

## Remarks on reducts of varieties

Research supported by NRC Grant A7256 and a Summer Research Institute Grant.

Stanley Burris

There are a number of interesting classes of algebras which, although not themselves varieties, can be advantageously studied as reducts of varieties. In § 1 we look at strict Horn classes and reducts of varieties, with special attention to the possibility of finding constructive methods of answering some fundamental questions. This leads to § 2 where we examine when a quasi-variety is actually a variety. Finally in § 3 certain strict Horn classes are shown to be homomorphism-preserving reducts of varieties. The examples presented in this paper are not new, but the approach via Skolemization, etc. offers a unifying perspective.

### § 1. Strict Horn Classes

A class  $\mathbf{K}$  of algebras is a *reduct* of a class  $\mathbf{K}'$  of algebras (or  $\mathbf{K}'$  is an *expansion* of  $\mathbf{K}$ ) if  $\mathbf{K}$  is obtained from  $\mathbf{K}'$  by forgetting some of the fundamental operations. For example Abelian groups form a reduct of the class of rings. Unfortunately a reduct of a variety may not even be an elementary class (Kogalovskii [10]). Indeed, the situation is even worse, as we shall see in Theorem 2. The negative results on algorithms (such as this one) are based on Lemma 1. If  $\Pi$  is a finite set of semigroup relations with an unsolvable word problem and  $\cdot$  is the semigroup operation let  $\Pi_{ab}$  be the set of equations  $\Pi \cup \{x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z, x \oplus a = x, x \oplus b = y \oplus b\}$  (in the language with two binary operations  $\cdot$  and  $\oplus$ , and with nullary operations consisting of the generators of the semigroup belonging to  $\Pi$ ), where  $a$  and  $b$  are words in the original semigroup.

**Lemma 1.<sup>1)</sup>** *There is no algorithm to determine if  $\Pi_{ab} \vdash x = y$ .*

<sup>1)</sup> An excellent survey of such decidability questions is given in McNulty [13].

*Proof.* It is not difficult to see that  $\Pi_{ab} \vdash x=y$  iff  $\Pi \vdash a=b$ , and the latter is an undecidable question.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *There is no algorithm by which one could determine from any finite set of equations  $\Sigma$  and any reduct of the variety defined by  $\Sigma$  whether or not this reduct is an elementary class.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma_R$  be a finite set of equations defining rings, and form  $\Sigma = \Pi_{ab} \cup \Sigma_R$  (we assume that the fundamental operations symbols of  $\Pi_{ab}$  and  $\Sigma_R$  are distinct). Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be the reduct of the variety defined by  $\Sigma$  obtained by dropping all the ring operations except multiplication. If  $\Sigma \not\vdash x=y$  then any infinite multiplication semigroup which is a reduct of a ring will also be a reduct of an algebra in  $\mathbf{K}$  as every ring  $R$  can be arbitrarily juxtaposed on any model of  $\Pi_{ab}$  of the same cardinality to obtain a model of  $\Sigma$  (because the operation symbols in  $\Pi_{ab}$  and  $\Sigma_R$  are distinct). However, the class of multiplicative semigroups which are reducts of rings do not form an elementary class (see [10]), so there is an infinite semigroup  $S$  which is not a reduct of any ring, but it is elementarily equivalent to a reduct of a ring. By Shelah [17] there is an index set  $I$  and ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $S^I/\mathcal{U}$  is a reduct of a ring (note that the multiplicative semigroup reducts of rings are closed under ultrapowers). Choose a model  $A$  of  $\Pi_{ab}$  of the same cardinality as  $S$ , and juxtapose  $S$  arbitrarily on  $A$  to form  $B$ . Then  $B^I/\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{K}$ . Now  $B \notin \mathbf{K}$ , hence  $\mathbf{K}$  cannot be an elementary class. But then clearly  $\mathbf{K}$  will be an elementary class iff  $\Sigma \vdash x=y$ , and the latter obviously holds iff  $\Pi_{ab} \vdash x=y$ , and by Lemma 1 there is no algorithm for this last question.  $\square$

If, nonetheless, we restrict our attention to those reducts of varieties which do form elementary classes we have a rather satisfying result. First recall (see [5]) that a *strict Horn sentence* (in prenex form) looks like

$$Q_1 x_1 \dots Q_n x_n \{ \&_j [ (\&_i p_{ij} = q_{ij}) \rightarrow p_j = q_j ] \},$$

where each  $Q_i$  is either  $\forall$  or  $\exists$ . If there is exactly one  $j$ -index and all the quantifiers are universal it is called a *quasi-identity* (see [11]).

**Theorem 3.** *For an elementary class  $\mathbf{K}$  of algebras the following are equivalent:<sup>2)</sup>*

- (a)  $\mathbf{K}$  is the reduct of some variety,
- (b)  $\mathbf{K}$  can be axiomatized by strict Horn sentences,
- (c)  $\mathbf{K}$  is closed under reduced products (including the empty product).

*Proof.* The equivalence of (b) and (c) is exercise 6.2.8 of [5]. If (a) holds then clearly (c) holds (we do not need the fact that  $\mathbf{K}$  is elementary for this implication). So suppose (b) holds, and let  $\Sigma$  be a set of strict Horn sentences axiomatizing  $\mathbf{K}$ .

<sup>2)</sup> Our proof of (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) is essentially that of T. Evans [6]. See McKenzie's fascinating paper [12] for techniques based on the discriminator function.

By Skolemizing the sentences in  $\Sigma$  we obtain  $\Sigma^*$ , a set of strict universal Horn sentences such that  $\mathbf{K}$  is a reduct of the models of  $\Sigma^*$ . Without loss of generality we can replace  $\Sigma^*$  by a set  $\Sigma^{**}$  of quasi-identities. Expand the language of  $\Sigma^{**}$  by one new  $(2n+1)$ -ary function  $f_n(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n, u)$  for each  $n \geq 1$ , and let  $\Sigma^{***}$  be the set of identities

$$f_n(z_1, z_1, z_2, z_2, \dots, z_n, z_n, u) = u \quad (n \geq 1)$$

plus all identities

$$f_n(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n, p) = f_n(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n, q)$$

where  $p_1 = q_1 \& \dots \& p_n = q_n \rightarrow p = q$  is in  $\Sigma^{**}$ . Now one only needs to verify that reducts of algebras in  $\Sigma^{***}$  obtained by dropping the  $f_n$ 's actually satisfy  $\Sigma^{**}$  (which is rather evident), and that any model of  $\Sigma^{**}$  can be expanded to a model of  $\Sigma^{***}$  (say by defining  $f_n(a_1, a_1, \dots, a_n, a_n, b) = b$ , and otherwise  $f_n(a, \dots) = a$ ). Thus  $\mathbf{K}$  is a reduct of the variety defined by  $\Sigma^{***}$ . (If  $\Sigma$  were finite then we would only require finitely many  $f_n$ 's, hence  $\Sigma^{***}$  would also be finite.)  $\square$

An easy application of this general result is Grätzer's characterization of the spectra of varieties<sup>3</sup>). The *spectrum* of a variety is the set of cardinalities of the finite algebras in the variety.

**Corollary 4** (Grätzer [8]). *Let  $S$  be a subset of the natural numbers. Then  $S$  is the spectrum of a variety iff  $1 \in S$  and  $S$  is closed under multiplication.*

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be the class of sets which are either infinite or whose cardinality is in  $S$ . Then  $\mathbf{K}$  is an elementary class closed under reduced products, hence  $\mathbf{K}$  is a reduct of some variety  $\mathbf{V}$ . But then  $S$  is the spectrum of  $\mathbf{V}$ .  $\square$

## § 2. Quasi-Varieties

A quasi-variety (see [11]) is an elementary class which can be axiomatized by quasi-identities (see § 1). If we start with a set  $\Sigma$  of strict Horn sentences and Skolemize we (essentially) obtain a set  $\Sigma^{**}$  of quasi-identities (this is of course the notation used in the proof of Theorem 3). In many cases  $\Sigma^{**}$  already defines a variety – if so this gives a more natural variety of which the class defined by  $\Sigma$  is a reduct. A non-trivial example of this is the class  $\mathbf{K}$  of pseudo-complemented semilattices with zero  $(S, \wedge, 0)$  axiomatized by

$$(S1) \quad x \wedge (y \wedge z) = (x \wedge y) \wedge z$$

$$(S2) \quad x \wedge y = y \wedge x$$

$$(S3) \quad x \wedge x = x$$

$$(S4) \quad \forall x \exists y \forall z [x \wedge y = 0 \& (x \wedge z = 0 \rightarrow z \wedge y = z)].$$

<sup>3</sup>) The usual proof is based on the study of primal clusters.

Skolemizing we obtain (S1)–(S3) plus

$$(S4') \quad x \wedge x^* = 0$$

$$(S5') \quad x \wedge z = 0 \rightarrow z \wedge x^* = z,$$

a quasi-variety which is indeed a variety. This is not an obvious fact; it was first pointed out in Balbes and Horn [2]. (See Sankappanavar [16] for a historical discussion.) We now look at the problem of determining when a quasi-variety is a variety. (One of the most interesting results in this direction is Ol'sanskii's [15] characterization of finite groups  $G$  such that the quasi-variety generated by  $G$  is a variety.)

**Theorem 5.** *There is no algorithm to determine if a finite set of quasi-identities defines a variety.<sup>4)</sup>*

*Proof.* For  $\Pi$  as before let  $\Sigma$  be  $\Pi_{ab} \cup \{x+y=y+x \rightarrow x=y\}$ . If  $\Sigma \models x=y$  let  $A$  be a model of  $\Pi_{ab}$  which is not simple, and choose distinct elements  $a_0, a_1$  of  $A$  such that for some congruence  $\theta$ ,  $[a_0]_\theta = [a_1]_\theta$  and  $A/\theta$  has at least two elements. Let  $\leq$  well-order  $A$  and define the operation  $+$  on  $A$  by  $x+y=a_0$  if  $x \leq y$ ,  $x+y=a_1$  if  $y < x$ . The resulting algebra  $A'$  is a model of  $\Sigma$ , and  $\theta$  is a congruence of  $A'$ . As  $A'/\theta \models x+y=y+x$  but  $A'/\theta \not\models x=y$  it follows that  $\Sigma$  does not define a variety. Consequently we see that  $\Sigma$  will define a variety iff  $\Sigma \models x=y$ , hence iff  $\Pi_{ab} \models x=y$ , and again by Lemma 1 this is undecidable.  $\square$

In spite of Theorem 5 we can still find some useful positive results. From basic facts in universal algebra (see [9]) we know that any quasi-variety  $\mathbf{Q}$  contains the free algebras of the variety generated by  $\mathbf{Q}$ . If  $\Sigma$  is a finite set of quasi-identities let  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  be the subset of quasi-identities which are not already identities, and let  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$  be the free algebra in the quasi-variety defined by  $\Sigma$  which is freely generated by  $n(\tilde{\Sigma})$  elements,  $n(\tilde{\Sigma})$  being the maximum number of variables in a quasi-identity from  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ .

**Theorem 6.** *There is an algorithm from which one can determine from any finite set  $\Sigma$  of quasi-identities for which  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$  is a finite algebra if  $\Sigma$  determines a variety. This algorithm does not give an erroneous result when starting from a  $\Sigma$  such that  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$  is infinite, but maybe it does not terminate.*

*Proof.* A universal sentence  $\Phi$  with  $n$  quantifiers will hold in a variety  $\mathbf{V}$  iff it holds in the  $n$ -generated algebras of the variety. Thus to see if  $\Sigma$  defines a variety it suffices to construct  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$  and see if all the homomorphic images of  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$  satisfy  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ . If so then  $\Sigma$  defines a variety, otherwise not. [We should point out that if we know  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$  is finite, then, without even being given a finite bound on the size of  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$ , we can still construct  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$  by enumerating the equational consequences  $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $\Sigma$ , where  $n = n(\tilde{\Sigma})$ , and checking

<sup>4)</sup> Actually a deeper result is proved in McNulty [14] for universal Horn theories.

down the list until we find a collection of polynomials  $p_1, \dots, p_l$  containing  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and such that, modulo  $\Sigma$ , it is closed under the fundamental operations. This will give a finite algebra  $A$  which, after factoring by the smallest congruence  $\theta$  such that  $A/\theta \models \Sigma$ , yields  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$ .]  $\square$

Let us apply this method to pseudo-complemented semilattices (with zero). Letting  $\Sigma$  be (S1), (S2), (S3), (S4'), (S5') we have  $n(\tilde{\Sigma})=2$ . As every meet semilattice  $S$  with zero can be embedded in the distributive lattice with zero of non-empty lower segments of  $S$  (a lower segment of  $S$  is a subset  $L$  such that  $x \in S, y \in L$  imply  $x \wedge y \in L$ ) we can apply the prime ideal theorem of Stone-Birkhoff (see [9]) to obtain, for any given  $a, b \in S$  with  $a \neq b$ , a homomorphism of  $S$  onto the two-element meet semilattice with zero. This is easily checked to preserve the Skolem operation  $*$  of (S4'), (S5'). Hence the quasi-variety defined by  $\Sigma$  is generated by a two-element algebra  $A_0$ . But then  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$  must be a subalgebra of  $A_0^4$ , so from this point it is routine to find  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$  and verify that all of its quotients satisfy  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ .

One aspect of the previous paragraph does not appear to be constructive, namely knowing to use the Stone-Birkhoff result to show that  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$  is finite. The next result shows that one must resort to special methods to show that a given  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$  is finite.

**Theorem 7.** *There is no algorithm by which one could determine from a finite set of quasi-identities  $\Sigma$  whether or not  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Pi$  be as previously defined and let  $\Sigma$  be the quasivariety defined by  $\Pi \cup \{a=b \rightarrow x=y\}$ , where we assume the language of  $\Sigma$  has an additional binary operation  $+$  (which does not appear in  $\Sigma$ ). Then  $F(\tilde{\Sigma})$  is finite iff  $\Pi \vdash a=b$ , and this is undecidable.

### § 3. Homomorphism-Preserving Reducts of Varieties

Let us look at two examples of strict Horn classes where Skolemizing immediately yields a variety. **RCDL** is the class of *relatively complemented distributive lattices* ( $L, \vee, \wedge$ ). **RCDL** is axiomatized by the usual equations  $\Sigma_D$  for distributive lattices plus the strict Horn sentence

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z \exists w [p(x, y, z) \vee w = x \vee z \ \& \ p(x, y, z) \wedge w = x \wedge z]$$

where  $p(x, y, z) = (y \vee (x \wedge z)) \wedge (x \vee z)$ . (This sentence says that, for  $L$  a distributive lattice and elements  $a, b, c \in L$ , the element  $b$  projected into the interval  $[a \wedge c, a \vee c]$  has a relative complement in the interval.) Using a new ternary operation symbol  $t$  we can Skolemize this sentence to obtain the variety  $\Sigma^{**}$  defined by  $\Sigma_D$  plus the equations  $p(x, y, z) \vee t(x, y, z) = x \vee z$ ,  $p(x, y, z) \wedge t(x, y, z) = x \wedge z$ . (A closely related system is given in Balbes and Dwinger [1]; the one above is referred to in Burris and Werner [4].) The second example is the class **CBR** of *commutative*

*bi-regular rings* with unity  $(R, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1)$  axiomatized by the usual commutative ring equations  $\Sigma_{CR}$  plus the strict Horn sentence  $\forall x \exists y [y^2 = y \& x = xy \& x(1-y) = 0]$  (which says that the principal ideal generated by  $x$  is also generated by an idempotent element  $y$ ). Skolemizing we obtain a variety **CBR\*\*** of algebras  $(R, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, ')$  axiomatized by  $\Sigma_{CR}$  plus  $(x')^2 = x'$ ,  $x = xx'$ , and  $x(1-x') = 0$ .

In both of these examples the variety created by Skolemizing the strict Horn sentence has the same homomorphisms as the original class. Before stating a general theorem which will prove this assertion let us look at a closely related example where Skolemizing destroys some of the homomorphisms. Let  $\Sigma_{BL}$  be a set of equations defining bounded lattices  $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ , and let  $\Sigma$  be  $\Sigma_{BL}$  plus the sentence  $\forall x \exists y (x \wedge y = 0 \& x \vee y = 1)$ . Thus  $\Sigma$  axiomatizes the (strict Horn) class of complemented bounded lattices **CBL**. Suppose **CBL** is a reduct of a variety **V** (not necessarily the obvious one obtained by Skolemizing). Then we will show that there are algebras  $A, B \in \mathbf{CBL}$  such that for any expansions  $A', B'$  into **V** there will be a homomorphism  $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\alpha: A' \rightarrow B'$  is not a homomorphism. Namely let  $A$  be the four-element bounded lattice  $(\{0, a, b, 1\}, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$  with  $a \vee b = 1$ ,  $a \wedge b = 0$ , and let  $B$  be the non-modular five-element bounded lattice  $(\{0, a, b_1, b_2, 1\}, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$  where  $b_1 < b_2$ . Then  $\alpha_1: A \rightarrow B$  defined by  $\alpha_1(0) = 0$ ,  $\alpha_1(1) = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1(a) = a$  and  $\alpha_1(b) = b_1$  is an embedding, as well as  $\alpha_2: A \rightarrow B$  defined by  $\alpha_2(x) = \alpha_1(x)$  if  $x \neq b$ ,  $\alpha_2(b) = b_2$ . As  $\{0, a, 1\}$  is not a subuniverse of  $A'$  (note that  $(\{0, a, 1\}, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1) \notin \mathbf{CBL}$ ) it follows that there is a polynomial  $p(x, y, z)$  such that  $A' \models p(0, a, 1) = b$ . Now  $p(\alpha_1(0), \alpha_1(a), \alpha_1(1)) = p(\alpha_2(0), \alpha_2(a), \alpha_2(1))$ , but  $\alpha_1 b \neq \alpha_2 b$ , hence either  $\alpha_1: A' \rightarrow B'$  or  $\alpha_2: A' \rightarrow B'$  is not a homomorphism. With this example in mind perhaps the hypotheses of the following theorem will seem rather natural. The symbol  $\exists!$  denotes "there exists a unique".

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be a class of algebras defined by a set  $\Sigma$  of positive first-order sentences in prenex form, i.e. by sentences of the form  $\forall x_1 \exists y_1 \dots \forall x_n \exists y_n \Phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ , where  $\Phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$  is positive and quantifier-free. Let  $\mathbf{U}$  be the universal class defined by the set  $\Sigma^*$  of universal sentences obtained by Skolemizing  $\Sigma$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) every algebra in  $\mathbf{K}$  is the reduct of a unique algebra in  $\mathbf{U}$ ;
- (ii) if  $A, B \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism then  $\alpha: A' \rightarrow B'$ , where  $A', B'$  are expansions of  $A$  into  $\mathbf{U}$ , is also a homomorphism;
- (iii) for each sentence  $\forall x_1 \exists y_1 \dots \forall x_n \exists y_n \Phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$  in  $\Sigma$  we have  $\Sigma \vdash \forall x_1 \exists! y_1 \dots \exists! y_n \Phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ .

*Proof.* (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Suppose that for some sentence  $\forall x_1 \exists y_1 \dots \forall x_n \exists y_n \Phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$  in  $\Sigma$  we have  $\Sigma \not\vdash \forall x_1 \exists! y_1 \dots \forall x_n \exists! y_n \Phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ . Then there is a model  $A$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $A \not\models \forall x_1 \exists! y_1 \dots \forall x_n \exists! y_n \Phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ , hence there are two distinct choices  $\vec{f}$  and  $\vec{g}$  of Skolem functions of  $\forall x_1 \exists y_1 \dots \forall x_n \exists y_n \Phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$  for  $A$ . Thus  $A' \models \forall \vec{x} \Phi(\vec{x}, \vec{f}(\vec{x}))$  and  $A'' \models \forall \vec{x} \Phi(\vec{x}, \vec{g}(\vec{x}))$ , where  $A'$  is the expansion of  $A$  by  $\vec{f}$  and  $A''$  is the expansion of  $A$  by  $\vec{g}$ . Since  $f_i \neq g_i$  for some  $i$ , choose  $\vec{a}$  from

$A$  such that  $f_i(\vec{a}) \neq g_i(\vec{a})$ . Then with  $\alpha$  the identity map on  $A$  we have  $\alpha f_i(\vec{a}) \neq g_i(\alpha \vec{a})$ , so  $\alpha: A' \rightarrow A''$  is not a homomorphism, so (ii) fails if (iii) fails.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Use the  $A'$  and  $A''$  above to show (i) fails if (iii) fails.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). If (iii) holds then the Skolem functions for each model of  $\Sigma$  are unique for each  $A \in \mathbf{K}$ , so (i) holds.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Suppose  $A, B \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism. Let  $\forall x_1 \exists y_1 \dots \forall x_n \exists y_n \Phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \in \Sigma$  and let  $\vec{f}_A$ , respectively  $\vec{f}_B$ , be the unique Skolemizing functions of this sentence on  $A$ , respectively  $B$ . For  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,  $A \models \Phi(\vec{a}, \vec{f}_A(\vec{a}))$ , hence  $B \models \Phi(\alpha \vec{a}, \alpha \vec{f}_A(\vec{a}))$  as positive formulas are preserved by homomorphisms. But  $B \models \Phi(\alpha \vec{a}, \vec{f}_B(\alpha \vec{a}))$ , so from the uniqueness properties,  $\alpha \vec{f}_A(\vec{a}) = \vec{f}_B(\alpha \vec{a})$ , hence  $\alpha$  preserves the Skolem functions.  $\square$

*Remark.* Conditions (i) and (ii) together state that the forgetful functor from  $\mathbf{U}$  to  $\mathbf{K}$  is an isomorphism.

*Remark.* In Theorem 8 the class  $\mathbf{U}$  is a variety if the sentences in  $\Sigma$  are of the form  $\forall x_1 \exists y_1 \dots \forall x_n \exists y_n \Phi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$  where  $\Phi$  is a conjunction of atomic formulas. In this case  $\mathbf{U}$  is defined by equations  $\Sigma^{**}$ .

It is easy to prove that our axiom system for **RCDL** has the desired uniqueness properties. Hence the only subdirectly irreducible member of **RCDL**<sup>\*\*</sup> is the two-element algebra  $(2, \vee, \wedge, t)$ , where  $2 = \{0, 1\}$ , and this algebra satisfies

$$t(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \neq y \\ z & \text{if } x = y. \end{cases}$$

This defines the remarkable *ternary discriminator function* which, by the theorem of Keimel and Werner (see [4]), guarantees that every algebra in **RCDL**<sup>\*\*</sup> is isomorphic to a *Boolean product* (see [4]) of one- and two-element algebras. Results in Burris [3] furthermore say that every algebra in **RCDL**<sup>\*\*</sup> is isomorphic to the algebra of all continuous functions  $f$  from a Boolean space  $X$  to  $(2, \vee, \wedge, t)$  such that for some fixed pair of elements  $x_i \in X$ ,  $f(x_i) = i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . (This gives Feinstein's [7] characterization of members of **RCDL** as the sublattices of Boolean lattices obtained by intersecting one maximal ideal with one maximal filter.)

Likewise we can apply Theorem 8 to the axioms for **CBR** to show that the reducts of subdirectly irreducible members of **CBR**<sup>\*\*</sup> are precisely the fields. Hence for  $R'$  a subdirectly irreducible member of **CBR**<sup>\*\*</sup> the function  $(x - y)' \cdot x + [1 - (x - y)] \cdot z$  is the ternary discriminator on  $R'$ , so applying the Bulman-Fleming and Werner (see [4]) results on discriminator varieties it follows that every commutative biregular ring is a Boolean product of fields.

*Acknowledgements.* We take this opportunity to thank Professor Bulman-Fleming for interesting discussions on the topic of this paper, and the referee for improving the formulation of some of the results and the sharpened version of Theorem 8 presented here.

## References

- [1] R. BALBES and P. DWINGER, *Distributive Lattices*, University of Missouri Press, 1975.
- [2] R. BALBES and A. HORN, Stone lattices, *Duke J. Math.* **38** (1970), 537–545.
- [3] S. BURRIS, Sub-Boolean power representations in quasiprimal generated varieties (in preparation).
- [4] S. BURRIS and H. WERNER, Sheaf constructions and their elementary properties, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **248** (1979), 269–309.
- [5] C. C. CHANG and H. J. KEISLER, *Model Theory*, North-Holland, 1973.
- [6] T. EVANS, The spectrum of a variety, *Z. Math. Logik Grundlagen Math.* **13** (1967), 213–218.
- [7] D. C. FEINSTEIN, A representation of relatively complemented distributive lattices, *Colloq. Math.* **32** (1975), 153–158.
- [8] G. GRÄTZER, On spectra of universal classes, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 729–735.
- [9] G. GRÄTZER, *Universal Algebra*, van Nostrand, 1968.
- [10] S. R. KOGALOVSKIĬ, The multiplicative semigroup of rings, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **140** (1961), 1005–1007 (in Russian).
- [11] A. I. MAL'CEV, *Algebraic systems*, Springer, 1973.
- [12] R. MCKENZIE, On spectra, and the negative solution of the decision problem for identities having a finite nontrivial model, *J. Symbolic Logic* **40** (1975), 186–196.
- [13] G. McNULTY, Undecidable properties of finite sets of equations, *J. Symbolic Logic* **41** (1976), 589–604.
- [14] G. McNULTY, Fragments of first-order logic, I: Universal Horn Logic, *J. Symbolic Logic* **42** (1977), 221–237.
- [15] A. JU. OL'SANSKIĬ, Varieties of finitely approximable groups, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **33** (1969), 915–927 (in Russian).
- [16] H. P. SANKAPPANAVAR, A study of congruence lattices of pseudo-complemented semilattices, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Waterloo, 1974.
- [17] S. SHELAH, Every two elementary equivalent models have isomorphic ultrapowers, *Israel J. Math.* **10** (1971), 224–233.

Department of Pure Mathematics,  
Faculty of Mathematics,  
University of Waterloo,  
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada,  
N2L 3G1

Received November 16, 1977;  
revised April 7, 1978