Force field interpretation

centering problem (for problem with no equality constraints)

$$\text{minimize} \quad tf_0(x) - \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m \log(-f_i(x))$$

force field interpretation

- $tf_0(x)$ is potential of force field $F_0(x) = -t\nabla f_0(x)$
- $-\log(-f_i(x))$ is potential of force field $F_i(x) = (1/f_i(x))\nabla f_i(x)$

the forces balance at $x^\star(t)$:

$$F_0(x^\star(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^m F_i(x^\star(t)) = 0$$

example

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & a_i^T x \le b_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m \end{array}$$

- objective force field is constant: $F_0(x) = -tc$
- constraint force field decays as inverse distance to constraint hyperplane:

$$F_i(x) = \frac{-a_i}{b_i - a_i^T x}, \qquad \|F_i(x)\|_2 = \frac{1}{\mathbf{dist}(x, \mathcal{H}_i)}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_i = \{x \mid a_i^T x = b_i\}$



Barrier method

---

given strictly feasible x, $t := t^{(0)} > 0$, $\mu > 1$, tolerance $\epsilon > 0$.

repeat

1. *Centering step.* Compute $x^\star(t)$ by minimizing $tf_0 + \phi$, subject to $Ax = b$.
2. *Update.* $x := x^\star(t)$.
3. *Stopping criterion.* **quit** if $m/t < \epsilon$.
4. *Increase t.* $t := \mu t$.

- terminates with $f_0(x) - p^\star \leq \epsilon$ (stopping criterion follows from $f_0(x^\star(t)) - p^\star \leq m/t$)
- centering usually done using Newton's method, starting at current x
- choice of μ involves a trade-off: large μ means fewer outer iterations, more inner (Newton) iterations; typical values: $\mu = 10$–20
- several heuristics for choice of $t^{(0)}$

Convergence analysis

number of outer (centering) iterations: exactly

$$\left\lceil \frac{\log(m/(\epsilon t^{(0)}))}{\log \mu} \right\rceil$$

plus the initial centering step (to compute $x^\star(t^{(0)})$)

centering problem

$$\text{minimize} \quad t f_0(x) + \phi(x)$$

see convergence analysis of Newton's method

- $t f_0 + \phi$ must have closed sublevel sets for $t \geq t^{(0)}$
- classical analysis requires strong convexity, Lipschitz condition
- analysis via self-concordance requires self-concordance of $t f_0 + \phi$

Examples

inequality form LP ($m = 100$ inequalities, $n = 50$ variables)





- starts with x on central path ($t^{(0)} = 1$, duality gap 100)
- terminates when $t = 10^8$ (gap 10^{-6})
- centering uses Newton's method with backtracking
- total number of Newton iterations not very sensitive for $\mu \geq 10$

geometric program ($m = 100$ inequalities and $n = 50$ variables)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \log\left(\sum_{k=1}^{5} \exp(a_{0k}^T x + b_{0k})\right) \\ \text{subject to} & \log\left(\sum_{k=1}^{5} \exp(a_{ik}^T x + b_{ik})\right) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m \end{array}$$



family of standard LPs ($A \in \mathbf{R}^{m\times 2m}$)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b, \quad x \succeq 0 \end{array}$$

$m = 10, \ldots, 1000$; for each m, solve 100 randomly generated instances



number of iterations grows very slowly as m ranges over a $100 : 1$ ratio

Feasibility and phase I methods

feasibility problem: find x such that

$$f_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \qquad Ax = b \tag{2}$$

phase I: computes strictly feasible starting point for barrier method

basic phase I method

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize (over } x, s) & s \\ \text{subject to} & f_i(x) \leq s, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m \\ & Ax = b \end{array} \tag{3}$$

- if x, s feasible, with $s < 0$, then x is strictly feasible for (2)
- if optimal value $\bar{p}^\star$ of (3) is positive, then problem (2) is infeasible
- if $\bar{p}^\star = 0$ and attained, then problem (2) is feasible (but not strictly);
  if $\bar{p}^\star = 0$ and not attained, then problem (2) is infeasible

sum of infeasibilities phase I method

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{minimize} & \mathbf{1}^T s \\
\text{subject to} & s \succeq 0, \quad f_i(x) \leq s_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m \\
& Ax = b
\end{array}
$$

for infeasible problems, produces a solution that satisfies many more inequalities than basic phase I method

example (infeasible set of 100 linear inequalities in 50 variables)



left: basic phase I solution; satisfies 39 inequalities
right: sum of infeasibilities phase I solution; satisfies 79 solutions

example: family of linear inequalities $Ax \preceq b + \gamma \Delta b$

- data chosen to be strictly feasible for $\gamma > 0$, infeasible for $\gamma \leq 0$
- use basic phase I, terminate when $s < 0$ or dual objective is positive



number of iterations roughly proportional to $\log(1/|\gamma|)$

Complexity analysis via self-concordance

same assumptions as on page 12–2, plus:

- sublevel sets (of f_0, on the feasible set) are bounded
- $tf_0 + \phi$ is self-concordant with closed sublevel sets

second condition

- holds for LP, QP, QCQP
- may require reformulating the problem, *e.g.*,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log x_i \\ \text{subject to} & Fx \preceq g \end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log x_i \\ \text{subject to} & Fx \preceq g, \quad x \succeq 0 \end{array}$$

- needed for complexity analysis; barrier method works even when self-concordance assumption does not apply

Newton iterations per centering step: from self-concordance theory

$$\#\text{Newton iterations} \leq \frac{\mu t f_0(x) + \phi(x) - \mu t f_0(x^+) - \phi(x^+)}{\gamma} + c$$

- bound on effort of computing $x^+ = x^\star(\mu t)$ starting at $x = x^\star(t)$
- γ, c are constants (depend only on Newton algorithm parameters)
- from duality (with $\lambda = \lambda^\star(t)$, $\nu = \nu^\star(t)$):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu t f_0(x) + \phi(x) - \mu t f_0(x^+) - \phi(x^+) \\
&\quad = \mu t f_0(x) - \mu t f_0(x^+) + \sum_{i=1}^m \log(-\mu t \lambda_i f_i(x^+)) - m \log \mu \\
&\quad \leq \mu t f_0(x) - \mu t f_0(x^+) - \mu t \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(x^+) - m - m \log \mu \\
&\quad \leq \mu t f_0(x) - \mu t g(\lambda, \nu) - m - m \log \mu \\
&\quad = m(\mu - 1 - \log \mu)
\end{aligned}$$

total number of Newton iterations (excluding first centering step)

$$\#\text{Newton iterations} \leq N = \left\lceil \frac{\log(m/(t^{(0)}\epsilon))}{\log \mu} \right\rceil \left(\frac{m(\mu - 1 - \log \mu)}{\gamma} + c \right)$$



figure shows N for typical values of γ, c,

$$m = 100, \qquad \frac{m}{t^{(0)}\epsilon} = 10^5$$

- confirms trade-off in choice of μ
- in practice, #iterations is in the tens; not very sensitive for $\mu \geq 10$

polynomial-time complexity of barrier method

- for $\mu = 1 + 1/\sqrt{m}$:

$$N = O\left(\sqrt{m}\log\left(\frac{m/t^{(0)}}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

- number of Newton iterations for fixed gap reduction is $O(\sqrt{m})$
- multiply with cost of one Newton iteration (a polynomial function of problem dimensions), to get bound on number of flops

this choice of μ optimizes worst-case complexity; in practice we choose μ fixed ($\mu = 10, \ldots, 20$)

Generalized inequalities

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f_0(x) \\ \text{subject to} & f_i(x) \preceq_{K_i} 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m \\ & Ax = b \end{array}$$

- f_0 convex, $f_i : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^{k_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, convex with respect to proper cones $K_i \in \mathbf{R}^{k_i}$
- f_i twice continuously differentiable
- $A \in \mathbf{R}^{p \times n}$ with $\mathbf{rank}\, A = p$
- we assume $p^\star$ is finite and attained
- we assume problem is strictly feasible; hence strong duality holds and dual optimum is attained

examples of greatest interest: SOCP, SDP

Generalized logarithm for proper cone

$\psi : \mathbf{R}^q \to \mathbf{R}$ is generalized logarithm for proper cone $K \subseteq \mathbf{R}^q$ if:

- $\mathbf{dom}\,\psi = \mathbf{int}\,K$ and $\nabla^2\psi(y) \prec 0$ for $y \succ_K 0$
- $\psi(sy) = \psi(y) + \theta \log s$ for $y \succ_K 0$, $s > 0$ (θ is the degree of ψ)

examples

- nonnegative orthant $K = \mathbf{R}^n_+$: $\psi(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log y_i$, with degree $\theta = n$
- positive semidefinite cone $K = \mathbf{S}^n_+$:

$$\psi(Y) = \log\det Y \qquad (\theta = n)$$

- second-order cone $K = \{y \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \mid (y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)^{1/2} \le y_{n+1}\}$:

$$\psi(y) = \log(y_{n+1}^2 - y_1^2 - \cdots - y_n^2) \qquad (\theta = 2)$$

properties (without proof): for $y \succ_K 0$,

$$\nabla \psi(y) \succeq_{K^*} 0, \qquad y^T \nabla \psi(y) = \theta$$

- nonnegative orthant $\mathbf{R}_+^n$: $\psi(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log y_i$

$$\nabla \psi(y) = (1/y_1, \ldots, 1/y_n), \qquad y^T \nabla \psi(y) = n$$

- positive semidefinite cone $\mathbf{S}_+^n$: $\psi(Y) = \log \det Y$

$$\nabla \psi(Y) = Y^{-1}, \qquad \mathbf{tr}(Y \nabla \psi(Y)) = n$$

- second-order cone $K = \{y \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \mid (y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)^{1/2} \le y_{n+1}\}$:

$$\nabla \psi(y) = \frac{2}{y_{n+1}^2 - y_1^2 - \cdots - y_n^2} \begin{bmatrix} -y_1 \\ \vdots \\ -y_n \\ y_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad y^T \nabla \psi(y) = 2$$

Logarithmic barrier and central path

logarithmic barrier for $f_1(x) \preceq_{K_1} 0, \ldots, f_m(x) \preceq_{K_m} 0$:

$$\phi(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \psi_i(-f_i(x)), \qquad \mathbf{dom}\,\phi = \{x \mid f_i(x) \prec_{K_i} 0,\ i = 1, \ldots, m\}$$

- ψ_i is generalized logarithm for K_i, with degree θ_i
- ϕ is convex, twice continuously differentiable

central path: $\{x^\star(t) \mid t > 0\}$ where $x^\star(t)$ solves

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & t f_0(x) + \phi(x) \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b \end{array}$$

Dual points on central path

$x = x^\star(t)$ if there exists $w \in \mathbf{R}^p$,

$$t\nabla f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m Df_i(x)^T \nabla\psi_i(-f_i(x)) + A^T w = 0$$

($Df_i(x) \in \mathbf{R}^{k_i \times n}$ is derivative matrix of f_i)

- therefore, $x^\star(t)$ minimizes Lagrangian $L(x, \lambda^\star(t), \nu^\star(t))$, where

$$\lambda_i^\star(t) = \frac{1}{t}\nabla\psi_i(-f_i(x^\star(t))), \qquad \nu^\star(t) = \frac{w}{t}$$

- from properties of ψ_i: $\lambda_i^\star(t) \succ_{K_i^*} 0$, with duality gap

$$f_0(x^\star(t)) - g(\lambda^\star(t), \nu^\star(t)) = (1/t)\sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i$$

example: semidefinite programming (with $F_i \in \mathbf{S}^p$)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i F_i + G \preceq 0 \end{array}$$

- logarithmic barrier: $\phi(x) = \log\det(-F(x)^{-1})$
- central path: $x^\star(t)$ minimizes $tc^T x - \log\det(-F(x))$; hence

$$tc_i - \mathbf{tr}(F_i F(x^\star(t))^{-1}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$$

- dual point on central path: $Z^\star(t) = -(1/t)F(x^\star(t))^{-1}$ is feasible for

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & \mathbf{tr}(GZ) \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{tr}(F_i Z) + c_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \\ & Z \succeq 0 \end{array}$$

- duality gap on central path: $c^T x^\star(t) - \mathbf{tr}(GZ^\star(t)) = p/t$

Barrier method

---

given strictly feasible x, $t := t^{(0)} > 0$, $\mu > 1$, tolerance $\epsilon > 0$.

repeat

1. *Centering step.* Compute $x^\star(t)$ by minimizing $tf_0 + \phi$, subject to $Ax = b$.
2. *Update.* $x := x^\star(t)$.
3. *Stopping criterion.* **quit** if $(\sum_i \theta_i)/t < \epsilon$.
4. *Increase* t. $t := \mu t$.

- only difference is duality gap m/t on central path is replaced by $\sum_i \theta_i/t$
- number of outer iterations:

$$\left\lceil \frac{\log((\sum_i \theta_i)/(\epsilon t^{(0)}))}{\log \mu} \right\rceil$$

- complexity analysis via self-concordance applies to SDP, SOCP

Examples

second-order cone program (50 variables, 50 SOC constraints in $\mathbf{R}^6$)



semidefinite program (100 variables, LMI constraint in $\mathbf{S}^{100}$)



family of SDPs ($A \in \mathbf{S}^n$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \mathbf{1}^T x \\ \text{subject to} & A + \mathbf{diag}(x) \succeq 0 \end{array}$$

$n = 10, \ldots, 1000$, for each n solve 100 randomly generated instances



Primal-dual interior-point methods

more efficient than barrier method when high accuracy is needed

- update primal and dual variables at each iteration; no distinction between inner and outer iterations
- often exhibit superlinear asymptotic convergence
- search directions can be interpreted as Newton directions for modified KKT conditions
- can start at infeasible points
- cost per iteration same as barrier method