

# **CO350 Linear Programming**

## **Chapter 6: The Simplex Method**

8th June 2005

## Minimization Problem (§6.5)

We can solve minimization problems by transforming it into a maximization problem.

Another way is to change the selection rule for entering variable.

Since we want to minimize  $z$ , we would now choose a reduced cost  $\bar{c}_k$  that is **negative**, so that increasing the nonbasic variable  $x_k$  **decreases** the objective value.

We now stop with an optimal solution only when  $\bar{c}_j \geq 0$  for all  $j \in N$ .

**Final remark:**

We can always transform the LP into a maximization problem, and use the simplex method as normal.

## Choice Rules (§6.6)

In the simplex method, we need to make two choices at each step: **entering** and **leaving** variables.

When choosing **entering** variable, there may be more than one reduced cost  $\bar{c}_j > 0$ .

When choosing **leaving** variable, there may be more than one ratio  $\bar{b}_i / \bar{a}_{ik}$  that matches the minimum ratio.

We may pick **entering** and **leaving** variable arbitrarily in the event of multiple choices.

On the other hand, we may devise choice rules for choosing **entering** and **leaving** variable.

We leave the discussion of the choice rules for **leaving** variable to a later chapter.

---

## Rules for entering variables

We discuss four commonly used choice rules for entering variables.

We shall use the following tableau ( $T$ ) for illustration.

$$\begin{array}{rclclclcl}
 & z & - & 2x_1 & - & 3x_2 & & = & 0 \\
 (T) & & & x_1 & + & 2x_2 & + & x_3 & = & 2 \\
 & & & x_1 & - & x_2 & & + & x_4 & = & 3
 \end{array}$$

### **Largest coefficient rule.**

- This rule was first suggested by George Dantzig (the inventor of the simplex method).
- As a result, it is also known as Dantzig's rule.
- The rule states  
 “Pick the nonbasic variable with the **largest reduced cost**. Break tie arbitrarily”.
- E.g., in ( $T$ ), since  $\bar{c}_2 = 3 > 2 = \bar{c}_1$ , we choose  $x_2$  to enter according to this rule.
- This rule is well-motivated and widely used since it picks a variable that gives the **largest increase in objective value per unit of increase in the variable**.

## Rules for entering variables

We discuss four commonly used choice rules for entering variables.

We shall use the following tableau ( $T$ ) for illustration.

$$\begin{array}{rclclclcl}
 & z & - & 2x_1 & - & 3x_2 & & = & 0 \\
 (T) & & & x_1 & + & 2x_2 & + & x_3 & = & 2 \\
 & & & x_1 & - & x_2 & & + & x_4 & = & 3
 \end{array}$$

### **Smallest subscript rule.**

- This rule assumes that the variables are in pre-arranged (before starting the simplex method) in a certain order.
- When the variables are labelled  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , we order them in ascending order of their subscript (hence the name for the rule).
- The rule states  
“Pick the nonbasic variable with the **least subscript** among those with positive reduced cost”.
- E.g., in ( $T$ ), both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  have positive reduced costs. Since  $x_1$  has a smaller subscript than  $x_2$ , we choose  $x_1$  to enter according to this rule.
- This rule is not so well-motivated as Dantzig’s rule, but it is unambiguous — there is no need to break tie.

## Rules for entering variables

We discuss four commonly used choice rules for entering variables.

We shall use the following tableau ( $T$ ) for illustration.

$$(T) \quad \begin{array}{rclclcl} z & - & 2x_1 & - & 3x_2 & & = & 0 \\ & & x_1 & + & 2x_2 & + & x_3 & = & 2 \\ & & x_1 & - & x_2 & & + & x_4 & = & 3 \end{array}$$

### **Largest improvement rule.**

- This rule has the same motivation as Dantzig's rule — it aims for large increase in objective value.
- We need to compute the amount of possible increase  $t_j$  for each potential entering variable  $x_j$ .
- The rule states  
 “Pick the nonbasic variable with the **largest**  $\bar{c}_j t_j$  among those with positive reduced cost”.
- E.g., in ( $T$ ), both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  have positive reduced costs. For  $x_1$  we can increase it to  $t_1 = \min\{2/1, 3/1\} = 2$ . For  $x_2$  we can increase it to  $t_2 = \min\{2/2, -\} = 1$ . Since  $\bar{c}_1 t_1 = 2 \times 2 > 3 \times 1 = \bar{c}_2 t_2$ , we choose  $x_1$  to enter according to this rule.
- This rule picks the variable that results in the **largest overall increase in objective value**.

## Rules for entering variables

We discuss four commonly used choice rules for entering variables.

We shall use the following tableau ( $T$ ) for illustration.

$$(T) \quad \begin{array}{rclclcl} z & - & 2x_1 & - & 3x_2 & & = & 0 \\ & & x_1 & + & 2x_2 & + & x_3 & = & 2 \\ & & x_1 & - & x_2 & & + & x_4 & = & 3 \end{array}$$

### **Steepest edge rule.**

- This rule also aim for large increase in objective value; however, it does so geometrically.
- We need to compute, for each potential entering variable, the **increase in objective value per unit distance moved**.
- For each  $\bar{c}_k > 0$ , increasing  $x_k$  from 0 to  $t$  changes each basic variable  $x_i$  from  $x_i^*$  to  $x_i^* - \bar{a}_{ik}t$  and leaves the other nonbasic variables unchanged.

So the solution has moved a distance of

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i \in B} ((x_i^* - \bar{a}_{ik}t) - x_i^*)^2 + (t - 0)^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i \in B} \bar{a}_{ik}^2 t^2 + t^2}$$

Also, the objective value changes from  $\bar{v}$  to  $\bar{v} + \bar{c}_k t$ .

- So the increase in objective value per unit distance is

$$\frac{(\bar{v} + \bar{c}_k t) - \bar{v}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in B} \bar{a}_{ik}^2 t^2 + t^2}} = \frac{\bar{c}_k t}{t \sqrt{\sum_{i \in B} \bar{a}_{ik}^2 + 1}} = \frac{\bar{c}_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in B} \bar{a}_{ik}^2 + 1}}$$

## Rules for entering variables

We discuss four commonly used choice rules for entering variables.

We shall use the following tableau ( $T$ ) for illustration.

$$(T) \quad \begin{array}{rrrrrr} z & - & 2x_1 & - & 3x_2 & & = & 0 \\ & & x_1 & + & 2x_2 & + & x_3 & = & 2 \\ & & x_1 & - & x_2 & & + & x_4 & = & 3 \end{array}$$

### Steepest edge rule.

- So the increase in objective value per unit distance is

$$\frac{(\bar{v} + \bar{c}_k t) - \bar{v}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in B} \bar{a}_{ik}^2 t^2 + t^2}} = \frac{\bar{c}_k t}{t \sqrt{\sum_{i \in B} \bar{a}_{ik}^2 + 1}} = \frac{\bar{c}_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in B} \bar{a}_{ik}^2 + 1}}$$

- The rule states

“Pick the nonbasic variable  $x_k$  with the **largest value of**  $\frac{\bar{c}_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in B} \bar{a}_{ik}^2 + 1}}$  among those with positive reduced cost”.

- E.g., in ( $T$ ), both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  have positive reduced costs.

For  $x_1$ , we have  $\frac{\bar{c}_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in B} \bar{a}_{ik}^2 + 1}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1^2 + 1^2 + 1}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}.$

For  $x_2$ , we have  $\frac{\bar{c}_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in B} \bar{a}_{ik}^2 + 1}} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2^2 + (-1)^2 + 1}} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{6}}.$

Since  $\frac{3}{\sqrt{6}} > \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ , we choose  $x_2$  to enter.



# The Simplex Method and Duality (§6.7)

Suppose at the end of the simplex method, we have an optimal solution  $x^*$  determined by a basis  $B$  and the corresponding tableau

$$(T) \quad \begin{array}{rcl} z & - & \sum_{j \in N} \bar{c}_j x_j = \bar{v} \\ x_i & + & \sum_{j \in N} \bar{a}_{ij} x_j = \bar{b}_i \quad (i \in B) \end{array}$$

From  $(T)$ , we can give a proof of optimality of  $x^*$ .

Recall that we may also prove the optimality of  $x^*$  using the C.S. Theorem.

We only need to demonstrate a  $y^*$  that is feasible for the dual LP, and satisfy the C.S. condition with  $x^*$ .

It turns out that the simplex method is implicitly computing this  $y^*$  at the same time!

The simplex method uses elementary row operations to move from the initial tableau to the final optimal tableau

So the  $z$ -row in the final tableau must be obtained by taking a linear combination of the equations  $Ax = b$  and add it to the equation  $z - c^T x = 0$ .

Suppose that this linear combination is

$$\hat{y}_1 \times (\text{eqn. 1}) + \hat{y}_2 \times (\text{eqn. 2}) + \cdots + \hat{y}_m \times (\text{eqn. } m)$$

If we let  $\hat{y} = [\hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2, \dots, \hat{y}_m]^T$ , then we can write the linear combination as

$$\hat{y}^T Ax = \hat{y}^T b$$

In another words, the  $z$ -row  $[z - \sum_{j \in N} \bar{c}_j x_j = \bar{v}]$  is actually

$$z - c^T x + \hat{y}^T Ax = \hat{y}^T b$$

$$\text{i.e. } z - (c - A^T \hat{y})^T x = b^T \hat{y}$$

Comparing the above expression with the  $z$ -row, we conclude that

$$c_i - A_i^T \hat{y} = 0 \quad (i \in B)$$

$$c_j - A_j^T \hat{y} = \bar{c}_j \quad (j \in N)$$

Since  $x_i^* > 0 \implies i \in B \implies A_i^T \hat{y} = c_i$ ,

$\hat{y}$  satisfies the C.S. condition with  $x^*$ .

Since  $\bar{c}_j \leq 0$  for all  $j \in N$  in the optimal tableau  $(T)$ ,  $\hat{y}$  satisfies the dual constraints

$$A_i^T \hat{y} \geq c_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$$

By the C.S. Theorem,  $x^*$  is optimal for the primal and  $\hat{y}$  is optimal for the dual.