

A noncommutative Amir-Cambern Theorem

Jean ROYDOR (RIMS Kyoto University)

supported by JSPS

University of Waterloo, Banach Algebras 2011

Amir-Cambern Theorem

Th. (Banach-Stone'37) :

Let $T : C(K_1) \rightarrow C(K_2)$ surjective linear isometry. Then
 $\exists u \in C(K_2, \mathbb{T})$ and $\tau : K_2 \rightarrow K_1$ homeomorphism s.t.

$$T(f) = u(f \circ \tau), \quad \forall f \in C(K_1)$$

In particular, $C(K_1) = C(K_2)$ $*$ -isomorphically.

Amir-Cambern Theorem

Th. (Banach-Stone'37) :

Let $T : C(K_1) \rightarrow C(K_2)$ surjective linear isometry. Then
 $\exists u \in C(K_2, \mathbb{T})$ and $\tau : K_2 \rightarrow K_1$ homeomorphism s.t.

$$T(f) = u(f \circ \tau), \quad \forall f \in C(K_1)$$

In particular, $C(K_1) = C(K_2)$ $*$ -isomorphically.

Def. (Banach-Mazur distance):

Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} Banach spaces,

$$d(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = \inf \{ \|T\| \|T^{-1}\| : T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \text{ linear isom.} \}$$

Amir-Cambern Theorem

Th. (Banach-Stone'37) :

Let $T : C(K_1) \rightarrow C(K_2)$ surjective linear isometry. Then
 $\exists u \in C(K_2, \mathbb{T})$ and $\tau : K_2 \rightarrow K_1$ homeomorphism s.t.

$$T(f) = u(f \circ \tau), \quad \forall f \in C(K_1)$$

In particular, $C(K_1) = C(K_2)$ $*$ -isomorphically.

Def. (Banach-Mazur distance):

Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} Banach spaces,

$$d(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = \inf \{ \|T\| \|T^{-1}\| : T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \text{ linear isom.} \}$$

Th. (Amir-Cambern'66) :

If $d(C(K_1), C(K_2)) < 2$, then $C(K_1) = C(K_2)$ $*$ -isomorphically.

A noncommutative analogue

$C(K)$ -spaces \rightsquigarrow unital C^* -alg.

L_∞ -spaces \rightsquigarrow von Neumann alg.

Uniform alg. \rightsquigarrow unital nonselfadjoint op. alg.

Banach spaces \rightsquigarrow operator spaces.

and (very important !):

Bounded linear maps \rightsquigarrow Completely bounded linear maps

Def. (Banach-Mazur cb-distance):

Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} operator spaces,

$$d_{cb}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = \inf \{ \|T\|_{cb} \|T^{-1}\|_{cb} : T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \text{ linear isom.} \}$$

Rmk: $d_{cb}(C(K_1), C(K_2)) = d(C(K_1), C(K_2))$

A noncommutative analogue

$C(K)$ -spaces \rightsquigarrow unital C^* -alg.

L_∞ -spaces \rightsquigarrow von Neumann alg.

Uniform alg. \rightsquigarrow unital nonselfadjoint op. alg.

Banach spaces \rightsquigarrow operator spaces.

and (very important !):

Bounded linear maps \rightsquigarrow Completely bounded linear maps

Def. (Banach-Mazur cb-distance):

Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} operator spaces,

$$d_{cb}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = \inf \{ \|T\|_{cb} \|T^{-1}\|_{cb} : T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \text{ linear isom.} \}$$

Rmk: $d_{cb}(C(K_1), C(K_2)) = d(C(K_1), C(K_2))$

Questions :

Does there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ s.t. for any unital C^* -alg. \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} :

if $d_{cb}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) < 1 + \varepsilon_0$, then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ $*$ -isomorphically ?

If yes, can we find explicit ε_0 ?

Main Result

Th. (R.):

There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any von Neumann algebras \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} , $d_{cb}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) < 1 + \varepsilon_0$ implies $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$ $*$ -isomorphically.

Main Result

Th. (R.):

There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any von Neumann algebras \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} , $d_{cb}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) < 1 + \varepsilon_0$ implies $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$ $*$ -isomorphically.

Step 1 Prove that the unitization of a cb-isomorphism with small bound is almost multiplicative.

Main Result

Th. (R.):

There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any von Neumann algebras \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} , $d_{cb}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) < 1 + \varepsilon_0$ implies $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$ $*$ -isomorphically.

- Step 1 Prove that the unitization of a cb-isomorphism with small bound is almost multiplicative.
- Step 2 Show that a vN alg. is stable under perturbations by cb-close multiplications.

Almost multiplicativity: the unital case

Th. (D. Blecher'01):

Let $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be surjective linear complete isometry between unital operator algebras.

Then \exists unitary $u \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}^*$ and unital completely isometric algebra homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ s.t. $T(x) = u\pi(x)$.

In particular, if $T(1) = 1$, then T is multiplicative.

Almost multiplicativity: the unital case

Th. (D. Blecher'01):

Let $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be surjective linear complete isometry between unital operator algebras.

Then \exists unitary $u \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}^*$ and unital completely isometric algebra homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ s.t. $T(x) = u\pi(x)$.

In particular, if $T(1) = 1$, then T is multiplicative.

Notation: $T^\vee(x, y) = T(xy) - T(x)T(y)$

Almost multiplicativity: the unital case

Th. (D. Blecher'01):

Let $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be surjective linear complete isometry between unital operator algebras.

Then \exists unitary $u \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}^*$ and unital completely isometric algebra homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ s.t. $T(x) = u\pi(x)$.

In particular, if $T(1) = 1$, then T is multiplicative.

Notation: $T^\vee(x, y) = T(xy) - T(x)T(y)$

Th. (R.):

For any $\eta > 0$, there exists $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such that for any unital operator algebras \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , for any unital cb-isomorphism $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $\|T\|_{cb} \leq 1 + \rho$ and $\|T^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq 1 + \rho$ imply $\|T^\vee\|_{cb} < \eta$.

Characterizing invertible elements

We need to prove an operator space characterization of invertible elements in a C^* -algebra.

Lemma :

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and $x \in \mathcal{A}$, $\|x\| \leq 1$. Then, x is invertible if and only if there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for any $y \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ of norm one,

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 \geq \alpha + \|y\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 \geq \alpha + \|y\|^2 \quad (C)$$

In this case, the supremum of the α 's satisfying (C) equals $\|x^{-1}\|^{-2}$ and moreover, condition (C) is actually satisfied for any $y \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$.

Rmk: the 'only if' part is true in any unital operator algebra.

Almost multiplicativity: the general case

Prop.:

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital operator algebra and \mathcal{B} be unital C^* -algebra. Let $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a cb-isomorphism such that $\|T\|_{cb} \|T^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq 1 + \epsilon$, with $\epsilon < \sqrt{2} - 1$. Then $T(1)$ is invertible and

$$\|T(1)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\|T\|_{cb}} \sqrt{\frac{(1 + \epsilon)^2}{2 - (1 + \epsilon)^2}}.$$

Almost multiplicativity: the general case

Prop.:

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital operator algebra and \mathcal{B} be unital C^* -algebra. Let $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a cb-isomorphism such that $\|T\|_{cb} \|T^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq 1 + \epsilon$, with $\epsilon < \sqrt{2} - 1$. Then $T(1)$ is invertible and

$$\|T(1)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\|T\|_{cb}} \sqrt{\frac{(1 + \epsilon)^2}{2 - (1 + \epsilon)^2}}.$$

Corollary 1:

For any $\eta > 0$, there exists $\epsilon \in (0, \sqrt{2} - 1)$ such that for any unital C^* -algebras \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , for any cb-isomorphism $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $\|T\|_{cb} = 1$ and $\|T^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq 1 + \epsilon$ implies $\|L^\vee\|_{cb} < \eta$, where $L = T(1)^{-1}T$.

Stability under perturbation by cb-close multiplications

Notation:

$H^k(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ the k th Hochschild cohomology group of \mathcal{A} over itself.
 $m_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the original multiplication on \mathcal{A} .

Th. (B.E. Johnson'77, I. Raeburn & J. Taylor'77)

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra satisfying

$$H^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = H^3(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = 0.$$

Then there exist $\delta, C > 0$ such that for every multiplication m on \mathcal{A} satisfying $\|m - m_{\mathcal{A}}\| \leq \delta$, there is a bounded linear isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\|\Phi - \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}\| \leq C \|m - m_{\mathcal{A}}\| \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(m(x, y)) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y).$$

Hochschild cohomology

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and \mathcal{X} be a Banach \mathcal{A} -module. Denote $\mathcal{L}^0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{L}^k(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$ the space of all bounded k -linear maps from $\mathcal{A}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Hochschild cohomology

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and \mathcal{X} be a Banach \mathcal{A} -module. Denote

$\mathcal{L}^0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$ and

$\mathcal{L}^k(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$ the space of all bounded k -linear maps from $\mathcal{A}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Define the 'coboundary maps' $\delta^k : \mathcal{L}^k(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{k+1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$ by:

$$\delta^0(x)(a) = ax - xa \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^k(\varphi)(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) &= a_1\varphi(a_2, \dots, a_{k+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, (a_i a_{i+1}), \dots, a_{k+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^k \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_k) a_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Hochschild cohomology

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and \mathcal{X} be a Banach \mathcal{A} -module. Denote

$\mathcal{L}^0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$ and

$\mathcal{L}^k(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$ the space of all bounded k -linear maps from $\mathcal{A}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Define the 'coboundary maps' $\delta^k : \mathcal{L}^k(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{k+1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$ by:

$$\delta^0(x)(a) = ax - xa \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^k(\varphi)(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) &= a_1 \varphi(a_2, \dots, a_{k+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, (a_i a_{i+1}), \dots, a_{k+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^k \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_k) a_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Def. :

Elements of $\text{Ran } \delta^{k-1}$ are called *coboundaries*.

Elements of $\text{Ker } \delta^k$ are called *cocycles*.

The k th Hochschild cohomology group is denoted:

$$H^k(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}) = \text{Ker } \delta^k / \text{Ran } \delta^{k-1}.$$

Stability under perturbation by cb-close multiplications

Prop.:

Let \mathcal{A} be an operator algebra satisfying

$$H_{cb}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = H_{cb}^3(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = 0. \quad (\star)$$

Then there exist $\delta, C > 0$ such that for every multiplication m on \mathcal{A} satisfying $\|m - m_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{cb} \leq \delta$, there is a completely bounded linear isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\|\Phi - \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{cb} \leq C \|m - m_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{cb} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(m(x, y)) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y).$$

Moreover, if \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra, then (\star) is necessarily satisfied and one can choose $\delta = 2^{-1}10^{-10}$ and $C = 4$.

Stability under perturbation by cb-close multiplications

Prop.:

Let \mathcal{A} be an operator algebra satisfying

$$H_{cb}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = H_{cb}^3(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = 0. \quad (\star)$$

Then there exist $\delta, C > 0$ such that for every multiplication m on \mathcal{A} satisfying $\|m - m_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{cb} \leq \delta$, there is a completely bounded linear isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\|\Phi - \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{cb} \leq C \|m - m_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{cb} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(m(x, y)) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y).$$

Moreover, if \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra, then (\star) is necessarily satisfied and one can choose $\delta = 2^{-1}10^{-10}$ and $C = 4$.

Th. (E. Christensen, A. Sinclair '89):

Let \mathcal{M} be a vN alg. Then, $H_{cb}^k(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$ for any k .

Proof of the main result

Corollary 2:

Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra. Then for every multiplication m on \mathcal{M} satisfying $\|m - m_{\mathcal{M}}\|_{cb} \leq 2^{-1}10^{-10}$, there is a completely bounded linear isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\Phi(m(x, y)) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y).$$

Proof of the main result

Corollary 2:

Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra. Then for every multiplication m on \mathcal{M} satisfying $\|m - m_{\mathcal{M}}\|_{cb} \leq 2^{-1}10^{-10}$, there is a completely bounded linear isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\Phi(m(x, y)) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y).$$

Corollary 1:

For any $\eta > 0$, there exists $\epsilon \in (0, \sqrt{2} - 1)$ such that for any unital C^* -algebras \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , for any cb-isomorphism $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $\|T\|_{cb} = 1$ and $\|T^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq 1 + \epsilon$ implies $\|L^{\vee}\|_{cb} < \eta$, where $L = T(1)^{-1}T$.