

Mailbox**An example concerning definable principal congruences**

STANLEY BURRIS

In [1] Baldwin and Berman ask if a variety generated by a finite algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  has definable principal congruences, i.e. is there a first-order formula  $\Phi(x, y, u, v)$  such that for any  $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $a, b, c, d$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$  we have  $\langle c, d \rangle \in \theta(a, b)$  iff  $\mathfrak{B} \models \Phi(a, b, c, d)$ . In the following we describe a four-element algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{A})$  has distributive congruence lattices and does not have definable principal congruences.

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, +, t \rangle$  where  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and the operations are given by

$$x + y = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle = \langle 2, 1 \rangle \\ x & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle \neq \langle 2, 1 \rangle \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t(x, x, y) &= t(x, y, x) = t(y, x, x) = x, \\ t(x, y, z) &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  be the subalgebra  $\langle \{0, 2, 3\}, +, t \rangle$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Note that  $\langle 0, 3 \rangle \notin \theta_{\mathfrak{A}_0}(0, 2)$ , whereas  $\langle 0, 3 \rangle \in \theta_{\mathfrak{A}}(0, 2)$ .

Next, for  $n \geq 1$  ( $n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ ), define  $\mathfrak{A}_n = \langle A_n, +, t \rangle$  to be the subdirect product of  $\mathfrak{A}$  with universe

$$A_n = \{0, 2, 3\}^n \cup \{h_i : 0 \leq i \leq n-1\},$$

where

$$h_i(j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{2}$ , and  $\mathbf{3}$  be those constant functions in  $A_n$  whose values are 0, 2, and 3 respectively. Since  $(\cdots (\mathbf{0} + h_0) + \cdots + h_{n-1}) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $(\cdots (\mathbf{2} + h_0) + \cdots + h_{n-1}) = \mathbf{3}$  it follows that  $\langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{3} \rangle \in \theta_{\mathfrak{A}_n}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2})$ . From our previous observation on  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  and the fact that only the  $h_i$ 's can assume the value 1 we see that to derive  $\langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{3} \rangle \in \theta_{\mathfrak{A}_n}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2})$  using the usual Mal'cev sequences of algebraic functions we are forced to incorporate all the  $h_i$ 's as parameters in the algebraic functions. Now letting  $\mathcal{U}$  be a non-principal ultrafilter on the natural numbers  $N$  the last observation implies  $\langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{3} \rangle \notin \theta_{\mathfrak{A}_\infty}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2})$  where  $\mathfrak{A}_\infty = \prod_{n \in N} \mathfrak{A}_n / \mathcal{U}$ ; hence principal congruences are not definable in  $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

*Remark.* Recently McKenzie [2] has proved (February, 1976) that every non-distributive variety of lattices does not have definable principal congruences. However the following is still open.

**PROBLEM.** Is there an example of a variety  $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{A})$  where  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a finite algebra and  $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{A})$  has permutable congruences but  $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{A})$  does not have definable principal congruences?

#### REFERENCES

- [1] JOHN T. BALDWIN and JOEL BERMAN, *The number of subdirectly irreducible algebras in a variety.* Alg. Univ. 5 (1975), 379-389.
- [2] RALPH MCKENZIE, *Para primal varieties: a study of finite axiomatizability and definable principal congruences in locally finite varieties.* Preprint.

University of Waterloo  
Waterloo, Ontario  
Canada