

# **Semidefinite Programming and Matrix Completions**

Henry Wolkowicz

Department of Combinatorics & Optimization  
University of Waterloo

## OUTLINE

1. Short intro. to SDP
2. SDP and positive definite matrix completions
3. Euclidean distance matrix (EDM) completions
4. New characterization for EDM;  
solving large sparse problems

(Advantages of using  $X - \mu Z^{-1} = 0$  form of perturbed complementary slackness.)

## SDP BACKGROUND and NOTATION

Semidefinite Programming  
looks just like  
Linear Programming

$$\begin{array}{llll} p^* = & \max & \text{trace } CX & (\langle C, X \rangle) \\ \text{(PSDP)} & \text{s.t.} & \mathcal{A}X = b & \text{(linear)} \\ & & X \succeq 0, (X \in \mathcal{P}) & \text{(nonneg)} \end{array}$$

$\preceq$  denotes the Löwner partial order

$A \preceq B$  if  $B - A \succeq 0$

$\mathcal{S}^n$  denotes  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices

$$\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^m$$

$$(\mathcal{A}X)_i = \text{trace}(A_i X), \text{ for given } A_i \in \mathcal{S}^n$$

$\mathcal{P}$  - cone of positive semidefinite matrices

replaces

$\mathfrak{R}_+^n$  - nonnegative orthant

## DUALITY

payoff function, player  $Y$  to player  $X$  (Lagrangian)

$$L(X, y) := \text{trace}(CX) + y^t(b - AX)$$

Optimal (worst case) strategy for player  $X$ :

$$p^* = \max_{X \succeq 0} \min_y L(X, y)$$

Using the *hidden constraint*  $b - AX = 0$ , recovers primal problem.

$$\begin{aligned}
L(X, y) &= \text{trace}(CX) + y^t(b - \mathcal{A}X) \\
&= b^t y + \text{trace}(C - \mathcal{A}^*y)X
\end{aligned}$$

adjoint operator,  $\mathcal{A}^*y = \sum_i y_i A_i$

$$\langle \mathcal{A}^*y, X \rangle = \langle y, \mathcal{A}X \rangle, \quad \forall X, y$$

$$p^* = \max_{X \succeq 0} \min_y L(X, y) \leq d^* := \min_y \max_{X \succeq 0} L(X, y)$$

The *hidden constraint*  $C - \mathcal{A}^*y \preceq 0$

$$p^* = \max_{X \succeq 0} \min_y L(X, y) \leq d^* := \min_y \max_{X \succeq 0} L(X, y)$$

dual obtained from optimal strategy of competing player Y;  
 use *hidden constraint*  $C - \mathcal{A}^*y \preceq 0$

$$\text{(DSDP)} \quad \begin{aligned} d^* = \min \quad & b^t y \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathcal{A}^*y \succeq C \end{aligned}$$

for the primal

$$\text{(PSDP)} \quad \begin{aligned} p^* = \max \quad & \text{trace } CX \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathcal{A}X = b \\ & X \succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Characterization of optimality for the  
dual pair  $X, y$  (slack  $Z \succeq 0$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^*y - Z &= C && \text{dual feasibility} \\ AX &= b && \text{primal feasibility} \\ ZX &= 0 && \text{complementary slackness} \\ ZX &= \mu I && \text{perturbed} \end{aligned}$$

Forms the basis for:

interior point methods  
(primal simplex method, dual simplex method)



**Positive Definite Completions  
of  
Partial Hermitian Matrices**

- $\mathcal{G}(V, E)$  finite undirected graph
- $A(\mathcal{G})$  is a  $\mathcal{G}$ -partial matrix ( $a_{ij}$  defined iff  $\{i, j\} \in E$ )
- $A(\mathcal{G})$  is a  $\mathcal{G}$ -partial positive matrix if  $a_{ij} = \overline{a_{ji}}, \forall \{i, j\} \in E$  and all existing principal minors are positive.
- with  $\mathcal{J} = (V, \bar{E}), E \subset \bar{E}$  a  $\mathcal{J}$ -partial matrix  $B(\mathcal{J})$  extends the  $\mathcal{G}$ -partial matrix  $A(\mathcal{G})$  if  $b_{ij} = a_{ij}, \forall \{i, j\} \in E$
- $\mathcal{G}$  is positive completable if every  $\mathcal{G}$ -partial positive matrix can be extended to a positive definite matrix.

$\mathcal{G}$  is **chordal** if there are no minimal cycles of length  $\geq 4$ . (every cycle of length  $\geq 4$  has a chord)

**THEOREM** (Grone, Johnson, Sa, Wolkowicz)

$\mathcal{G}$  is positive completable iff  $\mathcal{G}$  is chordal. ■

equivalently - strict feasibility for SDP:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace } E_{ij}P &= a_{ij}, \quad \forall \{i, j\} \in E \\ P &\succ 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $E_{ij} = e_i e_j^t + e_j e_i^t$

## Approximate Positive Semidefinite Completions

(with Charlie Johnson  
and Brenda Kroschel)

given:

$H = H^t \geq 0$  a real, nonnegative (elementwise) **symmetric matrix of weights**, with positive diagonal elements  $H_{ii} > 0, \forall i$ ;  
and  $A = A^*$  the **given partial Hermitian matrix**  
(i.e. some elements approximately fixed; others free; for notational purposes, assume free elements set to 0 if not specified.)

$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\text{trace } A^*A}$  *Frobenius norm*,  $\circ$  denotes *Hadamard product*.

$$f(P) := \|H \circ (A - P)\|_F^2$$

**weighted, best approximate,  
completion problem**

$$(AC) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \mu^* := & \min \quad f(P) \\ & \text{subject to} \quad KP = b \\ & P \succeq 0, \end{array}$$

where  $K : \mathcal{H}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^m$  linear operator

Lagrangian:

$$L(P, y, \Lambda) = f(P) + \langle y, b - KP \rangle - \text{trace } \Lambda P$$

Dual problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & f(P) + \langle y, b - KP \rangle - \text{trace } \Lambda P \\ (DAC) \text{ subject to} \quad & \nabla f(P) - K^*y - \Lambda = 0 \\ & \Lambda \succeq 0. \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM** The matrix  $\bar{P} \succeq 0$  and vector-matrix  $\bar{y}, \bar{\Lambda} \succeq 0$  solve AC and DAC if and only if

$$\begin{array}{ll} K\bar{P} = b & \text{primal feas.} \\ 2H^{(2)} \circ (\bar{P} - A) - K^*\bar{y} - \bar{\Lambda} = 0 & \text{dual feas.} \\ \text{trace } \bar{\Lambda}\bar{P} = 0 & \text{compl. slack.} \end{array}$$

■

For simplicity and sparsity, discard linear operator  $K$  and replace with appropriate weights in  $H$ .

Use (*square*) perturbed optimality conditions.

$$\begin{aligned} 2H^{(2)} \circ (P - A) - \Lambda &= 0 && \text{dual feasibility} \\ -P + \mu\Lambda^{-1} &= 0 && \text{perturbed C.S.} \end{aligned}$$

Linearization of second equation  
and solve for  $h$  and  $l$

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \mu\Lambda^{-1} - \mu\Lambda^{-1}l\Lambda^{-1} - P \\ l &= \frac{1}{\mu} \{-\Lambda(P + h)\Lambda\} + \Lambda \end{aligned}$$

Dual Step First:

(if many elements of  $P$  are free)

We can eliminate the primal step  $h$  and solve for the dual step  $l$ .

$$\begin{aligned} l &= 2H^{(2)} \circ h + (2H^{(2)} \circ (P - A) - \Lambda) \\ &= 2H^{(2)} \circ (\mu\Lambda^{-1} - \mu\Lambda^{-1}l\Lambda^{-1} - P) \\ &\quad + (2H^{(2)} \circ (P - A) - \Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, we get the Newton equation

$$2H^{(2)} \circ (\mu\Lambda^{-1}l\Lambda^{-1}) + l = 2H^{(2)} \circ (\mu\Lambda^{-1} - A) - \Lambda.$$

$l, \Lambda$  have same sparsity pattern as  $H$ ,  
order is number of nonzeros/2 in  $H$ .



dim	toler	<i>H</i> dens./infty	Apsd	cond(A)	<i>H</i> pd	min/max	iters
60	10 <sup>-6</sup>	.01/.001	yes	79.7	no	15/23	16.8
65	10 <sup>-6</sup>	.015/.001	yes	49.9	yes	18/24	21.3
83	10 <sup>-6</sup>	.007/.001	no	235.1	no	24/29	25.5
85	10 <sup>-5</sup>	.008/.001	yes	94.7	no	11/17	13.1
85	10 <sup>-6</sup>	.0075/.001	no	299.9	no	23/27	25.2
87	10 <sup>-6</sup>	.006/.001	yes	74.2	yes	14/19	16.9
89	10 <sup>-6</sup>	.006/.001	no	179.3	no	23/28	15.2
110	10 <sup>-6</sup>	.007/.001	yes	172.3	yes	15/20	17.8
155	10 <sup>-6</sup>	.01/0	yes	643.9	yes	14/18	15.3
655	10 <sup>-6</sup>	.017/0	yes	1.4	no	14/14	14.
755	10 <sup>-6</sup>	.002/0	yes	1.5	no	15/15	15.

data for dual-step-first (20 problems per test): dimension; tolerance for duality gap;  
density of nonzeros in *H* / density of infinite values in *H*;  
positive semidefiniteness of *A*; condition number of *A*; positive definiteness of *H*;

(only one test for: 655,755)

## Euclidean Distance Matrix Completion Problem

(with Abdo Alfakih)

### What are EDMs?

—

A **pre-distance matrix** (or dissimilarity matrix):

- an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix  $D = (d_{ij})$  with nonnegative elements and zero diagonal

—

A (squared) **Euclidean distance matrix** (EDM):

- a pre-distance matrix such that there exists points  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n$  in  $\mathfrak{R}^r$  such that

$$d_{ij} = \|x^i - x^j\|^2, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

—

The smallest value of  $r$  is called **the embedding dimension** of  $D$ .  
( $r$  is always  $\leq n - 1$ )

**EDM problem:**

Given a partial symmetric matrix  $A$  with certain elements specified, the Euclidean distance matrix completion problem (EDMCP) consists in finding the unspecified elements of  $A$  that make  $A$  a EDM.

**WHY?**

e.g.:

- The shape of an enzyme determines its chemical function. Once the shape is known, then the proper drug can be designed.
  
- distance geometry on molecules: Atoms are points in space with pairwise distances; find a set of points which yield those distances.

For approximate EDMCP:

$A$  is a pre-distance matrix,  $H$  is an  $n \times n$  symmetric weight matrix,

$$f(D) := \|H \circ (A - D)\|_F^2,$$

$$(CDM_0) \quad \mu^* := \min_{D \in \mathcal{E}} f(D)$$

subject to  $D \in \mathcal{E}$ ,

where  $\mathcal{E}$  denotes the cone of EDMs.

**DISTANCE GEOMETRY** A pre-distance matrix  $D$  is a EDM if and only if  $D$  is negative semidefinite on

$$M := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^t e = 0\},$$

where  $e$  is the vector of all ones.

Define **centered** and **hollow** subspaces

$$\mathcal{S}_C := \{B \in \mathcal{S}^n : Be = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_H := \{D \in \mathcal{S}^n : \text{diag}(D) = 0\}.$$

Define two linear operators

$$\mathcal{K}(B) := \text{diag}(B)e^t + e \text{diag}(B)^t - 2B,$$

$$\mathcal{T}(D) := -\frac{1}{2}JDJ.$$

The operator  $-2\mathcal{T}$  is an orthogonal projection onto  $\mathcal{S}_C$ .

**THEOREM** The linear operators satisfy

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{S}_C) = \mathcal{S}_H,$$

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}_H) = \mathcal{S}_C,$$

and  $\mathcal{K}|_{\mathcal{S}_C}$  and  $\mathcal{T}|_{\mathcal{S}_H}$  are inverses of each other. ■

A hollow matrix  $D$  is EDM

if and only if

$B = \mathcal{T}(D) \succeq 0$  (positive semidefinite)

$D$  is EDM

if and only if

$D = \mathcal{K}(B)$ , for some  $B$  with  $Be = 0$  and  $B \succeq 0$ .

In this case the embedding dimension  $r$  is given by the rank of  $B$ .

Moreover if  $B = XX^t$ , then the coordinates of the points

$x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n$  that generate  $D$  are given by the rows of  $X$  and, since  $Be = 0$ , it follows that the origin coincides with the centroid of these points.

**For Projection:**  $V$   $n \times (n - 1)$ , full column rank with  $V^t e = 0$ .

$$J := VV^\dagger = I - \frac{ee^t}{n}$$

is orthogonal projection onto  $M$ , where  $V^\dagger$  denotes Moore-Penrose generalized inverse.



The cone of EDMs,  $\mathcal{E}$ , has empty interior. This can cause problems for interior-point methods.

$$V \cdot V : \mathcal{S}_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n$$

$$V \cdot V : \mathcal{P}_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$$

Define the composite operators

$$\mathcal{K}_V(X) := \mathcal{K}(VXV^t),$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_V(D) := V^\dagger \mathcal{T}(D)(V^\dagger)^t = -\frac{1}{2}V^\dagger D(V^\dagger)^t.$$

**LEMMA**

$$\mathcal{K}_V(\mathcal{S}_{n-1}) = \mathcal{S}_H,$$

$$\mathcal{T}_V(\mathcal{S}_H) = \mathcal{S}_{n-1},$$

and  $\mathcal{K}_V$  and  $\mathcal{T}_V$  are inverses of each other on these two spaces. ■

**COROLLARY**

$$\mathcal{K}_V(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{E},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_V(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{P}.$$

■

### Summary

(Re)Define the closest EDM problem:

$$\begin{aligned} f(X) &:= \|H \circ (A - \mathcal{K}_V(X))\|_F^2 \\ &= \|H \circ \mathcal{K}_V(B - X)\|_F^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B = \mathcal{T}_V(A)$ .

( $\mathcal{K}_V$  and  $\mathcal{T}_V$  are both linear operators)

$$(CDM) \quad \mu^* := \begin{array}{ll} \min & f(X) \\ \text{subject to} & X \succeq 0. \end{array}$$

**Primal-Dual Interior-Point Framework:**

STEPS:

1. derive a dual program
2. state optimality conditions for log-barrier problem (perturbed primal-dual optimality conditions)
3. find a search direction for solving the perturbed optimality conditions
4. take a step and backtrack to stay strictly feasible (positive definite)
5. Update and go to Step 3 (adaptive update of log-barrier parameter)

**Step 1. derive a dual program:**

$\Lambda \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1}, \Lambda \succeq 0$  and  $y \in R^m$ ,

Lagrangian is

$$L(X, y, \Lambda) = f(X) + \langle y, b - \mathcal{A}(X) \rangle - \langle \Lambda, X \rangle$$

primal program (CDM) is

$$= \min_X \max_{\substack{y \\ \Lambda \succeq 0}} L(X, y, \Lambda).$$

dual program is:

$$= \max_{\substack{y \\ \Lambda \succeq 0}} \min_X L(X, y, \Lambda),$$

The inner minimization of the convex, in  $X$ , Lagrangian is unconstrained so we add the hidden constraint which makes the minimization redundant.

dual program (DCDM)

$$\max_{\substack{\nabla f(X) - \mathcal{A}^*y = \Lambda \\ \Lambda \succeq 0}} f(X) + \langle y, b - \mathcal{A}(X) \rangle - \text{trace} \Lambda X.$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \max && f(X) + \langle y, b - \mathcal{A}(X) \rangle - \text{trace} \Lambda X \\ \text{subject to} &&& \nabla f(X) - \mathcal{A}^*y - \Lambda = 0 \\ &&& \Lambda \succeq 0, (X \succeq 0). \end{aligned}$$

the duality gap,

$$f(X) - (f(X) + \langle y, b - \mathcal{A}(X) \rangle - \text{trace } \Lambda X),$$

in the case of primal and dual feasibility, is given by the complementary slackness condition:

$$\text{trace } X(\mathcal{K}_V^*(H^{(2)} \circ \mathcal{K}_V(X - B)) - \mathcal{A}^*y) = 0,$$

or equivalently

$$X(\mathcal{K}_V^*(H^{(2)} \circ \mathcal{K}_V(X - B)) - \mathcal{A}^*y) = 0,$$

where  $H^{(2)} = H \circ H$ .

**THEOREM** Suppose that Slater's condition holds. Then  $\bar{X} \succ 0$ , and  $\bar{y}, \bar{\Lambda} \succeq 0$  solve (CDM) and (DCDM), respectively, if and only if the following three equations hold.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\bar{X}) &= b && \text{prim. feas.} \\ 2\mathcal{K}_V^*(H^{(2)} \circ \mathcal{K}_V(\bar{X} - B)) - \mathcal{A}^*\bar{y} - \bar{\Lambda} &= 0 && \text{dual feas.} \\ \text{trace } \bar{\Lambda}\bar{X} &= 0 && \text{C.S.} \end{aligned}$$

■

**LEMMA** Let  $H$  be an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix with nonnegative elements and 0 diagonal such that the graph of  $H$  is connected.

Then

$$\mathcal{K}_V^*(H^{(2)} \circ \mathcal{K}_V(I)) \succ 0,$$

where  $I \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1}$  is the identity matrix.

■



**Step 2. state optimality conditions for log-barrier problem  
(perturbed primal-dual optimality conditions):**

The log-barrier problem for (CDM) is

$$\min_{X \succ 0} B_\mu(X) := f(X) - \mu \log \det(X),$$

where  $\mu \downarrow 0$ .

For each  $\mu > 0$  we take one Newton step for solving the stationarity condition

$$\nabla B_\mu(X) = 2\mathcal{K}_V^*(H^{(2)} \circ \mathcal{K}_V(X - B)) - \mu X^{-1} = 0.$$

Let

$$C := 2\mathcal{K}_V^*(H^{(2)} \circ \mathcal{K}_V(B)) = 2\mathcal{K}_V^*(H^{(2)} \circ A).$$

Then the stationarity condition is equivalent to

$$\nabla B_\mu(X) = 2\mathcal{K}_V^*(H^{(2)} \circ \mathcal{K}_V(X)) - C - \mu X^{-1} = 0.$$

equating  $\Lambda = \mu X^{-1}$  and multiplying through by  $X$

optimality conditions,  $F := \begin{pmatrix} F_d \\ F_c \end{pmatrix} = 0$ ,

$$2\mathcal{K}_V^*(H^{(2)} \circ \mathcal{K}_V(X)) - C - \Lambda = 0 \quad \text{dual feas.}$$

$$\Lambda X - \mu I = 0 \quad \text{pert. C.S.,}$$

(an OVERDETERMINED nonlinear system since  $\Lambda X$  not symmetric)

estimate of the barrier parameter

$$\mu = \frac{1}{n-1} \text{trace } \Lambda X$$

$\sigma_k$  centering parameter  
 $\mathcal{F}^0$  set of strictly feasible primal-dual points  
 $F'$  derivative of  $F$

**Algorithm 1** (*p-d i-p framework:*)

**Given**  $(X^0, \Lambda^0) \in \mathcal{F}^0$

**for**  $k = 0, 1, 2 \dots$

**solve** for the search direction

$$F'(X^k, \Lambda^k) \begin{pmatrix} \delta X^k \\ \delta \Lambda^k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -F_d \\ -\Lambda^k X^k + \sigma_k \mu_k I \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\sigma_k$  centering,  $\mu_k = \frac{\text{trace } X^k \Lambda^k}{(n-1)}$

$$(X^{k+1}, \Lambda^{k+1}) = (X^k, \Lambda^k) + \alpha_k (\delta X^k, \delta \Lambda^k)$$

so that  $(X^{k+1}, \Lambda^{k+1}) \succ 0$

**end (for).**

For the EDM: search direction (Gauss-Newton direction) is the Frobenius norm lss of  $F's = -F$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathcal{K}_V^* (H^{(2)} \circ \mathcal{K}_V(h)) - l &= -F_d \\ \Lambda h + lX &= -F_c. \end{aligned}$$

$t(n) = \frac{(n+1)n}{2}$  dimension of  $\mathcal{S}^n$ .

$$F's = \begin{bmatrix} F'_{u1} & F'_{u2} \\ F'_{l1} & F'_{l2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ l \end{pmatrix} = rhs = \begin{pmatrix} rhs_1 \\ rhs_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$F' : \mathfrak{R}^{2(t(n-1))} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^{t(n-1)+(n-1)^2}$$

*Larger Models*

Instead of projecting and reducing the dimension to get Slater's condition, add a variable and increase the dimension.

**Lemma 1** *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F} &:= \{X \in \mathcal{S}^n : v^T e = 0 \Rightarrow v^T X v \leq 0\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_0 &:= \{X \in \mathcal{S}^n : X - \alpha e e^t \preceq 0, \text{ for some } \alpha \geq 0\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_1 &:= \{X \in \mathcal{S}^n : X - \alpha e e^t \preceq 0, \forall \alpha \geq \bar{\alpha}, \\ &\quad \text{for some } \bar{\alpha} \geq 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

*Then*

$$\text{ri}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F} \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}_0}. \quad (1)$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\bar{X} \in \text{ri}(\mathcal{F})$  (i.e.  $v^T e = 0, v \neq 0 \Rightarrow v^T \bar{X} v < 0$ ) but  $\bar{X} \notin \mathcal{F}_0$ . Then, for each  $\alpha \geq 0$ , there exists  $w_\alpha$  with  $\|w_\alpha\| = 1$ , such that  $w_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{w}$ , as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$w_\alpha^T (\bar{X} - \alpha e e^t) w_\alpha > 0, \quad \forall \alpha \geq 0,$$

i.e.

$$w_\alpha^T \bar{X} w_\alpha > \alpha w_\alpha^T e e^t w_\alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \geq 0.$$

Since  $w_\alpha$  converges and the left-hand-side of the above inequality must be finite, this implies that  $e^t \bar{w} = \bar{w}^T \bar{X} \bar{w} = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\text{ri}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}_0$ . That  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}_1$  is clear.

Now suppose that  $\bar{X} - \alpha e e^t \preceq 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Let  $v^T e = 0$ . Then  $0 \geq v^T (\bar{X} - \alpha e e^t) v = v^T \bar{X} v$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$ . The final inclusion comes from the first and the fact that  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 1** *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E} &:= \{X \in \mathcal{S}_H : v^T e = 0 \Rightarrow v^T X v \leq 0\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_0 &:= \{X \in \mathcal{S}_H : X - \alpha e e^t \preceq 0, \text{ for some } \alpha\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_1 &:= \{X \in \mathcal{S}_H : X - \alpha e e^t \preceq 0, \forall \alpha \geq \bar{\alpha}, \\ &\quad \text{for some } \bar{\alpha}\}.\end{aligned}$$

*Then*

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_1. \quad (2)$$

**Proof.** (Similar to Lemma 1.) For closure, suppose  $0 \neq X_k \in \mathcal{E}_0$ , i.e.  $\text{diag}(X_k) = 0$ ,  $X_k \preceq \alpha_k E$ , for some  $\alpha_k$ ; and, suppose  $X_k \rightarrow \bar{X}$ . Since  $X_k$  is hollow it has exactly one positive eigenvalue which must be smaller than  $\alpha_k$ . However, since  $X_k$  converges to  $\bar{X}$ ,  $\bar{X} \leq \lambda_{\max}(\bar{X})E$ , where  $\lambda_{\max}(\bar{X})$  is the largest eigenvalue of  $\bar{X}$ . ■

let:  $E = ee^t$ ;  $f(P) := \|H \circ (A - P)\|_F^2$ ;  
 $\mathcal{K}$  lin. operator with constraint  $\text{diag}(P) = 0$ .

**primal problem** is:

$$\text{(CDM)} \quad \mu^* := \min_{\text{subject to } \alpha E - P \succeq 0} f(P)$$

and **dual problem (DCDM)** is

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^* := \max & f(P) + \langle y, b - KP \rangle - \text{trace } \Lambda(\alpha E - P) \\ \text{subject to} & \quad \nabla_P f(P) - \mathcal{K}^* y + \Lambda = 0 \\ & \quad -\text{trace } \Lambda E = 0 \\ & \quad \Lambda \succeq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(Slater's holds for primal but fails for dual.)



(perturbed) Optimality Conditions are:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{diag}(P) = 0 \\ 2H^{(2)} \circ (P - A) - \text{Diag}(y) + \Lambda = 0 \\ - \text{trace } \Lambda E = 0 \\ -(\alpha E - P) + \mu \Lambda^{-1} = 0, \end{array} \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{primal feas.} \\ \text{dual feas.} \\ \text{pert. C.S.} \end{array}$$

$h$  denotes the step for  $P$

$w$  denotes the step for  $\alpha$

$l$  denotes the step for  $\Lambda$

$s$  denotes the step for  $y$ .

maintain

$$\text{diag}(h) = \text{diag}(P) = 0$$

linearization of complementary slackness

$$-(\alpha + w)E + (P + h) + \mu\Lambda^{-1} - \mu\Lambda^{-1}l\Lambda^{-1} = 0,$$

solve for  $h$

$$h = -\mu\Lambda^{-1} + \mu\Lambda^{-1}l\Lambda^{-1} - P + (\alpha + w)E.$$

(or solve for  $l$ )

linearization dual feasibility

$$\begin{aligned} 2H^{(2)} \circ h - \text{Diag}(s) + l &= -(2H^{(2)} \circ (P - A) \\ &\quad - \text{Diag}(y) + \Lambda) \\ -\text{trace } lE &= \text{trace } \Lambda E \end{aligned}$$

substitute for  $h, s$

Newton equation is

$$\begin{aligned} & 2H^{(2)} \circ (wE + \mu\Lambda^{-1}l\Lambda^{-1}) - \text{Diag diag}(l) + l \\ & = 2H^{(2)} \circ \{\mu\Lambda^{-1} + A - \alpha E\} + \text{Diag}(y) - \Lambda \\ & \quad \text{diag}(\mu\Lambda^{-1}l\Lambda^{-1}) + we \\ & \quad = \text{diag}(\mu\Lambda^{-1}) - \alpha e \\ & \quad \text{trace}(lE) = -\text{trace}(\Lambda E). \end{aligned}$$

square system, order  $1 + nnz$  where  $nnz$  are the number of nonzeros in the upper triangular part of  $H$ , ( $\text{diag}(H) = 0$ ).

$F$  denotes  $(nnz + n) \times 2$  matrix  
row  $p$  contains indices of the  $p$ -th nonzero, upper triangular,  
element of  $H + I$  ordered by columns,

$$\{(F_{p1}, F_{p2})_{p=1, \dots, nnz+n}\}$$

$$= \{ij : H_{ij} \neq 0, i \leq j, \text{ ordered by columns}\}.$$

$\delta_{ij}$  is *Kronecker delta function*

$\delta_{(ij)(kl)}$  is 1 if  $(ij) = (kl)$ , 0 otherwise.

$E_{ij} = (e_i e_j^t + e_j^t e_i) / \sqrt{2}$ ,  $ij$  unit matrix in  $\mathcal{S}^n$ , where

$E_{ij} = (e_i e_j^t + e_j^t e_i) / 2$  if  $i = j$ .

(orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{S}^n$ )

operator equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& k \neq l, i \neq j \text{ LHS} = \\
& = \text{trace } E_{kl} \{ 2H^{(2)} \circ (\mu\Lambda^{-1}E_{ij}\Lambda^{-1}) - \text{Diag diag}(E_{ij}) \\
& \quad + E_{ij} \} \\
& = \mu \text{trace} (e_k e_l^t + e_l e_k^t) (H^{(2)} \circ \Lambda^{-1}(e_i e_j^t + e_j e_i^t)\Lambda^{-1}) \\
& \quad + \delta_{(ij)(kl)} \\
& = \mu [2e_l^t (H^{(2)} \circ \Lambda_{:,i}^{-1}\Lambda_{j:}^{-1}) e_k + 2e_k^t (H^{(2)} \circ \Lambda_{:,i}^{-1}\Lambda_{j:}^{-1}) e_l] \\
& \quad + \delta_{(ij)(kl)}; \\
& k \neq l, i \neq j \text{ LHS} = \\
& = 2\mu H_{kl}^{(2)} (\Lambda_{li}^{-1}\Lambda_{jk}^{-1} + \Lambda_{ki}^{-1}\Lambda_{jl}^{-1}) + \delta_{(ij)(kl)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& k \neq l, i = j \text{ LHS} = \\
& = \text{trace } E_{kl} \{ 2\mu H^{(2)} \circ [\Lambda^{-1} E_{jj} \Lambda^{-1}] - \text{Diag diag}(E_{jj}) + E_{jj} \} \\
& = 2\sqrt{2}\mu \text{trace } e_k e_l^t (H^{(2)} \circ \Lambda^{-1} e_j e_j^t \Lambda^{-1}) \\
& = 2\sqrt{2}\mu H_{kl}^{(2)} (\Lambda_{lj}^{-1} \Lambda_{jk}^{-1}); \\
& k = l, i \neq j \text{ LHS} = \\
& \quad = \sqrt{2}\mu \Lambda_{ki}^{-1} \Lambda_{jk}^{-1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n; \\
& k = l, i = j \text{ LHS} = \\
& \quad = \mu \Lambda_{ki}^{-1} \Lambda_{ik}^{-1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.
\end{aligned}$$

last column of LHS, matrix  $l = 0$  and  $w = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} w = 1, k \neq l \text{ LHS} &= \\ \text{trace} (E_{kl}(2H^{(2)} \circ E)); & \\ w = 1, k = l \text{ LHS} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

last row of LHS:

$$\begin{aligned} i \neq j \text{ LHS} &= \text{trace} (E_{ij}E) = \sqrt{2}; \\ i = j \text{ LHS} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$



Newton system is:

$$\text{sMat} [L(\text{svec}(l))] = \text{sMat} [\text{svec}(RHS)],$$

$\text{svec}(S)$  vector formed from nonzero elements of columns of upper triangular part, where strict upper triangular part is multiplied by  $\sqrt{2}$ . (trace  $XY = \text{svec}(X)^t \text{svec}(Y)$ , i.e. isometry)  $\text{sMat}$  is inverse

Solve for  $\text{svec}(l)$ :

$$L(\text{svec}(l)) = \text{svec}(RHS).$$

$L_{pq} =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2\mu H_{F_{p_2}, F_{p_1}}^{(2)} \left( \Lambda_{F_{p_2}, F_{q_1}}^{-1} \Lambda_{F_{q_2}, F_{p_1}}^{-1} + \Lambda_{F_{p_1}, F_{q_1}}^{-1} \Lambda_{F_{q_2}, F_{p_2}}^{-1} \right) \\ \quad \text{if } p \neq q, k \neq l, i \neq j; \\ 2\sqrt{2}\mu H_{F_{p_2}, F_{p_1}}^{(2)} \left( \Lambda_{F_{p_2}, F_{q_2}}^{-1} \Lambda_{F_{q_2}, F_{p_1}}^{-1} \right) \\ \quad \text{if } p \neq q, k \neq l, i = j; \\ 2\sqrt{2}\mu H_{F_{p_2}, F_{p_1}}^{(2)} \left( \Lambda_{F_{p_2}, F_{q_2}}^{-1} \Lambda_{F_{q_2}, F_{p_1}}^{-1} \right) \\ \quad \text{if } p = q, k \neq l, i = j; \\ 2\mu H_{F_{p_2}, F_{p_1}}^{(2)} \left( \Lambda_{F_{p_2}, F_{q_1}}^{-1} \Lambda_{F_{q_2}, F_{p_1}}^{-1} + \Lambda_{F_{p_1}, F_{q_1}}^{-1} \Lambda_{F_{q_2}, F_{p_2}}^{-1} \right) + 1 \\ \quad \text{if } p = q, k \neq l, i \neq j; \\ \sqrt{2}\mu \Lambda_{F_{p_1}, F_{q_1}}^{-1} \Lambda_{F_{q_2}, F_{p_1}}^{-1} \\ \quad \text{if } k = l, i \neq j; \end{array} \right.$$

=

$$\begin{cases} \mu \Lambda_{F_{p_1}, F_{q_1}}^{-1} \Lambda_{F_{q_1}, F_{p_1}}^{-1} & \text{if } k = l, i = j \\ 2\sqrt{(2)} H_{F_{p_2}, F_{p_1}}^{(2)} & \text{if } w = 1, k \neq l \\ 1 & \text{if } w = 1, k = l. \end{cases}$$

The  $p$ -th row calculated using Hadamard product of pairs of columns of  $\Lambda^{-1}$ ,

$$\Lambda_{F_{p_2}, F_{:,1}}^{-1} \circ \Lambda_{F_{p_1}, F_{:,2}}^{-1}.$$

complete vectorization (preliminary numerics are very promising)

$p = kl, k \leq l$ , and last row, component of the right-hand-side of the system is

$RHS_p =$

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \left( 2H_p^{(2)} \circ \{ \mu \Lambda_p^{-1} + A_p - \alpha \} - \Lambda_p \right), & \text{if } k \neq l \\ \mu \Lambda_{kk}^{-1} - \alpha & \text{if } k = l \\ -\text{trace}(\Lambda E) & \text{last row} \end{cases}$$