MATH 247 Calculus 3, Solutions to Assignment 2

1: (a) Prove that $\overline{B(a,r)} = \overline{B}(a,r)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all r > 0.

Solution: Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let r > 0. Since $\overline{B}(a, r)$ is closed and $B(a, r) \subseteq \overline{B}(a, r)$, we have $\overline{B(a, r)} \subseteq \overline{B}(a, r)$. We need to show that $\overline{B}(a, r) \subseteq \overline{B(a, r)}$. Let $b \in \overline{B}(a, r)$, that is let $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|b-a| \leq r$. If |b-a| < r then we have $b \in B(a, r)$ and hence $b \in \overline{B(a, r)}$. Suppose that |b-a| = r. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $x_n = \frac{1}{n}a + \frac{n-1}{n}b$. Note that $x_n - a = \frac{n-1}{n}(b-a)$ and hence $|x_n - a| = \frac{n-1}{n}r < r$ so that $x_n \in B(a, r)$. Also note that $x_n - b = \frac{1}{n}(a-b)$ so that $|x_n - b| = \frac{1}{n}r$, so that $x_n \neq b$ and $x_n \to b$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Since each $x_n \in B(a, r)$ and $x_n \neq b$ and $x_n \to b$, it follows that $b \in B(a, r)'$ (that is, b is a limit point of B(a, r)), and hence $b \in \overline{B(a, r)}$. Thus $\overline{B}(a, r) \subseteq \overline{B(a, r)}$, as required.

(b) Determine whether for every subset $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $\overline{B_P(a,r)} = \overline{B}_P(a,R)$ for all $a \in P$ and all r > 0. Solution: This is not true. For example, when $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $a \neq b$, and $P = \{a, b\}$, and r = |b-a|, we have $B_P(a,r) = \{a\}$ which is closed (both in P and in \mathbb{R}^n) so that $\overline{B_P(a,r)} = \{a\}$, but we have $\overline{B}_P(a,r) = \{a,b\}$.

(c) Let $A \subseteq P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Prove that A is compact in P if and only if A is compact in \mathbb{R}^n .

Solution: Suppose that A is compact in P. Let T be an open cover for A in \mathbb{R}^n . For each $V \in T$, let $U_V = V \cap P$. By Theorem 2.31, each set U_V is open in P. Since $A \subseteq P$ and $A \subseteq \bigcup_{V \in T} V$, we also have $A \subseteq \bigcup_{V \in T} (V \cap P) = \bigcup_{V \in T} U_V$. Thus the set $S = \{U_V \mid V \in T\}$ is an open cover for A in P. Since A is compact in P we can choose a finite subcover, say $\{U_{V_1}, \cdots, U_{V_n}\}$ of S, where each $V_i \in T$. Since $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{V_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (V_i \cap P)$, we also have $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$ and so $\{V_1, \cdots, V_n\}$ is a finite subcover of T. Suppose, conversely, that A is compact in \mathbb{R}^n . Let S be an open cover for A in P. For each $U \in S$, by

Suppose, conversely, that A is compact in \mathbb{R}^n . Let S be an open cover for A in P. For each $U \in S$, by Theorem 2.31, we can choose an open set V_U in \mathbb{R}^n such that $U = V_U \cap P$. Then $T = \{V_U \mid U \in S\}$ is an open cover of A in \mathbb{R}^n . Since A is compact in \mathbb{R}^n we can choose a finite subcover, say $\{V_{U_1}, \dots, V_{U_n}\}$ of T, where each $U_i \in S$. Then $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (V_{U_i} \cap P) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ and so $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ is a finite subcover of S in P.

2: (a) Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact and let S be an open cover of A. Show that there exists r > 0 such that for every $a \in A$ there exists $U \in S$ such that $B(a, r) \subseteq U$.

Solution: For each $p \in A$, since S is an open cover for A we can choose $U_p \in S$ with $p \in U_p$ and then, since U_p is open we can choose $r_p > 0$ so that $B(p, 2r_p) \subseteq U_p$. Note that the set $T = \{B(p, r_p) | p \in A\}$ is an open cover for A. Since A is compact, we can choose a finite subcover, say $\{B(p_1, r_{p_1}), \dots, B(p_\ell, r_{p_\ell})\}$ of T for A, with each $p_k \in A$. Let $r = \min\{r_{p_1}, \dots, r_{p_\ell}\}$. We claim that for every $a \in A$ there exists $U \in S$ such that $B(a, r) \subseteq U$. Let $a \in A$. Choose an index k such that $a \in B(p_k, r_{p_k})$, and let $U = U_{p_k} \in S$. For all $x \in B(a, r)$ we have $|x - p_k| \leq |x - a| + |a - p_k| \leq r + r_{p_k} \leq 2r_{p_k}$ and hence $x \in B(p_k, 2r_{p_k}) \subseteq U_{p_k} = U$. This shows that $B(a, r) \subseteq U$, as required.

(b) Let C_1, C_2, C_3, \cdots be non-empty closed sets in \mathbb{R}^n with $C_1 \supseteq C_2 \supseteq C_3 \supseteq \cdots$. Show that if each set C_k is compact then $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k \neq \emptyset$, and find an example where the sets C_k are not compact and we have $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k = \emptyset$.

Solution: Suppose that each set C_k is compact, and suppose, for a contradiction, that $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k = \emptyset$. Then

$$\mathbb{R}^{n} = \emptyset^{c} = \big(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{k}\big)^{c} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{k}^{c} = C_{1}^{c} \cup \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} C_{k}^{c}.$$

It follows that $C_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} C_k{}^c$ since given $a \in C_1$ we have $a \in C_1{}^c \cup \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} C_k{}^c$ but $a \notin C_1{}^c$, and so $a \in \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} C_k{}^c$. Thus $S = \{C_2{}^c, C_3{}^c, C_4{}^c, \cdots\}$ is an open cover for C_1 . Since C_1 is compact, we can choose a finite sub-cover $T = \{C_{k_1}{}^c, C_{k_2}{}^c, \cdots, C_{k_\ell}{}^c\}$ say with $2 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_\ell$. Since T covers C_1 we have $C_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} C_{k_i}{}^c$. Since $C_{k_1} \supseteq C_{k_2} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq C_{k_\ell}$ we have $C_{k_1}{}^c \subseteq C_{k_2}{}^c \subseteq \cdots \subseteq C_{k_\ell}{}^c$ and hence $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} C_{k_i}{}^c = C_{k_\ell}{}^c$. Thus we obtain $C_1 \subseteq C_{k_\ell}{}^c$, or equivalently $C_1 \cap C_{k_\ell} = \emptyset$. But this is not possible since $C_1 \cap C_{k_\ell} = C_{k_\ell} \neq \emptyset$.

Note that the sets $C_k = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus B(0, n)$ are closed in \mathbb{R}^m with $C_1 \supseteq C_2 \supseteq \cdots$, but $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k = \emptyset$.

3: Note that $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ so a sequence in \mathbb{C} is a sequence in \mathbb{R}^2 .

(a) For $k \ge 0$, let $x_k = \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^k \in \mathbb{C}$, and for $n \ge 0$, let $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n x_k \in \mathbb{C}$. Use the definition of the limit (for a sequence in \mathbb{R}^2) to find $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = a + ib$.

Solution: From the formula for the sum of a geometric series, or by noting that $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^k$ and $\left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^{k+1}$, so that $s_n - \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)s_n = 1 - \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^{n+1}$, we have $s_n = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^{n+1}}{1 - \frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}} = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^{n+1}}{\frac{1-i\sqrt{3}}{4}} \cdot \frac{1+i\sqrt{3}}{1+i\sqrt{3}} = (1 + i\sqrt{3})\left(1 - \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^{n+1}\right)$

and hence

$$|s_n - (1 + i\sqrt{3})| = |1 + i\sqrt{3}| \left|\frac{3 + i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right|^{n+1} = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^{n+1}.$$

It follows that $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = 1 + i\sqrt{3}$: indeed given $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^m < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, and then when $n \ge m$ we have $|s_n - (1 + i\sqrt{3})| = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^n \le 2 \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^m < \epsilon$.

(b) Let $c = \frac{2-i}{8} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $(z_n)_{n \ge 0}$ be the sequence in \mathbb{C} given by $z_0 = 0$ and $z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c$ for $n \ge 0$. Determine whether $(z_n)_{n \ge 0}$ converges in \mathbb{C} and, if so, find $\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n$ in \mathbb{C} .

Solution: If (z_n) converges with $z_n \to w$ in \mathbb{C} , then taking the limit on each side of the equality $z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c$ gives $w = w^2 + c$. By the Quadratic Formula, we have $w = w^2 + c \iff w^2 - w + c = 0 \iff w = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1-4c}}{2}$, (where $\sqrt{1-4c}$ is one of the two square roots of 1 - 4c in \mathbb{C}). Note that $1 - 4c = 1 - \frac{2-i}{2} = \frac{i}{2} = \left(\frac{1+i}{2}\right)^2$, so we must have $w = \frac{1 \pm \frac{1+i}{2}}{2} = \frac{2 \pm (1+i)}{4}$, that is $w = \frac{3+i}{4}$ or $w = \frac{1-i}{4}$.

we must have $w = \frac{1 \pm \frac{1+i}{2}}{2} = \frac{2 \pm (1+i)}{4}$, that is $w = \frac{3+i}{4}$ or $w = \frac{1-i}{4}$. Let $w = \frac{1-i}{4}$. We claim that $z_n \to w$. Note that $z_0 - w = 0 - w = \frac{-1+i}{4}$ so that $|z_0 - w| = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$ and $z_1 - w = c - w = \frac{2-i}{8} - \frac{1-i}{4} = \frac{i}{8}$ so that $|z_1 - w| = \frac{1}{8}$. Let $n \ge 1$ and suppose, inductively, that $|z_n - w| \le \frac{1}{8}$ and that $|z_n - w| \le \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1}$. We have

$$z_{n+1} - w = z_n^2 + c - w = z_n^2 + \frac{i}{8} = z_n^2 - w^2 = (z_n - w)(z_n + w) = (z_n - w)((z_n - w) + 2w)$$

so that

$$|z_{n+1} - w| \le |z_n - w| (|z_n - w| + |2w|) = |z_n - w| (|z_n - w| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}).$$

Using the first induction hypotheses gives

$$|z_{n+1} - w| \le |z_n - w| \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \le |z_n - w| \left(\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}} |z_n - w|.$$

Using this with the first induction hypothesis again gives $|z_{n+1} - w| \leq \frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}|z_n - w| \leq |z_n - w| \leq \frac{1}{8}$, and using it with the second induction hypothesis gives $|z_{n+1} - w| \leq \frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}|z_n - w| \leq \frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^n$. Thus, by induction, we have $|z_n - w| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

It follows that $z_n \to w$, as claimed: indeed given $\epsilon > 0$, since $\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}} < 1$ so that $\left(\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} \to 0$, we can choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ so that $\left(\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^{m-1} < 8\epsilon$ and then for $n \ge m$ we have

$$|z_n - w| \le \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} \le \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^{m-1} < \epsilon$$

4: Let \mathbb{R}^{ω} be the set of all sequences in \mathbb{R} , that is $\mathbb{R}^{\omega} = \{x = (x_j)_{j \ge 1} | \operatorname{each} x_j \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and let \mathbb{R}^{∞} be the set of eventually zero sequences in \mathbb{R} , that is $\mathbb{R}^{\infty} = \{x = (x_j)_{j \ge 1} \in \mathbb{R}^{\omega} | \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (j \ge m \Longrightarrow x_j = 0)\}$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$, define $x \cdot y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n$ and $|x| = (x \cdot x)^{1/2}$.

When $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a sequence in \mathbb{R}^{∞} , each $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$, and we can write $x_n = (x_{n,j})_{j\geq 1} = (x_{n,1}, x_{n,2}, x_{n,3}, \cdots)$. For a sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in \mathbb{R}^{∞} and an element $a \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$, we say the sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges to a in \mathbb{R}^{∞} , and we write $x_n \to a$ in \mathbb{R}^{∞} or $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = a$ in \mathbb{R}^{∞} , when $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ $(n \ge m \Longrightarrow |x_n - a| < \epsilon)$, we say that $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is bounded when $\exists r \ge 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ |x_n| \le r$, and we say that $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is Cauchy when $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ $(k, \ell \ge m \Longrightarrow |x_k - x_\ell| < \epsilon)$.

(a) Prove that for all sequences $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in \mathbb{R}^{∞} , and all $a \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$, if $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = a$ in \mathbb{R}^{∞} then $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n,j} = a_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, but that the converse does not hold.

Solution: Let $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^{∞} and let $a \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$. Suppose that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = a$ in \mathbb{R}^{∞} . We claim that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_{n,j} = a_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Note that $|x_{n,j} - a_j|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (x_{n,i} - a_i)^2 = |x_n - a|^2$. Since $|x_{n,j} - a_j| \leq |x_n - a|$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = a$ in \mathbb{R}^{∞} , it follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_{n,j} = a_k$ in \mathbb{R} : indeed given $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ so that $n \geq m \Longrightarrow |x_n - a| < \epsilon$, and then, for $n \geq m$, we have $|x_{n,j} - a_j| \leq |x_n - a| < \epsilon$. To see that the converse does not hold, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $x_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n e_k = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \cdots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, 0, 0, \cdots)$,

where $e_k = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$ is the k^{th} standard basis vector for \mathbb{R}^{∞} . For each index $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have $x_{n,j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ for all $n \ge j$ so that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n,j} = 0$ in \mathbb{R} . But for $a = 0 = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$ we do not have $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = a$ in \mathbb{R}^{∞} because $|x_n - 0| = |x_n| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

(b) Prove that for all sequences $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in \mathbb{R}^{∞} , if the sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges in \mathbb{R}^{∞} (to some $a \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$) then it is Cauchy, but that the converse does not hold.

Solution: Let $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^{∞} . Suppose that $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges in \mathbb{R}^{∞} and let $a = \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$ in \mathbb{R}^{∞} . Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ so that $n \geq m \Longrightarrow |x_n - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then when $k, \ell \geq m$ we have $|x_k - x_\ell| = |(x_k - a) - (x_\ell - a)| \leq |x_k - a| + |x_\ell - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$. Thus $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is Cauchy.

To see that the converse does not hold, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ let $x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^k} e_k = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \cdots, \frac{1}{2^n}, 0, 0, \cdots)$. We claim that $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is Cauchy. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ so that $\frac{1}{2^m} < \epsilon$. Let $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $m \le k < \ell$. Then we have $|x_k - x_\ell|^2 = |\sum_{j=k+1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{2^j} e_j|^2 = \sum_{j=k+1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{4^j} \le \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^j} = \frac{1}{4^k}$ so that $|x_k - x_\ell| \le \frac{1}{2^k} \le \frac{1}{2^m} < \epsilon$. Thus $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is Cauchy, as claimed. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges in \mathbb{R}^∞ and let $a = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$. Note that for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have $x_{n,j} = \frac{1}{2^j}$ for all $n \ge j$ so that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n,j} = \frac{1}{2^j}$. By Part (a), for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we must have $a_j = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n,j} = \frac{1}{2^j}$ so that $a = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} e_j = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \cdots)$. But then $a \notin \mathbb{R}^\infty$, which gives the desired contradiction.

(c) Determine whether every bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in \mathbb{R}^{∞} has a convergent subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k\geq 1} \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$. Solution: This is not true. For example, consider the sequence $x_n = e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Note that $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is bounded since $|x_n| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let $(x_{n_k})_{k\geq 1}$ be any subsequence. Note that for $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $k \neq \ell$ we have $|x_{n_k} - x_{n_\ell}| = |e_{n_k} - e_{n_\ell}| = \sqrt{2}$, and so the sequence $(x_{n_k})_{k\geq 1}$ is not Cauchy (if it was Cauchy, then we would be able to choose $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $k < \ell$ such that $|x_{n_k} - x_{n_\ell}| < \sqrt{2}$). Since $(x_{n_k})_{k\geq 1}$ is not Cauchy, it does not converge, by Part (b).