varphi_\bullet : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function. By continuity, for any  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $x \in X$ , there exists  $\delta_t > 0$  and an open neighbourhood  $U_x \subseteq X$  of  $x$  such that

$$|f \circ \varphi_s(y) - f \circ \varphi_t(x)| < \varepsilon$$

for every  $s \in B_{\delta_t}(t) \cap [0, 1]$  and  $y \in U_x$ . By compactness,  $X$  can be covered by a finite collection of open sets of the form  $U_{x_1}, \dots, U_{x_k}$ . Let  $\delta = \min\{\delta_{t_1}, \dots, \delta_{t_k}\} > 0$ . Then for any  $x \in X$ ,

$$|(\varphi_s)^*(f)(x) - (\varphi_t)^*(f)(x)| = |f \circ \varphi_s(x) - f \circ \varphi_t(x)| < \varepsilon,$$

so  $\|(\varphi_s)^*(f) - (\varphi_t)^*(f)\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ . ■

**Proposition 10.4.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact Hausdorff spaces. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  be a homotopy between  $X$  and  $Y$ . Then  $f^* : C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$  and  $g^* : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  give a homotopy between  $C(X)$  and  $C(Y)$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption  $g \circ f$  is homotopic to the identity map  $\text{id}_X$  on  $X$ . Hence there exists a continuous family  $\varphi_t : X \rightarrow X$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  satisfying  $\varphi_0 = \text{id}_X$  and  $\varphi_1 = g \circ f$ . By Lemma 10.3,  $(\varphi_\bullet)^*$  is a homotopy from  $(\varphi_0)^* = (\text{id}_X)^* = \text{id}_{C(X)}$  to  $(\varphi_1)^* = (g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$ . Similarly  $g^* \circ f^*$  is homotopic to  $\text{id}_{C(Y)}$ . ■

**Corollary 10.5.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact Hausdorff spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a homotopy. Then  $K^0(f) : K^0(Y) \rightarrow K^0(X)$  is a group isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 10.4 we see that  $f^* : C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$  is a homotopy. It follows by Proposition 6.2 that  $K_0(f^*)$  is an isomorphism, whence Remark 8.16 gives us the conclusion that  $K^0(f)$  is an isomorphism. ■

**Example 10.6.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$ . Then  $X$  is homotopic to a point. Hence by Corollary 10.5 and Example 8.18, we have

$$K_0(C([0, 1])) \cong K^0([0, 1]) \cong K^0(\{*\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

**Remark 10.7.** The functor  $K^0$  is not homotopy-invariant for locally compact Hausdorff spaces. In Example 7.17 we saw that  $K^0(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . The unit circle  $S^1$  is homeomorphic to the one point compactification of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathbb{R}$  is homotopic to a point. However, Proposition 9.14 says that  $K^0(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K^0(S^1)$ , which implies that  $K^0(\mathbb{R}) \cong 0$ . On the other hand, the  $K^0$ -group of a point is  $\mathbb{Z}$ , as shown in Example 10.6, which is not isomorphic to  $K^0(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Example 10.8.** We will now exhibit an example that shows  $K_0$  is not an exact functor.

Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C_0((0, 1)) \xrightarrow{\iota} C([0, 1]) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Where

$$(\iota(f))(t) := \begin{cases} f(t) & : t \in (0, 1) \\ 0 & : t \in \{0, 1\} \end{cases}$$

for any  $f \in C_0((0, 1))$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and

$$\pi(g) := (g(0), g(1))$$

for any  $g \in C([0, 1])$ . It is left to the reader to check that this sequence is exact.

Corollary 6.7 and Example 8.18 give us the isomorphism

$$K_0(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}) \cong K_0(\mathbb{C}) \oplus K_0(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

On the other hand  $\mathbb{C}([0, 1]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  by Example 10.6. The map  $K_0(\pi) : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  is not a surjection, since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by one element but  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  cannot be generated by one element. Therefore the functor  $K_0$  does not take the short exact sequence in consideration to a short exact sequence of abelian groups.

## 10.2 Half-exactness of $\tilde{K}^0$

**Proposition 10.9.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Define  $I(A)$  to be all the continuous functions  $f \in C(X)$  that vanish on  $A$ , i.e.  $f(A) = \{0\}$ . Then the following are true*

1.  $I(A)$  is a closed ideal of  $C(X)$ .
2.  $I(A) \cong C_0(X \setminus A)$ .
3. Let  $[A]$  denote the point corresponding to  $A$  in the quotient  $X/A$ . Then  $(X/A) \setminus \{[A]\} \cong X \setminus A$  as locally compact Hausdorff spaces.
4.  $I(A) \cong C_0((X/A) \setminus \{[A]\})$ .
5.  $C(X)/I(A) \cong C(A)$ .

*Proof.* 1. Let  $f \in I(A)$  and  $g \in C(X)$ , then

$$(f \cdot g)(a) = f(a)g(a) = 0g(a) = 0$$

for all  $a \in A$ , so  $f \cdot g \in I(A)$ . Clearly if a convergent sequence of functions vanish on  $A$  then so does the limit. Hence  $I(A)$  is a closed ideal in  $C(X)$ .

2. Let  $\varphi : C_0(X \setminus A) \rightarrow C(X)$  be defined by

$$\varphi(f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & : x \in X \setminus A \\ 0 & : x \in A \end{cases}$$

for all  $f \in C_0(X \setminus A)$  and  $x \in X$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an open neighbourhood  $U \subseteq X$  with  $A \subseteq U$  satisfying  $|\varphi(f)(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in U$ . Hence we see that  $\varphi(f) \in C(X)$  for all  $f \in C_0(X \setminus A)$ . It is also clear from definition that the image of  $\varphi$  is contained in  $I(A)$ . We also define a map  $\psi : I(A) \rightarrow C(X \setminus A)$  by

$$\psi(g)(x) = g(x)$$

for all  $g \in I(A)$  and  $x \in X \setminus A$ . Since  $g(A) = \{0\}$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $U \supseteq A$  satisfying  $|g(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in U$ . Hence  $\psi(g) \in C_0(X \setminus A)$ . It is easy to check that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are mutual inverses. Therefore  $C_0(X \setminus A) \cong I(A)$ .

3. This is obvious.

4. This is a consequence of 2 and 3.

5. Define  $\varphi : C(X)/I(A) \rightarrow C(A)$  by letting  $\varphi([f]) = f|_A$ . If  $[f] = [g]$ , then  $(f - g)|_A = 0$ , so  $\varphi([f]) = \varphi([g])$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is well-defined.

Define  $\psi : C(A) \rightarrow C(X)/I(A)$  as follows. Fix  $h \in C(A)$ , by Tietze's extension theorem [7] the function  $h$  extends to a continuous function  $\tilde{h} \in C(X)$ . Let  $\psi(h) = [\tilde{h}]$ . It is easy to check that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are mutual inverses. Therefore

$$C(A) \cong C(X)/I(A). \blacksquare$$

**Corollary 10.10.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Under the identifications  $I(A) \cong C_0(X \setminus A)$  and  $C(A) \cong C(X)/I(A)$ , the following sequence is exact:*

$$K_0(C_0((X/A) \setminus \{[A]\})) \longrightarrow K_0(C(X)) \longrightarrow K_0(C(A))$$

*Proof.* Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I(A) & \longrightarrow & C(X) & \longrightarrow & C(X)/I(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C_0((X/A) \setminus \{[A]\}) & \longrightarrow & C(X) & \longrightarrow & C(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The upper row is clearly exact. The isomorphisms from the upper row to the lower row are given by Lemma 10.9. By the half-exactness of the functor  $K_0$  6.5, we obtain the exactness of the  $K_0$ -groups. ■

**Corollary 10.11.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Let  $\iota : A \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion map and let  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/A$  be the projection map. The following sequence is exact:*

$$\tilde{K}^0(X/A) \xrightarrow{K^0(\pi)} K^0(X) \xrightarrow{K^0(\iota)} K^0(A).$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 8.10, we know  $K^0(X) \cong K_0(C(X))$  and  $K^0(A) \cong K_0(C(A))$ . By Remark 9.17 and Remark 9.11, we have that  $K_0((X/A) \setminus \{[A]\}) \cong K^0((X/A) \setminus \{[A]\}) \cong \tilde{K}^0(X/A)$ . To see that  $K^0(\pi)$  and  $K^0(\iota)$  are the maps in this exact sequence, one can take  $\pi$  and  $\iota$  and chase through the proofs in this section. ■

**Remark 10.12.** The functor  $K^0$  is not half-exact. If  $A$  is a compact subset of a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and we take the quotient  $X/A$ , the subspace  $A$  is contracted to a point rather than deleted, and this point is not present in the corresponding C\*-algebra quotient. The point in  $X/A$  representing  $A$  detects the rank of the bundles, so we take the reduced  $\tilde{K}^0$  to delete this extra information and make the sequence exact.

**Proposition 10.13.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Then  $K^0(X) \oplus K^0(Y) \cong K^0(X \sqcup Y)$ .*

*Proof.* It can be easily verified that  $C(X) \oplus C(Y) \cong C(X \sqcup Y)$ . By Corollaries 6.7 and 8.10 we have

$$K^0(X) \oplus K^0(Y) \cong K_0(C(X)) \oplus K_0(C(Y)) \cong K_0(C(X) \oplus C(Y)) \cong K^0(X \sqcup Y). \blacksquare$$

## 11 What's next

Computing the  $K_0$  or  $K^0$  group can be very difficult even with the machinery we have developed. The next step is to define the higher  $K$ -groups by  $K_{n+1}(A) := K_n(SA)$  or  $K^{n-1}(X) := K^n(SX)$ , where  $S$  denotes the suspension



of the  $C^*$ -algebra or the topological space. The isomorphism  $K_n(C(X)) \cong K^{-n}(X)$  holds for all  $n$ . For a  $C^*$ -algebra and a closed ideal  $I$ , there exist connecting maps for which the long sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow K_2(A/I) \rightarrow K_1(I) \rightarrow K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(A/I) \rightarrow K_0(I) \rightarrow K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A/I)$$

is exact. The corresponding sequence is exact for the reduced topological  $K$ -theory, with arrows pointed in the opposite direction.

The celebrated Bott Periodicity theorem says that  $K_n(A) \cong K_{n+2}(A)$  (or  $K^n(X) \cong K^{n+2}(X)$ ) for all  $n$ . This reduces the above sequence to a sequence with six elements. It also implies that if we know the  $K_0$ - and  $K_1$ -group of a  $C^*$ -algebra then we can read off the  $K$ -groups of its suspensions. For example, to find the  $K$ -groups of spheres of any dimension, one only needs to compute  $K^0$  and  $K^1$  for the two pointed space  $S^0$ . The interested readers are referred to [1] and [4] for more details.

## References

- [1] M. Atiyah, *K-Theory*, W.A.Benjamin, United States (1967).
- [2] K. R. Davidson, *C\*-Algebras by Example*, American Mathematical Society, United States (1996).
- [3] A. Hatcher, *Vector Bundles and K-Theory*, <http://www.math.cornell.edu/hatcher/VBKT/VB.pdf> (2009).
- [4] F. Larsen, N. J. Laustsen and M. Rørdam, *An introduction to K-Theory for C\*-Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, England (2000).
- [5] L. Marcoux, *An Introduction to Operator Algebras*, <http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~lwmarcou/Preprints/PMath810Notes.pdf> (2005).
- [6] E. Park, *Complex Topological K-Theory*, Cambridge University Press, England (2008).
- [7] S. Willard, *General Topology*, Dover, United States (2004).