## Equivalent Formulas

In the following table you are asked to consider whether or not certain formulas are equivalent. The  $\Box$ s in the left column are to be replaced by the appropriate binary connective in each of the successive columns. For example, consider the row starting with  $P \Box P \sim P$ . Now go over to the column with the header  $\wedge$ . The question is whether or not  $P \wedge P \sim P$ . If the formulas are equivalent, put a check mark ( $\sqrt{}$ ) in the box. Otherwise leave the box empty.

	$\vee$	$\wedge$	$\rightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$		人
$P \Box P \sim P$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				
$P \Box (P \Box P) \sim (P \Box P) \Box P$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$P \square Q \sim Q \square P$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$P \square (Q \square P) \sim P$						
$P \Box (Q \Box R) \sim (P \Box Q) \Box R)$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		

### Adequate Sets of Connectives

Circle (or highlight) the formulas among  $0, 1, P, \neg P$  that can be represented by a formula F(P) using (only) the connectives in C:

Given				
$\mathcal{C} = \{ \lor \}$	0	1	Р	$\neg P$
$\mathcal{C} = \{ ightarrow \}$	0	1	Р	$\neg P$
$\mathcal{C} = \{\leftrightarrow, \rightarrow\}$	0	1	Р	$\neg P$
$\mathcal{C} = \{\wedge, 1\}$	0	1	Р	$\neg P$

Circle (or highlight) the connectives that can be realized using the connectives in C:

Given				
$\mathcal{C} = \{ \rightarrow, \lor \}$	$\vee$	$\wedge$	$\rightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$
$\mathcal{C} = \{\wedge, \leftrightarrow\}$	$\vee$	$\wedge$	$\rightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$
$\mathcal{C} = \{\neg, \wedge\}$	$\vee$	$\wedge$	$\rightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$
$\mathcal{C} = \{  ightarrow, \leftrightarrow \}$	$\vee$	$\wedge$	$\rightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$

#### Substitution/Replacement

In each of the following inferences you are to choose the most inclusive answer for how the inference could be accomplished. The four choices are: **substitution**, **replacement**, **both**, **neither**.

1.	$\frac{\neg \neg P \sim P}{\neg \neg \neg P \sim \neg P}$	Both
2.	$\frac{P \ \sim \ Q}{P \rightarrow P \ \sim \ Q \rightarrow Q}$	Substitution
3.	$\frac{P \wedge \neg Q \sim \neg (P \to Q)}{Q \wedge \neg P \sim \neg (Q \to P)}$	Substitution
4.	$\frac{P \lor Q \sim Q \lor P}{(P \lor Q) \rightarrow (P \lor Q) \sim (Q \lor P) \rightarrow (P \lor Q)}$	Replacement
5.	$\frac{P \to (Q \to P) \sim 1}{(Q \to P) \to (P \to (Q \to P)) \sim 1}$	Substitution

#### More on Adequate Connectives

Determine if the binary connective  $\triangle$  defined by  $P \triangle Q \sim P \land \neg Q$  is adequate. Give Reasons! [Either show that some adequate set of connectives can be expressed using  $\triangle$ , or prove that some propositional formula cannot be expressed using  $\triangle$ .]

Consider the formulas F(P) that one can express using  $\triangle$ .

One certainly has P; and also 0 since  $P \triangle P \sim 0$ .

However we cannot obtain  $\neg P$  since applying  $\triangle$  to P and 0 gives a formula that is equivalent to one of these two:

1

$$P \triangle P \sim 0$$

$$P \triangle 0 \sim P$$

$$0 \triangle P \sim 0$$

$$0 \triangle 0 \sim 0$$

Suppose you know that F(P, Q, R, S) and G(P, Q, R, S) are two propositional formulas such that  $F \wedge G$  has the same truth table as  $F \vee G$ . Prove that F and G have the same truth tables.

# PROOF:

(First Proof): Using Fundamental Equivalences one has

Thus one has  $F \sim G$ , so they have the same truth tables.

<u>(Second Proof)</u>: This proof examines the truth tables. The value of  $F \lor G$  at any row  $\vec{e}$  of the truth table is max( $F(\vec{e}), G(\vec{e})$ ); and the value of  $F \land G$  at any row  $\vec{e}$  of the truth table is min( $F(\vec{e}), G(\vec{e})$ ). By assumption  $F \lor G$  has the same truth table as  $F \land G$ , so, for every row  $\vec{e}$ ,

$$\max(\mathsf{F}(\vec{e}),\mathsf{G}(\vec{e})) = \min(\mathsf{F}(\vec{e}),\mathsf{G}(\vec{e})).$$

This can only happen if  $F(\vec{e}) = G(\vec{e})$  holds for each row  $\vec{e}$ , that is, the truth tables are the same.

Give an **inductive definition** of Num(F), the number of occurrences of variables in a propositional formula F.

(Answer):

Num(P)	=	1
Num(0)	=	0
Num(1)	=	0
$Num(\neg F)$	=	Num(F)
$\mathit{Num}(F \lor G)$	=	$\mathit{Num}(F) + \mathit{Num}(G)$
$\mathit{Num}(F\wedgeG)$	=	$\mathit{Num}(F) + \mathit{Num}(G)$
$\mathit{Num}(F \to G)$	=	$\mathit{Num}(F) + \mathit{Num}(G)$
$\mathit{Num}(F \leftrightarrow G)$	=	$\mathit{Num}(F) + \mathit{Num}(G)$