

# PMATH 453/753

## Axiom of Choice et al

**Definition/Notation.** Given any non-empty set,  $S$ , a *binary relation*  $R$  is simply a subset of the Cartesian product  $S \times S$ . We tend to write “ $s R t$ ” instead of “ $(s, t) \in R$ ”.

**Definition.** Let  $S$  be a non-empty set. A binary relation  $\leq$  on  $S$  is called a *partial ordering* if it satisfies, for  $s, t, u$  in  $S$

- (i)  $s \leq s$  (reflexivity)
- (ii)  $s \leq t, t \leq u \Rightarrow s \leq u$  (transitivity)
- (iii)  $s \leq t, t \leq s \Rightarrow s = t$  (antisymmetry)

We call the pair  $(S, \leq)$  a *partially ordered set*. In  $(S, \leq)$ , a *chain* is any subset  $C$  if any two elements are comparable, i.e. for any  $s, t$  in  $C$ , either  $s \leq t$  or  $t \leq s$ . If  $S$  is a chain in  $(S, \leq)$ , we say that  $\leq$  is a *total ordering* on  $S$ . If  $A$  is any subset of  $S$ , an *upper bound* for  $A$  (w.r.t.  $\leq$ ) is any  $u$  in  $S$  for which  $s \leq u$  for  $s$  in  $A$ . A *well-ordering* is any ordering  $\leq$  on  $S$  such that in any non-empty subset  $A$  there is a *minimal element*, i.e.  $a$  in  $A$  such that  $a \leq s$  for  $s$  in  $A$ .

Observe that a well-ordered set is totally ordered.

### Examples.

- (i) If  $X \neq \emptyset$ , then  $(\mathcal{P}(X), \subseteq)$  is a partially ordered set.
- (ii) Let  $\leq$  be the usual ordering on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $(\mathbb{R}, \leq)$  and  $(\mathbb{Q}, \leq)$  are totally ordered. The set  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is well-ordered, as is  $(\{n - \frac{1}{k}\}_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}, \leq)$ .

**Theorem.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) Axiom of choice: *for every non-empty  $X$ , there is a choice function, i.e.  $\gamma : \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow X$  such that  $\gamma(A) \in A$  for each  $A$ .*
- (ii) Hausdorff’s maximality principle: *in any partially ordered set  $(S, \leq)$  there is a maximal chain, i.e. a chain  $M$  for which no  $M \cup \{s\}$  is a chain for any  $s$  in  $S \setminus M$ .*
- (iii) Zorn’s Lemma: *if in a partially ordered set  $(S, \leq)$ , each chain has an upper bound, then there is a maximal element  $m$  for  $S$ , i.e.  $m \leq s$  implies  $m = s$ .*
- (iv) Well-ordering principle: *any non-empty set  $S$  admits a well-ordering.*

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). We first prove an ancilliary result, based on axiom of choice.

(I) Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  satisfy

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ , and
- if  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{F}$  is a chain (w.r.t  $\subseteq$ ), then  $\bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}} K \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{F}$  contains an element  $M$  such that  $M \cup \{x\} \notin \mathcal{F}$  for any  $x \in X \setminus M$ .

Let us prove this statement. For each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  let  $A^* = \{x \in X : A \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . (Note that this choice is dependant on  $\mathcal{F}$ , but we need not acknowledge that explicitly.) We fix a choice function  $\gamma : \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow X$ . We let  $\Gamma(A) = A \cup \{\gamma(A^*)\}$  if  $A^* \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\Gamma(A) = A$  otherwise. We note that  $\gamma(A^*) \in A^*$  for each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  for which  $A^* \neq \emptyset$ , and hence  $\Gamma(A) \in \mathcal{F}$ . (Observe that if there were  $M$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  for which  $M^* = \emptyset$  we would be done, but we prefer to leave the condtradiction aspect of this proof to the end.)

We define a *tower* (really, a  $(\gamma, \mathcal{F})$ -tower) to be any subcollection  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  for which

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
- $A \in \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow \Gamma(A) \in \mathcal{T}$
- if  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{T}$  is a chain (w.r.t  $\subseteq$ ), then  $\bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}} K \in \mathcal{T}$ .

Notice that  $\mathcal{F}$ , itself, is a tower, and that the intersection of any family of towers is again a tower. Hence

$$\mathcal{T}_0 = \bigcap \{ \mathcal{T} : \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \text{ is a tower} \}$$

is a tower. Notice,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}_0$ , and hence  $\{\gamma(\emptyset^*)\}, \{\gamma(\emptyset^*), \gamma(\{\gamma(\emptyset^*)\}^*)\} \in \mathcal{T}_0$ , etc. We aim to show that  $(\mathcal{T}_0, \subseteq)$  is totally ordered. To this end, we call a set  $C$  in  $\mathcal{T}_0$  *comparable* (in  $\mathcal{T}_0$ ), if for  $A$  in  $\mathcal{T}_0$ , either  $A \subseteq C$  or  $C \subseteq A$ . For such  $C$  consider the family

$$\mathcal{T}_C = \{A \in \mathcal{T}_0 : A \subsetneq C\} \cup \{C\} \cup \{A \in \mathcal{T}_0 : \Gamma(C) \subseteq A\}.$$

We observe that  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}_C$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{T}_C$  then  $\Gamma(A) \in \mathcal{T}_0$ , and, using the assumption the  $C$  is comparable, we see that

- if  $A \subsetneq C$ , then  $\Gamma(A) \subseteq C$ , since otherwise, in the case that  $A^* \neq \emptyset$ , we would have  $A \subsetneq C \subsetneq A \cup \{\gamma(A^*)\}$ , which is clearly impossible; or
- if  $A = C$  or if  $\Gamma(C) \subseteq A$  then  $C \subseteq A \subseteq \Gamma(A)$ ;

hence  $\Gamma(A) \in \mathcal{T}_C$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{K}$  is a chain in  $\mathcal{T}_C$ , then let  $B = \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}} K$ . Indeed if each  $K \subseteq C$ , then  $B \subseteq C$ ; and if  $\Gamma(C) \subseteq K$  for some  $K$ , then  $\Gamma(C) \subseteq B$ . Thus  $\mathcal{T}_C$  is a tower, in which case we must have  $\mathcal{T}_C = \mathcal{T}_0$ , as  $\mathcal{T}_0$  is the minimal tower in  $\mathcal{F}$ . It follows that  $\Gamma(C)$  is comparable if  $C$  is. Thus the family of comparable sets,  $\mathcal{C}$ , satisfies the first two axioms of a tower; it

remains to check the third. If  $\mathcal{K}$  is a chain in  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $B = \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}} K$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{T}_0$  then either  $A \subseteq K$  for some  $K$ , in which case  $A \subseteq B$ ; or  $K \subseteq A$  for all  $K$ , in which case  $B \subseteq A$ . Thus  $B \in \mathcal{C}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{C}$  is itself a tower, and again by minimality of  $\mathcal{T}_0$ , we see that  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{T}_0$ . Hence we have that  $(\mathcal{T}_0, \subseteq)$  is indeed totally ordered, hence a chain in  $(\mathcal{F}, \subseteq)$ .

Now we let  $M = \bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}_0} T \in \mathcal{T}_0$ . If it were the case that  $M^* \neq \emptyset$ , we would have that  $\Gamma(M) = M \cup \{\gamma(M^*)\} \in \mathcal{T}_0$  since  $\mathcal{T}_0$  is a tower. But this violates the fact that  $\gamma(M^*) \notin M$ . Hence  $M^* = \emptyset$  which proves (I).

(II) We now use (I) to prove (ii). Given a partially ordered set  $(S, \leq)$ , let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the set of all chains in  $S$ . We remark that  $\emptyset$  is trivially a chain. Any chain  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $(\mathcal{F}, \subseteq)$  has that  $C = \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}} K$  is a chain, i.e. any two elements of  $C$  must live in some  $K$ . Any  $M$ , arising from the conclusion of (I), is a maximal chain.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Suppose  $(S, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set in which each chain has a maximal element. Let  $M$  be a maximal chain in  $(S, \leq)$  and  $m$  be an upper bound for  $M$ . Then  $M \cup \{m\}$  is a chain, and hence equal to  $M$  by maximality of  $M$ , i.e.  $m \in M$ . Moreover, if any  $s$  in  $S$  satisfies  $m \leq s$ , then  $M \cup \{s\}$  is a chain, from which it again follows that  $s \in M$ , hence  $s \leq m$ . But then  $s = m$ , so  $m$  is a maximal element.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Let  $\mathcal{W} = \{(A, \leq_A) : A \in \mathcal{P}(X), \leq_A \text{ is a well-ordering on } A\}$ . We let  $(A, \leq_A) \leq (B, \leq_B)$  iff  $(A, \leq_A)$  is an *initial segment* of  $(B, \leq_B)$ , i.e.  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $\leq_B|_{A \times A} = \leq_A$ , and for  $a$  in  $A$  and  $b$  in  $B$ , we have  $a \leq_B b$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a chain in  $(\mathcal{W}, \leq)$ . Let  $U = \bigcup_{(C, \leq_C) \in \mathcal{C}} C$  and for  $s, t$  in  $U$ , let  $s \leq_U t$  whenever  $s, t \in C$  with  $s \leq_C t$ , for some  $(C, \leq_C) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\leq_U$  is trivially well-defined. If  $A \subseteq U$  is non-empty, there is some  $(C, \leq_C)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  for which  $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$ , and thus admits a minimal element  $a_C$ . Observe that if  $A \cap C' \neq \emptyset$  for another  $(C', \leq_{C'})$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $C \subseteq C'$ , say, and we see that  $a_{C'} = a_C$ , since  $(C, \leq_C)$  is an initial segment of  $(C', \leq_{C'})$ . In particular,  $(U, \leq_U)$  is an upper bound for  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Hence, by Zorn's lemma,  $\mathcal{W}$  admits a maximal element  $(M, \leq_M)$ . If there were  $s$  in  $S \setminus M$ , we could let  $M' = M \cup \{s\}$  and extend  $\leq_M$  to  $M'$  by assigning  $t \leq_{M'} s$  for all  $t$  in  $M$ . But then  $(M', \leq_{M'}) \in \mathcal{W}$ , which would violate the maximality of  $(M, \leq_M)$ . Hence  $\leq_M$  is a well-ordering on  $S$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose  $\leq$  is a well-ordering on  $X$ . Let  $\gamma(A)$  be the minimal element of  $A$  for each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** There is an equivalent formulation of axiom of choice: if  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  is any collection of non-empty sets, then the Cartesian product  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$  is non-empty.

Indeed, given axiom of choice, as formulated in (i), above, we let  $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$ . Then for any choice function  $\gamma : \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow X$  we note that  $(\gamma(X_i))_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ .

Conversely, if  $X$  is any non-empty set, let us suppose  $\prod_{A \in \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}} A \neq \emptyset$ , i.e. contains an element  $(x_A)_{A \in \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}}$ . Then  $\gamma(A) = x_A$  defines a choice function.

**Remark.** Let us finally remark that finite Cartesian products of non-empty sets,  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ , may be regarded as non-empty in absence of axiom of choice. Naively speaking, this is a *finitary* selection process, and not problematic.

The family of functions from  $B$  to  $A$ , which we may denote  $A^B$  (for non-empty  $A$  and  $B$ ), can be generally considered non-empty without appealing to axiom of choice. In fact, one may define a function from  $B$  to  $A$  as any subset  $f$  of  $B \times A$  such that for any  $b$  in  $B$  such that  $(b, a), (b, a') \in f$  implies  $a = a'$ . (We willfully confuse a function with its graph. Sorry! Moreover, we shall prefer notations “ $f(b) = a$ ” or “ $b \mapsto f(b)$ ”, rather than “ $(b, a) \in f$ ”.) For example, fixing  $a$  in  $A$ ,  $B \times \{a\}$ , i.e.  $b \mapsto a$ , is a constant function.