1: Let $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(y_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be sequences in \mathbb{R} .

(a) Prove, from the definition of the limit, that if $x_n = \frac{\sqrt{4n+1}}{\sqrt{n}}$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 2$.

Solution: Note that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| x_n - 2 \right| &= \left| \frac{\sqrt{4n+1}}{\sqrt{n}} - 2 \right| = \left| \frac{\sqrt{4n+1} - \sqrt{4n}}{\sqrt{n}} \right| = \left| \frac{\sqrt{4n+1} - \sqrt{4n}}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{4n+1} + \sqrt{4n}}{\sqrt{4n+1} + \sqrt{4n}} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}(\sqrt{4n+1} + \sqrt{4n})} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}(\sqrt{4n} + \sqrt{4n})} = \frac{1}{4n}. \end{aligned}$$

Given $\epsilon > 0$ we choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\frac{1}{4m} < \epsilon$. Then when $n \ge m$ we have $|x_n - 2| < \frac{1}{4n} \le \frac{1}{4m} < \epsilon$.

(b) Prove that if $x_n \ge 0$ for all $n \ge 1$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$ then the set $\{x_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ has a maximum element.

Solution: Suppose that $x_n \ge 0$ for all $n \ge 1$ and that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$ and let $S = \{x_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$. If $x_n = 0$ for all $n \ge 1$ then $S = \{0\}$ and b = 0 is the maximum element of S. Suppose that there is at least one value of n such that $x_n > 0$. Choose $\ell \ge 1$ such that $x_\ell > 0$. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$ we can choose $m \ge 1$ so that $n \ge m \Longrightarrow x_n < x_\ell$ (we remark that if we had $\ell \ge m$ then we would have $x_\ell < x_\ell$ which is impossible, so we must have $m > \ell$). Let $b = \max\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_\ell, \cdots, x_m\}$. Then $b = x_k$ for some $1 \le k \le m$, so we have $b \in S$, and $x_n \le x_\ell \le b$ for all $n \ge 1$ so we have $b = \max(S)$.

(c) Prove that if $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = a > 0$ and $y_n > 0$ for all $n \ge 1$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = 0$ then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x_n}{y_n} = \infty$.

Solution: Suppose that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = a > 0$ and $y_n > 0$ for all $n \ge 1$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = 0$. Let R > 0. Choose a real number r with 0 < r < a. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = a > r$ we can choose $m_1 \ge 1$ so that $n \ge m_1 \Longrightarrow x_n > r$. Since $y_n > 0$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = 0$ we can choose $m_2 \ge 1$ so that $n \ge m_2 \Longrightarrow 0 < y_n < \frac{r}{R}$. Let $m = \max\{m_1, m_2\}$. Then for $n \ge m$ we have $x_n > r$ and $0 < y_n < \frac{r}{R}$ and so $\frac{x_n}{y_n} > \frac{r}{r/R} = R$. Thus $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x_n}{y_n} = \infty$.

(d) Prove that if $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is increasing, and $(y_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges, and we have $|x_n - y_n| < \frac{2n}{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges.

Solution: Suppose that $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is increasing and $(y_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges and $|x_n - y_n| < \frac{2n}{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let $b = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n$. Choose $m \ge 1$ so that $n \ge m \Longrightarrow |y_n - b| < 1 \Longrightarrow b - 1 < y_n < b + 1$. Then for all $n \ge m$ we have $|x_n - y_n| < \frac{2n}{n+1} < 2$ so that $y_n - 2 < x_n < y_n + 2$, and we have $b - 1 < y_n < b + 1$, and it follows that $x_n < y_n + 2 < b + 3$. Since $x_n < b + 3$ for all $n \ge m$, the sequence $(x_n)_{n\ge 1}$ is bounded above (by the maximum of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1} and b+3). Since the sequence $(x_n)_{n\ge 1}$ is increasing and bounded above, it converges by the Monotone Convergence Theorem. 2: We denote the set of extended real numbers by $[-\infty, \infty]$ (or by $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$). This is an ordered set with maximum element ∞ and minimum element $-\infty$. Note that every nonempty set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ has a supremum and an infimum in $[-\infty, \infty]$ (when A is not bounded above in \mathbb{R} we have $\sup A = \infty$, and when A is not bounded below in \mathbb{R} we have $\inf A = -\infty$). For a sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in \mathbb{R} , we define the *limit supremum* and the *limit infimum* of $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ to be the following extended real numbers:

 $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n, \text{ where } u_n = \sup \left\{ x_k \mid k \ge n \right\}, \text{ and } \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \ell_n, \text{ where } \ell_n = \inf \left\{ x_k \mid k \ge n \right\}.$

(a) Explain why $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n$ and $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n$ always exist in $[-\infty, \infty]$ for every sequence $(x_n)_{n \ge 1}$ in \mathbb{R} .

Solution: Note that when $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, every upper bound of T (including ∞) is also an upper bound of S, and so we have $\sup T \geq \sup S$. Likewise, every lower bound of T is also a lower bound of S, and so $\inf T \leq \inf S$. If we let $S_n = \{x_k \mid k \geq n\}$ then we have $S_1 \supseteq S_2 \supseteq S_3 \supseteq \cdots$ and so $\sup S_1 \geq \sup S_2 \geq \sup S_3 \geq \cdots$, that is $u_1 \geq u_2 \geq u_3 \geq \cdots$ in $[-\infty, \infty]$. If $u_n = \infty$ for all n (that is, if every set S_n is not bounded above) then we shall agree that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = \infty$.

Suppose that $u_m < \infty$ for some m. Then S_m is bounded above, hence S_1 is bounded above (by the maximum of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1} , and $\sup S_m$), and hence every S_n is bounded above (since $S_n \subseteq S_1$). We also note that $u_n \ge x_n > -\infty$ so that $(u_n)_{n\ge 1}$ is a decreasing sequence of real numbers, and so it has a limit in the extended real numbers by the Monotone Convergence Theorem (if the sequence (u_n) is bounded below then it has a finite limit in \mathbb{R} , and if it is not bounded below then the limit is $-\infty$). Similarly, the sequence $(\ell_n)_{n>1}$ is an increasing sequence in $[-\infty, \infty)$, so it has a limit in the extended real numbers.

(b) Find $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n$ and $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n$ for the sequence given by $x_1 = 0$, $x_{2k} = \frac{1}{2} x_{2k-1}$ and $x_{2k+1} = \frac{1}{2} + x_{2k}$.

Solution: By induction, we have $x_{2k} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^k}$ and $x_{2k+1} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^k}$ for all $k \ge 1$. We claim that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = 1$ and $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = \frac{1}{2}$. Let $S_n = \{x_k | k \ge n\}$. Since $x_{2j} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^j} < \frac{1}{2} < 1$ for all j and $x_{2j+1} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^j} < 1$ for all j, we have $x_k < 1$ for all k, and so 1 is an upper bound for each of the sets S_n . Thus, for every $n \ge 1$, we have $u_n = \sup S_n \le 1$. On the other hand, we cannot have $u_n < 1$ because given any number r < 1 and any $n \ge 1$ we can choose $k = 2j + 1 \ge n$ so that $x_k = a_{2j+1} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^j} > r$ showing that r is not an upper bound for S_n . Thus $u_n = \sup S_n = 1$ for all $n \ge 1$, and so $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = 1$.

For n = 2m, the set $S_n = S_{2m}$ contains the elements x_{2k} with $k \ge m$ and the elements x_{2k+1} with $k \ge m$. Note that $x_{2m} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^m}$ is a lower bound for S_{2m} because when $k \ge m$ we have $\frac{1}{2^k} \ge \frac{1}{2^m}$ so $x_{2k} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^k} \ge \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^m}$ and $x_{2k+1} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^k} \ge 1 - \frac{1}{2^m} > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^m}$. Since x_{2m} is a lower bound for S_{2m} we have $\inf S_{2m} \ge x_{2m}$. On the other hand, since $x_{2m} \in S_{2m}$ we have $\inf S_{2m} \le x_{2m}$. It follows that $\ell_{2m} = \inf S_{2m} = x_{2m} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^m}$ for all $m \ge 1$. A fairly similar (but not identical) argument shows that $\ell_{2m-1} = \inf S_{2m-1} = x_{2m}$ for all $m \ge 1$. Since $\ell_{2m} = \ell_{2m-1} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^m}$ we have $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \ell_n = \frac{1}{2}$.

(c) Show that for any sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in \mathbb{R} , and for $c \in [-\infty, \infty]$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = c$ if and only if $\limsup_{n\to\infty} x_n = \liminf_{n\to\infty} x_n = c$.

Solution: Let $u_n = \sup\{x_k | k \ge n\}$ and $\ell_n = \inf\{x_k | k \ge n\}$. Note that for all $n \ge 1$ we have $\ell_n \le x_n \le u_n$, and it follows by comparison that $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \ell_n \le \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n$. Suppose that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = c \in [-\infty, \infty]$. Consider the case $c = \infty$. Let r > 0. Choose $m \ge 1$ so

Suppose that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = c \in [-\infty, \infty]$. Consider the case $c = \infty$. Let r > 0. Choose $m \ge 1$ so that $k \ge m \Longrightarrow x_k > r$. Then for all $n \ge m$, r is a lower bound for $\{x_k | k \ge n\}$, so we have $r \le \ell_n$. This shows that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \ell_n = \infty$ and hence $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \ell_n = \infty$. Since $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n \le \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n$, we also have $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = \infty$. Similarly, in the case $c = -\infty$ we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = -\infty$, $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = -\infty$ and $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = -\infty$. Consider the case $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $m \ge 1$ so that $k \ge m \Longrightarrow c - \epsilon < x_k < c + \epsilon$. For all $n \ge m$ we have $x_k < c + \epsilon$ for all $k \ge n$ and hence $u_n \le c + \epsilon$. It follows that $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \ell_n \ge c$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, it follows that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n \le c$. Similarly, we have $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \ell_n \ge c$. Since $c \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n \le c$, we have $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = c$.

 $\epsilon > 0 \text{ was arbitrary, it follows that } \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n \le c. \text{ Similarly, we have } \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \ell_n \ge c.$ Since $c \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n \le c$, we have $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = c.$ Suppose, conversely, that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = c \in [-\infty, \infty].$ If $c = \infty$ then since $\ell_n \le x_n$ for all n and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \ell_n = c = \infty$ we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \infty$ (by comparison). If $c = -\infty$ then since $x_n \le u_n$ for all n and $\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = c = -\infty$ we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = -\infty$ (by comparison). If $c \in \mathbb{R}$ then since $\ell_n \le x_n \le u_n$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = c = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n$ we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = c$ (by the Squeeze Theorem). **3:** Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \ge 2$, and let $S_m = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$. In this problem we explore the base *m* representation of a real number.

(a) Let $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots \in S_m$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k}{m^k}$. Show that the sequence $(s_n)_{n \ge 1}$ converges and that its limit lies in [0, 1].

Solution: Since $a_k \ge 0$ for all k, we have $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k}{m^k} \ge 0$ for all n. Since $a_k \le m-1$ for all k we have

$$s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k}{m^k} \le \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{m-1}{m^n} = (m-1) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{m^k} = (m-1) \frac{1 - \frac{1}{m^n}}{m-1} = 1 - \frac{1}{m^n} \le 1$$

for all *n*. Since $s_n - s_{n-1} = \frac{a_n}{m^n} \ge 0$ for all *n*, the sequence (s_n) is increasing. Since (s_n) is increasing and bounded above (by 1), it converges by the Monotone Convergence Theorem. Since $0 \le s_n \le 1$ for all *n* we have $0 \le \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n \le 1$ by the Comparison Theorem.

(b) Given $x \in [0,1]$ show that there exist $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots \in S_m$ such that for $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k}{m^k}$ we have $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n$.

Solution: Let $x \in [0,1]$. If x = 1 then we can choose $a_k = m - 1$ for all k to get

$$s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k}{m^k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{m-1}{m^k} = (m-1) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{m^k} = (m-1) \frac{1 - \frac{1}{m^n}}{m-1} = 1 - \frac{1}{m^n} \longrightarrow 1 = x.$$

Suppose that $x \in [0,1)$. Then we have $0 \le mx < m$ so we can choose $a_1 \in \{0,1,\cdots,m-1\}$ so that $a_1 \le mx < a_1 + 1$ (to be explicit, we choose $a_1 = \lfloor mx \rfloor$) then we have $\frac{a_1}{m} \le x < \frac{a_1}{m} + \frac{1}{m}$. Suppose that we have constructed $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{n-1}$ with each $a_k \in \{0, 1, \cdots, m-1\}$ such that for $s_{n-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_k}{m^k}$ we have $s_{n-1} \le x < s_{n-1} + \frac{1}{m^{n-1}}$. Then we have $0 \le x - s_{n-1} < \frac{1}{m^{n-1}}$ hence $0 \le m^n(x - s_{n-1}) < m$. We choose $a_n \in \{0, 1, \cdots, m-1\}$ so that $a_n \le m^n(x - s_{n-1}) < a_n + 1$ (to be explicit, we choose $a_n = \lfloor m^n(x - s_{n-1}) \rfloor$) and then we have $\frac{a_n}{m^n} \le x - s_{n-1} < \frac{a_n+1}{m^n}$ and so $s_{n-1} + \frac{a_n}{m^n} \le x < s_{n-1} + \frac{a_n}{m^n}$, that is $s_n \le x < s_n + \frac{1}{m^n}$. In this way, we obtain a sequence a_1, a_2, a_3, \cdots with each $a_k \in \{0, 1, \cdots, m-1\}$ such that $s_n \le x < s_n + \frac{1}{m^n}$ for all $n \ge 0$ (where we set $s_0 = 0$). Since $(s_n)_{n\ge 0}$ is increasing and bounded above (by x), it converges. Since $s_n \le x < s_n + \frac{1}{m^n}$ for all $n \ge 1$, the Comparison Theorem gives $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n \le x \le \lim_{n\to\infty} s_n$, so that $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = x$, as required.

(c) Let $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots \in S_m$ and $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots \in S_m$. Let $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k}{m^k}$ and let $t_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{b_k}{m^k}$. Suppose there exists $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \ge 1$ such that $a_k = b_k$ for all k < p, $a_p = b_p + 1$, $a_k = 0$ for all k > p and $b_k = m - 1$ for all k > p. Show that $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} t_n$.

Solution: Let $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n$. Choose p as above. Then for $n \ge p$ we have $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{a_k}{m^k} + \frac{b_p+1}{m^p}$ which is constant (independent of n) and so $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{a_k}{m^k} + \frac{b_p+1}{m^p}$. Also, for $n \ge p$ we have

$$t_n = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{b_k}{m^k} + \frac{b_p}{m^p} + \sum_{k=p+1}^n \frac{m-1}{m^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{a_k}{m^k} + \frac{b_p}{m^p} + (m-1) \sum_{k=p+1}^n \frac{1}{m^k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{a_k}{m^k} + \frac{b_p}{m^p} + (m-1) \frac{\frac{1}{m^p} - \frac{1}{m^n}}{m-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{a_k}{m^k} + \frac{b_p}{m^p} + \frac{1}{m^p} - \frac{1}{m^n}$$
$$= x - \frac{1}{m^n} \longrightarrow x \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

4: (a) Define $f, g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = x^3$ and $g(x) = \sqrt[3]{x}$. Show that g is uniformly continuous but that f is not. Solution: We claim that f(x) is not uniformly continuous. Choose $\epsilon = 1$. Let $\delta > 0$ Choose $a = \frac{1}{\delta}$ and $x = \delta + \frac{1}{\delta}$. Then $|x - a| = \delta$ and we have

$$\left|f(x) - f(a)\right| = \left(\delta + \frac{1}{\delta}\right)^3 - \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^3 = 3\delta + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{\delta} + \delta^3 > 3\left(\delta + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) > 3 > \epsilon$$

because when $\delta \ge 1$ we have $\delta + \frac{1}{\delta} > \delta \ge 1$ and when $0 < \delta \le 1$ we have $\delta + \frac{1}{\delta} > \frac{1}{\delta} \ge 1$. Thus f is not uniformly continuous.

We claim that g is uniformly continuous. First we note that for $\delta > 0$ and for $a, x \in \mathbb{R}$, in the case that $|a| \leq 2\delta$, when $|x - a| < \delta$ we have $|x| < 3\delta$ and so

$$|f(x) - f(a)| \le |f(x)| + |f(a)| < (2\delta)^{1/3} + (3\delta)^{1/3} = (2^{1/3} + 3^{1/3})\delta^{1/3} < 3\delta^{1/3}$$

(because $3 < \frac{27}{8} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3$ so that $3^{1/3} < \frac{3}{2}$ and hence $2^{1/3} + 3^{1/3} < 2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} = 3$) and in the case that $|a| \ge 2\delta$, when $|x - a| < \delta$, the numbers a and x have the same sign and we have $|x| \ge \delta$ and so

$$\begin{split} |f(x) - f(a)| &= |x^{1/3} - a^{1/3}| = \left| \frac{x - a}{x^{2/3} + x^{1/3} a^{1/3} + a^{2/3}} \right| = \frac{|x - a|}{|x|^{2/3} + |x|^{1/3} |a|^{1/3} + |a|^{2/3}} \\ &< \frac{\delta}{\delta^{2/3} + \delta^{1/3} (2\delta)^{1/3} + (2\delta)^{2/3}} = \frac{\delta^{1/3}}{1 + 2^{1/3} + 4^{1/3}} < \delta^{1/3} < 3\,\delta^{1/3}. \end{split}$$

Thus given $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose $\delta = \frac{1}{27} \epsilon^3$ so that $3 \delta^{1/3} = \epsilon$ and then for all $a, x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x - a| < \delta$ we have $|f(x) - f(a)| < 3 \delta^{1/3} = \epsilon$. Thus g is uniformly continuous.

(b) Define $f : [0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows. Given $x \in [0,1)$, write x in its binary (base 2) representation as $x = [.a_1a_2a_3\cdots]_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{2^k}$ with each $a_k \in \{0,1\}$ so that $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists k \ge m \ a_k \ne 1$, then let f(x) be the number whose ternary (base 3) representation is $f(x) = [.a_1a_2a_3\cdots]_3 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{3^k}$. Determine where the function f is continuous.

Solution: We claim that f is continuous from the right at all points $a \in [0, 1)$ and f is continuous from the left at all points $a \in [0, 1)$ except for the points of the form $a = \frac{k}{2^n}$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $0 < k < 2^n$, in other words, except for the points $0 \neq a \in [0, 1)$ with finite base 2 representations.

First, let us show that f is continuous from the right at all points $a \in [0, 1)$. Let $a \in [0, 1)$. Write a in base 2 as $a = [.a_1a_2a_3\cdots]_2$ where $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists k \ge m \quad a_k = 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $2 \cdot 3^{-m} < \epsilon$, choose $k \ge m$ such that $a_k = 0$, and let $\delta = 2^{-k}$. For $x \in [0, 1)$ with $a \le x < a + \delta$, we shall prove on the next page that the base 2 representations of a and x are of the form $a = [.a_1a_2\cdots a_{k-1}0 a_{k+1}a_{k+2}\cdots]_2$ and $x = [.a_1a_2\cdots a_{k-1}b_kb_{k+1}\cdots]_2$ with $b_k \in \{0,1\}$ and with $[.0\cdots 0 b_kb_{k+1}b_{k+2}\cdots]_2 \ge [.0\cdots 0 a_{k+1}a_{k+2}\cdots]_2$. Note that in base 3 we also have $[.0\cdots 0 b_kb_{k+1}\cdots]_3 \ge [.0\cdots 0 a_{k+1}a_{k+2}\cdots]_3$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(x) - f(a) \right| &= f(x) - f(a) = [.a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{k-1} b_k b_{k+1} \cdots]_3 - [.a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{k-1} 0 a_{k+1} \cdots]_3 \\ &= [.0 \cdots 0 b_k b_{k+1} \cdots]_3 - [.0 \cdots 0 a_{k+1} a_{k+2} \cdots]_3 \\ &\leq [.0 \cdots 0 b_k b_{k+1} \cdots]_3 \leq 2 \cdot 3^{-k} \leq 2 \cdot 3^{-m} < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus f is continuous from the right at a, as claimed.

A similar argument shows that when $a \in (0,1)$ does not have a finite base 2 representation, the map f is continuous from the left at a. Note that for such $a \in (0,1)$, its base 2 representation $[.a_1a_2\cdots]_2$ is such that $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists k \ge m \ a_k = 1$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ we choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ so that $2 \cdot 3^{-m} < \epsilon$, then we choose $k \ge m$ so that $a_k = 1$, and we take $\delta = 2^{-k}$. For $a - \delta < x \le a$ the base 2 representations of a and x are of the form $a = [.a_1a_2\cdots a_{k-1}1 \ a_{k+1}\cdots]_2$ and $x = [.a_1a_2\cdots a_{k-1}b_kb_{k+1}\cdots]_2$ with $b_k \in \{0,1\}$ and with $[.0\cdots 0 \ b_kb_{k+1}\cdots]_2 \le [.0\cdots 0 \ 1 \ a_{k+1}\cdots]_2$. As above, we have $|f(x) - f(a)| = f(a) - f(x) \le 2 \cdot 3^{-k} < \epsilon$.

Finally, suppose that $a \in (0, 1)$ has a finite base 2 representation, say $a = [a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m]_2$ with $a_m = 1$. We claim that f is not continuous from the left at the point a (that is $\exists \epsilon > 0 \ \forall \delta > 0 \ \exists x \in [0, 1)$ with $|x - a| \le \delta$ and $|f(x) - f(a)| > \epsilon$). Choose $\epsilon = 3^{-m-1}$. Let $\delta > 0$. Choose $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with k > m and $2^{-k} < \delta$. Choose $x = a - 2^{-k} = [a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{m-1} 1]_2 - [.0 \cdots 01]_2 = [.a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{m-1} 011 \cdots 1]_2$ where the final 1 is in position k. Then we have $|x - a| = 2^{-k} < \delta$ but

$$f(x) - f(a)| = f(a) - f(x) = [.a_1a_2 \cdots a_{m-1}1]_3 - [.a_1 \cdots a_{m-1}011 \cdots 1]_3 = [.0 \cdots 01 \cdots 112]_3$$

where the first 1 is in position m + 1 and the final 2 is in position k, and so $|f(x) - f(a)| > 3^{-m-1} = \epsilon$.

Let $a = [.a_1a_2\cdots]_2$ with $a_k = 0$, and let $x = [.b_1b_2\cdots]_2$ where $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists j \ge m \ b_j = 0$. Suppose that $a \le x < a + \frac{1}{2^k}$. Here is a proof that $b_j = a_j$ for all j < k. Suppose that this is not true, and let ℓ be the smallest integer with $1 \le \ell < k$ such that $a_\ell \ne b_\ell$. Case 1: suppose that $a_\ell = 1$ and $b_\ell = 0$. Since each $a_j, b_j \in \{0, 1\}$ so that $a_j - b_j \ge -1$, and since $b_j = 0$ for some $j > \ell$, we have

$$a - x = \frac{1}{2^{\ell}} + \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_j - b_j}{2^j} > \frac{1}{2^{\ell}} - \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} = \frac{1}{2^{\ell}} - \frac{1}{2^{\ell}} = 0$$

which contradicts the fact that $x \ge a$. Case 2: suppose that $a_{\ell} = 0$ and $b_{\ell} = 1$. Then since $a_j, b_j \in \{0, 1\}$ so $b_j - a_j \ge -1$, and $a_k = 0$, we have

$$x - a = \frac{1}{2^{\ell}} + \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{k-1} \frac{b_j - a_j}{2^j} + \frac{b_k}{2^k} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_j - a_j}{2^j} \ge \frac{1}{2^{\ell}} - \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{0}{2^k} - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} - \frac{1}{2^k} = \frac{1}{2^k} - \frac{1}{2^k} - \frac{1}{2^k} = \frac{1}{2^k} - \frac{1}{2$$

which contradicts the fact that $x < a + \frac{1}{2^k}$.