

Solutions to the Special K Problems, 2018

- 1:** Let C be the circle of radius 1 centred at $(0, 1)$. Let D be the circle of radius 2 centred at $(a, 2)$ where $a > 0$ and D is externally tangent to C . Let E be the circle of radius r centred at $(x, 0)$ where $0 < x < a$ and E is externally tangent to both C and D . Find the values of x and r .

Solution: The distance between the centres of two externally tangent circles is the sum of their radii. Applying this rule to the circles C and D gives $a^2 + 1^2 = (2 + 1)^2 = 9$, and so $a = 2\sqrt{2}$. Applying the rule to the circles C and E gives $x^2 + 1 = (1 + r)^2 = 1 + 2r + r^2$ and so $x^2 = 2r + r^2$. Applying the rule to the circles D and E gives $(a - x)^2 + 4 = (2 + r)^2$, and so $a^2 - 2ax + x^2 = 4r + r^2$. Put in $a = 2\sqrt{2}$ and $x^2 = 2r + r^2$ to get $8 - 4\sqrt{2}x + 2r + r^2 = 4r + r^2$, and so $4\sqrt{2}x = 8 - 2r$, that is $2\sqrt{2}x = 4 - r$. Square both sides to get $8x^2 = 16 - 8r + r^2$. Put in $x^2 = 2r + r^2$ to get $8(2r + r^2) = 16 - 8r + r^2$, and so $7r^2 + 24r - 16 = 0$ hence $(7r - 4)(r + 4) = 0$. Since $r > 0$ we must have $r = \frac{4}{7}$. Since $2\sqrt{2}x = 4 - r = \frac{24}{7}$, we have $x = \frac{6\sqrt{2}}{7}$.

- 2:** Let a_n be the n^{th} positive integer k such that $\lfloor \sqrt{k} \rfloor$ divides k . Find n such that $a_n = 600$.

Solution: We have $\lfloor \sqrt{k} \rfloor = \ell$ when $\sqrt{k} - 1 < \ell \leq \sqrt{k}$, or equivalently when $\ell \leq \sqrt{k} < \ell + 1$, or equivalently when $\ell^2 \leq k < (\ell + 1)^2$. In this case, $\ell = \lfloor \sqrt{k} \rfloor$ divides k when k is a multiple of ℓ with $\ell^2 \leq k < (\ell + 1)^2$, that is when $k = \ell^2$, $k = \ell^2 + \ell$ or $k = \ell^2 + 2\ell = (\ell + 1)^2 - 1$. Thus the values of k for which $\lfloor \sqrt{k} \rfloor$ divides k are

$$1^2, 1^2 + 1, 1^2 + 2, 2^2, 2^2 + 2, 2^2 + 4, 3^2, 3^2 + 3, 3^2 + 6, 4^2, 4^2 + 4, 4^2 + 8, 5^2, 5^2 + 5, 5^2 + 10, \dots$$

and so we have $a_{3m-2} = m^2$, $a_{3m-1} = m^2 + m$ and $a_{3m} = m^2 + 2m = (m + 1)^2 - 1$. Note that $24^2 = 576$ and $24^2 + 24 = 600$, so for $m = 24$ we have $600 = m^2 + m = a_{3m-1} = a_{71}$. Thus we can take $n = 71$ to get $a_n = 600$.

- 3:** A Mersenne prime is a prime of the form $p = 2^k - 1$ for some positive integer k . For a positive integer n , let $\sigma(n)$ be the sum of the positive divisors of n . Show that $\sigma(n)$ is a power of 2 if and only if n is a product of distinct Mersenne primes.

Solution: When $n = 1$ we have $\sigma(n) = 1 = 2^0$ which (for convenience) we consider to be a product of zero Mersenne primes. Let $n \geq 2$, say $n = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i^{m_i}$ where the p_i are distinct primes and $m_i \geq 1$. Recall (or show) that

$$\sigma(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (1 + p_i + p_i^2 + \cdots + p_i^{m_i}).$$

If n is a product of distinct Mersenne primes then each $m_i = 1$ and each p_i is a Mersenne prime, say $p_i = 2^{k_i} - 1$, so we have $\sigma(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (1 + p_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} 2^{k_i} = 2^{k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_{\ell}}$.

Suppose, conversely, that $\sigma(n)$ is a power of 2, say $\sigma(n) = 2^k$. We need to show that each $m_i = 1$ and that each p_i is a Mersenne prime. Since $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (1 + p_i + \cdots + p_i^{m_i}) = \sigma(n) = 2^k$ it follows, from unique factorization, that each term $(1 + p_i + \cdots + p_i^{m_i})$ is a power of 2, say

$$(1 + p_i + \cdots + p_i^{m_i}) = 2^{k_i}.$$

It suffices to show that each $m_i = 1$ since this implies $1 + p_i = 2^{k_i}$ so that each p_i is a Mersenne prime. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $m_i \geq 2$. Note that p_i is odd since if p_i was even then $1 + p_i + \cdots + p_i^{m_i}$ would be odd. Note that m_i must be odd since if m_i was even then $1 + p_i + \cdots + p_i^{m_i}$ would be odd. Let $m_i = 2\ell_i + 1$ and note that $\ell_i \geq 1$. Thus we have

$$2^{k_i} = (1 + p_i + p_i^2 + \cdots + p_i^{2\ell_i+1}) = (1 + p_i)(1 + p_i^2 + p_i^4 + \cdots + p_i^{2\ell_i})$$

and so $1 + p_i$ and $1 + p_i^2 + \cdots + p_i^{2\ell_i}$ are both powers of 2. Note that ℓ_i must be odd since if ℓ_i was even then $1 + p_i^2 + p_i^4 + \cdots + p_i^{2\ell_i}$ would be odd. Let $\ell_i = 2r_i + 1$ and note that $r_i \geq 0$. Then

$$2^{k_i} = (1 + p_i)(1 + p_i^2 + \cdots + p_i^{2r_i+1}) = (1 + p_i)(1 + p_i^2)(1 + p_i^4 + \cdots + p_i^{4r_i}).$$

Thus $(1 + p_i^2)$ is a power of 2. But this is not possible, since $p_i \neq 2$ and p_i is odd so that $p_i^2 + 1 = 2 \pmod{4}$.

- 4:** Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$. Show that there exists a sequence $\{c_n\}$ of positive real numbers with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = \infty$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n a_n < \frac{1}{2}$.

Solution: Let $S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$. Recall (or show) that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} a_n < \epsilon$.

For each $0 \leq \ell \in \mathbf{Z}$ choose m_{ℓ} with $1 = m_0 < m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \cdots$ such that $\sum_{n=m_{\ell}}^{\infty} a_n < \frac{S}{4^{\ell}}$. For all $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$

with $m_{\ell-1} \leq n < m_{\ell}$, let $c_n = \frac{2^{\ell-3}}{S}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n a_n &= \sum_{n=1}^{m_1-1} c_n a_n + \sum_{n=m_1}^{m_2-1} c_n a_n + \sum_{n=m_2}^{m_3-1} c_n a_n + \sum_{n=m_3}^{m_4-1} c_n a_n + \cdots \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{m_1-1} \frac{1}{4S} a_n + \sum_{n=m_1}^{m_2-1} \frac{1}{2S} a_n + \sum_{n=m_2}^{m_3-1} \frac{1}{S} a_n + \sum_{n=m_3}^{m_4-1} \frac{2}{S} a_n + \cdots \\ &= \frac{1}{4S} \sum_{n=1}^{m_1-1} a_n + \frac{1}{2S} \sum_{n=m_1}^{m_2-1} a_n + \frac{1}{S} \sum_{n=m_2}^{m_3-1} a_n + \frac{2}{S} \sum_{n=m_3}^{m_4-1} a_n + \cdots \\ &< \frac{1}{4S} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n + \frac{1}{2S} \sum_{n=m_1}^{\infty} a_n + \frac{1}{S} \sum_{n=m_2}^{\infty} a_n + \frac{2}{S} \sum_{n=m_3}^{\infty} a_n + \cdots \\ &< \frac{1}{4S} \cdot S + \frac{1}{2S} \cdot \frac{S}{4} + \frac{1}{S} \cdot \frac{S}{4^2} + \frac{2}{S} \cdot \frac{S}{4^3} + \cdots \\ &= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{32} + \cdots = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

5: Find the minimum possible value of $f'(2)$ given that $f(x)$ is a polynomial with nonnegative real coefficients such that $f(1) = 1$ and $f(2) = 3$.

Solution: When $\deg(f) = 0$ we cannot have $f(1) = 1$ and $f(2) = 3$. When $\deg(f) = 1$, to get $f(1) = 1$ and $f(2) = 3$ we must have $f(x) = 2x - 1$, but then the coefficients of $f(x)$ are not all nonnegative. Let

$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots + a_n x^n$ where $n \geq 2$ and each $a_k \geq 0$. We have $f(1) = 2$ and $f(2) = 3$ when

$$a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \cdots + a_n = 1 \quad (1)$$

$$a_0 + 2a_1 + 4a_2 + 8a_3 + \cdots + 2^n a_n = 3 \quad (2)$$

Subtract (1) from (2) to get $a_1 + 3a_2 + 7a_3 + \cdots + (2^n - 1)a_n = 2$ (3) and subtract (3) from (1) to get $a_0 - 2a_2 - 6a_3 - \cdots - (2^n - 2)a_n = -1$ (4), then rewrite equations (3) and (4) as

$$a_1 = 2 - 3a_2 - 7a_3 - \cdots - (2^n - 1)a_n \quad (5)$$

$$a_0 = -1 + 2a_2 + 6a_3 + \cdots + (2^n - 2)a_n \quad (6)$$

From (6) we see that since $a_0 \geq 0$ we must have $2a_2 + 6a_3 + 14a_4 + \cdots + (2^n - 2)a_n \geq 0 \geq 1$, that is

$$a_2 + 3a_3 + 7a_4 + \cdots + (2^{n-1} - 1)a_n \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad (7)$$

Also, we have $f'(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k x^{k-1} = a_1 + 2a_2 x + 3a_3 x^2 + \cdots + n a_n x^{n-1}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} f'(2) &= a_1 + 4a_2 + 12a_3 + \cdots + n2^{n-1}a_n \\ &= (2 - 3a_2 - 7a_3 - \cdots - (2^n - 1)a_n) + 4a_2 + 12a_3 + \cdots + n2^{n-1}a_n, \text{ by (5)} \\ &= 2 + a_2 + 5a_3 + \cdots + (n2^{n-1} - 2^n + 1)a_n \\ &= 2 + a_2 + 5a_3 + \cdots + ((n-2)2^{n-1} + 1)a_n \\ &\geq 2 + a_2 + 3a_3 + \cdots + (2^{n-1} - 1)a_n \\ &\geq 2 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{5}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that equality can be attained by choosing $a_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $a_k = 0$ for $k \geq 3$ then using (5) and (6) to get $a_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $a_0 = 0$. Indeed when $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}x^2$, we have $f'(x) = \frac{1}{2} + x$, $f(1) = 1$, $f(2) = 3$ and $f'(2) = \frac{5}{2}$.

6: Let $a_0 = a_1 = 1$ and let $a_{2n} = a_{n-1} + a_n$ and $a_{2n+1} = a_n$ for $n \geq 1$. Define $f : \mathbf{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^+$ by $f(n) = \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}$. Show that f is bijective.

Solution: First we note that $f(1) = \frac{a_1}{a_0} = 1$ and for $k \geq 1$ we have

$$f(2k) = \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}} = \frac{a_{k-1} + a_k}{a_{k-1}} = 1 + \frac{a_k}{a_{k-1}} = 1 + f(k), \text{ and}$$

$$f(2k+1) = \frac{a_{2k+1}}{a_{2k}} = \frac{a_k}{a_k + a_{k-1}} = \frac{1}{\frac{a_k + a_{k-1}}{a_k}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{a_k}{a_{k-1}}} = \frac{1}{1 + f(k)}$$

and, in particular, $f(2k) > 1$ and $f(2k+1) < 1$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that f is not injective. Let n be the smallest positive integer such that $f(n) = f(m)$ for some $m > n$. We cannot have $n = 1$ since when $m > 1$ is even we have $f(m) > 1$ and when $m > 1$ is odd we have $f(m) < 1$. If n is even then m must also be even since $f(m) = f(n) > 1$, but if we let $n = 2k$ and $m = 2l$ then we have $f(n) = f(m) \implies f(2k) = f(2l) \implies 1 + f(k) = 1 + f(l) \implies f(k) = f(l)$, which contradicts the choice of n . If n is odd then m must also be odd since $f(m) = f(n) < 1$, but if we let $n = 2k+1$ and $m = 2l+1$ then we have $f(n) = f(m) \implies f(2k+1) = f(2l+1) \implies \frac{1}{1+f(k)} = \frac{1}{1+f(l)} \implies f(k) = f(l)$, which again contradicts the choice of n . Thus f is injective.

It remains to show that f is surjective. Let $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and suppose, inductively, that for all $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ with $a < m$ and $b < m$ there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $f(n) = \frac{a}{b}$. Let $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ with $a \leq m$ and $b \leq m$. If $a < m$ and $b < m$ then, by the induction hypothesis, we can choose $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $f(n) = \frac{a}{b}$. If $a = b = m$ then we can choose $n = 1$ to get $f(n) = 1 = \frac{a}{b}$. If $a = m$ and $b < m$ then $1 \leq a-b < m$ so, by the induction hypothesis, we can choose $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $f(k) = \frac{a-b}{b}$ and then for $n = 2k$ we have $f(n) = f(2k) = 1 + f(k) = 1 + \frac{a-b}{b} = \frac{a}{b}$. Finally, if $a < m$ and $b = m$ then, by the induction hypothesis, we can choose $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $f(k) = \frac{a}{b-a}$ and then for $n = 2k+1$ we have $f(n) = f(2k+1) = \frac{1}{1+f(k)} = \frac{1}{1+\frac{a}{b-a}} = \frac{a}{b}$. It follows, by induction, that for all $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $f(n) = \frac{a}{b}$, hence f is surjective.

Solutions to the Big E Problems, 2018

- 1:** Let C be the sphere of radius 1 centred at $(0, 1, 1)$. Let D be the sphere of radius 2 centred at $(a, 2, 2)$ where $a > 0$ and D is externally tangent to C . Let E be the sphere of radius r centred at (x, r, r) where $0 < x < a$ and E is externally tangent to both C and D . Find the values of x and r .

Solution: The distance between the centres of two externally tangent circles is the sum of their radii. Applying this rule to the circles C and D gives $a^2 + 2 \cdot 1^2 = (2 + 1)^2 = 9$, and so $a = \sqrt{7}$. Applying the rule to the circles C and E gives $x^2 + 2(1 - r)^2 = (1 + r)^2$ and so $x^2 = -1 + 6r - r^2$. Applying the rule to the circles D and E gives $(a - x)^2 + 2(2 - r)^2 = (2 + r)^2$, and so $a^2 - 2ax + x^2 = -4 + 12r - r^2$. Put in $a = \sqrt{7}$ and $x^2 = -1 + 6r - r^2$ to get $7 - 2\sqrt{7}x + (-1 + 6r - r^2) = -4 + 12r - r^2$, and so $2\sqrt{7}x = 10 - 6r$, that is $\sqrt{7}x = 5 - 3r$. Square both sides to get $7x^2 = 25 - 30r + 9r^2$. Put in $x^2 = -1 + 6r - r^2$ to get $7(-1 + 6r - r^2) = 25 - 30r + 9r^2$, and so $16r^2 - 72r + 32 = 0$ hence $2r^2 - 9r + 4 = 0$, that is $(2r - 1)(r - 4) = 0$. Thus either $r = \frac{1}{2}$ or $r = 4$. Also, since $\sqrt{7}x = 5 - 3r$ we have $x = \frac{5-3r}{\sqrt{7}}$. If we had $r = 4$ then we would have $x = \frac{5-12}{\sqrt{7}} = -\sqrt{7}$ which is not possible, since $x > 0$. Thus we must have $r = \frac{1}{2}$ and $x = \frac{5-\frac{3}{2}}{\sqrt{7}} = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}$.

- 2:** Let a_n be the n^{th} positive integer k such that $\lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor$ divides k . Find n such that $a_n = 600$.

Solution: Let us say that k is *allowable* when $\lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor$ divides k . We have $\lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor = \ell$ when $\sqrt[3]{k} - 1 < \ell \leq \sqrt[3]{k}$, or equivalently when $\ell \leq \sqrt[3]{k} < \ell + 1$, or equivalently when $\ell^3 \leq k < (\ell + 1)^3$. Since $\ell^3 + (3\ell + 3)\ell = (\ell + 1)^3 - 1$, the allowable values of k with $\lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor = \ell$ are

$$\ell^3, \ell^3 + \ell, \ell^3 + 2\ell, \dots, \ell^3 + (3\ell + 3)\ell.$$

Thus for each $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, there are exactly $3\ell + 4$ allowable values of k with $\lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor = \ell$. The total number of allowable values of k with $1 \leq k < 8^3 = 512$ is $\sum_{\ell=1}^7 (3\ell + 4) = 7 + 10 + 13 + 16 + 19 + 22 + 25 = 112$.

Since $600 - 512 = 88 = 11 \cdot 8$, There are 12 more allowable values of k with $8^3 = 512 \leq k \leq 600$, namely $8^3, 8^3 + 8, 8^3 + 2 \cdot 8, \dots, 8^3 + 11 \cdot 8 = 600$. Thus when $n = 112 + 12 = 124$ we have $a_n = 600$.

3: Define $f : (1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $f(x) = \int_x^{x^2} \frac{dt}{\ln t}$. Find the range of f .

Solution: We claim that f is increasing. Let $g(u) = \int_e^u \frac{dt}{\ln t}$. By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, we

have $g'(u) = \frac{1}{\ln u}$. Since $f(x) = \int_e^{x^2} \frac{dt}{\ln t} - \int_e^x \frac{dt}{\ln t} = g(x^2) - g(x)$, we have

$$f'(x) = 2x g'(x^2) - g'(x) = \frac{2x}{\ln(x^2)} - \frac{1}{\ln x} = \frac{2x}{2 \ln x} - \frac{1}{\ln x} = \frac{x-1}{\ln x}$$

and so $f'(x) > 0$ for all $x > 1$. Thus f is increasing, as claimed. Because $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is increasing and continuous, it follows that the range of f is the interval (a, b) where $a = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} f(x)$ and $b = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$.

For all $t \in [x, x^2]$ we have $\ln t \leq \ln(x^2) = 2 \ln x$, hence $\frac{1}{\ln t} \geq \frac{1}{2 \ln x}$, and so

$$f(x) = \int_x^{x^2} \frac{dt}{\ln t} \geq \int_x^{x^2} \frac{dt}{2 \ln x} = \frac{x^2 - x}{2 \ln x}.$$

By l'Hospital's Rule, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^2 - x}{2 \ln x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2x - 1}{2/x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x) = \infty$ and so $b = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$.

Make the substitution $\ln t = u$, so that $t = e^u$ and $dt = e^u du$ to get $f(x) = \int_x^{x^2} \frac{dt}{\ln t} = \int_{\ln x}^{2 \ln x} \frac{e^u}{u} du$.

When $\ln x \leq u \leq 2 \ln x$ we have $x \leq e^u \leq x^2$, so for all $x > 1$

$$f(x) = \int_{\ln x}^{2 \ln x} \frac{e^u}{u} du \leq \int_{\ln x}^{2 \ln x} \frac{x^2}{u} du = \left[x^2 \ln u \right]_{u=\ln x}^{2 \ln x} = x^2 \ln \left(\frac{2 \ln x}{\ln x} \right) = x^2 \ln 2$$

$$f(x) = \int_{\ln x}^{2 \ln x} \frac{e^u}{u} du \geq \int_{\ln x}^{2 \ln x} \frac{x}{u} du = \left[x \ln u \right]_{u=\ln x}^{2 \ln x} = x \ln \left(\frac{2 \ln x}{\ln x} \right) = x \ln 2.$$

Since $x \ln 2 \leq f(x) \leq x^2 \ln 2$ for all $x > 1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} x \ln 2 = \ln 2 = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} x^2 \ln 2$ it follows, from the Squeeze Theorem, that $a = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} f(x) = \ln 2$. Thus the range of $f(x)$ is the interval $(\ln 2, \infty)$.

- 4: Let p be a prime number, let \mathbf{Z}_p be the field of integers modulo p , and let $M_3(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ be the ring of 3×3 matrices with entries in \mathbf{Z}_p . Find the number of functions $F : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow M_3(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ such that $F(k+l) = F(k) + F(l)$ and $F(kl) = F(k)F(l)$ for all $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Solution: When R is a ring, a function $F : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow R$ such that $F(k+l) = F(k) + F(l)$ and $F(kl) = F(k)F(l)$ for all $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}$ is called a **ring homomorphism**. Recall (or prove) that the ring homomorphisms $F : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow R$ are the maps of the form $F(k) = ka$ for some $a \in R$ with $a^2 = 1$. It follows that the number of ring homomorphisms $F : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow M_3(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is equal to the number of matrices $A \in M_3(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ with $A^2 = A$.

When F is a field, a matrix $A \in M_n(F)$ such that $A^2 = A$ is called a **projection matrix**. Recall (or prove) that a projection matrix $A \in M_n(F)$ is determined by its image and its kernel and that we have $F^n = \text{Im}A \oplus \text{Ker}A$. It follows that the number of projection matrices $A \in M_n(F)$ with $\text{rank}(A) = r$ is equal to the number of pairs (U, V) where U and V are subspaces of F^n with $\dim(U) = r$ and $\dim(V) = n - r$ and $U \cap V = \{0\}$.

Let $F = \mathbf{Z}_p$. The number of r -dimensional subspaces $U \subseteq F^n$ is equal to $\frac{(p^n-1)(p^n-p)\cdots(p^n-p^{r-1})}{(p^r-1)(p^r-p)\cdots(p^r-p^{r-1})}$ because to choose an independent set $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\}$ there are $p^n - 1$ ways to choose $u_1 \in F^n \setminus \{0\}$, then $p^n - p$ ways to choose $u_2 \in F^n \setminus \text{Span}\{u_1\}$, then $p^n - p^2$ ways to choose $u_3 \in F^n \setminus \text{Span}\{u_1, u_2\}$ and so on, so the number of independent sets $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\}$ is equal to $(p^n - 1)(p^n - p)\cdots(p^n - p^{r-1})$, and when $U = \text{Span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\}$, a similar argument shows that the number of different bases $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$ for U is equal to $(p^r - 1)(p^r - p)\cdots(p^r - p^{r-1})$. Another similar argument shows that, once we have chosen an r -dimensional subspace $U \subseteq F^n$, the number of $(n - r)$ -dimensional subspaces $V \subseteq F^n$ with $U \cap V = \{0\}$ is equal to $\frac{(p^n-p^r)(p^n-p^{r+1})\cdots(p^n-p^{n-1})}{(p^{n-r}-1)(p^{n-r}-p)\cdots(p^{n-r}-p^{n-r-1})}$.

Letting a_r be the number of projection matrices $A \in M_3(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ with $\text{rank}(A) = r$, the total number of projection matrices is

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 &= 1 + \frac{(p^3-1)}{(p-1)} \cdot \frac{(p^3-p)(p^3-p^2)}{(p^2-1)(p^2-p)} + \frac{(p^3-1)(p^3-p)}{(p^2-1)(p^2-p)} \cdot \frac{(p^3-p^2)}{(p-1)} + 1 \\ &= 1 + (p^2 + p + 1)p^2 + (p^2 + p + 1)p^2 + 1 \\ &= 2(p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

- 5: Let $a_0 = a_1 = 1$ and let $a_{2n} = a_{n-1} + a_n$ and $a_{2n+1} = a_n$ for $n \geq 1$. Define $f : \mathbf{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^+$ by $f(n) = \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}$. Show that f is bijective.

Solution: First we note that $f(1) = \frac{a_1}{a_0} = 1$ and for $k \geq 1$ we have

$$f(2k) = \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}} = \frac{a_{k-1} + a_k}{a_{k-1}} = 1 + \frac{a_k}{a_{k-1}} = 1 + f(k), \text{ and}$$

$$f(2k+1) = \frac{a_{2k+1}}{a_{2k}} = \frac{a_k}{a_k + a_{k-1}} = \frac{1}{\frac{a_k + a_{k-1}}{a_k}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{a_k}{a_{k-1}}} = \frac{1}{1 + f(k)}$$

and, in particular, $f(2k) > 1$ and $f(2k+1) < 1$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that f is not injective. Let n be the smallest positive integer such that $f(n) = f(m)$ for some $m > n$. We cannot have $n = 1$ since when $m > 1$ is even we have $f(m) > 1$ and when $m > 1$ is odd we have $f(m) < 1$. If n is even then m must also be even since $f(m) = f(n) > 1$, but if we let $n = 2k$ and $m = 2l$ then we have $f(n) = f(m) \implies f(2k) = f(2l) \implies 1 + f(k) = 1 + f(l) \implies f(k) = f(l)$, which contradicts the choice of n . If n is odd then m must also be odd since $f(m) = f(n) < 1$, but if we let $n = 2k+1$ and $m = 2l+1$ then we have $f(n) = f(m) \implies f(2k+1) = f(2l+1) \implies \frac{1}{1+f(k)} = \frac{1}{1+f(l)} \implies f(k) = f(l)$, which again contradicts the choice of n . Thus f is injective.

It remains to show that f is surjective. Let $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and suppose, inductively, that for all $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ with $a < m$ and $b < m$ there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $f(n) = \frac{a}{b}$. Let $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ with $a \leq m$ and $b \leq m$. If $a < m$ and $b < n$ then, by the induction hypothesis, we can choose $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $f(n) = \frac{a}{b}$. If $a = b = m$ then we can choose $n = 1$ to get $f(n) = 1 = \frac{a}{b}$. If $a = m$ and $b < m$ then $1 \leq a-b < m$ so, by the induction hypothesis, we can choose $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $f(k) = \frac{a-b}{b}$ and then for $n = 2k$ we have $f(n) = f(2k) = 1 + f(k) = 1 + \frac{a-b}{b} = \frac{a}{b}$. Finally, if $a < m$ and $b = m$ then, by the induction hypothesis, we can choose $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $f(k) = \frac{a}{b-a}$ and then for $n = 2k+1$ we have $f(n) = f(2k+1) = \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{f(k)}} = \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{\frac{a}{b-a}}} = \frac{a}{b}$. It follows, by induction, that for all $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $f(n) = \frac{a}{b}$, hence f is surjective.

- 6: Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and let $N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$. Let S be a set of subsets of N with the property that for all $A, B \subseteq N$, if $A \in S$ and $A \subseteq B$ then $B \in S$. Define $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $f(x) = \sum_{A \in S} x^{|A|} (1-x)^{|N \setminus A|}$. Show that f is nondecreasing.

Solution: For $A \in S$ and $x \in [0, 1]$, let $R_A(x)$ be the rectangular box $R_A(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n I_{A,k}(x)$ where $I_{A,k}(x)$ is the interval

$$I_{A,k}(x) = \begin{cases} [0, x) & \text{if } k \in A, \\ [x, 1] & \text{if } k \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Note that when $A, B \in S$ with $A \neq B$, the boxes $R_A(x)$ and $R_B(x)$ are disjoint (because when k lies in exactly one of the two sets A and B , the intervals $I_{A,k}(x)$ and $I_{B,k}(x)$ are disjoint). It follows that

$$f(x) = \sum_{A \in S} x^{|A|} (1-x)^{|N \setminus A|} = \sum_{A \in S} \text{Vol}(R_A(x)) = \text{Vol}\left(\bigcup_{A \in S} R_A(x)\right).$$

Let $0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1$. We claim that $\bigcup_{A \in S} R_A(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in S} R_A(y)$. Let $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \bigcup_{A \in S} R_A(x)$. Choose $A \in S$ such that $t \in R_A(x)$. Since $t \in R_A(x)$ we have $t_k \in I_{A,k}(x)$ for all k , that is $t_k \in [0, x)$ when $k \in A$ and $t_k \in [x, 1]$ when $k \notin A$, and hence $A = \{k \in N \mid t_k < x\}$. Let $B = \{k \in N \mid t_k < y\}$ so that $t_k \in [0, y)$ when $k \in B$ and $t_k \in [y, 1]$ when $k \notin B$. Since $x \leq y$ we have $A \subseteq B$. Since $A \subseteq B$ and $A \in S$ we have $B \in S$. Since $t_k \in [0, y)$ when $k \in B$ and $t_k \in [y, 1]$ when $k \notin B$ we have $t \in R_B(y)$. Since $t \in R_B(y)$ and $R_B(y) \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in S} R_A(y)$ we have $t \in \bigcup_{A \in S} R_A(y)$. This shows that $\bigcup_{A \in S} R_A(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in S} R_A(y)$, as claimed. Thus

$$f(x) = \text{Vol}\left(\bigcup_{A \in S} R_A(x)\right) \leq \text{Vol}\left(\bigcup_{A \in S} R_A(y)\right) = f(y)$$

and so f is nondecreasing, as required.