

**Discriminator polynomials and arithmetical varieties**

STANLEY BURRIS

A finite simple algebra in an arithmetical variety is functionally complete, and thus there is a polynomial which defines the ternary discriminator on it (see Werner [6], [7]). For locally finite semisimple arithmetical varieties, certain terms exist which provide discriminator polynomials (in a uniform manner) for all the finitely generated simple algebras in the variety. This leads to a new proof of a result of Pixley characterizing finitely generated discriminator varieties.

We follow the notation of [2]. If  $V$  is a variety,  $V_S$  denotes the class of simple algebras in  $V$ . An algebra is *hereditarily simple* if every subalgebra is simple. For  $\mathbf{A}$  an algebra, a polynomial  $t(x, y, z, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is a *discriminator polynomial* for  $\mathbf{A}$  if  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies

$$[x \approx y \rightarrow t(x, y, z, a_1, \dots, a_n) \approx z] \ \& \ [x \not\approx y \rightarrow t(x, y, z, a_1, \dots, a_n) \approx x].$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $V$  be a locally finite semisimple arithmetical variety. Then for each  $n < \omega$  there is a term  $t_n(x, y, z, u_1, \dots, u_n)$  such that for  $\mathbf{S} \in V_S$  and  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  a set of generators for  $\mathbf{S}$  the polynomial  $t_n(x, y, z, s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is a discriminator polynomial for  $\mathbf{S}$ .*

*Proof.* From Foster-Pixley [4] we know that each finite member of  $V$  is isomorphic to a direct product of simple algebras. Thus the congruence lattice of each finite member is a Boolean lattice. Consider the free algebra  $F_V(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n)$ . Let  $\theta^*$  be the complement of  $\theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  in the interval  $[\Delta, \theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})]$ . Then  $\langle \bar{z}, \bar{x} \rangle \in \theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \vee \theta^*$ , so we can choose a term  $t_n(x, y, z, u_1, \dots, u_n)$  such that

$$\bar{z}\theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y})t_n(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, u_1, \dots, u_n)\theta^*\bar{x}.$$

---

Research Supported by NSERC Grant No. A7256

Presented by A. Day. Received September 17, 1984. Accepted for publication in final form September 28, 1984.

Let  $\mathbf{S} \in V_S$  be generated by  $n$ -elements  $s_1, \dots, s_n$ . Then for  $a, b, c \in \mathbf{S}$  consider the homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathbf{F}_V(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$  defined by  $\alpha(\bar{x}) = a$ ,  $\alpha(\bar{y}) = b$ ,  $\alpha(\bar{z}) = c$ ,  $\alpha(\bar{u}_i) = s_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . If  $a = b$  then  $\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle \in \ker \alpha$ , so  $\langle \bar{z}, t_n(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n) \rangle \in \ker \alpha$ . Thus  $a = b$  implies  $t_n(a, b, c, s_1, \dots, s_n) = c$ . If  $a \neq b$  then  $\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle \notin \ker \alpha$ . Since  $\ker \alpha$  is a maximal congruence this implies  $\theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \vee \ker \alpha = \nabla$ ; hence  $\ker \alpha = \Delta \vee \ker \alpha = [\theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \wedge \theta^*] \vee \ker \alpha = \theta^* \vee \ker \alpha$  (use the distributive law). This leads to  $\theta^* \subseteq \ker \alpha$ , so  $\langle t_n(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n), \bar{x} \rangle \in \ker \alpha$ . Thus  $a \neq b$  implies  $t_n(a, b, c, s_1, \dots, s_n) = a$ . Consequently  $t_n(x, y, z, s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is a discriminator polynomial for  $\mathbf{S}$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.** (Pixley [5]). *Let  $V$  be an arithmetical variety generated by a set  $K$  of finitely many finite simple algebras. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (A)  *$V$  is a discriminator variety.*
- (B) *Each member of  $K$  is hereditarily simple.*

*Proof.* (A)  $\Rightarrow$  (B) is just the usual one line proof that quasiprimal algebras are hereditarily simple (see [2]).

Suppose (B) holds. By Jónsson's theorem  $V$  is semisimple. Choose  $t_3(x, y, z, u_1, u_2, u_3)$  as in Theorem 1. Then  $t(x, y, z) = t_3(x, y, z, x, y, z)$  is readily seen to be a discriminator term for  $K$ ; hence  $V$  is a discriminator variety.  $\square$

*Remark.* One can use essentially the same proof to show that if a variety  $V$  has the property that for every  $\mathbf{A} \in V$  the principle congruences of  $\mathbf{A}$  form a sublattice of  $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{A}$  which is relatively complemented distributive and permutable then  $V$  is a discriminator variety. One uses  $\mathbf{F}_V(x, y, z, \dots, u_\alpha \dots)_{\alpha < \kappa}$  (and the downward Löwenheim-Skolem theorem). Thus, in view of known results about discriminator varieties, the italicized words above characterize discriminator varieties. Similar characterizations have been given by Fried and Kiss [3], and Blok and Pigozzi [1]. We are particularly fond of the above characterization of discriminator varieties because it leads directly to the fundamental Boolean product representation (see Chap. IV of [2]).

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. J. BLOK and D. PIGOZZI, *On the structure of varieties with equationally definable principal congruences I*. Algebra Universalis 15 (1982), 195–227.
- [2] S. BURRIS and H. P. SANKAPPANAVAR, *A Course in Universal Algebra*, GTM No. 78, Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [3] E. FRIED and E. W. KISS, *Connection between the congruence-lattices and polynomial properties*, Algebra Universalis 17 (1983), 227–262.