Name:	ID:	

PMath 330

Assignment 5

Mark

## A RESOLUTION DERIVATION

Given the collection of 8 clauses

1.  $\{Q, S\}$  2.  $\{R, S\}$  3.  $\{\neg P, Q\}$  4.  $\{P, R\}$ 

5.  $\{P, \neg Q\}$  6.  $\{\neg P, \neg R\}$  7.  $\{\neg Q, \neg S\}$  8.  $\{\neg R, \neg S\}$ 

fill in the reasons for the following resolution derivation:

9.  $\{Q, \neg R\}$ 

13.  $\{Q\}$ 

10.  $\{\neg Q, R\}$ 

14.  $\{\neg Q\}$ 

11.  $\{Q, R\}$ 

12.  $\{\neg Q, \neg R\}$ 

15. { }

Is it possible to find an assignment of truth values for the propositional variables P, Q, R, Sthat will satisfy the original eight clauses? (if yes, give one)

Given the collection S of 6 clauses

1.  $\{P, \neg Q\}$  2.  $\{Q, S\}$  3.  $\{P, R\}$ 

4.  $\{\neg P, \neg R\}$  5.  $\{\neg Q, \neg S\}$  6.  $\{\neg R, \neg S\}$ 

fill in the reasons for the following resolution steps:

7.  $\{Q, \neg R\}$ 

14.  $\{P, S\}$ 

8.  $\{P, \neg S\}$ 

15.  $\{P, \neg R\}$ 

 $9. \quad \{S, \neg S\}$ 

16.  $\{P\}$ 

10.  $\{Q, \neg Q\}$ 

17.  $\{\neg R, S\}$ 

11.  $\{\neg Q, \neg R\}$ 

12.  $\{P, \neg P\}$ 

18.  $\{P, Q\}$ 

13.  $\{R, \neg R\}$ 

19.  $\{\neg R\}$ 

Can you obtain any other clauses by resolution?

What does this say about the satisfiability of S?

Apply the Davis-Putnam Procedure to the First Problem, showing just the  $S_i$  and  $U_i$  steps (as done for the resolution on R below).

## Resolution on R:

## Resolution on P:

 ${\mathcal S_4}'$ :

 $\mathcal{U}_4$ :

$\mathcal{S}_2{}'$ :		
$\mathcal{U}_2$ :		
Resolution on $Q$ :		
$\mathcal{S}_3'$ :		
$\mathcal{U}_3$ :		
Resolution on $S$ :		

Given the collection of five Horn clauses

1. 
$$\{\neg P, Q\}$$
 2.  $\{P\}$  3.  $\{\neg R\}$  4.  $\{S, \neg Q\}$  5.  $\{\neg S, R\}$ 

find all clauses that can be derived using unit resolution:

	Clause	Reason
6.		
7.		
8.		
9.		
10.		
11.		
12.		

Given the clauses  $\{\neg P, Q, R\}$  and  $\{\neg Q, R, S\}$  prove, using just the definitions, that if  $\vec{e}$  is a truth evaluation of P, Q, R, S that makes the two clauses true then it also makes the clause  $\{\neg P, R, S\}$ , obtained by resolving the two over Q, true.

Consider the propositional argument:

$$\mathsf{F}_1:\ (P \to \neg\, Q) \to R$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{F}_2:\ P\vee\neg(Q\to R)}{\mathsf{F}:\ P\leftrightarrow(Q\leftrightarrow R)}$$

$$F: P \leftrightarrow (Q \leftrightarrow R)$$

Give the conjunctive normal form for each of the following formulas:

F<sub>1</sub>: \_\_\_\_\_

F<sub>2</sub>: \_\_\_\_\_

From this derive a set S of clauses such that  $F_1, F_2$   $\therefore$  F is valid iff  $\neg \mathsf{Sat}(S)$ . S has the clauses:

- 4. \_\_\_\_\_
- 2. <u>\_\_\_\_\_</u> 5. \_\_\_\_
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_\_ 6. \_\_\_\_

Is S satisfiable? (Reasons)

Is the original argument valid? (Reasons)