Large Networks of Neurons

- We consider large networks of coupled,
- two-dimensional, integrate-and-fire networks • The networks are given by:

$$\begin{split} \dot{v}_i &= F(v_i) - w_i + I + gs(er - v_i) = G(v_i, w_i, s) \\ \dot{w}_i &= \frac{1}{\tau_w} (bv_i - w_i) \\ \dot{s} &= -\frac{s}{\tau_s} + \frac{\lambda_s}{\tau_s N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t < t_{i,k}} \delta(t - t_{i,k}) \end{split}$$

$$v(t^-) = v_{peak}, \rightarrow v(t^+) = v_{reset}, w(t^+) = w(t^-) + \frac{\lambda_w}{\tau_w}$$

where w is the adaptation variable, and v is the

voltage.

• In the large network limit, one obtains the first order moment-closure simplified population density equation:

$$\frac{\partial \rho_V(v,t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (G(v,\langle w\rangle,s)\rho_V(v,t)) \quad (1)$$

$$s' = -\frac{s}{\tau_s} + \frac{\lambda_s}{\tau_s} J(v_{peak}, t) \tag{2}$$

$$\langle w \rangle' = -\frac{\langle w \rangle}{\tau_w} + \frac{\lambda_w}{\tau_w} J(v_{peak}, t)$$
 (3)

• The steady state to this system is given by:

$$\bar{s} = \lambda_s \bar{R}, \quad \bar{w} = \lambda_w \bar{R}$$
$$\bar{R} = \left(\int_{v_{reset}}^{v_{peak}} \frac{dv'}{G(v, \bar{s}, \bar{w})} \right)^{-1}$$

• After applying the general approach in [2] to linearize the system of equations, one arrives at the eigenvalue equation:

$$0 = \left(e^{\mu/R} - 1\right) \left(\mu + \frac{1}{\tau_s}\right) \left(\mu + \frac{1}{\tau_w}\right) \tag{4}$$

$$+ \left(\mu + \frac{1}{\tau_s}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda_w}{\tau_w} \mu \hat{B}(\mu)\right)$$
(5)
$$- \left(\mu + \frac{1}{-}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{-\mu} \hat{A}(\mu)\right)$$
(6)

$$-\left(\mu + \frac{1}{\tau_w}\right) \left(\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_s} \mu \hat{A}(\mu)\right)$$

where

$$\hat{A}(\mu) = \int_{0}^{1} e^{\mu y/R} \frac{g(e_r - \eta^{-1}(y'))}{G_1(\eta^{-1}(y')\lambda_s R, \lambda_w R)} dy' \quad (7)$$
$$\hat{B}(\mu) = \int_{0}^{1} e^{\mu y/\langle R \rangle} \frac{-1}{G_1(\eta^{-1}(y')\lambda_s R, \lambda_w R)} dy' (8)$$

and
$$y = \eta(v)$$
 is the Abbott-Vreeswijk transform.

• The spectral has a countable solution set μ_i , $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ where $\Re(\mu_i) < 0 \ \forall i$, and for small ϵ in addition to two eigenvalues given by the equation

$$0 = (\mu_1 + \gamma)(\mu_1 + 1) + \lambda_w(\mu_1 + \gamma) \frac{\partial \langle R_i(t) \rangle}{\partial w} - \gamma \lambda_s(\mu_1 + 1) \frac{\partial \langle R_i(t) \rangle}{\partial s}$$
(9)

to lowest order in ϵ .

• Equation (9) is the eigenvalue equation for the mean-field system

$$\begin{split} \dot{s} &= -\frac{s}{\tau_s} + \frac{\lambda_s}{\tau_s} \langle R_i(t) \rangle \\ \dot{w} &= -\frac{w}{\tau_w} + \frac{\lambda_w}{\tau_w} \langle R_i(t) \rangle \\ \langle R_i(t) \rangle &= \begin{cases} \left(\int_{v_{reset}}^{v_{peak}} \frac{dv}{G(v,s,w)} \right)^{-1} & H(s,w) \ge 0 \\ 0 & H(s,w) \ge 0 \end{cases} \\ H(s,w) &= \min_{v \in [v_{reset}, v_{peak}]} G(v,s,w) = G(v^*(s),s,w) \end{split}$$

Co-Dimension Two Bifurcations in PWSC Dynamical Systems

Wilten Nicola and Sue Ann Campbell

University of Waterloo, Department of Applied Mathematics

Large Networks Continued

• For small H(s, w), one can prove that

$$\langle R_i(t) \rangle \sim \sqrt{\frac{F''(v^*(s))\sqrt{H(s,w)}}{2}} \frac{\pi}{\pi}$$

• Thus, we consider the system

 $\dot{s} = -\frac{s}{\tau_s} + \frac{\lambda_s}{\tau_s} \langle R_i(t) \rangle$ (10)

$$\dot{w} = -\frac{w}{\tau_w} + \frac{\kappa_w}{\tau_w} \langle R_i(t) \rangle$$
(11)
$$\int \sqrt{\frac{F''(v^*(s))}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{H(s,w)}{T_w}} H(s,w) > 0_{(10)}$$

$$\langle R_i(t) \rangle = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{F'(v'(s))}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{H(s,w)}{\pi}} & H(s,w) \ge 0\\ 0 & H(s,w) < 0 \end{cases}$$

for analysis.

• This system is the slow system of (1)-(3) when $\tau_s, \tau_w \gg 1$ and $0 \le H(s, w) \ll 1$. The stability and existence of the asynchronous state(s) of (1)-(3) is determined by the stability of the steady state(s) of (10)-(12)



a: Bursting AdEx Network



b: Tonic Firing AdEx Network

Figure 2: Shown above is a network of 1000 AdEx neurons (gren) in comparison to the mean-field system with $\langle R \rangle =$ $\int_{v_{reset}}^{v_{peak}} \frac{dv}{G(v,s,w)} \Big)^{-1}$ (blue) and the system with $\langle R \rangle$ from equation (12)(red)

Existence and Steady States

• By expanding in small s (which based on the steady state conditions of (10)-(12) is equivalent to $0 \le H(s, w) \ll 1$, one can show that there are up to two equilibria, $e_{\pm} = (s_{\pm}, w_{\pm})$:

$$s_{\pm} = M(g)(g - g^*) \pm \sqrt{M(g)^2(g - g^*)^2 \pm \tilde{I}}$$
$$v_{\pm} = \frac{\tau_w w_{jump}}{\tau_s} s_{\pm} = \eta s_{\pm}$$

- $T_s \delta_{jump}$ • A third solution is the non-firing solution, $s = \langle w \rangle = 0$
- The equilibria $(s_{\pm}, \eta s_{\pm})$ undergo a saddle-node **bifurcation** when

 $\tilde{I} = -M(g)(g - g^*)^2 + O((g - g^*)^3)$

Non-Smooth Bifurcations

• The saddle-node bifurcation is generic for $g > g^*$ • $(s_+, \eta s_+)$ undergoes a **Hopf bifurcation** when $\tilde{I} = -2M(g)N(g)(g - g^*)(g - \bar{g}) + O((g - \bar{g})^2)$ • The Hopf bifurcation is generic for $g > \overline{g}$ with first Lyapunov coefficient given by: $l_1(0) = \frac{3}{82(e_r - v^*(0))^6 \lambda_s^2 (g - \bar{g})^2} \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^2)$ as $l_1(0) > 0$ for $g > \overline{g}, \tau_w, \tau_s \gg 1$, the Hopf bifurcation is subcritical • Bifurcation curves are valid for $q > q^*$ (saddle-node) and $g > \overline{g}$ (Hopf). The points (I_{rh}, g^*) and (I_{rh}, \overline{g}) are cp-dimension 2 non-smooth bifrucation points.



Figure 3: The saddle-node (black) and Hopf bifurcation curves (red) for the full mean-field system (dashed) and the reduced mean-field system (dotted) for a network of Izhikevich neurons. The full mean field system's bifurcation curves are determined via continuation in MATCONT. The points \bar{q} and q^* at $\tilde{I} = 0$ correspond to non-smooth co-dimension 2 bifurcation points.



c: $g > g^*$ Non-Smooth Fold



Figure 5: Shown above are the four branches of boundary equilibrium bifurcations. In all figures, the equilibria are (0,0)(in black), $(s_+, \eta s_+)$ (blue) and $(s_-, \eta s_-)$ (green). The limit cycle is shown in magenta and is determined through direct integration of the reduced mean-field system.

• These co-dimension 2 non-smooth bifurcations appear to be non-generic versions of those that appear for regular PWSC systems [3].

[1]	W.
	Bifi
	inte
	Jor
	201
[2]	L.F
	Asy
	OSC
	Ph_{2}
[3]	D.J
	Bifi
	Wo

We would like to thank the Ontario Graduate Scholarship (OGS) program and the National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) for funding this research.





Non-Smooth Continued



Figure 6: Hopf-BEB Point

Figure 7: Shown above is the collision of the Hopf bifurcation curve with the the non-smooth bifurcation branches.

Conclusions

• A system of PWSC ODE's is derived in the limit that $\tau_s, \tau_w \gg 1, H(s, w) \ll 1$ from the moment closure reduced population density equation for a network of Type-I neurons.

• The system of ODE's has saddle-node and hopf bifurcation branches, and two non-smooth co-dimension 2 bifurcation points. The bursting behavior of a full network fo neurons is organized by these points.

References

Nicola, and S.A. Campbell.

urcations of large networks of two-dimensional

egrate and fire neurons

urnal of Computational Neuroscience, 35: 87–108,

F. Abbott and C. van Vreeswijk

ynchronous states in networks of pulse-coupled cillators

nys. Rev. E., 48: 1483–1490, 1993.

J.W. Simpson

furcations in piece-wise smooth continuous systems orld Scientific. 2010.

Acknowledgements

Contact Information

• Web: http://math.uwaterloo.ca/~wnicola • Email: wnicola@uwaterloo.ca

