## Week 1

## Pigeonhole Principle

- 1. (2016A5) Suppose that G is a finite group generated by the two elements g and h, where the order of g is odd. Show that every element of G can be written in the form  $g^{m_1}h^{n_1}g^{m_2}h^{n_2}\cdots g^{m_r}h^{n_r}$  with  $1 \leq r \leq |G|$  and  $m_1, n_1, \ldots, m_r, n_r \in \{-1, 1\}$ .
- 2. Let  $S = \{105, 106, \dots, 210\}$ . Find the minimum value of n such that any n element subset T of S contains at least two elements that are not coprime.

## Mock Putnam problems

- A1 Prove that for each  $n \geq 2$ , there is a set S of n positive integers such that  $(a b)^2 \mid ab$  for every distinct  $a, b \in S$ .
- A3 Let  $p(x) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$  and  $q(x) = x^m + b_1 x^{m-1} + \cdots + b_m$  be polynomials with real coefficients. Suppose q(x)|p(x) as polynomials. Suppose for some  $k = 1, \ldots, m$ , we have  $|b_k| > 2025^k \binom{m}{k}$ . Prove that there exists  $j = 1, \ldots, n$  such that  $|a_j| > 2024$ .
- A5 Suppose  $p > n > m^2$ , with p prime, and let  $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_m < p$  be integers. Prove that there is an integer x, with 0 < x < p for which the m numbers

$$(xa_i \mod p) \mod n$$
, for  $1 < i < m$ 

are pairwise distinct.

A6 (2024 B6) For any real number a and  $x \in (0, 1]$ , define

$$F_a(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^a e^{2n} x^{n^2}.$$

Find a real number c such that

$$\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} F_a(x)e^{-1/(1-x)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a < c, \\ \infty & \text{if } a > c. \end{cases}$$

1. (2016A5) Suppose that G is a finite group generated by the two elements g and h, where the order of g is odd. Show that every element of G can be written in the form  $g^{m_1}h^{n_1}g^{m_2}h^{n_2}\cdots g^{m_r}h^{n_r}$  with  $1 \le r \le |G|$  and  $m_1, n_1, \ldots, m_r, n_r \in \{-1, 1\}$ .

Suppose we have an expression of the form  $g^{m_1}h^{n_1}g^{m_2}h^{n_2}\cdots g^{m_r}h^{n_r}$  where r>|G|. Then using the standard method of  $s_1=g^{m_1}h^{n_1}, s_2=g^{m_1}h^{n_1}g^{m_2}h^{n_2},\ldots,s_r=g^{m_1}h^{n_1}g^{m_2}h^{n_2}\cdots g^{m_r}h^{n_r}$ , we see that two of them are the same. Suppose  $1\leq i< j\leq r$  with  $s_i=s_i$ . Then

$$g^{m_1}h^{n_1}g^{m_2}h^{n_2}\cdots g^{m_r}h^{n_r} = g^{m_1}h^{n_1}g^{m_2}h^{n_2}\cdots g^{m_i}h^{n_i}g^{m_{j+1}}h^{n_{j+1}}\cdots g^{m_r}h^{n_r}$$

can be expressed in the desired form with less than r elements. In other words, it suffices to prove the given statement without any condition on r.

Consider the set S of elements of the form  $g^{m_1}h^{n_1}g^{m_2}h^{n_2}\cdots g^{m_r}h^{n_r}$  for any  $r\geq 1$ . Then S is closed under multiplication, and so is a subgroup of G because G is finite. From  $gh\in S$ , we get  $h^{-1}g^{-1}\in S$ , and then from  $g^{-1}h\in S$ , we get  $g^{-2}\in S$ . Since g has odd order, g is contained in the subgroup generated by  $g^{-2}$ . So  $g\in S$  and then  $h\in S$ . So S=G.

2. Let  $S = \{105, 106, \dots, 210\}$ . Find the minimum value of n such that any n element subset T of S contains at least two elements that are not coprime.

Let P be the set of all primes in S. The "best" set should contain  $P \cup \{2^7, 5^3, 11^2, 13^2\}$  along with some composite numbers whose prime divisors belong to  $\{3, 7, 17, 19, \ldots, 103\}$ . Since  $17 \cdot 19$  is too large, we can add at most 2 more numbers, say  $3^2 \cdot 19 = 171$  and  $7 \cdot 17 = 119$ . Hence the answer should be #P + 7.

For any  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_d = \{n \in S : d \mid n\}$ . Any composite number in S is in  $A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_5 \cup A_7 \cup A_{11} \cup A_{13}$ . By inclusion-exclusion,

$$|A_{2} \cup A_{3} \cup A_{5} \cup A_{7}| = |A_{2}| + |A_{3}| + |A_{5}| + |A_{7}| - |A_{6}| - |A_{10}| - |A_{14}|$$

$$- |A_{15}| - |A_{21}| - |A_{35}| + |A_{30}| + |A_{42}| + |A_{70}| + |A_{105}| - |A_{210}|$$

$$= 53 + 36 + 22 + 16 - 18 - 11 - 8 - 8 - 6 - 4 + 4 + 3 + 2 + 2 - 1$$

$$= 82.$$

The remaining composites belong to

$$(A_{11} \cup A_{13}) \setminus (A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_5 \cup A_7) = \{11^2, 11 \cdot 13, 11 \cdot 17, 11 \cdot 19\} \cup \{13^2\}.$$

Hence |P| = 106 - 82 - 5 = 19. Now given 26 elements, there are at least 7 composites. At least 2 of which belong to the same set among

$$A_2$$
,  $A_3$ ,  $A_5$ ,  $A_7$ ,  $\{11^2, 11 \cdot 13, 11 \cdot 17, 11 \cdot 19\}$ ,  $\{13^2\}$ .

## Mock Putnam problems

A1 Prove that for each  $n \geq 2$ , there is a set S of n positive integers such that  $(a-b)^2 \mid ab$  for every distinct  $a, b \in S$ .

Start with  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  with  $(a_i - a_j)^2 \mid a_i a_j$ . If  $a_i \mid N$  for all i, then the same is true for  $a_1 + N, \ldots, a_n + N$ . Let  $b = \text{lcm}_i a_i$  and let  $N = b(\text{lcm}_i (a_i - b)^2 - 1)$ . Then  $a_1 + N, \ldots, a_n + N, b + N$  does the job.

A3 Let  $p(x) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$  and  $q(x) = x^m + b_1 x^{m-1} + \cdots + b_m$  be polynomials with real coefficients. Suppose q(x)|p(x) as polynomials. Suppose for some  $k = 1, \ldots, m$ , we have  $|b_k| > 2025^k \binom{m}{k}$ . Prove that there exists  $j = 1, \ldots, n$  such that  $|a_j| > 2024$ .

The condition on  $|b_k|$  implies that q(x) has a root r with |r| > 2025. Then r is also a root of p(x). Suppose  $|a_j| \le 2024$  for all j. Then

$$0 = |p(r)| \ge |r|^n - 2024(|r|^{n-1} + \dots + 1) > 0.$$

A5 Suppose  $p > n > m^2$ , with p prime, and let  $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_m < p$  be integers. Prove that there is an integer x, with 0 < x < p for which the m numbers

$$(xa_i \mod p) \mod n$$
, for  $1 < i < m$ 

are pairwise distinct.

For any 0 < a < b < p, we let x be randomly chosen from  $1, 2, \ldots, p-1$  and let

$$X(a,b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (xa \mod p) \mod n = (xb \mod p) \mod n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then X(a,b)=1 if and only if x(a-b)%p or p-x(a-b)%p is divisible by n. Hence

$$E(X(a,b)) = \frac{1}{p-1} \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p}{n} \right\rfloor \right) \le \frac{2(p-1)}{(p-1)n} = \frac{2}{n}.$$

We now let

$$Y = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le m} X(a_i, a_j)$$

so by the linearity of expectation, we have

$$E(Y) < \frac{m(m-1)}{2} \frac{2}{n} < 1.$$

Hence, Pr(Y=0) > 0.

A6 (2024 B6) For any real number a and  $x \in (0, 1]$ , define

$$F_a(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^a e^{2n} x^{n^2}.$$

Find a real number c such that

$$\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} F_a(x)e^{-1/(1-x)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a < c, \\ \infty & \text{if } a > c. \end{cases}$$

Let y = 1/(1-x), so  $x = 1 - \frac{1}{y}$  and we are letting  $y \to \infty$ . For a fixed y, the term  $x^{n^2}$  is approaching 0 as  $n \to \infty$ . So we should estimate  $(1 - \frac{1}{y})^{n^2}$  in a way that suggests that it is small when n is large and y is fixed. We have

$$(1 - \frac{1}{y})^{n^2} = e^{n^2 \ln(1 - y^{-1})} \approx e^{-n^2 y^{-1}}.$$

More precisely, for any  $\delta > 0$ , we may take y large enough so that

$$e^{-n^2y^{-1}-\delta n^2y^{-2}}<(1-\frac{1}{y})^{n^2}< e^{-n^2y^{-1}}.$$

Then we have

$$F_a(x) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^a e^{-n^2 y^{-1} + 2n - y} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^a e^{-y^{-1} (n - y)^2}.$$

This is similar to the moments of a Gaussian distribution, which suggests that the main contribution comes from  $|n-y| < y^{1/2+\epsilon}$ . More precisely, we first note that for  $n \approx y + y^{1/2}$ , we have  $e^{-y^{-1}(n-y)^2} \approx e^{-1}$  from which we see that  $F_a(x) \gg y^a$ . Hence we may assume a < 0. Now let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary and small. Then we have

$$\sum_{|n-y|>y^{1/2+\epsilon}} n^a e^{-y^{-1}(n-y)^2} \ll \int_{|z|>y^{1/2+\epsilon}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{y}} dz =: I(y,\epsilon).$$

There are many ways to show  $I(y, \epsilon)$  is small. For example,

$$I(y,\epsilon)^2 \le \int_{y^{1/2+\epsilon}}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} re^{-\frac{r^2}{y}} dr d\theta \ll e^{-y^{\epsilon}}.$$

For  $|n-y| < y^{1/2+\epsilon}$ , we have

$$n^a e^{-y^{-1}(n-y)^2} \ll y^a$$

which gives the upper bound

$$\sum_{|n-y| < y^{1/2+\epsilon}} n^a e^{-y^{-1}(n-y)^2} \ll y^{a+1/2+\epsilon}.$$

For  $|n-y| \in (y^{1/2}, 2y^{1/2})$ , we have

$$n^a e^{-y^{-1}(n-y)^2} \gg y^a$$

which gives the lower bound

$$\sum_{|n-y| < y^{1/2+\epsilon}} n^a e^{-y^{-1}(n-y)^2} \ll y^{a+1/2}.$$

In conclusion, we have

$$y^{a+1/2} + e^{-y^{\epsilon}} \ll F_a(x) \ll y^{a+1/2+\epsilon} + e^{-y^{\epsilon}}.$$

Hence we have  $F_a(x) \to 0$  if a < -1/2 and  $F_a(x) \to \infty$  if a > -1/2, as  $y \to \infty$ .