# Modes of Convergence: Introduction

Brian Forrest

July 19, 2013

**Note:** Given a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of measurable real-valued functions defined on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  we have various modes in which such a sequence may be deemed to converge to a function f. Notably

- 1) Pointwise convergence
- 2) Uniform convergence
- 3) Convergence almost everywhere
- 4) Convergence in  $L_p$

**Goal:** We will introduce several other important modes of convergence and establish the known links between them.

**Definition:** Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable real-valued functions defined on a measure space  $(X, A, \mu)$ .

- 1) We say that  $\{f_n\}$  converges pointwise to f if  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- 2) We say that  $\{f_n\}$  converges almost everywhere to f if  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$  for almost all  $x \in X$ .
- 3) We say that  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to f if for evey  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n \geq N_0$ , then

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

4) If in addition  $\{f_n\} \subset L_p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , then we say that  $f_n \to f$  in  $L_p$  if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|f_n - f\|_p = 0$ .

**Note:** We are abusing notation by viewing the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  as an explicit sequence of real valued functions rather than as equivalence classes.

#### Remark:

- Uniform convergence ⇒ pointwise convergence ⇒ almost everywhere convergence, while the converse implications fail in general.
- 2) For  $1 \le p < \infty$ , convergence in  $L_p$  does not even imply convergence at a single point. However, it does imply that there exists a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  such that  $f_{n_k} \to f_0$  almost everywhere.
- 3) If  $\mu$  is finite and if  $f_n \to f_0$  uniformly, then  $f_n \to f_0$  in  $L_p$  for all  $1 \le p \le \infty$  since

$$||f_n - f_0||_p = (\int_X |f_n - f_o|^p d\mu)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le ||f_n - f_0||_{\infty} \mu(X)^{\frac{1}{p}} \to 0.$$

However,  $\{\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}}\cdot\chi_{[0,n]}\}$  converges uniformly to 0 on  $\mathbb R$  but does not converge in  $L_p(\mathbb R,\mathcal B(\mathbb R),m)$  for any  $1\leq p<\infty$ .

4) The sequence  $\{n^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \chi_{(0,\frac{1}{n}]}\}$  converges pointwise on [0,1] to the function  $f_0(x)=0$ . However, the sequence fails to converge in any of the p-norms,  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .

**Definition:** Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable real-valued functions defined on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ . We say that  $\{f_n\}$  is *dominated* if there exists a measurable real valued function g such that

$$|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### Recall:

Theorem: [Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem] Let  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\subseteq \mathcal{L}(X,\mathcal{A},\mu)$ . Assume that  $f=\lim_{n\to\infty}f_n$   $\mu$ -a.e. If there exists an integrable function  $g\in\mathcal{L}(X,\mathcal{A},\mu)$  such that  $|f_n|\leq g$  for all n, then f is integrable and

$$\int f d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n d\mu.$$

**Theorem:** Let  $\{f_n\} \subseteq L_p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  which converges almost everywhere to a function  $f_0(x)$ . If there exists a  $g \in L_p$  such that

$$|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$$

for every  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $f_0 \in L_p$  and  $f_n \to f_0$  in  $L_p$ .

**Proof:** We can assume that  $|f_0(x)| \leq g(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ , and hence that  $f_0 \in L_p$ . It also follows that

$$|f_n(x) - f_0(x)|^p \le (2g(x))^p$$

for every  $x\in X$ . Now  $\lim_{n\to\infty}|f_n(x)-f_0(x)|^p\to 0$  almost everywhere. By the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_X|f_n-f_0|^p\,d\mu=0.$$

That is  $f_n \to f_0$  in  $L_p$ .

**Definition:** A sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of measurable real-valued functions defined on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is said to converge in measure to a real-valued measurable function  $f_0$  if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(\{x\in X\mid |f_n(x)-f_0(x)|\geq \alpha\})=0$$

for every  $\alpha > 0$ .

The sequence  $\{f_n\}$  is said to be Cauchy in measure if for every  $\epsilon>0$  and every  $\alpha>0$ , there exists an  $N_0\in\mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n,m\geq N_0$ , then

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid |f_n(x) - f_0(x)| \ge \alpha\}) < \epsilon.$$

#### Remark:

- Uniform convergence implies convergence in measure and a sequence that is convergent in measure is also Cauchy in measure.
- 2) The sequence  $\{\chi_{[n,n+1]}\}$  converges pointwise on  $\mathbb{R}$  to 0, but it does not converge in measure.
- 3) Suppose that  $f_n \to f_0$  in  $L_p$ . Let  $\alpha > 0$ . If

$$A_{\alpha} = \{x \in X \mid |f_n(x) - f_0(x)| \ge \alpha\},\$$

then

$$\int_X |f_n(x)-f_0(x)|^p d\mu \geq \int_{A_\alpha} |f_n(x)-f_0(x)|^p d\mu \geq \alpha^p \mu(A_\alpha) \to 0.$$

From this it follows that  $\mu(A_{\alpha}) \to 0$ . That is,  $f_n \to f_0$  in measure.

### Theorem: [F. Riesz]

Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable real-valued functions which is Cauchy in measure. Then there is a subsequence which converges almost everywhere and in measure to a real-valued function  $f_0$ .

**Proof: Step 1)** We build the limit function.

Choose a subsequence  $g_k = f_{n_k}$  such that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  if

$$E_k = \{x \in X \mid |g_{k+1}(x) - g_k(x_0)| \ge 2^{-k}, \}$$

then  $\mu(E_k) < 2^{-k}$ .

lf

$$F_k = \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} E_j,$$

then

$$\mu(F_k) \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \mu(E_j) < \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} 2^{-j} = 2^{-(k-1)}.$$

**Cont'd:** Let  $i \ge j \ge k$  and  $x \notin F_k$ . Then

$$|g_{i}(x) - g_{j}(x)| \le |g_{i}(x) - g_{i-1}(x)| + |g_{i-1}(x) - g_{i-2}(x)| + \dots + |g_{j+1}(x) - g_{j}(x)|$$

$$\le \frac{1}{2^{i-1}} + \frac{1}{2^{i-2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{j}}$$

$$< \frac{1}{2^{j-1}}$$

Let  $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k$ . Then  $F \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu(F) = 0$ . Moreover,  $\{g_k\}$  is pointwise Cauchy, and hence convergent on  $X \setminus F$ . Let

$$f_0(x) = \begin{cases} \lim_{k \to \infty} g_k(x) & \text{if } x \notin F, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in F. \end{cases}$$

**Cont'd: Step 2)** Show that  $g_j \rightarrow f_0$  in measure.

Next we see that if  $j \ge k$  and  $x \notin F$ , then

$$|f_0(x)-g_j(x)|<\frac{1}{2^{j-1}}\leq \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}.$$

Let  $\alpha, \epsilon > 0$ . Choose k large enough so that

$$\mu(F_k) < \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} < \min\{\alpha, \epsilon\}.$$

If  $j \geq k$ , then

$$\{x \in X \mid |f_0(x) - g_j(x)| \ge \alpha\} \subseteq \{x \in X \mid |f_0(x) - g_j(x)| \ge \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\}$$
  
$$\subseteq F_k$$

Consequently,

$$\mu(\lbrace x \in X \mid |f_0(x) - g_j(x)| \ge \alpha \rbrace) \le \mu(F_k) < \epsilon$$

for all i > k.

**Corollary:** Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable real-valued functions which is Cauchy in measure. Then there is a measurable real-valued function f to which this sequence converges in measure. Moreover, this function is uniquely determined almost everywhere.

**Proof:** We know that there is a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  that converges in measure to a real-valued function f. Since

$$|f_n - f(x)| \le |f_n - f_{n_k}| + |f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)|.$$

we have

$${x \in X \mid |f_n(x) - f(x)| \ge \alpha} \subseteq A \cup B$$

where

$$A = \{x \in X \mid |f_n(x) - f_{n_k}(x)| \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x \in X \mid |f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}\}.$$

However, if  $\epsilon>0$ , we can find an  $\mathcal{N}_0\in\mathbb{N}$  so that if  $n,m>\mathcal{N}_0$  then

$$\mu(\lbrace x \in X \mid |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}\rbrace) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

**Cont'd:** So if  $n \ge N_0$ , then by choosing  $n_k$  large enough we get

$$\mu(\lbrace x \in X \mid |f_n(x) - f_{n_k}(x)| \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \rbrace) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

and

$$\mu(\lbrace x \in X \mid |f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}\rbrace) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Hence if  $n \geq N_0$ , then

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid |f_n(x) - f(x)| \ge \alpha\}) < \epsilon.$$

To establish the uniqueness assume that  $f_n \to f$  and  $f_n \to g$  in measure. Suppose that  $\alpha > 0$  and that

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid |f(x) - g(x)| \ge 2\alpha\}) = 2\epsilon > 0.$$

Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have either

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid |f(x) - f_n(x)| \ge \alpha\}) \ge \epsilon$$

or

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid |f_n(x) - g(x)| \ge \alpha\}) \ge \epsilon$$

which is impossible if  $f_n \to f$  and  $f_n \to g$  in measure. Thus

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid |f(x) - g(x)| > 0\}) = 0.$$

**Recall:** We know that convergence in measure does not imply convergence in  $L_p$ . However, it does if the convergence is dominated.

**Theorem:** Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable real-valued functions which converges to f in measure. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and let  $g \in L_p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  such that

$$|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$$
 a.e.

Then  $f \in L_p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and  $f_n \to f$  in  $L_p$ .

**Proof:** If  $\{f_n\}$  does not converge to f in  $L_p$ , then there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  such that

$$||f_{n_k} - f||_p \ge \epsilon$$

for every  $k\in\mathbb{N}$ . But  $f_{n_k}\to f$  in measure as well. So by passing to a new subsequence we can assume that  $f_{n_k}\to f$  almost everywhere. But then since  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  is dominated, we also have  $f_{n_k}\to f$  in  $L_p$  which is a contradiction.

**Remark:** In case  $f_n \to f$  in measure, a close glance at the proof that  $\{f_n\}$  has a subsequence converging almost everywhere to f shows that we were actually able to construct this subsequence with the property that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we have a set  $F \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu(X \setminus F) < \epsilon$  and  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on F.