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## Example (Hadamard matrix)

$$
H_{1}=[1] \quad H_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \quad H_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$
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## Definition (Hadamard matrix)

An $n \times n$ matrix $H$ over $\{1,-1\}$ is a Hadamard matrix of order $n$ if $H H^{T}=n I_{n}$ (row orthogonality) and $H^{T} H=n I_{n}$ (column orthogonality).
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## Remark

A Hadamard matrix of order $n$ exists $\Leftrightarrow$ there exists an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ containing only $\{1,-1\}$ entries
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## Conjecture (Hadamard matrix conjecture)

A Hadamard matrix of order $n$ exists iff $n=1,2$ or $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$.
Namely, the existence of a $\{1,-1\}$ orthogonal basis in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ only depends on the dimension $n$.

Smallest open case: $n=668$.
A complete solution is by far elusive.
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There is no Williamson matrix of order $4 \cdot 35$.
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## Remark (Equivalence of Hadamard matrices)

Two Hadamard matrices are equivalent if they are identical up to permutation and negation of rows and columns.

A new perspective of internal structure of Hadamard matrices was proposed by Hadi Kharaghani and Sho Suda in 2019.
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## Nondegenerate or convenient parameter domain

- $2 \leq \ell \leq n-2$ : two-valued column inner product property is for free if $\ell \in\{1, n-1, n\}$
- $a \neq b$, wlog, $a>b: a=b \Rightarrow \ell \in\{1, n-1, n\}$
- $\ell \leq \frac{n}{2},(n, \ell, a, b)$-BSHM w.r.t $H_{1} \Leftrightarrow(n, n-\ell,-a,-b)$-BSHM w.r.t $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (switching transformation)
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By switching transformation, it is also equivalent to a $\operatorname{BSHM}(n, n-2,0,-2)$ w.r.t a submatrix formed by the remaining $n-2$ rows.

From now on, we further restrict that $2<\ell<n-2$.
When $2<\ell<n-2$, the balanced splittable property reflects an in-depth internal structure of Hadamard matrices
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## What is the relation between $n$ and $\ell$ ?

Given a $\operatorname{BSHM}(n, \ell, a, b) H=\left[\begin{array}{l}H_{1} \\ H_{2}\end{array}\right]$ w.r.t. $H_{1}$, consider two scenarios:

- if $b=-a$ and $\ell>a$, then the columns of $H_{1}$ form an equiangular tight frame: $n \leq \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2}$, i.e., $\ell \geq \sqrt{2 n+\frac{1}{4}}-\frac{1}{2}$
- if $b \neq-a$ and $\ell>a$, then the columns of $H_{1}$ form a two-distance tight frame: $n \leq \frac{\ell(\ell+3)}{2}$, i.e., $\ell \geq \sqrt{2 n+\frac{9}{4}}-\frac{3}{2}$
If $\ell>a$, we have roughly $\ell \geq \sqrt{2 n}$.
The case $\ell=a$ behaves very differently.
$\ell>a$ : repeated columns in $H_{1}$ prohibited
$\ell=a$ : repeated columns in $H_{1}$ guaranteed

Kharaghani and Suda discovered a connection between BSHM and strongly regular graph.

Kharaghani and Suda discovered a connection between BSHM and strongly regular graph.

## Example (Strongly regular graph (SRG))


(5, 2, 0, 1)-SRG

- regular
- edge regular
- non-edge regular


## Example (BSHM and associated SRG)

$H$ is a $\operatorname{BSHM}(4,2,2,0)$ w.r.t $H_{1}$

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{l}
H_{1} \\
H_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$



(4, 1, 0, 0)-SRG
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(9) $a$ is even and $\frac{\ell}{a}$ is an odd integer and $\frac{n}{4 a}$ is an integer.
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(1) $n(\ell+a b)=(\ell-a)(\ell-b)$ and $a b \leq 0$
(2) The associated SRG has parameters

$$
\left(n, \frac{\ell-b}{b-a}+\frac{n b}{b-a}, \frac{n b(b+1)}{(b-a)^{2}}+\frac{2(\ell-b)}{b-a}-\frac{n}{b-a}, \frac{n b(b+1)}{(b-a)^{2}}\right)
$$

(3) $\frac{\ell-b}{b-a}$ and $\frac{n}{b-a}$ and $\frac{n(b+1)}{2(b-a)}$ and $\frac{n b(b+1)}{(b-a)^{2}}$ are integers
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## Theorem (Kharaghani and Suda (2019), continued)

$H$ has Type 2, i.e., $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}=\mathbf{0}$
(1) $n(\ell+a b-a-b)=(\ell-a)(\ell-b)$ and $a b \leq 0$
(2) The associated SRG has parameters

$$
\left(n, \frac{\ell-b}{b-a}+\frac{n(b-1)}{b-a}, \frac{n b(b-1)}{(b-a)^{2}}+\frac{2(\ell-b)}{b-a}-\frac{n}{b-a}, \frac{n b(b-1)}{(b-a)^{2}}\right)
$$

(3) $\frac{\ell-b}{b-a}$ and $\frac{n}{b-a}$ and $\frac{n(b-1)}{2(b-a)}$ and $\frac{n b(b-1)}{(b-a)^{2}}$ are integers

## Example (primitive and imprimitive SRGs)
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## Example (primitive and imprimitive SRGs)



primitive SRG

imprimitive SRG

We call a BSHM primitive or imprimitive if the associated SRG is primitive or imprimitive.

Table: Five classes for a $\operatorname{BSHM}(n, \ell, a, b)$ satisfying $2<\ell \leq \frac{n}{2}$ (Jedwab, Li, Simon (2023))

| $b=-a$ | $b \neq-a$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type 1 |  | Type 2 |  |
| primitive | imprimitive | primitive | imprimitive | primitive |


|  | $b \neq-a$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type 2 |  |
|  | imprimitive | primitive |
| parameter relations | $\begin{gathered} (n, \ell, a, b)= \\ (8 r s, 4 s, 4 s, 0) \end{gathered}$ $\text { for } r, s \geq 1$ | $\begin{gathered} n=\frac{(\ell-a)(\ell-b)}{\ell+a b-a-b}, \\ \ell \equiv a \equiv b(\bmod 4), \\ a>0 \geq b \end{gathered}$ |
| G | $4 s K_{2 r}$ | $\begin{gathered} v=n, \\ k=\frac{\ell-b+n(b-1)}{b-a}, \\ \lambda=\mu+\frac{2(\ell-b)-n}{b-a}, \\ \mu=\frac{n b(b-1)}{(b-a)^{2}} \end{gathered}$ |
| integers |  | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\ell-b}{b-a}, \frac{n}{b-a}, \\ \frac{n(b-1)}{2(b-a)}, \frac{n b(b-1)}{(b-a)^{2}} \end{gathered}$ |

## Theorem (Jedwab, Li, Simon (2023))

Suppose there exists a $\operatorname{BSHM}(n, \ell, a, b)$ with $2<\ell<n-2$. Then $\ell \equiv a \equiv b(\bmod 4)$.
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## Remark

Using the above theorem, we can show there exists no $(36, \ell, a, b)$ BSHM with $2<\ell<34$.
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## Remark

Using the above theorem, we can show there exists no $(36, \ell, a, b)$ BSHM with $2<\ell<34$.

Kharaghani and Suda proved this result using detailed analysis and computer search.

## Theorem (Jedwab, Li, Simon (2023))

Suppose there exists a $\operatorname{BSHM}(n, \ell, a, b)$ with $2<\ell<n-2$. Then $\ell \equiv a \equiv b(\bmod 4)$.

## Remark

Using the above theorem, we can show there exists no $(36, \ell, a, b) B S H M$ with $2<\ell<34$.

Kharaghani and Suda proved this result using detailed analysis and computer search.

Among more than 15 million inequivalent Hadamard matrices of order 36, none of them is balanced splittable.

|  | $b \neq-a$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type 2 |  |
|  | imprimitive | primitive |
| parameter relations | $\begin{gathered} (n, \ell, a, b)= \\ (8 r s, 4 s, 4 s, 0) \\ \text { for } r, s \geq 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n=\frac{(\ell-a)(\ell-b)}{\ell+a-a-b}, \\ \ell \equiv a \equiv b(\bmod 4), \\ a>0 \geq b \end{gathered}$ |
| G | $4 s K_{2 r}$ | $\begin{gathered} v=n \\ k=\frac{\ell-b+n(b-1)}{b-a}, \\ \lambda=\mu+\frac{2(\ell-b)-n}{b-a} \\ \mu=\frac{n b(b-1)}{(b-a)^{2}} \end{gathered}$ |
| integers |  | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\ell-b}{b-a}, \frac{n}{b-a}, \\ \frac{n(b-1)}{2(b-a)}, \frac{n b(b-1)}{(b-a)^{2}} \end{gathered}$ |

## Kronecker product

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \\
H_{4}=H_{2} \otimes H_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
H_{2} & H_{2} \\
H_{2} & -H_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
\hline 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Kronecker product

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \\
H_{4}=H_{2} \otimes H_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
H_{2} & H_{2} \\
H_{2} & -H_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
\hline 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Repeatedly applying the Kronecker product, Hadamard matrix of order $2^{m}$ can be constructed for each $m \geq 1$.

## Theorem (Jedwab, Li, Simon (2023))

There exists a $\operatorname{BSHM}(8 r s, 4 s, 4 s, 0)$ in each of the following cases:
(1) there exist Hadamard matrices of order $2 r$ and $4 s$
(2) there exist Hadamard matrices of order $4 r$ and $2 s$.

## Theorem (Jedwab, Li, Simon (2023))

There exists a $\operatorname{BSHM}(8 r s, 4 s, 4 s, 0)$ in each of the following cases:
(1) there exist Hadamard matrices of order $2 r$ and $4 s$
(2) there exist Hadamard matrices of order $4 r$ and $2 s$.

## Remark

Following the first construction above, fix s such that a Hadamard matrix of order $4 s$ exists. Set $r=2^{m}$ for some $m \geq 1$. Note that $n=8 r s=2^{m+1} \ell$ is not bounded by $\ell^{2}$ as $m$ can be arbitrarily large.
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## Theorem (Jedwab, Li, Simon (2023))

There exists a $\operatorname{BSHM}(8 r s, 4 s, 4 s, 0)$ in each of the following cases:
(1) there exist Hadamard matrices of order $2 r$ and $4 s$
(2) there exist Hadamard matrices of order $4 r$ and $2 s$.

## Remark

Following the first construction above, fix s such that a Hadamard matrix of order $4 s$ exists. Set $r=2^{m}$ for some $m \geq 1$. Note that $n=8 r s=2^{m+1} \ell$ is not bounded by $\ell^{2}$ as $m$ can be arbitrarily large. In contrast, for $\operatorname{BSHM}(n, \ell, a, b)$ with $\ell>a, n \leq \frac{\ell(\ell+3)}{2}$.
The two cases $\ell>a$ and $\ell=a$, namely, repeated columns in $H_{1}$ are prohibited or guaranteed, are essentially different.

This observation follows from incorporating the primitive/imprimitive notation of SRG into BSHM.

|  | $b \neq-a$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type 2 |  |
|  | imprimitive | primitive |
| parameter relations | $\begin{gathered} (n, \ell, a, b)= \\ (8 r s, 4 s, 4 s, 0) \\ \text { for } r, s \geq 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n=\frac{(\ell-a)(\ell-b)}{\ell+a b-a-b} \\ \ell \equiv a \equiv b(\bmod 4), \\ a>0 \geq b \end{gathered}$ |
| G | $4 s K_{2 r}$ | $\begin{gathered} v=n \\ k=\frac{\ell-b+n(b-1)}{b-a} \\ \lambda=\mu+\frac{2(\ell-b)-n}{b-a} \\ \mu=\frac{n b(b-1)}{(b-a)^{2}} \end{gathered}$ |
| integers |  | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\ell-b}{b-a}, \frac{n}{b-a}, \\ \frac{n(b-1)}{2(b-a)}, \frac{n b(b-1)}{(b-a)^{2}} \end{gathered}$ |

## Theorem (Jedwab, Li, Simon (2023))

Suppose $H=\left[\begin{array}{l}H_{1} \\ H_{2}\end{array}\right]$ is a $\operatorname{BSHM}(8 r s, 4 s, 4 s, 0)$ with respect to $H_{1}$ (Type II and imprimitive). Then the associated $S R G$ is $4 s K_{2 r}$. There exists a Hadamard matrix $L$ of order 4 s , and the columns of H can be reordered so that $H_{1}=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{llll}L & L & \ldots & L\end{array}\right]}_{2 r}$.

## Theorem (Jedwab, Li, Simon (2023))

Suppose $H=\left[\begin{array}{l}H_{1} \\ H_{2}\end{array}\right]$ is a $\operatorname{BSHM}(8 r s, 4 s, 4 s, 0)$ with respect to $H_{1}$ (Type II and imprimitive). Then the associated $S R G$ is $4 s K_{2 r}$. There exists a Hadamard matrix $L$ of order 4 s , and the columns of H can be reordered so that $H_{1}=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{llll}L & L & \ldots & L\end{array}\right]}_{2 r}$.

## Question

For primitive BSHM, what structural information is contained the associated SRG?

## Result (Known constructions)

Suppose there exist Hadamard matrices of orders $n$ and s. Then there exists:
(1) $\operatorname{BSHM}\left(n^{2}, 2 n-2, n-2,-2\right)$ for $n \geq 2$
(2) $\operatorname{BSHM}\left(n^{2}, 2 n-1, n-1,-1\right)$ for $n \geq 4$
(3) $\operatorname{BSHM}(n s, n, n, 0)$ for $n \geq 2$
(9) $\operatorname{BSH} M\left(2^{2 m}, 2^{m-1}\left(2^{m}-1\right), 2^{m-1},-2^{m-1}\right)$ for $m \geq 2$
(0) $\operatorname{BSHM}(q(q+1), q, q,-1)$ for $q \geq 3, q \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, where $q+1$ is the order of a skew-type Hadamard matrix
( ( $B S H M\left(4 n^{2}, 2 n^{2}-n, n,-n\right)$

Most known BSHMs are constructed via Kronecker product. We want to find "primary constructions" that do not depend on Kronecker product.

We proposed a primary construction based on the character table of elementary abelian 2-groups.

## Example (Character of elementary abelian 2-groups)

$G=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$,
Each $(a, b) \in G$ induces a character $\chi_{(a, b)}$, for instance

$$
\chi_{(1,1)}((0,1))=(-1)^{0 \cdot 1} \cdot(-1)^{1 \cdot 1}=1 \cdot(-1)=-1 .
$$

Each character $\chi_{(a, b)}$ induces a group homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{(a, b)}: G & \mapsto\{1,-1\} \\
(c, d) & \mapsto(-1)^{a c} \cdot(-1)^{b d}=(-1)^{a c+b d}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\chi_{(1,1)}((0,1))=(-1)^{0 \cdot 1} \cdot(-1)^{1 \cdot 1}=1 \cdot(-1)=-1 .
$$

Each character $\chi_{(a, b)}$ induces a group homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{(a, b)}: & G \quad \mapsto\{1,-1\} \\
(c, d) & \mapsto(-1)^{a c} \cdot(-1)^{b d}=(-1)^{a c+b d}
\end{aligned}
$$

character group: $\widehat{G}=\left\{\chi_{g} \mid g \in G\right\} \cong G$.

## Example (Character table) <br> $G=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

## Example (Character table)

$$
G=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}
$$

The character table of $G$ is a $|G| \times|G|$ matrix $H$ with rows indexed by elements of $G$ and columns by $\widehat{G}$.

$$
\left.H=\begin{array}{c} 
\\
(0,0) \\
(0,1) \\
(1,0) \\
(1,1)
\end{array} \begin{array}{cccc}
\chi_{(0,0)} & \chi_{(0,1)} & \chi_{(1,0)} & \chi_{(1,1)} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$
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The character table of $G$ is a $|G| \times|G|$ matrix $H$ with rows indexed by elements of $G$ and columns by $\widehat{G}$.
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\end{gathered}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\chi_{(0,0)} & \chi_{(0,1)} & \chi_{(1,0)} & \chi_{(1,1)} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
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$G=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.
The character table of $G$ is a $|G| \times|G|$ matrix $H$ with rows indexed by elements of $G$ and columns by $\widehat{G}$.

$$
H=\begin{gathered}
\\
(0,0) \\
(0,1) \\
(1,0) \\
(1,1)
\end{gathered}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\chi_{(0,0)} & \chi_{(0,1)} & \chi_{(1,0)} & \chi_{(1,1)} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\chi_{(1,1)}((0,1))=-1$
$H$ is a Hadamard matrix of order 4.

## Example (Character table)

$G=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.
The character table of $G$ is a $|G| \times|G|$ matrix $H$ with rows indexed by elements of $G$ and columns by $\widehat{G}$.

$$
\left.H=\begin{array}{c} 
\\
(0,0) \\
(0,1) \\
(1,0) \\
(1,1)
\end{array} \begin{array}{cccc}
\chi_{(0,0)} & \chi_{(0,1)} & \chi_{(1,0)} & \chi_{(1,1)} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\chi_{(1,1)}((0,1))=-1$
$H$ is a Hadamard matrix of order 4.

The character table of an elementary abelian 2-group serves as the underlying Hadamard matrix. To construct a BSHM, it remains to properly split the matrix.

## Example (Partial difference set)

Let $G=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{4}$ and $D=\{(0,0,0,1),(0,0,1,0),(0,0,1,1)\}$. The multiset $\{\{x-y \mid x, y \in D, x \neq y\}\}$ contains
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- each element of $D$ exactly 2 times
- each nonidentity element of $G \backslash D$ exactly 0 time


## Example (Partial difference set)

Let $G=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{4}$ and $D=\{(0,0,0,1),(0,0,1,0),(0,0,1,1)\}$. The multiset $\{\{x-y \mid x, y \in D, x \neq y\}\}$ contains

- each element of $D$ exactly 2 times
- each nonidentity element of $G \backslash D$ exactly 0 time $D$ is a $(16,3,2,0)$ partial difference set in $G$.

Note that $D=\{(0,0,0,1),(0,0,1,0),(0,0,1,1)\}$ is a $(16,3,2,0)$ partial difference set in $G=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{4}$. Let $H$ be the character table of $G$.
$\operatorname{BSHM}(16,3,3,-1) H$ w.r.t. red submatrix
$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1\end{array}\right]$

- Partial difference sets in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$ are well studied objects. We get more than 10 infinite families of BSHMs not coming from the Kronecker product.
- Partial difference sets in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$ are well studied objects. We get more than 10 infinite families of BSHMs not coming from the Kronecker product.
- For $n \in\{16,64,256\}$ and each plausible parameter set $(n, \ell, a, b)$, there is an $\operatorname{BSHM}(n, \ell, a, b)$ derived from the partial difference set construction.


## 5 disjoint partial difference sets in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1}=\{(0,0,0,1),(0,0,1,0),(0,0,1,1)\}, D_{2} & =\{(0,1,0,0),(1,0,0,0),(1,1,0,0)\} \\
D_{3}=\{(0,1,0,1),(1,0,1,0),(1,1,1,1)\}, D_{4} & =\{(0,1,1,0),(1,0,1,1),(1,1,0,1)\} \\
D_{5} & =\{(0,1,1,1),(1,0,0,1),(1,1,1,0)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{BSHM}(16,3,3,-1) \mathrm{H}$ w.r.t. multiple submatrices
$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & --1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1\end{array}\right]$

BSHM w.r.t multiple submatrices
$\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccc}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1\end{array}\right]$
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For $\operatorname{BSHM}(n, \ell, a, b)$ with $\ell>a$, further narrow down the range $\sqrt{2 n} \leq \ell \leq \frac{n}{2}$ or prove the tightness.
upper bound is nearly tight: $\operatorname{BSHM}\left(4 n^{2}, 2 n^{2}-n, n,-n\right)$ exists whenever a Hadamard matrix of order $n$ exists.
lower bound is less clear: derived from equiangular tight frames and two-distance tight frames in $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$.
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## Primary constructions

- $\operatorname{BSHM}\left(4 n^{2}, 2 n^{2}-n, n,-n\right)$ :
exists for $n \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, assuming the Hadamard matrix conjecture holds true
does not exists for odd $n$
existence open for $n \equiv 2 \bmod 4$. A crucial open case: BSHM $(144,66,6,-6)$
- A construction by Kharaghani and Suda: a $\operatorname{BSHM}(q(q+1), q, q,-1)$ for $q \geq 3$, where $q+1$ is the order of a skew-type Hadamard matrix.
- Identify BSHMs from known constructions: there have been plenty of constructions of Hadamard matrices, some of them may already give BSHMs.
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