## A Resolution Derivation

Given the collection of 8 clauses

1. $\{Q, S\}$
2. $\{R, S\}$
3. $\{\neg P, Q\}$
4. $\{P, R\}$
5. $\{P, \neg Q\}$
6. $\{\neg P, \neg R\}$
7. $\{\neg Q, \neg S\}$
8. $\{\neg R, \neg S\}$
fill in the reasons for the following resolution derivation:


Is it possible to find an assignment of truth values for the propositional variables $P, Q, R, S$ that will satisfy the original eight clauses? (if yes, give one) NO

Given the collection $\mathcal{S}$ of 6 clauses

1. $\{P, \neg Q\}$
2. $\{Q, S\}$
3. $\{P, R\}$
4. $\{\neg P, \neg R\}$
5. $\{\neg Q, \neg S\}$
6. $\{\neg R, \neg S\}$
fill in the reasons for the following resolution steps:

| 7. $\{Q, \neg R\}$ | 2,6 | 14. $\{P, S\}$ | 1,2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8. $\{P, \neg S\}$ | 3,6 | 15. $\{P, \neg R\}$ | 1,7 |
| 9. $\{S, \neg S\}$ | 2,5 | 16. $\{P\}$ | 3,15 |
| 10. $\{Q, \neg Q\}$ | 2,5 | 17. $\{\neg R, S\}$ | 2,11 |
| 11. $\{\neg Q, \neg R\}$ | 1,4 | 18. $\{P, Q\}$ | 2,8 |
| 12. $\{P, \neg P\}$ | 3,4 | 19. $\{\neg R\}$ | 4,15 |
| 13. $\{R, \neg R\}$ | 3,4 |  |  |

Can you obtain any other clauses by resolution?
What does this say about the satisfiability of $\mathcal{S}$ ?


Apply the Davis-Putnam Procedure to the First Problem, showing just the $\mathcal{S}_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{i}$ steps (as done for the resolution on $R$ below).

## Resolution on $R$ :

(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccc}\mathcal{S}_{1}: & \{Q, S\} & \{R, S\} & \{\neg P, Q\} & \{P, R\} & \{P, \neg Q\} \\ & (1,3) & (1,4) & (2,3) & (2,4) \\ \mathcal{U}_{1}: & \{\neg P, S\} & \{S, \neg S\} & \{P, \neg P\} & \{P, \neg S\}\end{array}$
Resolution on $P$ :
(1)
(2)
(3)
$\mathcal{S}_{2}{ }^{\prime}:\{Q, S\} \quad\{\neg P, Q\} \quad\{P, \neg Q\} \quad\{\neg Q, \neg S\} \quad\{\neg P, S\} \quad\{P, \neg S\}$

| $(1,2)$ | $(1,4)$ | $(2,3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |$(3,4)$

$\mathcal{U}_{2}:\{Q, \neg Q\} \quad\{Q, \neg S\} \quad\{\neg Q, S\} \quad\{S, \neg S\}$

## Resolution on $Q$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{cccc} 
& (1) & (2) & (3)  \tag{4}\\
\mathcal{S}_{3}^{\prime}: & \{Q, S\} & \{\neg Q, \neg S\} & \{Q, \neg S\}
\end{array}\right)\{\neg Q, S\}
$$

$\mathcal{U}_{3}: \begin{array}{ccc}(1,2) & (1,4) & (2,3) \\ \{S, \neg S\} & \{S\} & \{\neg S\}\end{array}$

## Resolution on $S$ :

(1)
(2)
$\mathcal{S}_{4}{ }^{\prime}:\{S\} \quad\{\neg S\}$
$(1,2)$
$\mathcal{U}_{4}:\{ \}$

Given the collection of five Horn clauses

1. $\{\neg P, Q\}$
2. $\{P\}$
3. $\{\neg R\}$
4. $\{S, \neg Q\}$
5. $\{\neg S, R\}$
find all clauses that can be derived using unit resolution:

|  | Clause | Reason |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 6. | $\{Q\}$ | 1,2 |
| 7. | $\{\neg S\}$ | 3,5 |
| 8. | $\{S\}$ | 4,6 |
|  | $\{\neg Q\}$ | 4,7 |
| 10. | $\{\neg P\}$ | 1,9 |
| 11. | $\{R\}$ | 5,8 |
| 12. | $\}$ | 7,8 |

Given the clauses $\{\neg P, Q, R\}$ and $\{\neg Q, R, S\}$ prove, using just the definitions, that if $\vec{e}$ is a truth evaluation of $P, Q, R, S$ that makes the two clauses true then it also makes the clause $\{\neg P, R, S\}$, obtained by resolving the two over $Q$, true.

First note that $\vec{e}$ makes $Q$ true or it makes $Q$ false.
Suppose $\vec{e}$ makes $Q$ true. Then, as $\vec{e}$ makes the second clause $\{\neg Q, R, S\}$ true it follows that it must make $\{R, S\}$ true, and thus it makes the resolvent $\{\neg P, R, S\}$ true.

On the other hand, suppose that $\vec{e}$ makes $Q$ false. Then, as $\vec{e}$ makes the first clause $\{\neg P, Q, R\}$ true it follows that it must make $\{\neg P, R\}$ true, and thus it makes the resolvent $\{\neg P, R, S\}$ true.

In either case, $\vec{e}$ makes the resolvent true.

Consider the propositional argument:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{F}_{1}: & (P \rightarrow \neg Q) \rightarrow R \\
\mathrm{~F}_{2}: & P \vee \neg(Q \rightarrow R) \\
\hline \mathrm{F}: & P \leftrightarrow(Q \leftrightarrow R)
\end{array}
$$

Give the conjunctive normal form for each of the following formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{1}: \frac{(\neg P \vee Q \vee R) \wedge(P \vee \neg Q \vee R) \wedge(P \vee Q \vee R)}{} \\
& \mathrm{F}_{2}: \frac{(P \vee \neg Q \vee \neg R) \wedge(P \vee Q \vee \neg R) \wedge(P \vee Q \vee R)}{} \\
& \neg \mathrm{F}: \quad(\neg P \vee \neg Q \vee \neg R) \wedge(\neg P \vee Q \vee R) \wedge(P \vee \neg Q \vee R) \wedge(P \vee Q \vee \neg R)
\end{aligned}
$$

From this derive a set $\mathcal{S}$ of clauses such that $\mathrm{F}_{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2} \therefore \mathrm{~F}$ is valid iff $\neg \operatorname{Sat}(\mathcal{S})$. $\mathcal{S}$ has the clauses:

1. $\neg P \vee Q \vee R$
2. $P \vee \neg Q \vee R$
3. $P \vee Q \vee R$
4. $\quad P \vee \neg Q \vee \neg R$
5. $\quad P \vee Q \vee \neg R$
6. $\neg P \vee \neg Q \vee \neg R$

Is $\mathcal{S}$ satisfiable? (Reasons)

YES, by setting $P Q R$ equal to 110 , or to 101.

Is the original argument valid? (Reasons)

NO, as the set $\mathcal{S}$ of clauses $(1-6)$ is satisfiable.

