## A Resolution Derivation

Given the collection of 8 clauses
a. $\{P, Q\}$
b. $\quad\{P, \neg S\} \quad$ c. $\quad\{Q, \neg R\} \quad$ d. $\quad\{R, \neg S\}$
e. $\{\neg P, S\}$
f. $\{\neg Q, R\}$
g. $\{\neg R, S\}$
h. $\quad\{\neg P, \neg Q\}$
fill in the reasons for the following resolution derivation:

| 1. $\{\neg P, S\}$ | (e) | 8. $\{\neg R, S\}$ | (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. $\{P, Q\}$ | (a) | 9. $\{\neg Q, S\}$ | 7, 8 |
| 3. $\{Q, S\}$ | 1,2 | 10. $\{Q, \neg R\}$ | (c) |
| 4. $\{P, \neg S\}$ | (b) | 11. $\{R, \neg S\}$ | (d) |
| 5. $\{\neg P, \neg Q\}$ | (h) | 12. $\{Q, \neg S\}$ | 10,11 |
| 6. $\{\neg Q, \neg S\}$ | 4, 5 | 13. $\{S\}$ | 3,9 |
| 7. $\{\neg Q, R\}$ | (f) | 14. $\{\neg S\}$ | 6,12 |
|  |  | 15. $\}$ | 13,14 |

Is it possible to find an assignment of truth values for the propositional variables $P, Q, R, S$ that will satisfy the original eight clauses? NO

Given the collection $\mathcal{S}$ of 6 clauses

1. $\{P, Q\}$
2. $\{P, \neg S\}$
3. $\{Q, \neg R\}$
4. $\{R, \neg S\}$
5. $\{\neg P, S\}$
6. $\{\neg Q, R\}$
fill in the reasons for the following resolution steps:

| 7. $\{Q, S\}$ | 1,5 | 14. $\{\neg P, R\}$ | 4, 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8. $\{P, R\}$ | 1,6 | 15. $\{Q, R\}$ | 1,14 |
| 9. $\{P, \neg P\}$ | 2, 5 | 16. $\{\neg P, Q\}$ | 3,14 |
| 10. $\{S, \neg S\}$ | 2,5 | 17. $\{R, S\}$ | 5,8 |
| 11. $\{Q, \neg S\}$ | 3,4 | 18. $\{Q\}$ | 7,11 |
| 12. $\{R, \neg R\}$ | 3,6 | 19. $\{R\}$ | 8,14 |
| 13. $\{Q, \neg Q\}$ | 3,6 |  |  |

Can you obtain any other clauses by resolution?
What does this say about the satisfiability of $\mathcal{S}$ ?


Apply the Davis-Putnam Procedure to the First Problem, showing just the $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{i}$ steps (as done for the resolution on $Q$ below).

## Resolution on $Q$ :

(1)
$\mathcal{S}_{1}^{\prime}: \quad\{P, Q\} \quad\{P, \neg S\} \quad\{Q, \neg R\} \quad\{R, \neg S\} \quad\{\neg P, S\} \quad\{\neg Q, R\} \quad\{\neg R, S\} \quad\{\neg P, \neg Q\}$
$\begin{array}{cccc} & (1,3) & (1,4) & (2,3) \\ \mathcal{U}_{1}: & \{P, R\} & \{P, \neg P\} & \{R, \neg R\} \\ \{\neg P, \neg R\}\end{array}$

## Resolution on $R$ :

(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)
$\mathcal{S}_{2}^{\prime}:\{P, \neg S\} \quad\{R, \neg S\} \quad\{\neg P, S\} \quad\{\neg R, S\} \quad\{P, R\} \quad\{\neg P, \neg R\}$
$\mathcal{U}_{2}: \begin{array}{cccc}(1,2) & (1,4) & (2,3) & (3,4) \\ \{S, \neg S\} & \{\neg P, \neg S\} & \{P, S\} & \{P, \neg P\}\end{array}$

## Resolution on $P$ :

|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{S}_{3}^{\prime}:$ | $\{P, \neg S\}$ | $\{\neg P, S\}$ | $\{\neg P, \neg S\}$ | $\{P, S\}$ |
|  | $(1,2)$ | $(1,3)$ | $(2,4)$ |  |
| $\mathcal{U}_{3}:$ | $\{S, \neg S\}$ | $\{\neg S\}$ | $\{S\}$ |  |

## Resolution on $S$ :

(1)
(2)
$\mathcal{S}_{4}^{\prime}: \quad\{S\} \quad\{\neg S\}$
$\mathcal{U}_{4}:\{ \}$

Given the collection of five Horn clauses

1. $\{P, \neg Q\}$
2. $\{\neg P, S\}$
3. $\{\neg R, \neg S\}$
4. $\{Q\}$
5. $\{R\}$
find all clauses that can be derived using unit resolution:

|  | Clause |  | Reason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6. | $\{P\}$ |  | 1,4 |
| 7. | $\{\neg S\}$ |  | 3,5 |
| 8. | $\{S\}$ |  | 2,6 |
| 9. | $\{\neg P$ \} |  | 2,7 |
| 10. | $\{\neg R\}$ |  | 3,8 |
| 11. | $\{\neg Q\}$ |  | 1,9 |
| 12. | \{ \} | 7, 8 (or 6 | 6,9 or 4 |

Write out the graph clauses associated with the labelled graph:


Clauses(b) $\quad\{P, Q\} \quad\{\neg P, \neg Q\}$
Clauses $(\mathrm{c}) \quad\{Q, R\} \quad\{\neg Q, \neg R\}$
Clauses(d) $\quad\{\neg R, S\} \quad\{R, \neg S\}$

Clauses(e) $\quad\{S\}$
Use Tseitin's theorem to determine if this collection of clauses is satisfiable.
ANS: As the total charge is 1 the collection is not satisfiable.

Consider the argument:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{F}_{1}: & P \rightarrow \neg(Q \rightarrow R) \\
\mathrm{F}_{2}: & (Q \rightarrow P) \rightarrow(R \rightarrow S) \\
\hline \mathrm{F}: & (P \rightarrow Q) \wedge(R \rightarrow S)
\end{array}
$$

Give a conjunctive form for each of the following formulas:

| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $: \frac{(\neg P \vee Q) \wedge(\neg P \vee \neg R)}{\mathrm{F}_{2}}:$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\neg \mathrm{F}:$ | $(Q \vee \neg R \vee S) \wedge(\neg P \vee \neg R \vee S)$ |
| $(P \vee R) \wedge(P \vee \neg S) \wedge(\neg Q \vee R) \wedge(\neg Q \vee \neg S)$ |  |

From this derive a set $\mathcal{S}$ of clauses such that $\mathrm{F}_{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2} \therefore \mathrm{~F}$ is valid iff $\neg \operatorname{Sat}(\mathcal{S})$. $\mathcal{S}$ has the clauses:


