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| :---: | :---: |
| 2. | $/ 10$ |
| 3. | $/ 10$ |
| 4. | $/ 8$ |
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| 6. | $/ 8$ |
| 7. | $/ 10$ |
| 8. | $/ 8$ |
| 9. | $/ 9$ |
| 10. | $/ 10$ |
| 11. | $/ 6$ |
| 12. | $/ 10$ |

## Problem 1

You are given the following information about four classes
$A, B, C$ and $D$.
(a) $A \cup B \subseteq C^{\prime} \cup D^{\prime}$
(b) $C \cup D \subseteq A \cup B$

One can express the two facts above as a single equation $\mathrm{E}(A, B, C, D)=0$ where:
$\mathrm{E}(A, B, C, D)=$
Determine from this information, using the method of Boole, the most general conclusion that you can draw concerning only the two classes $C$ and $D$.

## Problem 2

There are two tribes on the island of Tufa - the Tu's, who always tell the marks truth, and the Fa's, who always lie. A traveller encountered three residents of the island, $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C , and each made a statement to the traveller:
(a) A said " B or C is telling the truth — but not both of them".
(b) B said " Some of us are telling the truth".
(c) C said "If A is lying then B is telling the truth iff I am lying".

Translate this into propositional logic, and use propositional logic to determine as best possible which tribes A, B, and C belong to.

## Problem 3

Fill in the reasons for the following resolution argument:

|  |  | 10. $\{Q, \neg R\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. $\{P, Q\}$ | given | 11. $\{Q, \neg T\}$ |
| 2. $\{R, S\}$ | given |  |
|  |  | 12. $\{\neg S, \neg T\}$ |
| 3. $\{T, U\}$ | given | 13. $\{\neg R, \neg U\}$ |
| 4. $\{\neg P, \neg R\}$ | given |  |
| 5. $\{\neg Q, \neg S\}$ | given | 14. $\{S, \neg T\}$ |
| 6. |  | 15. $\{S, \neg U\}$ |
| 6. $\{\neg P, \neg T\}$ | given | 16. $\{\neg U\}$ |
| 7. $\{\neg Q, \neg U\}$ | given |  |
| 8. $\{\neg R, \neg T\}$ | given | 17. $\{\neg T$ |
|  |  | 18. $\{U\}$ |
| 9. $\{\neg S, \neg U\}$ | given | 19. $\}$ |

## Problem 4

Give explict formulas that express the following standard connectives in marks terms of the Sheffer connective ' $\mid$ ' (and propositional variables):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \neg P \sim \\
& P \vee Q \sim \\
& P \wedge Q \sim \\
& P \rightarrow Q \sim \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

## Problem 5

## 9

Find a two-element counterexample to the following equational argu- marks ment:
$x \cdot y \approx y$
$x+y \approx y+x$
$\therefore(x+y) \cdot z \approx(x \cdot z)+(y \cdot z)$

| + | $a$ | $b$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ |  |  |
| $b$ |  |  |


| $\cdot$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ |  |  |
| $b$ |  |  |

Find a three-element counterexample to the following argument:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f f f x \approx f f x \\
& \therefore f f x \approx f x
\end{aligned}
$$



## Problem 6

Fill in the reasons for the steps in the following derivation:
1.
2.

$$
x \cdot x^{-1} \approx 1
$$

3. 

$$
(x \cdot y) \cdot z \quad \approx \quad x \cdot(y \cdot z)
$$

$$
1 \approx x \cdot x^{-1}
$$

5. 

$1 \cdot\left(x^{-1}\right)^{-1} \approx\left(x \cdot x^{-1}\right) \cdot\left(x^{-1}\right)^{-1}$
6. $\left(x \cdot x^{-1}\right) \cdot\left(x^{-1}\right)^{-1} \approx x \cdot\left(x^{-1} \cdot\left(x^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right)$
7.
8. $x^{-1} \cdot\left(x^{-1}\right)^{-1} \approx 1$
9. $x \cdot\left(x^{-1} \cdot\left(x^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right) \approx x \cdot 1$
10. $x \cdot\left(x^{-1} \cdot\left(x^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right) \approx x$
11.

$$
1 \cdot\left(x^{-1}\right)^{-1} \approx x
$$

## Problem 7

You are given the one-rule $\operatorname{TRS} \mathcal{R}=\{g f g f u \longrightarrow g f f u\}$, where $f, g$ are $\mathbf{m a r k S}$ unary.
(a) Why is $\mathcal{R}$ terminating?
(b) Find all nontrivial critical pairs of $\mathcal{R}$.
(c) Apply the Critical Pairs Lemma to determine if $\mathcal{R}$ is a normal form TRS.

## Problem 8

$r$ is a binary relation symbol and $f$ a unary function symbol. Fill in the marks reasons for the following resolution derivation:

1. $\{\neg r f x x\}$
2. $\{\neg r x y, \neg r x z, r y z\}\}$
3. $\{r f f f x x\}$
4. $\{\neg r x y, r f x f y\}$
5. $\{r f f x x\}$
6. $\{\neg r x f y, \neg r x y\}$
7. $\{\neg r f f f x f x\}$
8. $\{\neg r f f x x\}$
9. $\}$
given
given
$\qquad$
given
given
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Problem 9

Determine the binary relation defined by the formula

9
marks
$F(x, y)=\forall u(r x u \rightarrow \neg r u y)$ on the following directed graph:


## Problem 10

Consider the following 5 sentences in the language of directed graphs:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{F}_{1}: \forall x \neg(r x x) & \mathrm{F}_{2}: \exists x \forall y(r y x) \\
\mathrm{F}_{3}: \forall x \forall y \forall z(\neg r x y \vee \neg r y z \vee r x z) & \mathrm{F}_{4}: \forall x \forall y(\neg r x y \vee \exists z(r x z \wedge r z y)) \\
\mathrm{F}_{5}: \forall x \exists y \forall z(r x y \rightarrow(\neg r x z \vee r y z)) &
\end{array}
$$

and the following two directed graphs:
$\mathbf{G}_{1}=(G, r)$ where $G=\{0,1\}$ and $r=\{(0,1),(1,0)\}$
$\mathbf{G}_{2}=(N, \mid)$ where $N=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$, the non-negative integers, with the usual 'divides' relation.

Find the truth values of each of the above sentences in each of the above structures, and enter these values ( 0 or 1 ) in the table below.
[This question will be marked as follows: each correct answer is worth 1 mark; each incorrect answer receives a penalty of -1 ; each blank receives 0 marks. However, the lowest possible total mark for this question is 0 .]

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{G}_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{G}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Problem 11

- Put the following formula in prenex form:

$$
\forall x(x<u) \rightarrow[\exists v \forall w(x+v<x+w) \rightarrow \forall z(z \cdot v<z \cdot w)]
$$

## Answer:

- Skolemize the following formula:

$$
\exists x \exists y \forall z \exists w(x+y<z \leftrightarrow y<z+w)
$$

Answer:

## Problem 12

Convert the following argument into a set $\mathcal{C}$ of clauses such that the argu- marks ment is valid iff the set $\mathcal{C}$ is not satisfiable.

$$
\forall x(\exists y(x \cdot y \leq x) \rightarrow \neg \exists y \forall z((y+z<x \cdot z) \wedge \neg(y \cdot x \leq z))) \quad \therefore \exists x \forall y(x+y<x \cdot y)
$$

## Answer:

