

# Anchored Graph Realization and Set Localization

Nathan Krislock, Veronica Piccialli, and Henry Wolk

University of Rome and University of Waterloo

# Outline

Problem Formulation, *EDMC*

Matrix Reformulation, *EDMC*

- Semidefinite Programming connection

SDP Relaxation of Hard Constraint  $\bar{Y} = PP^T$

Facial Reduction - Reduced Problem Model

Adjoint/Duality for *EDMC* – *R*

Primal-Dual Bilinear Optimality Conditions (overdetermined)

**Robust Interior-Point algorithm**

- Gauss-Newton Direction, crossover, exact p-d feasibility, p

MATLAB demonstration

Concluding Remarks

# Problem

- Ad hoc wireless sensor network
- A few anchors (e.g. with GPS/bulky) fixed, known locations
- sensors within a given range have known distance measurements (a)
- **Problem:** Determine positions of all
- **Parameters:** Radio range, # of anchors, noise level
- Semidefinite Relaxations/Robust A

# Problem Applications

- health, military, home
- natural habitat monitoring, earthquake detection, weather/current monitoring
- random deployment in inaccessible areas, disaster relief operations

# Problem Example with Radio Range

Figure 1: Connected Graph

# Problem Formula

- $p^1, \dots, p^n \in \mathbb{R}^r$  unknown (sensor)  
   $a^1, \dots, a^m \in \mathbb{R}^r$  known (anchor)  
   $r$  embedding dimension (usually 2 or 3)
- $A^T := [a^1, a^2, \dots, a^m]$      $X^T := [p^1, p^2, \dots, p^n]$

$$P^T := (p^1, p^2, \dots, p^n, a^1, a^2, \dots, a^m)$$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ A \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{rows are sensor/anchor}$$

# Definitions

- index sets of existing values of distances between pairs of sensors,  $\{p^i\}_1^n$ :  
 $\mathcal{N}_e$  distance values  
 $\mathcal{N}_u$  upper bounds on distances  
 $\mathcal{N}_l$  lower bounds on distances  
 Similarly, the index sets  $(\mathcal{M}_e, \mathcal{M}_u, \mathcal{M}_l)$  for pairs from  $\{p^i\}_1^n$  (sensors) and  $\{a^k\}_1^m$  (anchors)
- partial EDM matrix  $E$  of squared distances  

$$E_{ij} := \begin{cases} d_{ij}^2 & \text{if } ij \in \mathcal{N}_e \cup \mathcal{M}_e \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# Definitions

Similarly, we define

- the (partial) matrix of (squared distance) upper bounds

$U$ , using  $ij \in \mathcal{N}_u \cup \mathcal{M}_u$

- and the (partial) matrix of (squared distance) lower bounds

$L$ , using  $ij \in \mathcal{N}_l \cup \mathcal{M}_l$



# Weighted Least Squares Error

In the case  $E_{ij}$  have errors:

Let  $W_p, W_a$  be weight matrices. We define the weighted least squares error. (1)

$$f_1(P) := \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{N}_e} (W_p)_{ij} (\|p^i - p^j\|^2) + \sum_{(i,k) \in \mathcal{M}_e} (W_a)_{ik} (\|p^i - a^k\|^2)$$

# HARD (nonconvex) Constrained LS

*EDMC* Problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f_1(P) \quad (\text{weighted least squares}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \|p^i - p^j\|^2 \leq U_{ij} \quad \forall (i, j) \in \mathcal{N}_u \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{upper bound} \\ \text{on distance} \end{array} \right) \\ & \|p^i - a^k\|^2 \leq U_{ik} \quad \forall (i, k) \in \mathcal{M}_u \\ & \|p^i - p^j\|^2 \geq L_{ij} \quad \forall (i, j) \in \mathcal{N}_l \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{lower bound} \\ \text{on distance} \end{array} \right) \\ & \|p^i - a^k\|^2 \geq L_{ik} \quad \forall (i, k) \in \mathcal{M}_l \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{K}(\textit{SDP}) = \textit{EDM}$$

$B = PP^T$  ( $\textit{SDP}$ ).  $B_{ii} = (p^i)^T p^i$ ;  $B_{ij} =$   
The squared distance

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ij} &= \|p^i - p^j\|^2 && (\textit{EDM}) \\ &= (p^i)^T p^i + (p^j)^T p^j \\ &= \quad \quad \uparrow \quad \quad \uparrow \\ &= (\text{diag}(B)e^T + e\text{diag}(B))^T \\ &=: (\mathcal{K}(B))_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

$D = \mathcal{K}(B)$     change  $\textit{EDM}$   $D \leftrightarrow \textit{SDP}$

# Löwner Partial Order

matrix inner-product  $\langle M, N \rangle = \text{trace } M^T N$

Frobenius norm  $\|M\|^2 = \text{trace } M^T M$

In  $\mathcal{S}^n$ ,  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices:

$$B \succeq 0 \quad (\text{is positive semidefinite})$$

$$\iff$$

$$\exists P \text{ with } B = PP^T, \text{ rank}(B) = \text{rank}(P)$$

the positive semidefinite (Löwner) partial order

$$A \succeq B \text{ (} A \succ B \text{) if } A - B \succeq 0 \text{ (} A - B \succ 0 \text{)}$$

# Matrix Reformulation of $EDMC$

Let  $\bar{Y} := PP^T = \begin{pmatrix} XX^T & XA^T \\ AX^T & AA^T \end{pmatrix}$

We get the equivalent  $EDMC$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f_2(\bar{Y}) := \frac{1}{2} \|W \circ (\mathcal{K}(\bar{Y}) - \mathcal{K}(A))\|_F \\ \text{subject to} \quad & g_u(\bar{Y}) := H_u \circ (\mathcal{K}(\bar{Y}) - \mathcal{K}(A)) \leq 0 \\ & g_l(\bar{Y}) := H_l \circ (\mathcal{K}(\bar{Y}) - \mathcal{K}(A)) \leq 0 \\ & \text{hard constraint } \boxed{\bar{Y} - PP^T = 0} \end{aligned}$$

# SDP Relaxation of Hard Constraint

$$\bar{Y} = PP^T = \begin{pmatrix} XX^T & XA^T \\ AX^T & \boxed{AA^T} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{holds} \\ \iff \bar{Y}_{11} = XX^T \text{ and } \bar{Y}_{21} = AX^T, \boxed{\bar{Y}_{22} = AA^T}$$

Relax  $\bar{Y} = PP^T$  to (Löwner partial order)

$$\boxed{\bar{Y}_{22} = AA^T} \quad PP^T - \bar{Y} \succeq 0 \quad \text{quadr cone}$$

(But .... why this relaxation?)

# Convex wrt Löwner Partial Order

The constraint  $g(P, Y) = PP^T - Y \preceq 0$  is  $\succeq$ -convex, since each function

$$\phi_Q(P, Y) = \text{trace } Qg(P, Y) \quad \text{is convex}$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace } QPP^T &= \text{trace } QPIP^T \\ &= \text{vec}(P)^T (I \otimes Q) \text{vec}(P) \end{aligned}$$

Hessian is  $I \otimes Q \succeq 0$ ;  
and the cone  $\mathcal{SDP}$  is self-polar.

# Linearization of S Relaxation

$$PP^T - \bar{Y} \preceq 0, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ A \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boxed{\bar{Y}_{22} = Y_{22}}$$

$$\iff \text{(by Schur complement)} \\ Z = \begin{pmatrix} I & P^T \\ P & \bar{Y} \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ A \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boxed{\bar{Y}_{22} = Y_{22}}$$

$$\iff \text{(ignore } \bar{\cdot} \text{)} \\ Z = \begin{pmatrix} I & X^T & A^T \\ X & Y & Y_{21}^T \\ A & Y_{21} & \boxed{AA^T} \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0, \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{NO } Y_{22} \\ \Rightarrow Y_{22} \end{array} \right)$$



# Facial Reduction

$$Z_s := \begin{pmatrix} I & X^T \\ X & Y \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{(NE } 2 \times 2 \text{ block)} \\ \text{(Lin.Tr. but NE)} \end{array}$$

**THEOREM:**

$$\begin{aligned} Z = \begin{pmatrix} I & X^T & A^T \\ X & Y & Y_{21}^T \\ A & Y_{21} & \boxed{AA^T} \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0 \\ \iff \\ Z_s \succeq 0 \text{ and } Y_{21} = AX^T \end{aligned}$$

# Matrix $\longleftrightarrow$ Vector Notation I

vector  $v = \text{vec } V$  is matrix  $V$  taken column by column

$$\text{sblk}_{21} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X^T \\ X & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{2}X$$

pulls out the  $X$

the  $\sqrt{2}$  is for isometry in Frobenius norm

$$x := \text{vec} \left( \text{sblk}_{21} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X^T \\ X & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \sqrt{2} \text{vec}(X)$$

$y := \text{svec}(Y)$  ( $Y = Y^T$ , isom)

# Matrix $\longleftrightarrow$ Vector Notation II

(adjoints:  $\text{sblk}_{21}^*(X) =$ )

$$\text{sBlk}_{21}(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X^T \\ X & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{svec}^{-1}(\cdot) = \text{svec}^*(\cdot) = \text{sMat}(\cdot)$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^x(x) := \text{sBlk}_{21}(\text{Mat}(x)), \quad \mathcal{Z}_s^y(y) :=$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_s(x,y) := \mathcal{Z}_s^x(x) + \mathcal{Z}_s^y(y), \quad Z_s := \text{sBlk}_{21}(X)$$

to build  $Z_s = \begin{pmatrix} I & X^T \\ X & Y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}^{r+n}$

# Matrix ↔ Vector Notation III

$$\mathcal{Y}^x(x) = \text{sBlk}_{21}(\text{AMat}(x)^T), \; \mathcal{Y}^y(y) = \text{sBlk}_{22}(\text{AMat}(y)^T),$$

$$\mathcal{Y}(x,y) = \mathcal{Y}^x(x) + \mathcal{Y}^y(y), \; \boxed{\bar{Y} = \text{sBlk}_2(\text{AMat}(x,y)^T)}$$

$$\bar{E} := W \circ \left[ E - \mathcal{K}(\text{sBlk}_2(AA^T)) \right]$$

$$\bar{U} := H_u \circ \left[ \mathcal{K}(\text{sBlk}_2(AA^T)) - \mathcal{K}(U) \right]$$

$$\bar{L} := H_l \circ \left[ L - \mathcal{K}(\text{sBlk}_2(AA^T)) \right]$$

The unknown matrix  $\bar{Y}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{Y}(x,y)$  (with an additional constant  $2, 2$  block), i.e. unknown matrix of the vectors  $x, y$ .

# Equivalent Reduced Problem Model

(*EDMC* – *R*)

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f_3(x, y) := \tfrac{1}{2} \|W \circ (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x, y))) - \bar{W}\|_F^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g_u(x, y) := H_u \circ \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x, y)) - \bar{H}_u = 0 \\ & g_l(x, y) := \bar{L} - H_l \circ \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x, y)) = 0 \\ & x \in \text{sBlk}_1(I) + \mathcal{Z}_s \end{aligned}$$

(objective is  $\ell_2$  rather than  $\ell_1$  in the literature; see H. Jin(05), A. So, Y. Ye(05), P. Biswas(05), K. Toh, T. Wang, Y. Ye(06).)

# Problems with Relaxation

1.  $\{(\bar{Y}, P) : \bar{Y} = PP^T\} \subset \{(\bar{Y}, P) : \bar{P} = PP^T\}$   
(But, is Lagrangian relaxation strong?)
2. linearization (using Schur complement)  
results in a constraint that is *NOT* convex  
two relaxations *NOT* numerically equivalent
3. Least squares problem is (usually) underdetermined.

# Lagrangian of $EDMC - R$

$$\begin{aligned} L(x,y,\Lambda_u,\Lambda_l,\Lambda) = & \\ & \frac{1}{2}\|W\circ\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x,y)-\bar{E}\|_F^2 \\ & + \langle \Lambda_u, H_u\circ\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x,y))-\bar{U} \\ & + \langle \Lambda_l, \bar{L}-H_l\circ\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x,y) \\ & - \langle \Lambda, \text{sBlk}_1(I)+\mathcal{Z}_s(x) \end{aligned}$$

where  $0\leq \Lambda_u, 0\leq \Lambda_l\in \mathcal{S}^{m+n}, \quad 0\preceq \Lambda$

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1 & \Lambda_{21}^T \\ \Lambda_{21} & \Lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \langle A,B\rangle = \text{tr}(A^TB)$$

# Matrix $\longleftrightarrow$ Vector Variable Notation

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_u &:= \text{svec}(\Lambda_u), & \lambda_l &:= \text{svec}(\Lambda_l) \\ h_u &:= \text{svec}(H_u), & h_l &:= \text{svec}(H_l) \\ \lambda &:= \text{svec}(\Lambda), & \lambda_1 &:= \text{svec}(\Lambda_1) \\ \lambda_2 &:= \text{svec}(\Lambda_2), & \lambda_{21} &:= \text{vec sblk}(\Lambda_{21})\end{aligned}$$



# Adjoint

To differentiate the Lagrangian, we need the adjoints of the various linear transformation part of  $\mathcal{K}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_e(B) &= \text{diag}(B) e^T + e \text{diag}(B) \\ \mathcal{D}_e^*(D) &= 2\text{Diag}(De) \\ \langle \mathcal{D}_e(B), D \rangle &= \text{trace}(\text{diag}(B) e^T D + \text{diag}(B) e D^T) \\ &= \text{trace}(De(\text{diag } B)^T + (\text{diag } B) De^T) \\ &= 2\text{trace}(\text{diag } B)^T (De) \\ &= \langle B, \mathcal{D}_e^*(D) \rangle, \forall D, B\end{aligned}$$

# Primal-Dual Optimality Conditions 1

**THEOREM:** The primal-dual variables  $x, y, \lambda, \mu, S_u, S_l, Z_s$  are optimal for  $EDMC - R$  if and only if

## 1. Primal Feasibility:

The slack variables satisfy

$$S_u = \bar{U} - H_u \circ (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x, y))), \quad S_u = \bar{U} - H_u \circ (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x, y)))$$

$$S_l = H_l \circ (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x, y))) - \bar{L}, \quad S_l = H_l \circ (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x, y))) - \bar{L}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_s &= \text{sBlk}_1(I) + \text{sBlk}_2 \text{sMat}(y) - \text{sBlk}_2 \text{sMat}(y) \\ &\succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

# Primal-Dual Optimality Conditions 2a

## 2a. Dual Feasibility:

The stationarity equations ( $\Rightarrow$  exactness)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{Z}_s^x)^*(\Lambda) &= \lambda_{21} \quad \text{(eliminated)} \\ &= [W \circ (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Y}^x)]^* (W \circ \mathcal{K}^* \Lambda) \\ &\quad + [H_u \circ (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Y}^x)]^* (\Lambda) \\ &\quad - [H_l \circ (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Y}^x)]^* (\Lambda) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{Z}_s^y)^*(\Lambda) &= \lambda_2 \quad \text{(eliminated)} \\ &= [W \circ (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Y}^y)]^* (W \circ \mathcal{K}^* \Lambda) \\ &\quad + [H_u \circ (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Y}^y)]^* (\Lambda) \\ &\quad - [H_l \circ (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Y}^y)]^* (\Lambda) \end{aligned}$$

# Primal-Dual Optimality Conditions 2b

## 2b. Dual Feasibility: Nonnegativity

$$\Lambda = s\text{Blk}_1 s\text{Mat}(\lambda_1) + s\text{Blk}_2 s\text{Mat}(\lambda_2) + s\text{Blk}_{21} \text{Mat}(\lambda_{21}) \succeq 0;$$

$$\lambda_u \geq 0; \lambda_l \geq 0$$

$$\Lambda = \Lambda(\lambda_1, x, y, \lambda_u, \lambda_l) \quad (\text{from step 2a})$$

# Primal-Dual Opti

## Conditions 3 (C.S

### 3. Complementary Slackness:

$$\lambda_u \circ s_u = 0$$

$$\lambda_l \circ s_l = 0$$

$$\Lambda Z_s = 0 \quad (\text{equivalently } \text{tra$$

# Perturbed Complete Slack. Condition

$$F_{\mu}(x,y,\lambda_u,\lambda_l,\lambda_1) := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_u \circ s_u - \mu_u L_u \\ \lambda_l \circ s_l - \mu_l L_l \\ \boxed{\Lambda Z_s - \mu_c L_c} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $s_u = s_u(x,y)$ ,  $s_l = s_l(x,y)$ ,  
 $\Lambda = \Lambda(\lambda_1,x,y,\lambda_u,\lambda_l)$ ,  $Z_s = Z_s(x,y)$   
 an overdetermined bilinear system with  
 $(m_u + n_u) + (m_l + n_l) + (n + r)^2$  equations  
 $nr + t(n) + (m_u + n_u) + (m_l + n_l) + t(n)$

# Gauss-Newton Search Direction

$$\Delta s := \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \\ \Delta \lambda_u \\ \Delta \lambda_l \\ \Delta \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

overdetermined linearized system is:

$$F'_\mu(\Delta s) \cong F'_\mu(x, y, \lambda_u, \lambda_l, \lambda_1)(\Delta s) = -F_\mu$$

# Notation - Components of Lin. Tr.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_H^x(x) &:= H \circ (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}^x(x))) \\ \mathcal{K}_H^y(y) &:= H \circ (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}^y(y))) \\ \mathcal{K}_H(x, y) &:= H \circ (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x, y)))\end{aligned}$$



# GN: Three blocks

## Equations

1.  $\lambda_u \circ \text{svec } \mathcal{K}_{H_u}(\Delta x, \Delta y) + s_u \circ \Delta \lambda_u =$
2.  $\lambda_l \circ \text{svec } \mathcal{K}_{H_l}(\Delta x, \Delta y) + s_l \circ \Delta \lambda_l = \mu$
3. 
$$\begin{aligned} & \Lambda \mathcal{Z}_s(\Delta x, \Delta y) + [\text{sBlk}_1(\text{sMat}(\Delta \lambda_1)) \\ & + \text{sBlk}_2(\text{sMat}\{(\mathcal{K}_W^y)^* \mathcal{K}_W(\Delta x, \Delta y) - \\ & (\mathcal{K}_{H_u}^y)^*(\text{sMat}(\Delta \lambda_u)) - (\mathcal{K}_{H_l}^y)^*(\text{sMat}(\Delta \lambda_l))\}) \\ & + \text{sBlk}_{21}(\text{Mat}\{(\mathcal{K}_W^x)^* \mathcal{K}_W(\Delta x, \Delta y) - \\ & + (\mathcal{K}_{H_u}^x)^*(\text{sMat}(\Delta \lambda_u)) - (\mathcal{K}_{H_l}^x)^*(\text{sMat}(\Delta \lambda_l))\}) \\ & = \mu_c I - \Lambda Z_s \end{aligned}$$

## Initial Str. Feas. S Heuristic

If the graph is connected, we can use stationarity equations and get a strictly primal-dual starting point and *maintain numerical primal-dual feasibility* through iterations.

# Diagonal Preconditioning

Given  $A \in \mathcal{M}^{m \times n}$ ,  $m \geq n$  full rank matrix  
using condition number of  $K \succ 0$ :

$\omega(K) = \frac{\text{trace}(K)/n}{\det(K)^{1/n}}$ , the optimal diagonal

$$\min_{D \succ 0} \omega \left( (AD)^T (AD) \right), \quad D^* = \text{Diag} \left( \frac{\text{trace}(A^T A)}{\det(A^T A)^{1/n}} \right)$$

(cite Dennis-W.) Therefore, need to evaluate  
 $F'_\mu(\cdot)$  (can be done explicitly/efficiently)

---

(Partial block Cholesky preconditioning)

dens: W .75,L .8;  
n 15, m 5, r 2

nf	optvalue	relaxation	cond.number
0.0000e+000	3.9909e-009	1.1248e-005	3.8547e+006
5.0000e-002	7.5156e-004	4.4637e-002	1.0244e+011
1.0000e-001	3.7103e-003	1.1286e-001	1.9989e+010
1.5000e-001	6.2623e-003	1.3125e-001	1.0065e+010
2.0000e-001	1.3735e-002	1.3073e-001	6.8833e+009
2.5000e-001	2.3426e-002	2.4828e-001	2.4823e+010
3.0000e-001	6.0509e-002	2.3677e-001	3.4795e+010
3.5000e-001	5.5367e-002	3.7260e-001	2.3340e+008
4.0000e-001	7.6703e-002	3.6343e-001	8.9745e+010
4.5000e-001	1.2493e-001	6.9625e-001	3.2590e+010
5.0000e-001	1.3913e-001	3.9052e-001	2.2870e+005
5.5000e-001	8.8552e-002	3.8742e-001	5.8879e+007
6.0000e-001	4.2425e-001	4.1399e-001	4.9251e+012

Anchored Graph Re

dens: W .75,L .8;  
n 15, m 5, r 2

nf	optvalue	relaxation	cond.number
0.0000e+000	3.9909e-009	1.1248e-005	3.8547e+006
5.0000e-002	7.5156e-004	4.4637e-002	1.0244e+011
5.5000e-001	8.8552e-002	3.8742e-001	5.8879e+007
6.0000e-001	4.2425e-001	4.1399e-001	4.9251e+012
6.5000e-001	2.0414e-001	6.6054e-001	2.4221e+010
7.0000e-001	1.2028e-001	3.4328e-001	1.9402e+010
7.5000e-001	2.6590e-001	7.9316e-001	1.3643e+011
8.0000e-001	4.7155e-001	3.7822e-001	6.6910e+009
8.5000e-001	1.8951e-001	5.8652e-001	1.4185e+011
9.0000e-001	2.1741e-001	9.8757e-001	2.9077e+005
9.5000e-001	4.4698e-001	4.6648e-001	2.7013e+006

Table 1: Robust Algorithm for Ill-posed  
Anchored Graph Regression