

Claim: If $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and $B \in \mathcal{N}$, then $A \times B \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof of Claim: $(A \times B)_x = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } x \in A \\ \emptyset & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$ Since $B \in \mathcal{N}$

and $\emptyset \in \mathcal{N}$, $(A \times B)_x \in \mathcal{N}$ for all $x \in X$. Also,

$(A \times B)^y = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } y \in B \\ \emptyset & \text{if } y \notin B \end{cases} \Rightarrow (A \times B)^y \in \mathcal{M}$ for all

$y \in Y$. Thus, $A \times B \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and for all $B \in \mathcal{N}$.

Thus, $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, and hence $E \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow E \in \mathcal{F}$, that is $E_x \in \mathcal{N}$ for all $x \in X$ and $E^y \in \mathcal{M}$ for all $y \in Y$.

Proof of (b): Consider $f_{x_0}^{-1}((\alpha, +\infty]) = \{y : f_{x_0}(y) > \alpha\} = \{(x, y) : f(x, y) > \alpha\}_{x_0}$. Since f is measurable, $\{(x, y) : f(x, y) > \alpha\} \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow \{(x, y) : f(x, y) > \alpha\}_{x_0} = f_{x_0}^{-1}((\alpha, +\infty]) \in \mathcal{N}$

Thus, f_x is \mathcal{N} -measurable. Similarly, f^y is \mathcal{M} -measurable.

START 2/15

Definition: Let X be a set. Then, $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is called a **monotone class** if

$E_j \in \mathcal{C}$ and $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$, then $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \in \mathcal{C}$, and also if $E_j \in \mathcal{C}$ and $E_1 \supseteq$

$E_2 \supseteq \dots$, then $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \in \mathcal{C}$.

Note: Given any collection $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ of subsets, we can talk about the monotone class generated by \mathcal{E}

Prop:

~~Fact and~~ **Exercise 4 in page 24:** An algebra \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra if and only if \mathcal{A} is closed under countable increasing unions (that is, if $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and

$E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots$, then $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \in \mathcal{A}$.)

Proof (\Rightarrow): Suppose that an algebra \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra, and suppose that

$\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots$. Then, $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \in \mathcal{A}$ by the

definition of σ -algebra.

Proof (\Leftarrow): Suppose that \mathcal{A} is an algebra, and \mathcal{A} is closed under countable increasing unions. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}$, and let $B_1 =$

A_1 , $B_2 = A_1 \cup A_2$, \dots . Then, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$, and also

$B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots$. Thus, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and so $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

Therefore, \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra.

Monotone Class Theorem 2.35: Let X be a nonempty set, and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ be an algebra. Then, the monotone class generated by \mathcal{A} is equal to the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{A} .

Proof: Let X be a nonempty set, and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ be an algebra. Also, let \mathcal{C} be the monotone class generated by \mathcal{A} , and \mathcal{M} be the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{A} . Since every σ -algebra is a monotone class, $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

[Show that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. It is enough to show that \mathcal{C} is a σ -algebra.]

Fix $E \in \mathcal{C}$, and let $\mathcal{C}_E = \{F \in \mathcal{C} : F \setminus E, E \setminus F, E \cap F \in \mathcal{C}\}$. It is clear that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}_E$ and $E \in \mathcal{C}_E$. Now, $F \in \mathcal{C}_E$ if and only if $E \in \mathcal{C}_F$.

[Show that \mathcal{C}_E is a monotone class.]

Let $F_j \in \mathcal{C}_E$, $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \dots$, and $F = \bigcup_j F_j$. We know that

$F \in \mathcal{C}$, $F_j \setminus E \in \mathcal{C}$ for all j , and $F_j \setminus E \subseteq F_{j+1} \setminus E$ (increasing.)

This implies that $\bigcup_j (F_j \setminus E) = F \setminus E \in \mathcal{C}$. Next, $E \cap F_j \in \mathcal{C}$ for

all j , and $E \cap F_j \subseteq E \cap F_{j+1}$ (increasing) implies that

$\bigcup_j (E \cap F_j) = E \cap F \in \mathcal{C}$. Also, $E \setminus F_j \in \mathcal{C}$ for all j , and $E \setminus F_j$

$\supseteq E \setminus F_{j+1}$ (decreasing) implies that $\bigcap_j (E \setminus F_j) = E \setminus F \in \mathcal{C}$.

Thus, $F = \bigcup_j F_j \in \mathcal{C}_E$. Similarly, if $F_j \in \mathcal{C}_E$ and $F_j \supseteq F_{j+1}$,

then $\bigcap_j F_j \in \mathcal{C}_E$. Therefore, \mathcal{C}_E is a monotone class.

[Show that $\mathcal{C}_E = \mathcal{C}$.]

If $E, F \in \mathcal{A}$, then $F \in \mathcal{C}_E \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_E$ whenever $E \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_E \Rightarrow \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_E$ whenever $E \in \mathcal{A}$.

[Show that \mathcal{C} is an algebra.]

Since $X \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_X$. Thus, $E \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow E \in \mathcal{C}_X \Rightarrow X \in \mathcal{C}_E$ for any $E \in \mathcal{C}$. So, if $E \in \mathcal{C}$, then $X \setminus E = E^c \in \mathcal{C}$. Also, if $E, F \in \mathcal{C}$, then $E \setminus F, F \setminus E, E \cap F \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus, \mathcal{C} is an algebra.

[Show that \mathcal{C} is a σ -algebra, and thus $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.]

By Exercise 4 in page 24, \mathcal{C} is a σ -algebra. Thus, $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and so $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 2.36: Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{N}, ν) be σ -finite measure spaces. If $E \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$, then:

- (1) $x \rightarrow \nu(E_x)$ is \mathcal{M} -measurable, and $y \rightarrow \mu(E^y)$ is \mathcal{N} -measurable.
- (2) $\int_X \nu(E_x) d\mu(x) = \int_Y \mu(E^y) d\nu(y)$
- (3) If we set $\mu \times \nu(E) = \int_X \nu(E_x) d\mu(x)$, then $\mu \times \nu$ is a measure on $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$.

Proof: First assume that $\nu(Y) < +\infty$ and $\mu(X) < +\infty$, and let $\mathcal{C} = \{E \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} : (1) \text{ and } (2) \text{ hold.}\}$

Claim: If $E = A \times B$, $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and $B \in \mathcal{N}$, then $E \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof of Claim: Let $E = A \times B$, $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and $B \in \mathcal{N}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E_x &= (A \times B)_x = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } x \in A \\ \emptyset & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases} \text{ and so } x \rightarrow \nu(E_x) \\ &= \begin{cases} \nu(B) & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases} = \nu(B)\chi_A(x). \text{ Also, } y \rightarrow \mu(E^y) \\ &= \mu(A)\chi_B(y). \text{ Thus, (1) hold for } E = A \times B, A \in \mathcal{M} \\ &\text{and } B \in \mathcal{N}. \text{ Now, if } E = A \times B, \text{ then } \int_X \nu(E_x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X \nu(B)\chi_A d\mu(x) = \nu(B)\mu(A) \text{ and } \int_Y \mu(E^y) d\nu(y) \\ &= \int_Y \mu(A)\chi_B d\nu = (\mu(A)\nu(B)). \text{ Thus, (2) also hold} \\ &\text{for } E = A \times B, \text{ and so } E = A \times B \in \mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, suppose that $E =$ a finite disjoint union of rectangles $= \bigcup_{j=1}^n (A_j \times B_j)$. Then, $E_x = \bigcup_{j=1}^n (A_j \times B_j)_x$ is still a finite disjoint

union $\Rightarrow \nu(E_x) = \nu(\bigcup_{j=1}^n (A_j \times B_j)_x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \nu(A_j \times B_j)_x$ is

measurable. Thus, (1) holds for $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^n (A_j \times B_j)$. Also, when

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \bigcup_{j=1}^n (A_j \times B_j), \nu(E_x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \nu(A_j \times B_j)_x = \sum_{j=1}^n \nu(B_j)\chi_{A_j}(x) \\ &\Rightarrow \int_X \nu(E_x) d\mu(x) = \int_X \sum_{j=1}^n \nu(B_j)\chi_{A_j} d\mu(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j)\nu(B_j). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^n (A_j \times B_j)$, then $\mu(E^y) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j \times B_j)^y$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j)\chi_{B_j}(y) \Rightarrow \int_Y \mu(E^y) d\nu(y) = \int_Y \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j)\chi_{B_j} d\nu(y)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j)\nu(B_j). \text{ Thus, (2) holds, and so } E = \bigcup_{j=1}^n (A_j \times B_j)$$

$\in \mathcal{C}$.