

# PMATH 763, Winter 2017

## Assignment #3      Due: March 7.

1. (a) Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a non-abelian finite dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -Lie algebra for which  $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$  is abelian. Such a Lie algebra may be called *metabelian*. Show that  $\mathfrak{m}$  contains at least one of the following 3 types real Lie subalgebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f} &= \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, Y\}, [X, Y] = Y \text{ (affine motion)} \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\theta} &= \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, Y_1, Y_2\}, [X, Y_1] = \theta Y_1 - Y_2, [X, Y_2] = Y_1 + \theta Y_2, (\theta \in \mathbb{R}), [Y_1, Y_2] = 0 \\ \mathfrak{h} &= \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, Y, Z\}, [X, Y] = Z, [X, Z] = 0 = [Y, Z] \text{ (Heisenberg)}. \end{aligned}$$

[Hint. Let  $X \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$  and consider the eigenvalues of  $\text{ad}X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{m})$ ; consider cases of a non-zero real eigenvalue, a complex eigenvalue, and only zero as an eigenvalue. Of course if  $\text{ad}X$  admits only 0 as an eigenvalue, it is a nilpotent operator.]

[It is nice, though not necessary to (a), to note concrete realisation of each of these 3 classes:

$$\mathfrak{f} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \mathfrak{g}_{\theta} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \theta x & x & y_1 \\ -x & \theta x & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, x, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t}_3^0(\mathbb{R}).]$$

- (b) Deduce that any finite dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which contains a non-abelian solvable Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{r}$ , contains of one the 3 types of Lie algebras from (a).
2. If  $G$  is a matrix Lie group, let  $G'$  denote the closed subgroup generated by group commutators:  $ghg^{-1}h^{-1}, g, h \in G$ . Then let  $G^{(0)} = G$  and for  $k$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  let  $G^{(k)} = (G^{(k-1)})'$ . We say that  $G$  is *solvable* if  $G^{(m)} = \{I\}$  for some  $m$ .

(a) Show that if  $G$  is connected with  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ , then  $\text{Lie}(G') \supseteq [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ . Deduce that if  $G$  is solvable, then so too must be the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

[Hint. Like in the proof that  $\text{Lie}(G)$  is closed under addition, first show that there is a continuous function  $R$  of  $t, X, Y$  (small  $|t|$ ) for which  $\exp(tX)\exp(tY)\exp(-tX)\exp(-tY) = \exp(t^2[X, Y] + t^4R(t, X, Y))$ .]

- (b) Deduce that if  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is any representation of a solvable connected Lie group, then there is a basis  $B$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for which  $[\rho(G)]_B \in \text{T}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .
3. (a) Show that the only proper Lie ideals of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$  are  $\mathbb{F}I$  and  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ .
- (b) Deduce that  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$  is a *simple* Lie algebra, i.e. it admits no proper Lie ideals.

4. Show that the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  is given by

$$B(X, Y) = (n - 2)\text{Tr}(XY).$$

[With respect to  $((X, Y)) = \text{Tr}(XY^T)$  we have that  $(X_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E_{ij} - E_{ji}))_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$  is an orthonormal basis. Compute  $B(X, Y) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} ((\text{ad}X \circ \text{ad}Y)(X_{ij}), X_{ij})$ .]

5. A finite dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -Lie algebra is *reductive* if it is of the form  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$ , i.e. Lie algebra direct sum:  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{s}$  with  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{s} = \{0\} = [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{s}]$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an abelian Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{s}$  is a semisimple Lie algebra.

Let  $\mathfrak{z} = Z(\mathfrak{g})$  denote the centre and  $\mathfrak{r} = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$  denote the radical<sup>(\*)</sup>, of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Show that the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is reductive;
- (ii) every abelian ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  lies in  $\mathfrak{z}$ , and  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \cap \mathfrak{z} = \{0\}$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{z}$ ; and
- (iv)  $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  is *completely reducible*, i.e. there is a family  $\mathfrak{g}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_m$  of subspaces of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for which  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{g}_j$ , and each  $\mathfrak{g}_j$  is invariant and irreducible for  $\text{ad}$ .

[Consider (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii); (i)&(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv). Each  $\mathfrak{g}_j$  in (iv) is either a one-dimensional ideal or a semi-simple ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .]

(\*) I missed this in class. The *radical* is the largest solvable ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Why does this exist? We saw that the sum  $\mathfrak{i} + \mathfrak{j}$  of two solvable ideals in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is itself a solvable ideal. Hence let  $\mathfrak{r}$  be a solvable ideal of maximal dimension. Then if  $\mathfrak{i}$  is another solvable ideal we see that  $\mathfrak{i} + \mathfrak{r}$  is a solvable ideal, which must be of the same dimension as  $\mathfrak{r}$ , whence equal to  $\mathfrak{r}$ , so  $\mathfrak{i} \subseteq \mathfrak{r}$ .