

1. (a) Let's write elements of l_p as $\mathbf{a} = (a_i)_{i \geq 1}$. Let \mathbf{e}_i , $i \geq 1$, denote the standard basis for l^p . Observe that evaluation $\varepsilon_i(\mathbf{a}) = a_i$ is multiplicative for each $i \geq 1$. Suppose that φ is a multiplicative linear functional. Since $\text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_i : i \geq 1\}$ is dense in l^p , there is some j so that $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_j) = \lambda \neq 0$. Then if $i \neq j$, $0 = \varphi(\mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j) = \varphi(\mathbf{e}_i)\lambda$; whence $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_i) = 0$. While $\lambda^2 = \varphi(\mathbf{e}_j^2) = \varphi(\mathbf{e}_j) = \lambda$ shows that $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_j) = 1$. Thus φ agrees with ε_j on $\text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_i : i \geq 1\}$, and hence on all of l_p . So $\mathcal{M}_{l^p} = \{\varepsilon_i : i \geq 1\}$.

(b) The set $J = \text{span}(l_p)^2$ is an ideal. It is proper because it lies in the subspace $l_{p/2}$; that is, if $\mathbf{a} = (a_i)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_i)$ are in l_p , then by Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$\sum |a_i b_i|^{p/2} \leq \left(\sum |a_i|^p \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum |b_i|^p \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

This extends to the span by standard methods even when $p < 2$. The algebra l_p/J has the trivial product, and thus every subspace is an ideal. Consequently any subspace of l_p containing J is an ideal. Take a subspace M of codimension 1 containing J . This is then a maximal ideal. However it is not closed because J is dense, and is not modular because l_p/M has the trivial multiplication and so it isn't unital.

2. If $f \in \mathcal{I}_0(E)$, let U be open containing E such that $f|_U = 0$. For each $x \in X \setminus U$, there is a function $g_x \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $g_x(x) \neq 0$. So $V_x = g_x^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$ is an open cover of $X \setminus U$. Let V_{x_1}, \dots, V_{x_n} be a finite open cover. Then $g = \sum_{i=1}^n g_{x_i} \overline{g_{x_i}}$ is an element of \mathcal{I} which is strictly positive on $X \setminus U$. Therefore $h = \begin{cases} f(x)/g(x) & \text{for } x \in X \setminus U \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in U \end{cases}$ is continuous on X . Hence $f = hg$ belongs to \mathcal{I} . The second inclusion is trivial.
3. (a) If φ is a multiplicative linear functional on \mathfrak{B} , then $\varphi\theta$ is multiplicative on \mathfrak{A} . Thus if $a \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{A}$, then $0 = \varphi\theta(a)$ for all φ . So $\sigma(\theta(a)) = \{\varphi(\theta(a))\} = \{0\}$. Since \mathfrak{B} is semisimple, $\theta(a) = 0$. Since θ is injective, $a = 0$. So \mathfrak{A} is also semisimple.

(b) Point evaluation ε_x for $x \in [0, 1]$ are clearly multiplicative. These separate points, and so $\text{rad } C^1[0, 1] = \{0\}$; i.e., it is semisimple. The closed subspace \mathcal{J} is an ideal because if $f \in \mathcal{J}$ and $g \in C^1[0, 1]$, $fg(0) = 0$ and $(fg)'(0) = f'(0)g(0) + f(0)g'(0) = 0$. So $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{A}/\mathcal{J}$ is a Banach algebra. Let φ be a multiplicative functional on \mathfrak{B} . Then φq is multiplicative on \mathfrak{A} , where q is the quotient map. This must coincide with some ε_x which vanishes on \mathcal{J} , and hence it must be ε_0 . Thus there is a unique multiplicative functional. Therefore $\text{rad } \mathfrak{B} = \ker \varphi$ is proper.

Remark: The map taking f to $\begin{bmatrix} f(0) & f'(0) \\ 0 & f(0) \end{bmatrix}$ is a homomorphism with kernel \mathcal{J} . So \mathfrak{B} is isomorphic to the matrices of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$ and the radical has the form $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

4. (a) Consider the ideal generated by $\{a_i - \lambda_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. If this is a proper ideal, then it is contained in a maximal ideal (by Zorn's Lemma), say $\ker \varphi$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(A)$. Thus $0 = \varphi(a_i - \lambda_i) = \varphi(a_i) - \lambda_i$; whence $\lambda \notin \sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. In this case, the ideal does not contain 1, and so there are no b_i 's satisfying the identity.

On the other hand, if it is not a proper ideal, then it does contain 1. So there are $b_1, \dots, b_n \in A$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - \lambda_i)b_i = 1$. In this case, there is no maximal ideal containing all $\{a_i - \lambda_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$; so $\lambda \notin \sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

(b) The map Φ is continuous by definition of the weak-* topology, and the range is compact. Because a_1, \dots, a_n generate A , each $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ is determined uniquely by its values on a_1, \dots, a_n . Therefore Φ is one-to-one. A continuous map of one compact Hausdorff space onto another is a homeomorphism.

(c) If $\lambda \notin \sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, use part (a) to find $b_1, \dots, b_n \in A$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - \lambda_i) b_i = 1$. As a_1, \dots, a_n generate A , there are polynomials $p_i \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ so that $\|b_i - p_i(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|$ are sufficiently small so that

$$\left\| 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - \lambda_i) p_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) \right\| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let $p(z_1, \dots, z_n) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - \lambda_i) p_i(z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Then for any $z \in \sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, we have $|p(z)| = |\hat{z}(p(a_1, \dots, a_n))| \leq \|p(a_1, \dots, a_n)\| < 1/2$ while $p(\lambda) = 1$. So λ is not in the polynomial convex hull of $\sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Hence the spectrum is polynomially convex.

Alternatively: suppose that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is in the polynomially convex hull of $\sigma(a)$. Then

$$|p(\lambda)| \leq \sup_{z \in \sigma(a)} |p(z)| = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(A)} |\varphi(p(a))| \leq \|p(a)\| \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n].$$

Thus evaluation at λ is a continuous multiplicative functional on the polynomials in a_1, \dots, a_n . So it extends to a character ψ on A by continuity. Thus $\lambda = \psi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ belongs to $\sigma(a)$.

5. (a) Let π be an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{A}/\text{rad } \mathfrak{A}$ and let $q : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}/\text{rad } \mathfrak{A}$ be the quotient map. Then πq is an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{A} . Conversely every irreducible representation σ of \mathfrak{A} has kernel containing $\text{rad } \mathfrak{A}$. Thus there is a representation π of $\mathfrak{A}/\text{rad } \mathfrak{A}$ given by $\pi(q(a)) = \sigma(a)$. This is irreducible and $\sigma = \pi q$. Thus

$$\text{rad}(\mathfrak{A}/\text{rad } \mathfrak{A}) = \bigcap \ker \pi = q\left(\bigcap \ker \pi q\right) = q(\text{rad } \mathfrak{A}) = \{0\}.$$

So $\mathfrak{A}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{A})$ is semisimple.

(b) Let (X, π) be an irreducible \mathfrak{A} module. Then $\mathfrak{J}X$ is a submodule. So either it is $\{0\}$ or X . In the second case, X is irreducible for \mathfrak{J} as well. To see this, let $x_0 \neq 0$ and let $x \in X$. There is some $b \in \mathfrak{J}$ and some $x_1 \in X$ so that $bx_1 = x$. Choose $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ so that $ax_0 = x_1$. Then $ba x_0 = x$. Since $ba \in \mathfrak{J}$, we see that \mathfrak{J} acts transitively and thus X is irreducible as a \mathfrak{J} -module. Conversely, if X is an irreducible \mathfrak{J} -module, make it into an \mathfrak{A} module as follows: fix $x_0 \neq 0$ and identify X with \mathfrak{J}/J_{x_0} where here $J_{x_0} = \{a \in \mathfrak{J} : ax_0 = 0\}$. We can make X into an \mathfrak{A} module by defining $a\dot{j} := (a\dot{j})$. This is clearly still irreducible.

Now if $a \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{J}$ and π is an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{A} , then it restricts to zero or an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{J} and thus $\pi(a) = 0$. So $\text{rad } \mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{J} \cap \text{rad } \mathfrak{A}$. Conversely, if $a \in \mathfrak{J} \cap \text{rad } \mathfrak{A}$ and π is an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{J} , then by the previous paragraph, it extends to an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{A} . Thus $\pi(a) = 0$ and hence $a \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{J}$.

Remark: One can work instead with maximal modular left ideals. If I is a maximal modular left ideal of \mathfrak{A} , then $I \cap \mathfrak{J}$ is either \mathfrak{J} or maximal modular. Conversely if J is a maximal modular left ideal of \mathfrak{J} with right modular unit u , then $I = \{a \in \mathfrak{A} : au \in J\}$ is a maximal modular left ideal of \mathfrak{A} . The details are left to you.

6. (a) If (X, π) is an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{B} , then \mathfrak{B} acts transitively on X . Since θ is surjective, $\pi\theta(\mathfrak{A}) = \pi(\mathfrak{B})$ acts transitively on X , and hence $\pi\theta$ is irreducible. Thus $\text{rad } \mathfrak{A} \subset \bigcap_{\pi \in \text{irred}(\mathfrak{B})} \ker \pi\theta$. Thus $\theta(\text{rad } \mathfrak{A}) \subset \bigcap_{\pi \in \text{irred}(\mathfrak{B})} \ker \pi = \text{rad } \mathfrak{B}$.

(b) Since \mathfrak{B} is semisimple, $\bigcap_{\pi \in \text{irred}(\mathfrak{B})} \ker \pi = \{0\}$. Each $\pi\theta$ is irreducible, and thus its kernel is primitive and thus closed. So we have $\ker \theta = \bigcap_{\pi \in \text{irred}(\mathfrak{B})} \ker \pi\theta$ is closed.