Bounded Boolean powers and \equiv_n

STANLEY BURRIS¹

Sabbagh [2] has proved that two R-modules are elementarily equivalent whenever they satisfy the same first-order sentences which, in prenex normal form, have no more than two alternations of quantifiers. In particular this holds for Abelian groups. The situation is, on the other hand, just the opposite for Boolean algebras: Waszkiewicz [3] has shown that for any natural number n there are Boolean algebras B and C which are not elementarily equivalent but which nevertheless satisfy the same first-order sentences (in prenex normal form) which have no more than n alternations of quantifiers (we use the notation $B \equiv_n C$).

The following discussion shows, as an application of the results on bounded Boolean powers in Burris [1], that any variety which contains a finite B-separating algebra behaves just as the variety of Boolean algebras with respect to this point.

We use the notation $A[B]^*$ for bounded Boolean powers (as in [1]) and define A to be B-separating if $A[B_1]^* \cong A[B_2]^*$ implies $B_1 \cong B_2$.

THEOREM. For any algebra A of type τ and Boolean algebras B_1 , B_2 , if $B_1 \equiv_n B_2$ then $A[B_1]^* \equiv_n A[B_2]^*$. If, in addition, A is finite and B-separating, then $B_1 \equiv_B 2$ implies $A[B_1]^* \equiv_A A[B_2]^*$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from the Feferman-Vaught Theorem for bounded Boolean powers (see [1], Lemma 4.1), and the second is stated in [1] as Theorem 4.3(x).

Hence, for example, if S_3 is the symmetric group on 3 letters and $n < \omega$ then there are groups G_1 , G_2 in the variety generated by S_3 such that $G_1 \equiv_n G_2$ but $G_1 \not\equiv G_2$. We can make a similar assertion for every congruence distributive variety containing a non-trivial finite algebra (and hence a finite *B*-separating algebra).

¹ Research supported by NRC Grant A 7256

Presented by G. Grätzer. Received October 4, 1976. Accepted for publication in final form January 10, 1977.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Burris, Boolean powers. Alg. Univ. 5 (1975), 341-360.
- [2] G. Sabbagh, Sous-modules purs, existentiellment clos et élémentaires C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser A-B 272 (1971) A1289-A1292.
- [3] J. WASZKIEWICZ, \forall_n -theories of Boolean algebras. Colloq. Math 30, (1974), 171-175.

University of Waterloo Waterloo, Ontario Canada