

## Solutions to the Special K Problems, 2013

- 1:** Let  $a$ ,  $n$  and  $k$  be positive integers. Suppose  $m \geq 3$  and  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ . Show that  $a^k + (m-a)^k \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2}$  if and only if  $m$  is odd and  $k \equiv m \pmod{2m}$ .

Solution: In order to have  $a^k + (m-a)^k \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2}$ , we must have  $a^k + (m-a)^k \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ . Working modulo  $m$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^k + (m-a)^k \equiv 0 &\iff a^k + (-a)^k \equiv 0 \iff a^k(1 + (-1)^k) \equiv 0 \\ &\iff 1 + (-1)^k \equiv 0 \iff (-1)^k \equiv -1 \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

where, at one step in the above calculation, we divided by  $a^k$  which we can do since  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ . Since  $m \geq 3$ , in order to have  $(-1)^k \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$ , we see that  $k$  must be odd. When  $k$  is odd, working modulo  $m^2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^k + (m-a)^k \equiv 0 &\iff a^k + (m^k - km^{k-1}a + \cdots + kma^{k-1} - a^k) \equiv 0 \\ &\iff a^k + kma^{k-1} - a^k \equiv 0 \iff kma^{k-1} \equiv 0 \iff km \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $km \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2} \iff k \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  and so we have

$$a^k + (m-a)^k \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2} \iff k \text{ is odd with } k \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \iff m \text{ is odd and } k \equiv m \pmod{2m}.$$

- 2:** Find the number of positive integers  $k$  such that  $k^2 + 2013$  is a square.

Solution: For  $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  we have

$$k^2 + 2013 = l^2 \iff l^2 - k^2 = 2013 \iff (l-k)(l+k) = 2013.$$

Given  $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $k < l$  and  $(l-k)(l+k) = 2013$ , let  $a = l-k$  and  $b = l+k$  to obtain  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $a < b$  and  $ab = 2013$ . Conversely, given  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $a < b$  and  $ab = 2013$ , note that  $a$  and  $b$  are both odd (since 2013 is odd) and let  $k = \frac{b+a}{2}$  and  $l = \frac{b-a}{2}$  to obtain  $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $k < l$  and  $(l-k)(l+k) = 2013$ . Thus the number of pairs  $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $k < l$  and  $(l-k)(l+k) = 2013$  is equal to the number of pairs  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $a < b$  and  $ab = 2013$ . Since 2013 factors into primes as  $2013 = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 61$ , it has eight positive divisors, namely 1, 3, 11, 33, 61, 183, 671, 2013. Thus we have four possible pairs  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ , namely  $(a, b) = (1, 2013), (3, 671), (11, 183), (33, 61)$ , and hence four possible pairs  $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ , namely  $(k, l) = (1006, 1007), (334, 337), (86, 97), (14, 47)$ . Thus there are exactly 4 positive integers  $k$  for which  $k^2 + 2013$  is a perfect square, namely  $k = 1006, 334, 86, 14$ .

- 3:** For each positive integer  $n$ , let  $a_n$  be the first digit in the decimal representation of  $2^n$ , let  $b_n$  be the number of indices  $k \leq n$  for which  $a_k = 1$ , and let  $c_n$  be the number of indices  $k \leq n$  for which  $a_k = 2$ . Show that there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$  we have  $b_n > c_n$ .

Solution: Note that the sequences  $\{b_n\}$  and  $\{c_n\}$  are increasing with

$$b_n = \begin{cases} b_{n-1} & \text{if } a_n \neq 1 \\ b_{n-1} + 1 & \text{if } a_n = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad c_n = \begin{cases} c_{n-1} & \text{if } a_n \neq 2 \\ c_{n-1} + 1 & \text{if } a_n = 2. \end{cases}$$

We list some powers of 2 and find a few values of  $b_n$  and  $c_n$ .

$n$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
$2^n$	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024	2048	4096	8192	16384	32768
$b_n$	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4
$c_n$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3

We claim that  $b_n > c_n$  for all  $n \geq 14$ . From the above table, we see that  $b_{14} > c_{14}$  and  $b_{15} > c_{15}$ . Let  $n \geq 16$  and suppose, inductively, that  $b_{n-1} > c_{n-1}$  and  $b_{n-2} > c_{n-2}$ . We must show that  $b_n > c_n$ . If  $a_n \neq 2$  so that  $c_n = c_{n-1}$ , then we have  $b_n \geq b_{n-1} > c_{n-1} = c_n$ . Suppose that  $a_n = 2$  so that  $c_n = c_{n-1} + 1$ . Then, since  $2^n$  begins with the digit 2, for some  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  we have  $2 \cdot 10^k \leq 2^n < 3 \cdot 10^k$ . Dividing by 2 gives  $1 \cdot 10^k \leq 2^{n-1} < 1.5 \cdot 10^k$  which implies that  $2^{n-1}$  begins with the digit 1 so that  $a_{n-1} = 1$ . Since  $a_n = 2$  and  $a_{n-1} = 1$  we have  $b_n = b_{n-1} = b_{n-2} + 1$  and  $c_n = c_{n-1} + 1 = c_{n-2} + 1$ . Thus  $b_n = b_{n-2} + 1 > c_{n-2} + 1 = c_n$ .

- 4:** Let  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of positive real numbers such that  $a_n \leq \frac{a_{n-1} + a_{n-2}}{2}$  for all  $n \geq 3$ . Show that  $\{a_n\}$  converges.

Solution: Let  $b_n = \max\{a_n, a_{n-1}\}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . We claim that  $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 2}$  is decreasing. If  $a_{n-1} \leq a_n$  so that  $b_n = a_n$ , then we have  $a_{n+1} \leq \frac{a_n + a_{n-1}}{2} \leq \frac{a_n + a_n}{2} = a_n$  so that  $b_{n+1} = \max\{a_{n+1}, a_n\} = a_n$ , and in this case  $b_{n+1} = a_n = b_n$ . If  $a_{n-1} \geq a_n$  so that  $b_n = a_{n-1}$ , then we have  $a_{n+1} \leq \frac{a_n + a_{n-1}}{2} \leq \frac{a_{n-1} + a_{n-1}}{2} = a_{n-1}$  so that  $b_{n+1} = \max\{a_{n+1}, a_n\} \leq a_{n-1}$ , and in this case  $b_{n+1} \leq a_{n-1} = b_n$ . In either case, we have  $b_{n+1} \leq b_n$  and so  $\{b_n\}$  is decreasing, as claimed. Also note that  $\{b_n\}$  is bounded below by 0 (since we have  $a_n \geq 0$  and  $a_{n-1} \geq 0$  so that  $b_n = \max\{a_n, a_{n-1}\} \geq 0$ ). Since  $\{b_n\}$  is decreasing and bounded below, it converges. Let  $l = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$ . We claim that  $\{a_n\}$  converges with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = l$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  so that for all  $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ , if  $n \geq N$  then  $b_n < l + \frac{1}{7}\epsilon$ . Let  $n \geq N$ . We shall show that  $|a_n - l| < \epsilon$ . Since  $b_n = \max\{a_n, a_{n-1}\}$  we have  $a_n \leq b_n < l + \frac{1}{7}\epsilon < l + \epsilon$ . It remains to show that  $a_n > l - \epsilon$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $a_n \leq l - \epsilon$ . Since  $a_n \leq l - \epsilon$  and  $a_{n+1} \leq b_{n+1} < l + \frac{1}{7}\epsilon$ , we have  $a_{n+2} \leq \frac{a_{n+1} + a_n}{2} < \frac{l + \frac{1}{7}\epsilon + l - \epsilon}{2} = l - \frac{3}{7}\epsilon$ . Since  $a_{n+1} < l + \frac{1}{7}\epsilon$  and  $a_{n+2} < l - \frac{3}{7}\epsilon$  we have  $a_{n+3} \leq \frac{a_{n+2} + a_{n+1}}{2} < \frac{l - \frac{3}{7}\epsilon + l + \frac{1}{7}\epsilon}{2} = l - \frac{1}{7}\epsilon$ . Since  $a_{n+2} < l - \frac{3}{7}\epsilon$  and  $a_{n+3} < l - \frac{1}{7}\epsilon$  we have  $b_{n+3} = \max\{a_{n+3}, a_{n+2}\} < l - \frac{1}{7}\epsilon$ , which contradicts the choice of  $N$ .

**5:** Let  $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$  with  $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Suppose that  $1 < f(1) < f(f(1)) < f(f(f(1)))$ . Show that  $a \geq 0$ .

Solution: Let

$$g(x) = f(x+1) - 1 = a(x+1)^2 + b(x+1) + c - 1 = ax^2 + dx + e$$

where  $d = 2a + b$  and  $e = a + b + c - 1$ . Note that  $g(0) = f(1) - 1$ ,  $g(g(0)) = g(f(1) - 1) = f(f(1)) - 1$  and  $g(g(g(0))) = g(f(f(1)) - 1) = f(f(f(1))) - 1$ , and so  $1 < f(1) < f(f(1)) < f(f(f(1)))$  is equivalent to

$$0 < g(0) < g(g(0)) < g(g(g(0))).$$

Since  $g(x) = ax^2 + dx + e$ , we have  $g(0) = e$ ,  $g(g(0)) = g(e) = ae^2 + de + e$  and  $g(g(g(0))) = g(ae^2 + de + e) = a(ae^2 + de + e)^2 + d(ae + de + e) + e$ . Thus

$$g(0) > 0 \implies e > 0$$

$$g(g(0)) > g(0) \implies ae^2 + de + e > e \implies e(ae + d) > 0 \implies ae + d > 0$$

$$g(g(g(0))) > g(g(0)) \implies a(ae^2 + de + e)^2 + d(ae + de + e) + e > ae^2 + de + e$$

$$\implies a(e(ae + d) + e)^2 + d(e(ae + d) + e) + e > ae^2 + de + e$$

$$\implies ae^2(ae + d)^2 + 2ae^2(ae + d) + ae^2 + de(ae + d) + de + e > ae^2 + de + e$$

$$\implies ae^2(ae + d)^2 + 2ae^2(ae + d) + de(ae + d) > 0 \implies e(ae + d)(ae(ae + d) + 2ae + d) > 0$$

$$\implies ae(ae + d) + 2ae + d > 0 \implies ae(ae + d) + ae + (ae + d) > 0 \implies a(e(ae + d) + 1) > -(ae + d)$$

$$\implies a > \frac{-(ae + d)}{e((ae + d) + 1)} \implies a > -1, \text{ since } \frac{1}{e} \leq 1 \text{ and } \frac{(ae + d)}{(ae + d) + 1} < 1.$$

**6:** Let  $E$  be the ellipse in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  centred at the point  $O$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two points on  $E$  such that the line  $OA$  is perpendicular to the line  $OB$ . Show that the distance from  $O$  to the line through  $A$  and  $B$  does not depend on the choice of  $A$  and  $B$ .

Solution: Let  $E$  be the ellipse  $\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$ , where  $a, b > 0$ , with centre  $O = (0, 0)$ . Let  $A$  be the point  $A = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$ . Since  $OA$  is perpendicular to  $OB$ , the point  $B$  is of the form  $B = (-s \sin \theta, s \cos \theta)$  for some  $s \neq 0$ . Let  $C$  be the point on the line  $AB$  nearest to  $O$ . Since  $\triangle ACO$  is similar to  $\triangle AOB$  we have  $\frac{|OC|}{|OA|} = \frac{|OB|}{|AB|}$  and so the distance from  $O$  to the line  $AB$  is

$$d = |OC| = \frac{|OA||OB|}{|AB|} = \frac{|r||s|}{\sqrt{r^2 + s^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{s^2}}}.$$

Since  $A$  and  $B$  lie on the ellipse  $E$  we have

$$\frac{r^2 \cos^2 \theta}{a^2} + \frac{r^2 \sin^2 \theta}{b^2} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{s^2 \sin^2 \theta}{a^2} + \frac{s^2 \cos^2 \theta}{b^2} = 1$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{a^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{b^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{s^2} = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{a^2} + \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{b^2}$$

hence

$$\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{s^2} = \frac{\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta}{a^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta}{b^2} = \frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{1}{b^2}.$$

Thus

$$d = \frac{|r||s|}{\sqrt{r^2 + s^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{s^2}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{1}{b^2}}} = \frac{ab}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}},$$

which does not depend on  $A$  and  $B$ .

# Solutions to the Big E Problems, 2013

- 1:** Find the number of positive integers  $k$  such that  $k^2 + 10!$  is a perfect square.

Solution: For  $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  we have

$$k^2 + 10! = l^2 \iff l^2 - k^2 = 10! \iff (l - k)(l + k) = 10!.$$

Given  $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $k < l$  and  $(l - k)(l + k) = 10!$ , let  $a = l - k$  and  $b = l + k$  to obtain  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $a < b$  and  $ab = 10!$ . Note that  $a = b + 2l$  so that  $a$  and  $b$  have the same parity, and since  $ab = 10!$ , which is even, it follows that  $a$  and  $b$  must both be even. Let  $u = \frac{a}{2}$  and  $v = \frac{b}{2}$  to get  $u, v \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $u < v$  and  $uv = \frac{10!}{4}$ . Conversely, given  $u, v \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $u < v$  and  $uv = \frac{10!}{4}$ , let  $a = 2u$  and  $b = 2v$  and then let  $k = \frac{b-a}{2}$  and  $l = \frac{b+a}{2}$  to obtain  $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $k < l$  and  $(l - k)(l + k) = 10!$ . Thus the number of positive integers  $k$  for which  $k^2 + 10!$  is a square is equal to the number of pairs  $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $k < l$  and  $(l - k)(l + k) = 10!$ , which is equal to the number of pairs  $u, v \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  with  $u < v$  and  $uv = \frac{10!}{4}$ , which, in turn, is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}\tau\left(\frac{10!}{4}\right)$  where for  $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ ,  $\tau(n)$  denotes the number of positive divisors of  $n$ . Since  $10! = 2^8 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$  so that  $\frac{10!}{4} = 2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^1$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\tau\left(\frac{10!}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 = 105.$$

- 2:** Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be continuous. Suppose that  $\int_0^x f(t) dt \geq f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Show that  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

Solution: Suppose, for a contradiction that  $f(x) > 0$  for some  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Choose  $c \in [0, 1]$  so that  $f(c) > 0$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, we have  $f(x) > 0$  in a neighbourhood of  $c$  so we can choose a point  $b \in [0, 1]$  with  $f(b) > 0$ . By the Extreme Value Theorem, we can choose  $a \in [0, b]$  so that  $f(x) \leq f(a)$  for all  $x \in [0, b]$ . Then we have

$$f(a) \leq \int_0^a f(t) dt \leq \int_0^a f(a) dt = a f(a) < f(a)$$

giving the desired contradiction.

- 3:** For each positive integer  $n$ , let  $a_n$  be the first digit in the decimal representation of  $2^n$ , let  $b_n$  be the number of indices  $k \leq n$  for which  $a_k = 1$ , and let  $c_n$  be the number of indices  $k \leq n$  for which  $a_k = 2$ . Show that there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$  we have  $b_n > c_n$ .

Solution: Note that the sequences  $\{b_n\}$  and  $\{c_n\}$  are increasing with

$$b_n = \begin{cases} b_{n-1} & \text{if } a_n \neq 1 \\ b_{n-1} + 1 & \text{if } a_n = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad c_n = \begin{cases} c_{n-1} & \text{if } a_n \neq 2 \\ c_{n-1} + 1 & \text{if } a_n = 2. \end{cases}$$

We list some powers of 2 and find a few values of  $b_n$  and  $c_n$ .

$n$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
$2^n$	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024	2048	4096	8192	16384	32768
$b_n$	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4
$c_n$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3

We claim that  $b_n > c_n$  for all  $n \geq 14$ . From the above table, we see that  $b_{14} > c_{14}$  and  $b_{15} > c_{15}$ . Let  $n \geq 16$  and suppose, inductively, that  $b_{n-1} > c_{n-1}$  and  $b_{n-2} > c_{n-2}$ . We must show that  $b_n > c_n$ . If  $a_n \neq 2$  so that  $c_n = c_{n-1}$ , then we have  $b_n \geq b_{n-1} > c_{n-1} = c_n$ . Suppose that  $a_n = 2$  so that  $c_n = c_{n-1} + 1$ . Then, since  $2^n$  begins with the digit 2, for some  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  we have  $2 \cdot 10^k \leq 2^n < 3 \cdot 10^k$ . Dividing by 2 gives  $1 \cdot 10^k \leq 2^{n-1} < 1.5 \cdot 10^k$  which implies that  $2^{n-1}$  begins with the digit 1 so that  $a_{n-1} = 1$ . Since  $a_n = 2$  and  $a_{n-1} = 1$  we have  $b_n = b_{n-1} = b_{n-2} + 1$  and  $c_n = c_{n-1} + 1 = c_{n-2} + 1$ . Thus  $b_n = b_{n-2} + 1 > c_{n-2} + 1 = c_n$ .

4: Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Show that  $\binom{2p}{p} \equiv 2 \pmod{p^2}$ .

Solution: We have

$$\binom{2p}{p} = \frac{(2p)!}{p! \cdot p!} = \frac{2p^2 \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} (p-k) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} (p+k)}{p^2 \cdot (p-1)!^2} = 2 \cdot \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} (p^2 - k^2)}{(p-1)!^2}$$

and so modulo  $p^2$  we have

$$\binom{2p}{p} \equiv 2 \cdot \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} (-k^2)}{(p-1)!^2} \equiv 2 \cdot \frac{(-1)^{p-1} (p-1)!^2}{(p-1)!^2} \equiv 2 \pmod{p^2}.$$

5: Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let  $V^*$  be the space of linear maps  $g : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $F$  be a finite subset of  $V^*$ . Let  $U = \{x \in V \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in F\}$ . Show that for all  $g \in V^*$ , if  $g(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in U$  then  $g \in \text{Span}(F)$ .

Solution: If  $F = \emptyset$  or  $F = \{0\}$  then we have  $U = V$ , and so if  $g(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in U$  then  $g = 0 \in \text{Span } F$ . Suppose that  $F \neq \emptyset$  and  $F \neq \{0\}$ . Choose a maximal linearly independent set  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\} \subseteq F$  so that  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$  is a basis for  $\text{Span } F$ . Note that  $U = \{x \in V \mid f_1(x) = f_2(x) = \dots = f_n(x)\}$  because for  $x \in V$ , if  $f_1(x) = f_2(x) = \dots = f_n(x) = 0$  then for any  $f \in F$  we can write  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f_i$  for some  $t_i \in \mathbf{R}$  and

then we have  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f_i(x) = 0$ .

We claim that there exist vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in V$  for which  $f_i(v_j) = \delta_{i,j}$  for all indices  $i$  and  $j$ . Define  $\phi : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  by  $\phi(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_n(x))^T$ . Note that for  $t \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , we have

$$t \in \phi(V)^\perp \iff \phi(x) \cdot t = 0 \text{ for all } x \in V \iff \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f_i(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in V \iff \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f_i = 0 \iff t = 0.$$

Thus we have  $\phi(V)^\perp = \{0\}$  and so  $\phi(V) = \mathbf{R}^n$ , hence  $\phi$  is surjective. Since  $\phi$  is surjective, for each index  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  we can choose  $v_j \in V$  so that  $\phi(v_j) = e_j$  where  $e_j$  is the  $j^{\text{th}}$  standard basis vector in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Since  $e_j = \phi(v_j) = (f_1(v_j), f_2(v_j), \dots, f_n(v_j))^T$ , we see that  $f_i(v_j) = \delta_{i,j}$  for all indices  $i$  and  $j$ , as claimed.

Let  $g \in V^*$  with  $g(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . We claim that  $g = \sum_{i=1}^n g(v_i) f_i$  so that  $g \in \text{Span } F$ . Let  $x \in V$ .

Let  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) v_i$  and let  $u = x - v$ . Notice that  $u \in U$  because for all indices  $j$  we have

$$f_j(u) = f_j(x - v) = f_j(x - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) v_i) = f_j(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) f_j(v_i) = f_j(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \delta_{i,j} = f_j(x) - f_j(x) = 0.$$

Since  $u \in U$  we have  $g(u) = 0$  and so

$$g(x) = g(u + v) = g(u) + g(v) = g(v) = g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) v_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) g(v_i) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g(v_i) f_i\right)(x).$$

Since  $g(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g(v_i) f_i\right)(x)$  for all  $x \in V$  it follows that  $g = \sum_{i=1}^n g(v_i) f_i$ , as claimed.

**6:** Let  $a, b$  and  $c$  be positive real numbers. Let  $E$  be the ellipsoid  $\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} + \frac{z^2}{c^2} = 1$  in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . Let  $u, v, w \in E$  be such that the set  $\{u, v, w\}$  is orthogonal. Show that the distance from the origin to the plane through  $u, v$  and  $w$  does not depend on the choice of  $u, v$  and  $w$ .

Solution: We generalize to  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n > 0$  and let  $E$  be the ellipsoid in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  given by  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{a_i^2} = 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  be an orthogonal set with each  $u_i \in E$ . Let  $P$  be the hyperplane through all of the points  $u_i$ . We have  $P = u_1 + U$  where  $U = \text{Span}\{u_2 - u_1, u_3 - u_1, \dots, u_n - u_1\}$ , that is

$$P = \left\{ u_1 + \sum_{k=2}^n t_k(u_k - u_1) \mid t_k \in \mathbf{R} \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n t_i u_i \mid t_i \in \mathbf{R}, \sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1 \right\}.$$

Let  $x$  be the point in  $P$  nearest to the origin. Note that  $x$  is the (unique) point in  $P \cap U^\perp$ . Since  $x \in U^\perp$  we have  $x \cdot (u_k - u_1) = 0$  so that  $x \cdot u_k = x \cdot u_1$  for all  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $c = x \cdot u_1$  so that we have  $x \cdot u_k = c$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $x \in P$ , we can write  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i u_i$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is orthogonal, the coefficients are given by  $t_i = \frac{x \cdot u_i}{|u_i|^2} = \frac{c}{|u_i|^2}$ . Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ , this gives  $c = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{|u_i|^2} \right)^{-1}$ . Thus the distance from the origin to  $P$  is

$$|x| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i u_i \right| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2 |u_i|^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c^2}{|u_i|^2}} = \sqrt{c^2 \cdot \frac{1}{c}} = \sqrt{c} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{|u_i|^2} \right)^{-1/2}.$$

Let  $A$  be the matrix with columns  $\frac{u_i}{|u_i|}$ , that is  $A = \left( \frac{u_1}{|u_1|}, \frac{u_2}{|u_2|}, \dots, \frac{u_n}{|u_n|} \right) \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$  and let  $D$  be the diagonal matrix  $D = \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{a_1^2}, \frac{1}{a_2^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{a_n^2}\right)$ . For each index  $k$ , since  $u_k \in E$  we have  $u_k^T D u_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{u_{ki}^2}{a_i^2} = 1$  and so the diagonal entries of the matrix  $A^T D A$  are given by

$$(A^T D A)_{k,k} = \left( \frac{u_k}{|u_k|} \right)^T D \left( \frac{u_k}{|u_k|} \right) = \frac{1}{|u_k|^2}.$$

Since the columns of  $A$  form an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbf{R}^n$  we have  $A^T A = I$  and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{|u_i|^2} = \text{trace}(A^T D A) = \text{trace}(D) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i^2}.$$

Thus the distance from the origin to  $P$  is

$$|x| = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{|u_i|^2} \right)^{-1/2} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i^2} \right)^{-1/2}$$

which is independent of the choice of  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ .