

Name _____
ID _____

PMATH 330

Final Exam, Fall 1998

Instructor: S. Burris

NO AIDS PERMITTED.

There are 11 pages in this exam.

Make sure you have all 11 pages.

Problem	(out of)	Marks
1	(10)	
2	(10)	
3	(10)	
4	(10)	
5	(10)	
6	(10)	
7	(10)	
8	(10)	
9	(10)	
TOTAL	(90)	

Optional Bonus (5) _____

Name _____
ID _____

Problem 1 Consider the following 10 formulas with their truth tables:

- 1: $\neg(\neg Q \wedge S)$
 2: $\neg(P \vee (Q \vee \neg R)) \vee R$
 3: $(S \vee (S \wedge Q)) \leftrightarrow (Q \wedge S)$
 4: $(P \vee (R \wedge (Q \leftrightarrow P))) \vee R$
 5: $((\neg(P \leftrightarrow R) \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow P) \leftrightarrow S$
 6: $((R \rightarrow Q) \vee (S \wedge Q)) \vee R \leftrightarrow Q$
 7: $((S \leftrightarrow P) \vee R) \rightarrow (Q \vee (Q \vee P))$
 8: $(R \vee P) \rightarrow (((P \wedge R) \wedge S) \leftrightarrow (S \vee Q))$
 9: $((P \vee Q) \wedge ((Q \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S)) \vee Q)) \wedge (R \wedge \neg P)$
 10: $((R \wedge S) \wedge (Q \wedge (S \rightarrow Q))) \rightarrow (\neg(Q \vee R) \rightarrow R) \wedge R$

	P	Q	R	S	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
2.	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1
3.	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
4.	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
5.	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1
6.	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
7.	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
8.	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
9.	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1
10.	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
11.	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
12.	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
13.	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
14.	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
15.	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
16.	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0

(a) Find the conjunctive normal form of 7.

(b) Determine which of the formulas are truth equivalent to 2.

(c) Find all the tautologies; all the contradictions.

(d) Determine if the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10\}$ of formulas is satisfiable?

(e) Determine if the argument 3, 7, 8 \therefore 10 is valid.

Name _____
ID _____

Problem 2.

You are given the following premisses of an argument involving four classes A, B, C, D that has been translated into the calculus of classes:

$$\begin{aligned}(AD' \cup A'D)(B \cup C) &= 0 \\ (A \cup D)B'C'(A' \cup D') &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Determine from this information, using the method of Boole, the most general conclusion that you can draw concerning only the two classes A and D .

Name _____
 ID _____

Problem 3

- (a) Use the method of Venn diagrams to determine if the syllogism $\frac{\text{No P is M} \quad \text{All S is M}}{\text{Some S is not P}}$ is valid.
 (Be sure to consider the modern versus Aristotelian assumptions.)

- (b) Let $\mathbf{A} = (A, \vee, \wedge)$ be an algebra with two binary operations on the set $A = \{0, 1\}$ where the operations are defined by

$$x \vee y = \max(x, y)$$

$$x \wedge y = \min(x, y)$$

Determine if \mathbf{A} satisfies the distributive law:

$$x \vee (y \wedge z) \approx (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)$$

Name _____
ID _____

Problem 4.

Fill in the reasons (Birkshoff's Rules) for the following derivation:

<i>EQUATION</i>	<i>REASON</i>
1. $x \vee (x \wedge y) \approx x$	<i>given</i>
2. $x \wedge (x \vee y) \approx x$	<i>given</i>
3. $x \vee (y \vee z) \approx (x \vee y) \vee z$	<i>given</i>
4. $x \wedge y \approx y \wedge x$	<i>given</i>
5. $x \wedge (y \vee z) \approx (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$	<i>given</i>
6. $x \vee (x \wedge z) \approx x$	
7. $(x \vee (x \wedge z)) \vee (y \wedge z) \approx x \vee (y \wedge z)$	
8. $x \vee ((x \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z)) \approx (x \vee (x \wedge z)) \vee (y \wedge z)$	
9. $x \vee ((x \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z)) \approx x \vee (y \wedge z)$	
10. $x \vee (y \wedge z) \approx x \vee ((x \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z))$	
11. $x \wedge z \approx z \wedge x$	
12. $x \vee ((x \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z)) \approx x \vee ((z \wedge x) \vee (y \wedge z))$	
13. $x \vee (y \wedge z) \approx x \vee ((z \wedge x) \vee (y \wedge z))$	
14. $y \wedge z \approx z \wedge y$	
15. $x \vee ((z \wedge x) \vee (y \wedge z)) \approx x \vee ((z \wedge x) \vee (z \wedge y))$	

Problem 5.

Find all critical pairs for the two term rewrite rules $\begin{cases} x + (y \cdot z) \rightarrow x \cdot z \\ x \cdot (y + z) \rightarrow x + z \end{cases}$

Name _____
 ID _____

Problem 6

You are given the language $\mathcal{L} = \{P, f, a, b\}$ where P is a unary relation symbol, f is a unary operation symbol, and a, b are constant symbols. For the set \mathcal{S} of clauses (in this language) given by

$$\{Pa, Pb\} \quad \{\neg Px, Pfx\} \quad \{\neg Pfa\} \quad \{\neg Pffb\}$$

(a) Show \mathcal{S} is not satisfiable by using resolution on ground instances.

(b) Show \mathcal{S} is not satisfiable by using resolution with most general opp-unifiers.

(a) Write out first-order sentences using $\mathcal{L} = \{+, \cdot, <, 0, 1\}$ which express the following statements about **natural numbers**. You may use the abbreviations $\text{prime}(x)$ for a formula expressing ‘ x is prime’, $x|y$ for a formula expressing ‘ x divides y ’.

- (b) Determine, by any means you know, whether the following two formulas are truth equivalent. Be sure to give your reasons.

$$\begin{array}{ll} F(P, Q, R, S, T, U) & \text{is } P \leftrightarrow (Q \leftrightarrow (R \leftrightarrow (S \leftrightarrow (T \leftrightarrow U)))) \\ G(P, Q, R, S, T, U) & \text{is } \neg P \leftrightarrow (Q \leftrightarrow (R \leftrightarrow (S \leftrightarrow (T \leftrightarrow \neg U)))) \end{array}$$

Name _____
ID _____

Problem 8.

Consider the following 5 sentences in the language of directed graphs:

$$F_1: \forall x (\neg rxx)$$

$$F_2: \forall x \exists y (\neg rxy)$$

$$F_3: \forall x \forall y \forall z (rxy \wedge ryz \rightarrow rxz)$$

$$F_4: \exists x \forall y (rxy \rightarrow \exists z (rxz \vee rzy))$$

$$F_5: \forall x \exists y \forall z (rxy \rightarrow (rxz \wedge ryz))$$

and the following two directed graphs:

$$G_1 = (G, r) \text{ where } G = \{a, b, c\} \text{ and } r = \{(a, b), (b, b), (c, a)\}$$

$$G_2 = (N, >) \text{ where } N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \text{ the non-negative integers, with the usual 'greater than' relation.}$$

Find the truth values of each of the above sentences in each of the above structures, and enter these values (0 or 1) in the table below.

[This question will be marked as follows: each correct answer is worth 1 mark; each incorrect answer receives a penalty of -1; each blank receives 0 marks. However, the lowest possible total mark for this question is 0.]

	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	F ₅
G ₁					
G ₂					

Name _____
 ID _____

Problem 9.

Transform the following argument in first-order logic into a set of clauses, such that the set of clauses will be unsatisfiable if and only if the argument is valid. You do NOT need to test the argument for validity.

$$\forall x \exists y ((x|y) \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow Px))$$

$$\forall x \forall y ((Px \wedge Py) \rightarrow \exists z (Pz))$$

$$\text{Therefore } \neg \exists x \forall y (\neg (x|y) \rightarrow (Px \leftrightarrow Py))$$

Alternate Problem or Bonus

This problem is optional. It may be used to replace one of the first 9 (worth 10 points), or it may be used as a Bonus problem (worth 5 points). If you want to use this problem, please circle which of the Problems 1–9 this is to replace, or circle ‘Bonus’ if you would like it to be a bonus problem.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Bonus
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-------

Problem

Prove by **induction on formulas** that the set of connectives $\{\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow\}$ is not adequate. (Note: do not give a proof by induction on the *size* of formulas.)

Proof

Assert(F) is:

Ground Step:

Induction Step: (be sure to state any Induction Hypothesis used)

Name _____
ID _____