

Lecture 3, May 4, 2018

Recall:

σ is a countable signature containing constants $0, 1$.

V is a residually small variety in signature σ .

Σ is a set of axioms for V .

$V_{si} = \{\mathbf{A} \in V : \mathbf{A} \text{ is SI}\}$.

$V_{si}^{01} := \{\mathbf{A} \in V_{si} : (0^{\mathbf{A}}, 1^{\mathbf{A}}) \in \mu_{\mathbf{A}} \setminus 0_A\}$.

Fact: Every $\mathbf{A} \in V_{si}$ has size $|A| \leq 2^\omega$.

Corollary 2: every $\mathbf{A} \in V_{si}^{01}$ can be extended to a maximal member of V_{si}^{01} .

Goal: if $\exists \mathbf{A} \in V_{si}^{01}$ with $|A| > \omega$, then $\exists \mathbf{A} \in V_{si}^{01}$ with $|A| = 2^\omega$.

Definition. Suppose $\mathbf{A} \in V$ and $C \subseteq A$.

- (1) $\sigma_C = \sigma \cup C$. \mathbf{A}_C is the natural expansion of \mathbf{A} to σ_C .
- (2) $\mathcal{F}_{pp}(n, C)$ is the set of all pp-formulas $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in the signature σ_C .
- (3) For $\mathbf{a} \in A^n$, $\text{tp}_{pp}(\mathbf{a}/C) = \{\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{F}_{pp}(n, C) : \mathbf{A}_C \models \varphi(\mathbf{a})\}$.
- (4) $\text{Diag}^+ \mathbf{A}$ is the set of atomic σ_A -sentences true in \mathbf{A}_A .

Lemma 4. Suppose \mathbf{A} is a maximal member of V_{si}^{01} , $C \subseteq A$, and $\Phi \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{pp}(1, C)$.

TFAE:

- (1) There exists $a \in A$ with $\Phi \subseteq \text{tp}_{pp}(a/C)$.
- (2) $\Phi \cup \text{Diag}^+ \mathbf{A} \cup \Sigma \cup \{0 \neq 1\}$ is consistent.

Lemma 5. Suppose \mathbf{A} is maximal in V_{si}^{01} and $C \cup \{a, b\} \subseteq A$. TFAE:

- (1) $\text{tp}_{pp}(a/C) \subseteq \text{tp}_{pp}(b/C)$.
- (2) There exists $h : \mathbf{A}_C \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_C$ with $h(a) = b$.
- (3) There exists $h \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{A}_C$ with $h(a) = b$.
- (4) $\text{tp}_{pp}(a/C) = \text{tp}_{pp}(b/C)$.

Proof. Clearly (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (1).

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is also easy. Let $h : \mathbf{A}_C \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_C$ be given.

We have $h(0) \neq h(1)$, so h is an embedding $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}$.

The image $h(\mathbf{A})$ is a subalgebra of \mathbf{A} isomorphic to \mathbf{A} . But \mathbf{A} is maximal in V_{si}^{01} , so the image must equal \mathbf{A} , i.e., h is surjective. Hence $h \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{A}_C$.

So suffices to prove (1) \Rightarrow (2).

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Assume $\text{tp}_{pp}(a/C) \subseteq \text{tp}_{pp}(b/C)$. We'll construct h as follows.

Let H be the set of all partial functions $h : \text{dom}(h) \rightarrow A$ where

- (i) $\text{dom}(h) \subseteq A$.
- (ii) $a \in \text{dom}(h)$ and $h(a) = b$.

(iii) For all $n \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{a} \in \text{dom}(h)^n$,

$$\text{tp}_{pp}(\mathbf{a}/C) \subseteq \text{tp}_{pp}(h(\mathbf{a})/C).$$

By assumption, the function h with $\text{dom}(h) = \{a\}$ and $h(a) = b$ is in H . So $H \neq \emptyset$.

Also, H is closed under unions of chains (exercise).

So H has a maximal element h . Let $D = \text{dom}(h)$. If we can show that $D = A$, then $h : A \rightarrow A$, $h(a) = b$, and property (iii) above will give that h is a homomorphism $\mathbf{A}_C \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_C$ (exercise).

So suffices to show $D = A$. Suppose $D \neq A$. Pick any $a^* \in A \setminus D$. Let

$$\Phi = \{\varphi(x, h(\mathbf{a})) : n \geq 0, \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{pp}(n+1, C), \mathbf{a} \in D^n, \mathbf{A}_C \models \varphi(a^*, \mathbf{a})\}$$

and note that $\Phi \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{pp}(1, C \cup \text{ran}(h))$.

CLAIM: $\Phi \cup \text{Diag}^+ \mathbf{A} \cup \Sigma \cup \{0 \neq 1\}$ is consistent.

Proof. By compactness and the fact that Φ is closed under conjunction, it suffices to show that

$$\{\varphi(x, h(\mathbf{a}))\} \cup \Sigma \cup \text{Diag}^+ \mathbf{A} \cup \{0 \neq 1\}$$

is consistent for each $\varphi(x, h(\mathbf{a})) \in \Phi$. We have $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{pp}(n+1, C)$, $\mathbf{a} \in D^n$ and $\mathbf{A}_C \models \varphi(a^*, \mathbf{a})$. Let $\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \exists y \varphi(y, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{F}_{pp}(n, C)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_C \models \varphi(a^*, \mathbf{a}) &\implies \mathbf{A}_C \models \psi(\mathbf{a}) \\ &\implies \psi \in \text{tp}_{pp}(\mathbf{a}/C) \subseteq \text{tp}_{pp}(h(\mathbf{a})/C) \quad \text{by (iii)} \\ &\implies \mathbf{A}_C \models \psi(h(\mathbf{a})) \\ &\implies \mathbf{A}_A \models \{\exists y \varphi(y, h(\mathbf{a}))\} \cup \Sigma \cup \text{Diag}^+ \mathbf{A} \cup \{0 \neq 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\{\varphi(x, h(\mathbf{a}))\} \cup \Sigma \cup \text{Diag}^+ \mathbf{A} \cup \{0 \neq 1\}$ is consistent. \square

Hence by Lemma 4, there exists $b^* \in A$ with $\Phi \subseteq \text{tp}_{pp}(b^*/C \cup \text{ran}(h))$.

Hence for any $\mathbf{a} \in D^n$,

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{pp}(n+1, C)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \in \text{tp}_{pp}((a^*, \mathbf{a})/C) &\implies \mathbf{A}_C \models \varphi(a^*, \mathbf{a}) \\ &\implies \varphi(x, h(\mathbf{a})) \in \Phi \\ &\implies \varphi(x, h(\mathbf{a})) \in \text{tp}_{pp}(b^*/C \cup \text{ran}(h)) \\ &\implies \mathbf{A}_C \models \varphi(b^*, h(\mathbf{a})), \end{aligned}$$

which proves $\text{tp}_{pp}((a^*, \mathbf{a})/C) \subseteq \text{tp}_{pp}(b^*, h(\mathbf{a})/C)$.

Extend h to h^* with $\text{dom}(h^*) = D \cup \{a^*\}$ by setting $h^*(a^*) = b^*$.

Last sequence of \implies 's essentially say that h^* satisfies (iii).

So $h^* \in H$, contradicting maximality of h . \square