

1. The L^p -spaces

1.1 Minkowski-Holder inequality

Definition: Let (X, \mathfrak{A}, μ) be a measure space. We let $\mathcal{L}^p(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$, for $1 \leq p < +\infty$, denote the set of measurable functions on X such that $\int_X |f|^p d\mu < +\infty$. For $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$, we set $\|f\|_p = (\int_X |f|^p d\mu)^{1/p}$. Then, $\|\cdot\|_p$ is called the **p norm of f** .

Definition: Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^e$ be measurable, we say that f is **essentially bounded** if there exists $M > 0$ such that $\mu(\{x : |f(x)| > M\}) = 0$. The least such M is called the **essential supremum** of f , denoted by $\|f\|_\infty = \text{ess sup}_{x \in X} |f|$.

Examples:

$$(1) \quad \text{Let } X = \mathbb{R}, f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^e, f(x) = \begin{cases} 1/x & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ +\infty & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Notice that $\lambda(\{x : |f(x)| = +\infty\}) = 0$. But, f is not essentially bounded because given any $M > 0$, $\lambda(\{x : |f(x)| > M\}) = \lambda((-1/M, 1/M)) = 2/M > 0$.

$$(2) \quad \text{Let } X = \mathbb{R}, f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational} \\ x & \text{if } x \text{ is rational} \end{cases}$$

Then, f is not bounded, but f is essentially bounded and $\text{ess sup}|f| = +1$.

Definition: $\mathcal{L}^\infty(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ is the **set of essentially bounded measurable functions**.

Definition: Let $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq +\infty$. Then, p and q are called the **Holder conjugates** if $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

Special cases: $p = 1, q = +\infty$ or $p = +\infty, q = 1$

$p = 2, q = 2$

$p = 3, q = 3/2$

$$p = p, q = p/(p-1)$$

$$1/p + 1/q = 1 \Rightarrow q + p = pq \Rightarrow q - pq = -p \Rightarrow (1-p)q = -p \Rightarrow q = -p/(1-p) \Rightarrow q = p/(p-1)$$

Lemma: If $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$, then $a \cdot b \leq a^p/p + b^q/q$.

Proof: Let $h(t) = t^p/p + b^q/q - tb$ where $t \geq 0$ (show that h is nonnegative and so the minimum value is nonnegative.)
 $h'(t) = t^{p-1} - b = 0 \Leftrightarrow t^{p-1} = b \Leftrightarrow t = b^{1/(p-1)}$ is the critical value.

$$h''(t) = (p-1)t^{p-2} \geq 0 \text{ since } p > 1 \text{ and } t \geq 0. \text{ So, the absolute minimum occurs at } t = b^{1/(p-1)} \text{ and } h(b^{1/(p-1)}) = ((b^{1/(p-1)})^p)/p + b^q/q - b^{1/(p-1)}b = b^q(1/p + 1/q) - b^q = b^q(1/p + 1/q - 1) = b^p \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Theorem (Holders Inequality):

Let $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}^q(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$, then $f \cdot g \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ and $\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \cdot \|g\|_q$.

Proof: Case $p = 1$ and $q = +\infty$

Now, $g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$. Let $C = \|g\|_\infty$.

Then, $\mu(\{x : |g(x)| > C\}) = 0$.

Let $N = \{x : |g(x)| > C\}$, so for $x \in X \setminus N$,
 $|g(x)| \leq C$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } \|fg\|_1 &= \int_X |f(x)g(x)| d\mu = \int_{X \setminus N} |f(x)g(x)| d\mu \leq \\ &\int_{X \setminus N} C|f(x)| d\mu = C \int_{X \setminus N} |f(x)| d\mu = C \int_X |f(x)| d\mu = \\ &C \cdot \|f\|_1 = \|g\|_\infty \cdot \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similar for the case $p = +\infty$ and $q = 1$.

Case $1 < p, q < +\infty$

Set $a = |f(x)|/\|f\|_p$ and $b = |g(x)|/\|g\|_q$.

Then, by Lemma, $(|f(x)||g(x)|)/(\|f\|_p\|g\|_q) \leq (|f(x)|^p/\|f\|_p^p)/p + (|g(x)|^q/\|g\|_q^q)/q$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } \int_X (|f(x)||g(x)|)/(\|f\|_p\|g\|_q) d\mu &\leq \\ \int_X [(|f(x)|^p/\|f\|_p^p)/p + (|g(x)|^q/\|g\|_q^q)/q] d\mu &\leq \\ \Rightarrow 1/(\|f\|_p\|g\|_q) \int_X |f(x)g(x)| d\mu &\leq \\ 1/p\|f\|_p^p \int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu + 1/q\|g\|_q^q \int_X |g(x)|^q d\mu & \\ \Rightarrow \|fg\|/(\|f\|_p\|g\|_q) &\leq 1/p + 1/q = 1 \\ \Rightarrow \|fg\| &\leq \|f\|_p\|g\|_q \end{aligned}$$

Proposition: For $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, $\mathcal{L}^p(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ is a real vector space. (i.e. $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^p \Rightarrow f + g \in \mathcal{L}^p; \alpha f \in \mathcal{L}^p$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.)

Proof: Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^p$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ (show $\alpha f \in \mathcal{L}^p$.)

Suppose that $p \neq +\infty$. Then, $\int_X |f|^p d\mu < +\infty$. Hence,

$\int_X |\alpha f|^p d\mu = |\alpha|^p \int_X |f|^p d\mu < +\infty$, and so $\alpha f \in \mathcal{L}^p$.

$$\Rightarrow \|\alpha f\|_p = |\alpha| \cdot \|f\|_p$$

Suppose that $p = +\infty$, then $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$. Then, there exists M such that $\mu(\{x : |f(x)| > M\}) = 0$

$\Rightarrow \mu(\{x : |\alpha f(x)| > |\alpha|M\}) = 0$. So, αf is essentially bounded by $|\alpha|M$.

$$\Rightarrow \|\alpha f\|_\infty = |\alpha| \|f\|_\infty$$

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^p$ (now, show that $f + g \in \mathcal{L}^p$.)

If $p = +\infty$, then there exist M_1 and M_2 such that

$\mu(\{x : |f(x)| > M_1\}) = 0$ and $\mu(\{x : |g(x)| > M_2\}) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(\{x : |f(x) + g(x)| > M_1 + M_2\}) = 0$.

So, $f + g$ is essentially bounded by $M_1 + M_2$. Thus,

$f, g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty \Rightarrow f + g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$.

$$\Rightarrow \|f + g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty$$

If $p < +\infty$, note that

$$|f(x) + g(x)| \leq \begin{cases} |f(x)| + |g(x)| & \text{when } |f(x)| \geq |g(x)| \\ |g(x)| + |f(x)| & \text{when } |g(x)| \geq |f(x)| \end{cases}$$

$$\text{So, } |f(x) + g(x)|^p \leq \begin{cases} 2^p |f(x)|^p & \text{when } |f(x)| \geq |g(x)| \\ 2^p |g(x)|^p & \text{when } |g(x)| \geq |f(x)| \end{cases}$$

Thus, $|f(x) + g(x)|^p \leq 2^p |f(x)|^p + 2^p |g(x)|^p$.

So, if $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^p$, then $\int_X |f(x) + g(x)| d\mu \leq$

$\int_X 2^p (|f(x)|^p + |g(x)|^p) d\mu < +\infty$. Thus, $f + g \in \mathcal{L}^p$.

$$\Rightarrow \|f + g\|_p^p \leq 2^p [\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_p^p]$$

$$\Rightarrow \|f + g\|_p \leq 2 [\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_p^p]^{1/p}$$

Theorem (Minkowski's Inequality):

Let $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$. If $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$, then $f + g \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ and $\|f + g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$.

Proof: Case when $p = +\infty$ was done previously in Proposition.

$$\text{When } p = 1, \|f + g\|_1 = \int_X |f(x) + g(x)| d\mu \leq$$

$$\int_X (|f(x)| + |g(x)|) d\mu = \|f\|_1 + \|g\|_1$$

$$\text{When } 1 < p < +\infty, \|f + g\|_p^p = \int_X |f(x) + g(x)|^p d\mu \leq$$

$$\int_X |f(x) + g(x)|^{p-1} (|f(x)| + |g(x)|) d\mu \leq$$

$$\int_X |f(x)| |f(x) + g(x)|^{p-1} d\mu + \int_X |g(x)| |f(x) + g(x)|^{p-1} d\mu \leq$$

$$\leq (\int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu)^{1/p} (\int_X (|f(x) + g(x)|^{p-1})^q d\mu)^{1/q} +$$

$$(\int_X |g(x)|^p d\mu)^{1/p} (\int_X (|f(x) + g(x)|^{p-1})^q d\mu)^{1/q}$$

$$\text{Recall that } q + p = pq \Rightarrow p = pq - q \Rightarrow p = (p - 1)q.$$

$$\text{So, } \|f + g\|_p^p \leq (\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p) (\int_X |f(x) + g(x)|^p d\mu)^{1/q} \Rightarrow$$

$$(\int_X |f(x) + g(x)|^p d\mu)^1 \leq$$

$$(\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p) (\int_X |f(x) + g(x)|^p d\mu)^{1/q} \Rightarrow$$

$$(\int_X |f(x) + g(x)|^p d\mu)^{1-1/q} \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p \Rightarrow$$

$$(\int_X |f(x) + g(x)|^p d\mu)^{1/p} \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p \Rightarrow$$

$$\|f + g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$$

Note: Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$. Then, $\|f\|_p = 0 \Leftrightarrow \int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu = 0 \Leftrightarrow |f(x)|^p = 0 \text{ a.e. } \mu \Leftrightarrow f = 0 \text{ a.e. } \mu$. So, $\|f\|_p = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = 0 \text{ a.e. } \mu$.

1.2 Convergence and completeness (Riesz-Fischer)

Definition: Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{R} . Then a function $\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a **norm on V** provided:

- (i) $\|v\| \geq 0$ for all $v \in V$
- (ii) $\|v\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow v = 0$ for all $v \in V$
- (iii) $\|\alpha v\| = |\alpha| \|v\|$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in V$
- (iv) $\|v + w\| \leq \|v\| + \|w\|$ Triangle Inequality

Example: Let $V = \mathbb{R}^n = \{v = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then **Euclidean norm**, $\|v\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}$ satisfies above properties.

Note: A norm is good for defining a distance or a metric on a vector space.

Proposition: If $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on V , then setting $\rho(v, w) = \|v - w\|$ defines a metric on V , called the metric induced by the norm

- Proof:
- (i) $\rho(v, w) \geq 0$ is true since $\|v - w\| \geq 0$.
 - (ii) $\rho(v, w) = 0 \Leftrightarrow v = w$ by (ii) of the definition of norm.
 - (iii) $\rho(v, w) = \|v - w\| = \|(-1)(w - v)\| = |-1| \|w - v\| = \|w - v\| = \rho(w, v)$.
 - (iv) $\rho(v, w) = \|v - w\| = \|v - z + z - w\| \leq \|v - z\| + \|z - w\| = \rho(v, z) + \rho(z, w)$

Note: Metrics that are defined by norms are translation invariant (preserve distance.)

Propn: $\rho: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ metric, ^{that is} translation invariant
 $\rho(x+z, y+z) = \rho(x, y)$, scale invariant
 $\rho(\lambda x, \lambda y) = |\lambda| \rho(x, y)$, then $\|x\| = \rho(0, x)$
is a norm.

Pf: ~~PROOF~~

Defn A normed space $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a Banach space, if (V, ρ) is complete

Note: p -norm satisfies (i), (iii) and (iv) of the definition of norm. So, make p -norm into a norm, we need to introduce an equivalence relation:

(1) Given $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$, we write $f \sim g \Leftrightarrow f - g = 0$ a.e. μ .

Then: $f \sim f$ since $f - f = 0$ reflexive

$$f \sim g \Leftrightarrow f - g = 0 \text{ a.e.}\mu \Leftrightarrow g - f = 0 \text{ a.e.}\mu \Leftrightarrow g \sim f \text{ symmetric}$$

$$f \sim g \text{ and } g \sim h \Rightarrow f - g = 0 \text{ a.e.}\mu \text{ and } g - h = 0 \text{ a.e.}\mu \Rightarrow$$

$$f - h = (f - g) + (g - h) = 0 \text{ a.e.}\mu \Rightarrow f \sim h \text{ transitive}$$

So, \sim is an equivalence relation.

(2) Next, let $f \sim g$ and let $N = \{x : f(x) - g(x) \neq 0\}$. Then, $\mu(N) = 0$.

$$\text{If } 1 \leq p < +\infty, \text{ then } \|f\|_p^p = \int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu = \int_{X \setminus N} |f(x)|^p d\mu +$$

$$\int_N |f(x)|^p d\mu = \int_{X \setminus N} |f(x)|^p d\mu = \int_{X \setminus N} |g(x)|^p d\mu = \|g\|_p^p.$$

$$\text{So, } f \sim g \Rightarrow \|f\|_p = \|g\|_p.$$

$$\text{Similarly, } f \sim g \Rightarrow \|f\|_\infty = \|g\|_\infty.$$

(3) Finally, let $f_1 \sim g_1, f_2 \sim g_2, N_1 = \{x : f_1(x) \neq g_1(x)\}$,

and $N_2 = \{x : f_2(x) \neq g_2(x)\}$. Then, $\mu(N_1) = \mu(N_2) = 0$. So,

$$\{x : f_1(x) + f_2(x) \neq g_1(x) + g_2(x)\} \subseteq N_1 \cup N_2 \Rightarrow f_1 + f_2 \sim g_1 + g_2.$$

Definition: $L^p(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu) = \{[f] : f \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)\}$ where $[f] = \{g : f \sim g\}$, equivalence class of f .

Note: If we set $[f_1] + [f_2] = [f_1 + f_2]$ by (3) above, this is a well-defined addition. Similarly, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \sim g \Rightarrow \alpha f \sim \alpha g$. Set $\alpha[f] = [\alpha f]$. These operations make $L^p(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ a **vector space**.

Note: Also, setting $\|[f]\|_p = \|f\|_p$. This is well-defined by (2).

$$(i) \quad \|[f]\|_p = \|f\|_p \geq 0$$

$$(ii) \quad \|[f]\|_p = 0 \Leftrightarrow \|f\|_p = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = 0 \text{ a.e.}\mu \Leftrightarrow [f] = [0]$$

$$(iii) \quad |\alpha| \|[f]\|_p = |\alpha| \|f\|_p = \|\alpha f\|_p = \|[f]\|_p = \|\alpha[f]\|_p$$

$$(iv) \quad \|[f] + [g]\|_p = \|[f + g]\|_p = \|f + g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p = \|[f]\|_p + \|[g]\|_p$$

Thus, $(L^p(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu), \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a **normed space**.