

# Some Necessary and Some Sufficient Trace Inequalities for Euclidean Distance Matrices

A. Y. Alfakih <sup>\*†</sup>      Henry Wolkowicz <sup>‡</sup>

May 27, 2006

University of Waterloo  
Department of Combinatorics and Optimization  
Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada  
Research Report CORR #2005-21

**Key Words:** Euclidean distance matrices, smallest eigenvalue.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 15A45, 15A57.

## Abstract

In this paper, we use known bounds on the smallest eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix and Schoenberg's Theorem to provide both necessary as well as sufficient trace inequalities that guarantee a matrix  $D$  is a Euclidean distance matrix, **EDM**. We also provide necessary and sufficient trace inequalities that guarantee a matrix  $D$  is an **EDM** generated by a regular figure.

## 1 Introduction

A real,  $n \times n$ , symmetric matrix  $D = (d_{ij})$  is called a *predistance matrix* if it is nonnegative elementwise with zero diagonal. If, in addition, there exist points  $p^1, \dots, p^n$  in some Euclidean space  $\mathfrak{R}^r$  such that

$$d_{ij} = \|p^i - p^j\|^2 \text{ for all } i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

then  $D$  is called a *Euclidean distance matrix*, **EDM**, and the dimension of the smallest space containing the points  $p^1, \dots, p^n$  is called the *embedding dimension* of  $D$ . A well-known theorem of Schoenberg [7] states that a predistance matrix  $D$  is **EDM** if and only if  $D$  is negative semidefinite on the subspace  $M := e^\perp = \{x \in \mathfrak{R}^n : e^T x = 0\}$ , where  $e$  is the vector of all ones. This provides a relationship between the convex cone of **EDMs** and the convex cone of positive semidefinite matrices.

It is well known that a real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix  $X$  is positive semidefinite if and only if all the eigenvalues are nonnegative. Therefore, bounds on the smallest nonzero eigenvalue can be

---

<sup>\*</sup>University of Windsor, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Windsor, Ontario, N9B 3P4, Canada

<sup>†</sup>Research supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and MITACS. E-mail alfakih@uwindsor.ca

<sup>‡</sup>Research supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and MITACS. E-mail hwolkowicz@uwaterloo.ca

used to provide both necessary as well as sufficient conditions for positive semidefiniteness. In this paper we use known relationships between **EDMs** and positive semidefinite matrices and known eigenvalue bounds, to get necessary as well as sufficient inequalities that guarantee a matrix is **EDM**.

In this paper, we let  $e$  denote the vector of all ones of appropriate dimension;  $\mathcal{S}^n$  denotes the space of real, symmetric,  $n \times n$  matrices;  $D \in \mathcal{S}^n$  denotes a *nonzero* predistance matrix; and for  $X \in \mathcal{S}^n$ , we use  $X \succeq 0$  to denote that  $X$  is positive semidefinite.

## 1.1 Known Eigenvalue Bounds

Bounds for eigenvalues of matrices are well known in the literature. A survey of bounds is given in e.g. [5, 4]. The following upper and lower bounds on the smallest nonzero eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix follow from the results in [9]. We outline a proof for completeness.

**Theorem 1.1** [9] *Suppose that  $A$  is an  $n \times n$ , real symmetric matrix of rank at most  $r$ ,  $r \geq 2$ . Let*

$$m := \frac{\text{trace } A}{r}, \quad s^2 := \frac{\text{trace } A^2}{r} - \left( \frac{\text{trace } A}{r} \right)^2. \quad (1.1)$$

*Then the smallest nonzero eigenvalue of  $A$ , denoted  $\lambda_1(A)$ , satisfies*

$$m - \sqrt{r-1} s \leq \lambda_1(A) \leq m - \frac{1}{\sqrt{r-1}} s. \quad (1.2)$$

**Proof.** We outline a proof for the lower bound for  $\lambda_1$ . The proof of the upper bound is similar but more involved. Let  $A$  be a symmetric matrix of rank  $r$  and let  $\lambda = (\lambda_i)$  be the vector of the nonzero eigenvalues of  $A$ . We know that  $e^T \lambda = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j = \text{trace } A$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j^2 = \text{trace } A^2$ . Moreover, the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality implies that  $r \left( \frac{\text{trace } A}{r} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{r} (e^T \lambda)^2 \leq \frac{1}{r} \|e\|^2 \|\lambda\|^2 = \text{trace } A^2$ , with equality if and only if all the eigenvalues are equal to  $\frac{1}{r} \text{trace } A$ , in which case the lower bound is trivially true. Therefore, we can assume that strict inequality holds,  $r \left( \frac{\text{trace } A}{r} \right)^2 < \text{trace } A^2$ . Consider the convex program

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \lambda_1 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j = \text{trace } A \\ & \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j^2 \leq \text{trace } A^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the strict inequality assumption, the generalized Slater constraint qualification holds for this convex program. Therefore, we can apply the (necessary and sufficient) optimality conditions (Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions), with Lagrange multipliers  $\alpha, \beta$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha e + 2\beta \lambda = 0, \quad \beta \left( \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j^2 - \text{trace } A^2 \right) = 0, \quad \beta \geq 0.$$

The optimality conditions are satisfied by  $\beta > 0$  and  $m - \sqrt{r-1} s = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_r = m + \frac{1}{\sqrt{r-1}} s$ . ■

Note that the bounds get tighter if  $r$  can be chosen smaller.

## 2 Some Necessary and Some Sufficient Trace Inequalities for EDMs

As stated above, it is well known [7] that a predistance matrix  $D$  is **EDM** if and only if  $D$  is negative semidefinite on  $M$ . Let  $V$  be the  $n \times n - 1$  matrix whose columns form an orthonormal basis for  $M$ . Then it immediately follows that a predistance matrix  $D$  is **EDM** if and only if  $-V^T D V$  is positive semidefinite. Note also that  $J := V V^T = I - \frac{1}{n} e e^T$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $M$ . Now, by applying Theorem 1.1 to the matrix  $X = -V^T D V$  we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $D \neq 0$  be an  $n \times n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , predistance matrix. Then*

1. *The following is a sufficient condition for  $D$  to be an EDM*

$$\frac{2}{n} e^T D^2 e - \frac{(n-3)}{n^2(n-2)} (e^T D e)^2 \geq \text{trace } D^2. \quad (2.3)$$

2. *If  $D$  is an EDM then  $D$  satisfies*

$$\frac{2}{n} e^T D^2 e \geq \text{trace } D^2. \quad (2.4)$$

**Proof.** It is clear that  $D$  is **EDM** if and only if the smallest nonzero eigenvalue of the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix  $X = -V^T D V$  is nonnegative. But  $\text{rank } X \leq n-1$ . Let

$$m = \frac{\text{trace } X}{n-1} = -\frac{1}{n-1} \text{trace } D V V^T = -\frac{1}{n-1} \text{trace } D \left( I - \frac{1}{n} e e^T \right) = \frac{e^T D e}{n(n-1)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 &= \frac{1}{n-1} \text{trace } X^2 - m^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \text{trace } D^2 - \frac{2}{n(n-1)} e^T D^2 e + \frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)^2 n^2} (e^T D e)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, Theorem 1.1 and the fact that  $m \geq 0$  imply that the smallest nonzero eigenvalue of  $X$  is nonnegative if  $m^2 \geq (n-2)s^2$ . Note that

$$(n-1)(m^2 - (n-2)s^2) = -(n-2) \text{trace } D^2 + \frac{2(n-2)}{n} e^T D^2 e - \frac{(n-3)}{n^2} (e^T D e)^2.$$

Therefore, Condition 1 holds.

The second condition follows from the upper bound on the smallest eigenvalue, i.e. if  $m \geq 0$  and  $m^2 - s^2/(n-2) < 0$ , then  $D$  is not **EDM**. Therefore, We get the required necessary condition in (2.4) since

$$(n-1)(m^2 - s^2/(n-2)) = -\frac{1}{n-2} \text{trace } D^2 + \frac{2}{n(n-2)} e^T D^2 e. \quad \blacksquare$$

The following is an immediate corollary of Theorem 2.1

**Corollary 2.1** *Let  $D$  be  $3 \times 3$  predistance matrix. Then  $D$  is **EDM** if and only if*

$$\frac{2}{3} e^T D^2 e \geq \text{trace } D^2. \quad (2.5)$$

The results in Theorem 2.1 can be strengthened by weakening the sufficient condition (2.3), if the rank of  $D$  is known. Note that the necessary condition in Theorem 2.1 is independent of rank of  $D$ . We get the following result.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $D \neq 0$  be an  $n \times n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , predistance matrix and assume that  $\text{rank } D = k \leq n - 1$ . Then the following is a sufficient condition for  $D$  to be an EDM*

$$\frac{2}{n} e^T D^2 e - \frac{(k-2)}{n^2(k-1)} (e^T D e)^2 \geq \text{trace } D^2. \quad (2.6)$$

**Proof.** If  $\text{rank } D = k$  then  $\text{rank } X = -V^T D V \leq k$ . Note that  $k \geq 2$  since  $D \neq 0$  and  $\text{trace } D = 0$ . Therefore, in this case

$$m = \frac{\text{trace } X}{k} = -\frac{1}{k} \text{trace } D V V^T = -\frac{1}{k} \text{trace } D \left( I - \frac{1}{n} e e^T \right) = \frac{e^T D e}{kn}.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 &= \frac{1}{k} \text{trace } X^2 - m^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \text{trace } D^2 - \frac{2}{kn} e^T D^2 e + \frac{(k-1)}{k^2 n^2} (e^T D e)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from a similar argument to that in the proof of Theorem 2.1. ■

A recent, different sufficient condition for a predistance matrix to be an **EDM** is derived by Bénasséni [2]. This is in the form of a variance inequality equivalent to  $\frac{(e^T D e)^2}{n^2 - n - 1} > \text{trace } D^2$ . The condition is derived using a continuity argument on the **EDM** corresponding to the standard simplex.

The following is an immediate corollary of Theorem 2.2

**Corollary 2.2** *Let  $D$  be an  $n \times n$  predistance matrix of rank 2. Then  $D$  is an EDM if and only if*

$$\frac{2}{n} e^T D^2 e \geq \text{trace } D^2. \quad (2.7)$$

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $D \neq 0$  be an  $n \times n$  EDM. Then  $D$  satisfies inequality 2.4 in Theorem 2.1 as an equality if and only if the embedding dimension of  $D$  is 1.*

**Proof.** Let  $D \neq 0$  be an  $n \times n$  EDM and let  $B = -\frac{1}{2} J D J$ , where  $J = V V^T$  is the orthogonal projection on the subspace  $M = e^\perp$ . Then  $B \succeq 0$  and the embedding dimension of  $D$  is well known to be equal to the rank of  $B$ . Furthermore,  $D$  can be written in terms of  $B$  as

$$D = \text{diag } B e^T + e(\text{diag } B)^T - 2B, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\text{diag } B$  denotes the vector consisting of the diagonal elements of  $B$ .

Using (2.8), it is easy to show that  $\frac{2}{n} e^T D^2 e \geq \text{trace } D^2$  is equivalent to  $(\text{trace } B)^2 \geq \text{trace } B^2$ . Let  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $B$ . Therefore,  $D$  satisfies inequality 2.4 in Theorem 2.1 as an equality if and only if  $(\text{trace } B)^2 = \text{trace } B^2$  if and only if  $(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2$  if and only if  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = 0$  and  $\lambda_n > 0$  since  $B \succeq 0$ . ■

### 3 Spherical EDMs

An **EDM**  $D$  is said to be a *spherical EDM* if the points that generate  $D$  lie on a hypersphere. If, in addition, this hypersphere is centered at the origin, then, following [3], we say that  $D$  is generated by a regular figure.<sup>1</sup> The following result is known.

**Lemma 3.1** ([6]) *Let  $D$  be a spherical EDM and let the points that generate  $D$  lie on a hypersphere of radius  $R$ . Then  $\lambda^* = 2R^2$  is the minimum value of  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda ee^T - D \succeq 0$ .*

**Proof.** (For completeness we include a proof of this lemma based on a recent characterization of the rangespace and the nullspace of spherical EDMs [1].) Let  $D$  be a spherical EDM of embedding dimension  $r$  and let  $B = -\frac{1}{2}JDJ$ . Let  $B$  be factorized as  $B = PP^T$ , where  $P$  is  $n \times r$  of rank  $r$ . Furthermore, let  $Z$  be a Gale matrix corresponding to  $D$ .  $Z$  is defined to satisfy

$$\text{Range } Z := \text{Nullspace} \begin{bmatrix} P^T \\ e^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad Z \text{ full rank.}$$

Then it was shown in [1] that  $\text{Range } D = \text{Range} [P \ e]$  and  $\text{Nullspace } D = \text{Range } Z$ .

Define the nonsingular matrix  $Q = [P \ e \ Z]$ . Then  $\lambda ee^T - D \succeq 0$  if and only if  $Q^T(\lambda ee^T - D)Q \succeq 0$ . But

$$Q^T(\lambda ee^T - D)Q = \begin{pmatrix} -P^T DP & -P^T De & 0 \\ -e^T DP & \lambda n^2 - e^T De & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,  $\lambda ee^T - D \succeq 0$  if and only if  $\begin{pmatrix} 2(P^T P)^2 & -P^T De \\ -e^T DP & \lambda n^2 - e^T De \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0$ , if and only if  $\lambda n^2 - e^T De - \frac{1}{2}e^T DP(P^T P)^{-2}P^T De \geq 0$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^* &= \frac{e^T De}{n^2} - \frac{e^T DP(P^T P)^{-2}P^T De}{2n^2} \\ &= \frac{e^T De}{n^2} - \frac{e^T DB^\dagger De}{2n^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where  $B^\dagger$  denotes the Moore-Penrose inverse of  $B$ . But the center of the hypersphere containing the points that generate  $D$  is given by  $a = (P^T P)^{-1}P^T De/2n$ . Hence,  $\lambda^* = e^T De/n^2 + 2a^T a = 2R^2$ .

**Corollary 3.1** *Let  $D$  be an  $n \times n$  predistance matrix. Then  $D$  is a spherical EDM if and only if  $\lambda^* ee^T - D \succeq 0$ , where  $\lambda^*$  is given in (3.9).*

**Corollary 3.2** ([3]) *Let  $D$  be an  $n \times n$  predistance matrix. Then  $D$  is a spherical EDM generated by a regular figure if and only if  $\lambda^* ee^T - D \succeq 0$ , where*

$$\lambda^* = \frac{e^T De}{n^2}. \tag{3.10}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Some authors refer to these as **EDMs** of strength one, [6]

## 4 Sufficient and Necessary Trace Inequalities for EDMs Generated by Regular Figures

Since  $\lambda^*$  given by (3.10) is easy to compute, in the section we present sufficient and necessary trace inequalities for a predistance matrix to be an EDM generated by a regular figure.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $D$  be an  $n \times n, n \geq 3$  predistance matrix. Then*

1. *The following is a sufficient condition for  $D$  to be an EDM generated by a regular figure.*

$$\frac{n-1}{n-2} \frac{(e^T D e)^2}{n^2} \geq \text{trace } D^2 \quad (4.11)$$

2. *If  $D$  is an EDM generated by a regular figure then*

$$2 \frac{(e^T D e)^2}{n^2} \geq \text{trace } D^2. \quad (4.12)$$

**Proof.** Let  $A = \lambda^* e e^T - D$  then  $\text{rank } A \leq n - 1$ . Let

$$m = \frac{\text{trace } A}{n-1} = \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda^*.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 &= \frac{1}{n-1} \text{trace } A^2 - m^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \text{trace } D^2 - \frac{2\lambda^*}{n-1} e^T D e + \frac{n^2(n-2)}{(n-1)^2} \lambda^{*2}, \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \text{trace } D^2 - \frac{1}{n(n-1)^2} (e^T D e)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, Theorem 1.1 implies that the smallest eigenvalue of  $A$  is nonnegative if  $m^2 \geq (n-2)s^2$ . But

$$(n-1)(m^2 - (n-2)s^2) = -(n-2) \text{trace } D^2 + \frac{(n-1)}{n^2} (e^T D e)^2.$$

Therefore, Condition 1 holds.

Condition 2 follows from the upper bound on the smallest eigenvalue of  $A$ , i.e. if  $D$  is an EDM generated by a regular figure then  $m^2 - s^2/(n-2) \geq 0$ . We get

$$(n-1)(m^2 - s^2/(n-2)) = -\frac{1}{(n-2)} \text{trace } D^2 + \frac{2}{n^2(n-2)} (e^T D e)^2. \quad \blacksquare$$

As was the case in Theorem 2.2, the sufficient condition in Theorem 4.1 can be weakened if the rank of  $D$  is known. Hence, we have the following theorem

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $D \neq 0$  be an  $n \times n, n \geq 3$  predistance matrix of rank  $k \leq n - 1$ . Then the following is a sufficient condition for  $D$  to be an EDM generated by a regular figure.*

$$\frac{k}{k-1} \frac{(e^T D e)^2}{n^2} \geq \text{trace } D^2 \quad (4.13)$$

**Proof.** let  $D$  be an EDM generated by a regular figure of rank  $k \leq n - 1$ ,  $k \geq 2$  since  $D \neq 0$  and trace  $D = 0$ . then rank  $V^T D V \leq k$ . Consequently, rank  $A = \lambda^* e e^T - D \leq k$ . Let

$$m = \frac{\text{trace } A}{k} = \frac{n}{k} \lambda^*.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 &= \frac{1}{k} \text{trace } A^2 - m^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \text{trace } D^2 - \frac{2\lambda^*}{k} e^T D e + \frac{n^2 (k-1)}{k^2} \lambda^{*2}, \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \text{trace } D^2 - \frac{(k+1)}{k^2 n^2} (e^T D e)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows by a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. ■

## References

- [1] A.Y. ALFAKIH. On the nullspace, the rangespace and the charactersitic polynomial of euclidean distance matrices. Submitted, Dept. of Math. and Stats., University of Windsor, 2005.
- [2] J. BÉNASSÉNI. A variance inequality ensuring that a predistance matrix is Euclidean. Technical report, Univ. de Haute Bretagne, Rennes, France, 2005.
- [3] T.L. HAYDEN and P. TARAZAGA. Distance matrices and regular figures. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 195:9–16, 1993.
- [4] R. KUMAR. Bounds for eigenvalues. Master’s thesis, University of Alberta, 1984.
- [5] M. MARCUS and H. MINC. *A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities*. Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1992. Reprint of the 1969 edition.
- [6] A. NEUMAIER. Distance matrices, dimension and conference graphs. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.*, 43:385–391, 1981.
- [7] I.J. SCHOENBERG. Remarks to Maurice Frechet’s article: Sur la definition axiomatique d’une classe d’espaces vectoriels distancies applicables vectoriellement sur l’espace de Hilbert. *Ann. Math.*, 36:724–732, 1935.
- [8] P. TARAZAGA, T.L. HAYDEN, and J. WELLS. Circum-Euclidean distance matrices and faces. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 232:77–96, 1996.
- [9] H. WOLKOWICZ and G.P.H. STYAN. Bounds for eigenvalues using traces. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 29:471–506, 1980.