Solutions to the Special K Problems, 2011

1: Find the number of sequences a_1, a_2, \dots, a_6 with each $a_i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that

$$a_1 < a_2$$
, $a_2 > a_3$, $a_3 < a_4$, $a_4 > a_5$, $a_5 < a_6$ and $a_6 > a_1$.

Solution: For $1 \leq l \leq 6$, let us call a sequence (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_l) allowable when each $a_i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $a_1 < a_2$, $a_2 > a_3$, $a_3 < a_4$, \cdots . For $1 \leq l \leq 6$ and $j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ let $c_{l,j}^k$ be the number of allowable sequences (a_1, \cdots, a_l) with $a_1 = k$ and $a_l = j$. Then clearly $c_{1,k}^k = 1$ and $c_{1,j}^k = 0$ when $j \neq k$, and then we can obtain all the values $c_{l,j}^k$ recursively as follows. Fix k and suppose we have found $c_{l-1,i}^k$ for all i. If l is even then we need $a_{l-1} < a_l$ and we can append $a_l = j$ to any allowable sequence (a_1, \cdots, a_{l-1}) with $a_{l-1} = i < j$, and so we have $c_{l,j}^k = \sum_{i < j} c_{l-1,i}^k$ (in the case that j = 1 we obtain the empty sum which we take

to be zero). Similarly, if l is odd we have $c_{l,j}^k = \sum_{i>j} c_{l-1,i}$ (in the case that j=4 we take the sum to be zero).

We use this recursion to find $c_{l,j}^k$ for all j, k, l (except k = 4, which we do not need).

| $c^1_{l,j}$ | | | | | | | | $c_{l,j}^2$ | | | | | | | | $c_{l,j}^3$ | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|----|----|-----------------|-------------|---|---|---|----|----|-------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|----|--|--|
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 | | |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 20 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 11 | | |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | | |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | | |
| $\mathrm{j}/_l$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $\mathrm{j}/_l$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $\mathrm{j}/_{l}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |

The total number of allowable sequences (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_6) with $a_6 > a_1$ is equal to

$$c_{6,2}^1 + c_{6,3}^1 + c_{6,4}^1 + c_{6,3}^2 + 2_{6,4}^2 + c_{6,4}^3 = 14 + 25 + 31 + 20 + 25 + 14 = 129.$$

2: Find the number of solutions to the congruence $x^2 \equiv 40 x \mod 10^6$.

Solution: First we solve $x^2 \equiv 40 x \mod 2^6$. Since 40 x is even, to get $x^2 \equiv 40 x \mod 2^6$ we must have x even, say x = 2y. Then

$$x^2 \equiv 40 x \mod 2^6 \iff 4y^2 \equiv 80 y \mod 2^6 \iff y^2 \equiv 20 y \mod 2^4$$
.

Since 20 y is even we must have y even, say y = 2z. Then

$$y^2 \equiv 20y \mod 2^4 \iff 4z^2 \equiv 40z \mod 2^4 \iff z^2 \equiv 10z \mod 2^2 \iff z^2 \equiv 2z \mod 4 \iff z = 0 \mod 2 \iff y = 2z = 0 \mod 4 \iff x = 2y \equiv 0 \mod 8.$$

Thus there are 8 solutions to $x^2 \equiv 40 x \mod 2^6$, namely $x = 0, 8, 16, 24, 32, 40, 48, 56 \mod 64$.

Next we solve $x^2 \equiv 40 x \mod 5^6$. Since 40 x is a multiple of 5, to get $x^2 \equiv 40 x \mod 5^6$ it must be that x is a multiple of 5, say x = 5y. Then

$$x^2 = 40 x \mod 5^6 \iff 25 y^2 \equiv 200 y \mod 5^6 \iff y^2 \equiv 8 y \mod 5^4$$
.

If y is not a multiple of 5, then y is invertible modulo 5, so we can divide by y to get

$$y^2 \equiv 8 y \mod 5^4 \iff y \equiv 8 \mod 5^4 \iff x = 5y \equiv 40 \mod 5^5.$$

Suppose that y is a multiple of 5, say y = 5z. Then

$$y^2 \equiv 8y \mod 5^4 \iff 25z^2 \equiv 40z \mod 5^4 \iff 5z^2 \equiv 8z \mod 5^3$$
.

Since $5z^2$ is a multiple of 5 it must be that z is a multiple of 5, say z = 5w. Then

$$5\,z^2 \equiv 8\,z \mod 5^3 \iff 0 \equiv 40\,w \mod 5^3 \iff 8\,w \equiv 0 \mod 5^2 \iff w \equiv 0 \mod 5^2 \\ \iff z = 5w \equiv 0 \mod 5^3 \iff y = 5z \equiv 0 \mod 5^4 \iff x = 5y \equiv 0 \mod 5^5.$$

Thus there are 10 solutions to $x^2 \equiv 40 x \mod 5^6$, namely $x \equiv 0,40 \mod 5^5$.

Since the congruence $x^2 = 40 x \mod 10^6$ has 8 solutions modulo 2^6 and 10 solutions modulo 5^6 , by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, it has $8 \cdot 10 = 80$ solutions modulo 10^6 .

3: Four spheres of radius 1 are inscribed in a regular tetrahedron so that each sphere is tangent to the other 3 spheres and to 3 of the faces of the tetrahedron. Find the length of the edges of the tetrahedron.

Solution: Let S be the regular tetrahedron which contains the spheres. Let T be the smaller regular tetrahedron whose vertices are the centres of the four spheres. Let O be the common centre of S and T. The edges of T have length 2. The faces of S are parallel to, and 1 unit away from, the faces of T. Let T be the distance from the centre S to the faces of S (in other words, let S be the radius of the inscribed sphere of S). Then the faces of S lie at a distance of S are of length S, and so S is obtained by scaling S by a factor of S are of length S, and so S is uniform the value of S. The height of each triangular face (that is the length of each median of each face) of the tetrahedron S is

The height of each triangular face (that is the length of each median of each face) of the tetrahedron T is $\sqrt{3}$. The centroid of the base triangle of T lies one third of the way along each median, so the height h (that is the length of the altitude) of T is given (by Pythagoras' Theorem) by $h^2 = (\sqrt{3})^2 - (\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3})^2 = 3 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{8}{3}$, that is $h = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}$. The centroid of the tetrahedron lies one quarter of the way along the altitude and so we have $r = \frac{1}{4}h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$. Thus the sides of S are of length $2(\frac{1}{r} + 1) = 2(\sqrt{6} + 1)$.

4: Let S be a set of 4 distinct real numbers. Show that there exist $a, b \in S$ such that

$$0 < \frac{a-b}{1+ab} \le 1.$$

Solution: Let $S=\{x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4\}$ with $x_1< x_2< x_3< x_4$. Let $\theta_i=\tan^{-1}(x_i)$ for each i so we have $-\frac{\pi}{2}<\theta_1<\theta_2<\theta_3<\theta_4<\frac{\pi}{2}$. Let $\phi_1=\theta_2-\theta_1,\,\phi_2=\theta_3-\theta_2,\,\phi_3=\theta_4-\theta_3$ and $\phi_4=\pi+\theta_1-\theta_4$. Then we have $0<\phi_i$ for all i and we have $\phi_1+\phi_2+\phi_3+\phi_4=\pi$. It follows that $\phi_i\leq\frac{\pi}{4}$ for some i. Choose k so that $\phi_k\leq\frac{\pi}{4}$. Since $0<\phi_k\leq\frac{\pi}{4}$ we have $0<\tan\phi_k\leq 1$. Finally note that if $k\in\{1,2,3\}$ then we have

$$\tan \phi_k = \tan(\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k) = \frac{\tan \theta_{k+1} - \tan \theta_k}{1 + \tan \theta_{k+1} \tan \theta_k} = \frac{x_{k+1} - x_k}{1 + x_{k+1} x_k}$$

and if k = 4 then we have

$$\tan \phi_k = \tan(\pi + \theta_1 - \theta_4) = \tan(\theta_1 - \theta_4) = \frac{\tan \theta_1 - \tan \theta_4}{1 + \tan \theta_1 \tan \theta_4} = \frac{x_1 - x_4}{1 + x_1 x_4}.$$

5: Let f(z) be a polynomial with complex coefficients of degree $n \ge 1$. Show that there exist at least n+1 distinct complex numbers z with $f(z) \in \{0,1\}$.

Solution: For a finite set A, let |A| denote the number of elements in A. For a polynomial f(x) and for $a \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\operatorname{mult}(f,a)$ denote the smallest $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x-a)^m$ is a factor of f(x). (in particular $\operatorname{mult}(f,a) = 0$ when a is not a root of f). For a polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k x^k$, let f'(x) denote the derivative of f, which is

given by $f'(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k c_k x^{k-1}$. As with real polynomials, we have the product rule (fg)' = f'g + fg' and we have mult(f, a) = mult(f', a) + 1. By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, for complex polynomials (but not for real polynomials) we have $\sum_{a \in \mathbf{C}} \text{mult}(f, a) = \deg(f)$. Let g(x) = f(x) - 1. Note that g'(x) = f'(x).

Let $A = \{a \in \mathbf{C} | f(a) = 0\}$ and let $B = \{b \in \mathbf{C} | f(b) = 1\} = \{b \in \mathbf{C} | g(b) = 0\}$. Note that $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Then

$$|A| = \sum_{a \in A} 1 = \sum_{a \in A} \left(\operatorname{mult}(f, a) - \operatorname{mult}(f', a) \right)$$

$$|B| = \sum_{b \in B} 1 = \sum_{b \in B} \left(\operatorname{mult}(g, b) - \operatorname{mult}(g', b) \right)$$

$$|A| + |B| = \sum_{a \in A} \operatorname{mult}(f, a) + \sum_{b \in B} \operatorname{mult}(g, b) - \sum_{a \in A} \operatorname{mult}(f', a) - \sum_{b \in B} \operatorname{mult}(f', b)$$

$$\geq \sum_{a \in A} \operatorname{mult}(f, a) + \sum_{b \in B} \operatorname{mult}(g, b) - \sum_{c \in \mathbf{C}} \operatorname{mult}(f', c)$$

$$= \operatorname{deg}(f) + \operatorname{deg}(g) - \operatorname{deg}(f') = n + n - (n - 1) = n + 1.$$

6: Let $f:[0,1] \to [0,1]$ be continuous. Let $a_0 = 0$ and for $n \ge 1$ let $a_n = f(a_{n-1})$. Suppose $\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n - a_{n-1}) = 0$. Show that the sequence $\{a_n\}$ converges.

Solution: Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\{a_n\}$ diverges. Let $a=\liminf a_n$ and let $b=\limsup a_n$. Since $\{a_n\}$ diverges, we have a< b. Since the sequence $\{a_n\}$ oscillates back and forth, at times approaching a and at times approaching b, it follows, we claim, that there is some term $a_k\in\left[a+\frac{b-a}{3},b-\frac{b-a}{3}\right]$. Here is a formal proof of this claim. Choose n_0 so that $n>n_0\Longrightarrow |a_n-a_{n-1}|<\frac{b-a}{3}$ (we can do this since $|a_n-a_{n-1}|\to 0$). Choose $n_1>n_0$ so that $a_{n_1}< a+\frac{b-a}{3}$ (we can do this since $\lim\inf a_n=a$). Choose $n_2>n_1$ so that $a_{n_2}>b-\frac{b-a}{3}$ (we can do this since $\lim\sup a_n=b$). Choose k with $n_1< k\le n_2$ so that $a_{n_1},a_{n_1+1},\cdots,a_{k-1}< a+\frac{b-a}{3}$ and $a_k\ge a+\frac{b-a}{3}$. By our choice of k we have

$$a + \frac{b-a}{3} \le a_k < a_{k-1} + \frac{b-a}{3} < a + \frac{b-a}{3} + \frac{b-a}{3} = b - \frac{b-a}{3},$$

proving the claim. Note that $f(a_k) \neq a_k$, since otherwise the sequence $\{a_n\}$ would become constant for $n \geq k$. Let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}|f(a_k) - a_k|$, and choose $\delta > 0$ with $\delta \leq \epsilon$ and $\delta \leq \frac{b-a}{3}$ (so that $(a_k - \delta, a_k + \delta) \subseteq (a, b)$) such that $|x - a_k| < \delta \Longrightarrow |f(x) - f(a_k)| < \epsilon$ (we can do this since f is continuous). Note that for $|x - a_k| < \delta$ we have $|x - a_k| < \epsilon$ and $|f(x) - f(a_k)| < \epsilon$ and so

$$3\epsilon = |f(a_k) - a_k| \le |f(a_k) - f(x)| + |f(x) - x| + |x - a_k| < 2\epsilon + |f(x) - x|$$

and hence $|f(x) - x| > \epsilon$. Choose l_0 so that $n > l_0 \Longrightarrow |a_l - a_{l-1}| < \epsilon$. Since the sequence $\{a_n\}$ oscillates back and forth, at times approaching a and at times approaching b, it follows (as above) that we can choose $l > l_0$ with $a_l \in (a_k - \delta, a_k + \delta)$. This gives the desired contradiction because since $l > l_0$ we have $|f(a_l) - a_l| = |a_{l+1} - a_l| < \epsilon$ but since $|a_l - a_k| < \delta$ we have $|f(a_l) - a_l| > \epsilon$.

Solutions to the Big E Problems, 2010

1: Find $\int_0^e x e^{-\lfloor \ln x \rfloor} dx$. (For $y \in \mathbf{R}$, $\lfloor y \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer n with $n \leq y$).

Solution: Note that for $0 < x \in \mathbf{R}$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have

$$e^{-k} \le x < e^{-(k-1)} \iff -k \le \ln x < -(k-1) \iff |\ln x| = -k$$

and so

$$\int_{0}^{e} x e^{-\lfloor \ln x \rfloor} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{e^{-k}}^{e^{-(k-1)}} x e^{k} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{k} \left[\frac{1}{2} x^{2} \right]_{e^{-k}}^{e^{-(k-1)}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} e^{k} \left(e^{-2k+2} - e^{-2k} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(e^{-(k-2)} - e^{-k} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(e^{2} - 1 \right) + \left(e - e^{-1} \right) + \left(1 - e^{-2} \right) + \left(e - e^{-3} \right) + \cdots \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{t} (e^{2} + e),$$

since the sum telescopes (which means that almost all the terms cancel).

2: Four spheres of radius 1 are inscribed in a regular tetrahedron so that each sphere is tangent to the other 3 spheres and to 3 of the faces of the tetrahedron. Find the length of the edges of the tetrahedron.

Solution: Let S be the regular tetrahedron which contains the spheres. Let T be the smaller regular tetrahedron whose vertices are the centres of the four spheres. Let O be the common centre of S and T. The edges of T have length 2. The faces of S are parallel to, and 1 unit away from, the faces of T. Let T be the distance from the centre O to the faces of T (in other words, let T be the radius of the inscribed sphere of T). Then the faces of S lie at a distance of T units from T0, and so T1 is obtained by scaling T2 by a factor of T2. Thus it suffices to find the value of T3.

The height of each triangular face (that is the length of each median of each face) of the tetrahedron T is $\sqrt{3}$. The centroid of the base triangle of T lies one third of the way along each median, so the height h (that is the length of the altitude) of T is given (by Pythagoras' Theorem) by $h^2 = (\sqrt{3})^2 - (\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3})^2 = 3 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{8}{3}$, that is $h = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}$. The centroid of the tetrahedron lies one quarter of the way along the altitude and so we have $r = \frac{1}{4}h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$. Thus the sides of S are of length $2(\frac{1}{r} + 1) = 2(\sqrt{6} + 1)$.

3: Let S be a set of 4 distinct real numbers. Show that there exist $a, b \in S$ such that

$$0 < \frac{a-b}{1+ab} \le 1.$$

Solution: Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ with $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$. Let $\theta_i = \tan^{-1}(x_i)$ for each i so we have $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_3 < \theta_4 < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Let $\phi_1 = \theta_2 - \theta_1$, $\phi_2 = \theta_3 - \theta_2$, $\phi_3 = \theta_4 - \theta_3$ and $\phi_4 = \pi + \theta_1 - \theta_4$. Then we have $0 < \phi_i$ for all i and we have $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4 = \pi$. It follows that $\phi_i \le \frac{\pi}{4}$ for some i. Choose k so that $\phi_k \le \frac{\pi}{4}$. Since $0 < \phi_k \le \frac{\pi}{4}$ we have $0 < \tan \phi_k \le 1$. Finally note that if $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ then we have

$$\tan \phi_k = \tan(\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k) = \frac{\tan \theta_{k+1} - \tan \theta_k}{1 + \tan \theta_{k+1} \tan \theta_k} = \frac{x_{k+1} - x_k}{1 + x_{k+1} x_k}$$

and if k = 4 then we have

$$\tan \phi_k = \tan(\pi + \theta_1 - \theta_4) = \tan(\theta_1 - \theta_4) = \frac{\tan \theta_1 - \tan \theta_4}{1 + \tan \theta_1 \tan \theta_4} = \frac{x_1 - x_4}{1 + x_1 x_4}.$$

4: Let f(z) be a polynomial with complex coefficients of degree $n \ge 1$. Show that there exist at least n+1 distinct complex numbers z with $f(z) \in \{0,1\}$.

Solution: For a finite set A, let |A| denote the number of elements in A. For a polynomial f(x) and for $a \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\operatorname{mult}(f,a)$ denote the smallest $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x-a)^m$ is a factor of f(x). (in particular $\operatorname{mult}(f,a) = 0$ when a is not a root of f). For a polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k x^k$, let f'(x) denote the derivative of f, which is

given by $f'(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k c_k x^{k-1}$. As with real polynomials, we have the product rule (fg)' = f'g + fg' and we have $\operatorname{mult}(f, a) = \operatorname{mult}(f', a) + 1$. By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, for complex polynomials (but not for real polynomials) we have $\sum_{a \in \mathbf{C}} \operatorname{mult}(f, a) = \deg(f)$. Let g(x) = f(x) - 1. Note that g'(x) = f'(x).

Let $A = \{a \in \mathbf{C} | f(a) = 0\}$ and let $B = \{b \in \mathbf{C} | f(b) = 1\} = \{b \in \mathbf{C} | g(b) = 0\}$. Note that $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Then

$$\begin{split} |A| &= \sum_{a \in A} 1 = \sum_{a \in A} \left(\operatorname{mult}(f, a) - \operatorname{mult}(f', a) \right) \\ |B| &= \sum_{b \in B} 1 = \sum_{b \in B} \left(\operatorname{mult}(g, b) - \operatorname{mult}(g', b) \right) \\ |A| + |B| &= \sum_{a \in A} \operatorname{mult}(f, a) + \sum_{b \in B} \operatorname{mult}(g, b) - \sum_{a \in A} \operatorname{mult}(f', a) - \sum_{b \in B} \operatorname{mult}(f', b) \\ &\geq \sum_{a \in A} \operatorname{mult}(f, a) + \sum_{b \in B} \operatorname{mult}(g, b) - \sum_{c \in \mathbf{C}} \operatorname{mult}(f', c) \\ &= \deg(f) + \deg(g) - \deg(f') = n + n - (n - 1) = n + 1. \end{split}$$

5: Show that for every integer $n \ge 2$ there exists a finite group G with elements $a, b \in G$ such that |a| = 2, |b| = 3 and |ab| = n. (For $x \in G$, |x| denotes the order of x in G).

Solution: Let S_m denote the m^{th} symmetric group (that is the group of permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$). Using cycle notation, in S_m the elements of order 2 are the products of disjoint 2-cycles and the element of order 3 are the products of disjoint 3-cycles. In S_m (where m is large enough so that the a_i can be distinct), we have

$$(a_1, a_2) = (a_2, a_3)(a_1, a_3, a_2)$$

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (a_1, a_4)(a_2, a_3)(a_1, a_3, a_4)$$

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (a_1, a_4)(a_1, a_2, a_3)$$

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) = (a_1, a_5)(a_2, a_3)(a_1, a_3, a_4)$$

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6) = (a_1, a_6)(a_2, a_3)(a_4, a_5)(a_1, a_3, a_5).$$

We claim that for all $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $4 \leq n \leq m$, every n-cycle $\gamma = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) \in S_m$ can be expressed as a product $\gamma = \alpha \beta$ where α is a product of disjoint 2-cycles permuting only elements in $\{a_1, \cdots, a_n\}$ and where β is a product of disjoint 3-cycles permuting only elements in $\{a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}\}$. We prove this by induction on n. As shown above, the claim is true when n = 4, 5, 6. Fix $n \geq 7$ and suppose the claim holds for n-3. Let $\gamma = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) \in S_m$ where $m \geq n$. By the induction hypothesis, we can write $(a_2, a_3, \cdots, a_{n-2}) = \alpha \beta \in S_m$ where α is a product of disjoint 2-cycles permuting only elements in $\{a_2, \cdots, a_{n-3}\}$. Then we have

$$\gamma = (a_1, a_n)(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n-2})(a_1, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}) = \alpha' \beta'$$

where $\alpha' = (a_1, a_n) \alpha$ and $\beta' = \beta(a_1, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1})$.

6: Let $f:[0,1] \to [0,1]$ be continuous. Let $a_0 = 0$ and for $n \ge 1$ let $a_n = f(a_{n-1})$. Suppose $\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n - a_{n-1}) = 0$. Show that the sequence $\{a_n\}$ converges.

Solution: Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\{a_n\}$ diverges. Let $a=\liminf a_n$ and let $b=\limsup a_n$. Since $\{a_n\}$ diverges, we have a< b. Since the sequence $\{a_n\}$ oscillates back and forth, at times approaching a and at times approaching b, it follows, we claim, that there is some term $a_k\in\left[a+\frac{b-a}{3},b-\frac{b-a}{3}\right]$. Here is a formal proof of this claim. Choose n_0 so that $n>n_0\Longrightarrow |a_n-a_{n-1}|<\frac{b-a}{3}$ (we can do this since $|a_n-a_{n-1}|\to 0$). Choose $n_1>n_0$ so that $a_{n_1}< a+\frac{b-a}{3}$ (we can do this since $\lim\inf a_n=a$). Choose $n_2>n_1$ so that $a_{n_2}>b-\frac{b-a}{3}$ (we can do this since $\lim\sup a_n=b$). Choose k with $n_1< k\le n_2$ so that $a_{n_1},a_{n_1+1},\cdots,a_{k-1}< a+\frac{b-a}{3}$ and $a_k\ge a+\frac{b-a}{3}$. By our choice of k we have

$$a + \frac{b-a}{3} \le a_k < a_{k-1} + \frac{b-a}{3} < a + \frac{b-a}{3} + \frac{b-a}{3} = b - \frac{b-a}{3},$$

proving the claim. Note that $f(a_k) \neq a_k$, since otherwise the sequence $\{a_n\}$ would become constant for $n \geq k$. Let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}|f(a_k) - a_k|$, and choose $\delta > 0$ with $\delta \leq \epsilon$ and $\delta \leq \frac{b-a}{3}$ (so that $(a_k - \delta, a_k + \delta) \subseteq (a, b)$) such that $|x - a_k| < \delta \Longrightarrow |f(x) - f(a_k)| < \epsilon$ (we can do this since f is continuous). Note that for $|x - a_k| < \delta$ we have $|x - a_k| < \epsilon$ and $|f(x) - f(a_k)| < \epsilon$ and so

$$3\epsilon = |f(a_k) - a_k| \le |f(a_k) - f(x)| + |f(x) - x| + |x - a_k| < 2\epsilon + |f(x) - x|$$

and hence $|f(x) - x| > \epsilon$. Choose l_0 so that $n > l_0 \Longrightarrow |a_l - a_{l-1}| < \epsilon$. Since the sequence $\{a_n\}$ oscillates back and forth, at times approaching a and at times approaching b, it follows (as above) that we can choose $l > l_0$ with $a_l \in (a_k - \delta, a_k + \delta)$. This gives the desired contradiction because since $l > l_0$ we have $|f(a_l) - a_l| = |a_{l+1} - a_l| < \epsilon$ but since $|a_l - a_k| < \delta$ we have $|f(a_l) - a_l| > \epsilon$.