

1/23 - 1

Summary: Given  $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  
increasing and right continuous, set

$$\mu_F((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$$

yields outer measure  $\mu_F^*$ , restrict to  
~~Caratheodory~~  $\mathcal{M}_F = \{A \mid A \mu_F^* \text{-measurable}\}$

obtain by Caratheodory a measure  
called Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure,  $\mu_F$

Know: ②  $\mu_F((a, b]) = \mu_F^*((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$

①  $B(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_F$ .

③  $\mu_F$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, since  $\mathbb{R} = \cup (n, n+1]$  all finite

When we take  $F(x) = x$ , corresponding

Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure, called

Lebesgue measure, denoted  $m$ .

In 450, construct a set  $E$  that is  
not Lebesgue measurable, omit in this course

**Theorem 1.16:** If  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing and right continuous, then there exists ~~unique~~<sup>a</sup> **Borel measure**  $\mu_F$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such <sup>with</sup>  $\mu_F((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$ . If  $G$  is another such function, then  $\mu_F = \mu_G$  if and only if  $F - G = a$  constant. [Note that such a measure is bounded on bounded intervals.] Conversely, if  $\mu$  is a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  that is finite on bounded intervals, and we set

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \mu((0, x]) & \text{if } 0 < x \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ -\mu((x, 0]) & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

then,  $F$  is increasing and right continuous, and  $\mu = \mu_F$  for Borel sets.

Proof: Let  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing and right continuous, and set

$$\mu_0\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n (a_j, b_j]\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n F(b_j) - F(a_j).$$

Then, by Proposition 1.15, we get a premeasure on  $\mathcal{A}$ , an algebra generated by h-intervals. If we take Lebesgue-Stieltjes outer measure  $\mu_F^*$  generated by  $F$ , then by Caratheodory's Theorem, we know that there exists a measure on a collection of  $\mu_F^*$ -measurable sets, denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_F$ . By Proposition 1.13, every set in  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable and its measure is  $\mu_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_F$ ,  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{M}_F$ . But, the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the Borel set. Thus,  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_F$ . So,  $\mu_F^*|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}}$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Thus, we have shown that there exists a measure  $\mu_F$  on  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\mu_F((a, b]) = \mu_0((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$ .

~~Uniqueness is given by Theorem 1.14.~~ Next, if  $\mu_F = \mu_G$ , then  $\mu_F((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a) = G(b) - G(a) = \mu_G((a, b])$  for all  $a$  and  $b$ . Thus,  $F(x) = G(x) - G(0) + F(0)$ , and so  $F - G = a$  constant. Conversely, if  $F - G = a$  constant, then  $\mu_F((a, b]) - \mu_G((a, b])$  for all  $a$  and  $b \Rightarrow$  give the same premeasure  $\Rightarrow$  give the same outer measure, etc.

Suppose that  $\mu$  is a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  which is finite on bounded intervals, and define

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \mu((0, x]) & \text{if } 0 < x \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ -\mu((x, 0]) & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

[Show that  $F$  is increasing.]

Let  $y \leq x$ . If  $0 \leq y \leq x$ , then  $F(y) = \mu((0, y]) \leq \mu((0, x]) = F(x)$ . If  $y \leq 0 \leq x$ , then  $F(y) \leq 0 \leq F(x)$ . If  $y \leq x \leq 0$ , then  $(y, 0] \supseteq (x, 0] \Rightarrow \mu((y, 0]) \geq \mu((x, 0]) \Rightarrow -\mu((y, 0]) \leq -\mu((x, 0]) \Rightarrow F(y) \leq F(x)$ . Thus,  $F$  is increasing.

Done earlier

[Show that  $F$  is continuous from the right.]

Let  $x_n \searrow x$ . If  $x \geq 0$ , then  $x_n \geq 0$  and  $F(x_n) = \mu((0, x_n])$ .

Now,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu((0, x_n]) = \mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (0, x_n]) = \mu((0, x])$

$= F(x)$ . If  $x < 0$ , without loss of generality assume that

$x_n < 0$ , then  $F(x_n) = -\mu(x_n, 0] \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n) =$

$-\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu((x_n, 0]) = -\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n, 0]) = -\mu((x, 0]) = F(x)$

because  $(x, 0] \supseteq (x_n, 0]$  and  $(x, 0] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n, 0]$ . Thus,  $F$  is

continuous from the right.

Note that if we require  $F(0) = 0$ , we can get rid of constant term.

There is a one to one correspondence between " $\mu$  is a Borel measure on finite on bounded intervals" and " $F$  is increasing, right continuous and  $F(0) = 0$ ."

Now  $\mu((a, b]) = \mu_F((a, b])$  apply HW 7.

**Example 1:** Fix  $x_0$ , and define

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < x_0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x_0 \leq x \end{cases}$$

Then, there is a measure  $\mu_F$  such that

$$\mu_F((a, b]) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_0 \in (a, b] \\ 0 & \text{if } x_0 \notin (a, b] \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_F(E) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_0 \in E \\ 0 & \text{if } x_0 \notin E \end{cases}$$

$\mu_F \equiv \delta_{x_0}$  is called **dirac delta** or **point mass at  $x_0$**

Start with such a Borel measure  $\mu$  which leads to  $F$ , and from  $F$  we get an outer measure  $\mu_F^*$ . Then, by Caratheodory's Theorem, we get  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}_F, \tilde{\mu}_F)$  which is called **Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure**. Remember that  $\tilde{\mu}_F = \mu_F^*|_{\mathcal{M}_F}$ .

The next proposition describe the relationship between  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_R, \mu)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}_F, \tilde{\mu}_F)$ .

HW 6: Let  $F$  be the c.d.f. for the fair coin discussed earlier. Find  $\mu_F, \mathcal{M}_F$

HW 7: Let  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \mu), (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \nu)$  two Borel measures. If  $\mu((a, b]) = \nu((a, b]) \forall a, b$ , then  $\mu(B) = \nu(B) \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$

HW7 Let  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \mu)$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \nu)$   
be two Borel measures such that  
 $\mu((a, b]) = \nu((a, b]) < +\infty$ ,  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  
then  $\mu(B) = \nu(B) \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$

Prop'n Let  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \mu)$  be a Borel measure bounded on intervals,  $F$  as above. Then  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}_F, \mu_F)$  is the completion of  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \mu)$

P.f.: Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}_F$ . Assume  $\mu_F(E) < +\infty$

Know  $\mu_F(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum F(b_n) - F(a_n) \mid E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n] \right\}$

Pick  $B_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_{n,k}, b_{n,k}] \ni$

$$\sum_n F(b_{n,k}) - F(a_{n,k}) \leq \mu_F(E) + \frac{1}{k}$$

$B_k$  Borel  $\Rightarrow \mu(B_k) = \mu_F(B_k)$ . Take  $B = \bigcap B_k$

$$E \subseteq B \Rightarrow \mu_F(E) \leq \mu(B) \leq \mu_F(E) + \frac{1}{k} \quad \forall k$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_F(E) = \mu_F(B) \Rightarrow \mu_F(E \setminus B) = 0$$

$$E = B \dot{\cup} (E \setminus B)$$

General  $E$  write  $E = \bigcup_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} (E \cap (m, m+1])$

$$\text{Each } E_m = B_m \dot{\cup} N_m \Rightarrow E = \underbrace{\left( \bigcup B_m \right)}_{\text{Borel}} \dot{\cup} \underbrace{\left( \bigcup N_m \right)}_{\text{null}}$$

Multi-dimensions:

Finite unions of  $(a, b] \times (c, d]$  algebra  
of sets on  $\mathbb{R}^2$

If we set  $\mu_0((a, b] \times (c, d]) = (b-a) \cdot (d-c)$   
extend to unions, get premeasure on  $A_2$

Resulting measure called 2-dimensional  
Lebesgue measure  $m_2$ . Again  $A_2$

will be contained in  $\mathcal{M}_2 \Rightarrow$

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad m_2((a, b] \times (c, d]) \\ = (b-a)(d-c)$$

Similarly for k-dimensional Lebesgue  
measure,

## Chapter 2 Integration

### 2.1 Measurable Functions

**Definition:** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be  $\sigma$ -algebras. Also, let  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{N})$  be measurable spaces. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called  **$(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ -measurable** provided that  $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{N}$ .

Recall that  $f^{-1}(E) = \{x : f(x) \in E\}$ , preimage or inverse image of  $f$ .

**Proposition:** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ ,  $(Y, \mathcal{N})$  and  $(Z, \mathcal{O})$  be measurable spaces. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ -measurable and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  be  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{O})$ -measurable. Then,  $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$  is  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O})$ -measurable.

**Proof:** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ ,  $(Y, \mathcal{N})$  and  $(Z, \mathcal{O})$  be measurable spaces. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ -measurable and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  be  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{O})$ -measurable. Suppose that  $E \in \mathcal{O}$ . Then,  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(E) = \{x : g(f(x)) \in E\} = \{x : f(x) \in g^{-1}(E)\} = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(E))$ . [Note that  $g^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(E)) \in \mathcal{M}$ .] Thus,  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}$ , and so  $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$  is  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O})$ -measurable.

**Proposition 2.1:** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{N})$  be measurable spaces, and  $\mathcal{N}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . If  $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ -measurable.

**Proof:** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{N})$  be measurable spaces, and  $\mathcal{N}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Also, let  $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \{B \in Y : f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{M}\}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ .

[Note that  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{N} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \Rightarrow$  If  $B \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{M}$ .]

[Show that  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.]

Since  $f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\emptyset \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . Next, if  $B \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ , then  $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $(f^{-1}(B))^c = f^{-1}(B^c) \in \mathcal{M}$ . Thus,  $B^c \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . Also, if  $B_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ , then  $f^{-1}(B_n) \in \mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\bigcup_n f^{-1}(B_n) = f^{-1}(\bigcup_n B_n) \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\bigcup_n B_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,

and so  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . Therefore, if  $B \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $B \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  and so  $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{M}$ . Thus,  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ -measurable.