## Direct Numerical Solution of the Steady 1D Compressible Euler Equations for Transonic Flow Profiles with Shocks

#### Hans De Sterck, Scott Rostrup Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada



15th Annual Conference of the CFD Society of Canada Toronto, Ontario, Canada, May 27-31, 2007

## 1. Introduction

 consider stationary solutions of hyperbolic conservation law

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \vec{F}(U) = 0$$

• in particular, compressible Euler equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ \rho \vec{v} \\ \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{\rho v^2}{2} \end{bmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \rho \vec{v} \\ \rho \vec{v} \vec{v} + I p \\ (\frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{\rho v^2}{2}) \vec{v} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$



### **Transonic steady Euler flows**



$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \vec{F}(U) = 0$$

 $\nabla \cdot \vec{F}(U) = 0$ 

## Standard approach for steady flow simulation

• time marching (often implicit)

$$\frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{\Delta t} + \nabla \cdot \vec{F}(U^{n+1}) = 0$$

- Newton: linearize  $\vec{F}$
- Krylov: iterative solution of linear system in every Newton step
- Schwarz: parallel (domain decompositioning), or multigrid

 $\Rightarrow$  NKS methodology for steady flows



## Main advantages of NKS

- use the hyperbolic BCs for steady problem
- 'physical' way to find suitable initial conditions for the Newton method in every timestep
- it works! (in the sense that it allows one to converge to a solution, in many cases, with some trial-anderror)



## **Disadvantages of NKS**

- number of Newton iterations required for convergence grows as a function of resolution
- number of Krylov iterations required for convergence of the linear system in each Newton step grows as a function of resolution
- grid sequencing/nested iteration: often does not work as well as it could (need many Newton iterations on each level)
- robustness, hard to find general strategy to increase timestep

### $\Rightarrow$ NKS methodology not very scalable, and expensive



## Why not solve the steady equations directly?

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \vec{F}(U) = 0 \qquad \nabla$$

- too hard!
- let's try anyway:
  - maybe we can understand why it is difficult
  - maybe we can find a method that is more efficient than implicit time marching
- start in 1D



CFD 2007 Toronto hdesterck@uwaterloo.ca

 $\cdot \vec{F}(U) = 0$ 

## 2. 1D model problems

radial outflow from extrasolar planet





### Radial outflow from exoplanet

- http://exoplanet.eu
- 236 extrasolar planets known, as of May 2007
- 24 multiple planet systems
- many exoplanets are gas giants ("hot Jupiters")
- many orbit very close to star (~0.05 AU)
- hypothesis: strong irradiation leads to supersonic hydrogen escape





## Transiting exoplanet





## Transonic radial outflow solution of Euler equations of gas dynamics





## Use time marching method (explicit)





### Simplified 1D problem: radial isothermal Euler

• 2 equations (ODEs), 2 unknowns ( $u,\,
ho$  )

$$\frac{d}{dr}(\rho ur^2) = 0$$
$$\frac{du}{dr} = \frac{2 u c^2 (r - r_c)}{r^2 (u^2 - c^2)}$$



### Solving the steady ODE system is hard...

- solving ODE from the left does not work...
- also: 2 equations, 2 unknowns, but only 1 BC needed! (along with transonic solution requirement)
- but... integrating outward from the critical point does work!!!



CFD 2007 Toronto hdesterck@uwaterloo.ca



# 3. Newton Critical Point (NCP) method for steady transonic Euler flows

• First component of NCP: integrate outward from critical point, using dynamical systems formulation



 $\frac{du}{dr} = \frac{2 u c^2 (r - r_c)}{r^2 (u^2 - c^2)}$ 



### First component of NCP

$$\frac{du}{dr} = \frac{2 u c^2 (r - r_c)}{r^2 (u^2 - c^2)}$$

1. Write as dynamical system...

$$\frac{du(s)}{ds} = -2 u c^2 \left(r - \frac{GM}{2c^2}\right)$$
$$\frac{dr(s)}{ds} = -r^2 \left(u^2 - c^2\right)$$

$$\frac{dV}{ds} = G(V)$$

2. find critical point: G(V) = 0

3. linearize about critical point  $\frac{\partial G}{\partial V}\Big|_{V_{crit}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2c^3 \\ \frac{(GM)^2}{2c^3} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 





CFD 2007 Toronto hdesterck@uwaterloo.ca



### For the Full Euler Equations

$$\frac{d}{dr} \begin{bmatrix} \rho u r^2 \\ \rho u^2 r^2 + p r^2 \\ (\frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{\rho u^2}{2}) u r^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\rho GM + 2 p r \\ -\rho GM u + q_{heat} r^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 3 equations, 3 unknowns, but only 2 inflow BC
- problem: there are many possible critical points! (twoparameter family)



## Full Euler dynamical system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dF}{ds} &= 0, \\ \frac{du}{ds} &= 2 u c^2 \left(r - \frac{GM}{2c^2}\right) - (\gamma - 1) q_{heat} \frac{r^4 u}{F}, \\ \frac{dr}{ds} &= r^2 \left(u^2 - c^2\right), \\ \frac{dT}{ds} &= (\gamma - 1) T \left(GM - 2 u^2 r\right) - (\gamma - 1) q_{heat} \frac{r^4}{F} \left(T - u^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad T_{crit} = \frac{GM}{2\gamma r_{crit}} + (\gamma - 1) \frac{q_{heat} r_{crit}^3}{2\gamma F_{crit}},$$
$$u_{crit} = \sqrt{\gamma T_{crit}}.$$



CFD 2007 Toronto hdesterck@uwaterloo.ca

# Second component of NCP: use Newton method to match critical point with BCs





### Quadratic Newton covergence

Newton step $k$	error $  B^{(k)} - B^*  _2$
1	4.41106268600662
2	2.28831581534917
3	1.43924405447424
4	0.10259052732943
5	0.00125578478131
6	0.00000037420499



NCP method for 1D steady flows

- it is possible to solve steady equations directly, if one uses critical point and dynamical systems knowledge
- (Newton) iteration is still needed
- NCP Newton method solves a 2x2 nonlinear system (adaptive integration of trajectories is explicit)
- much more efficient than solving a 1500x1500 nonlinear system, and more well-posed



4. Extension to problems with shocks





### NCP method for nozzle flow with shock

- subsonic in: 2 BC
- subsonic out: 1 BC
- NCP from critical point to match 2 inflow BC
- Newton method to match shock location to outflow BC (using Rankine-Hugoniot relations, 1 free parameter)





### 5. Extension to problems with heat conduction

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{bmatrix} \rho r^{2} \\ \rho u r^{2} \\ \left(\frac{p}{\gamma-1} + \frac{\rho u^{2}}{2}\right) r^{2} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \begin{bmatrix} \rho u r^{2} \\ \rho u^{2} r^{2} + p r^{2} \\ \left(\frac{\gamma p}{\gamma-1} + \frac{\rho u^{2}}{2}\right) u r^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\rho GM + 2pr \\ -\rho GM u + q_{heat} r^{2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\kappa r^{2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$



## Dynamical system for Euler with heat conduction

 $\phi = \kappa r^2 \frac{dT}{dr}$ 

 $\frac{dr}{ds} = -r^2(u^2 - c^2)(u^2 - T),$  $\frac{dF}{ds} = 0,$  $\frac{du}{ds} = -2uc^2 \left( r - \frac{GM}{2c^2} \right) (u^2 - T) + \frac{\phi u (u^2 - c^2)}{\kappa}$  $-(\gamma-1)uT(GM-2u^2r).$  $\frac{dT}{ds} = \frac{-\phi(u^2 - c^2)(u^2 - T)}{\kappa},$  $\frac{d\phi}{ds} = \frac{-\phi F(u^2 - c^2)^2}{(\gamma - 1)\kappa} + FT(GM - 2u^2r)(u^2 - c^2)$  $+q_{heat}r^4(u^2-c^2)(u^2-T).$ 



### Two types of critical points!

• sonic critical point (node):

$$u_{crit} = \sqrt{\gamma T_{crit}} = c_{crit}$$

• thermal critical point (saddle point):

$$u_{crit} = \sqrt{T_{crit}} = c_{crit} / \sqrt{\gamma}$$

$$\frac{\phi_{crit}}{\kappa} + GM - 2u_{crit}^2 r_{crit} = 0.$$



### Transonic flow with heat conduction

- subsonic inflow: 3 BC (ρ, p, φ)
- supersonic outflow: 0 BC
- 3-parameter family of thermal critical points
- NCP matches thermal critical point with 3 inflow BC



CFD 2007 Toronto hdesterck@uwaterloo.ca



## 6. Conclusions

- solving steady Euler equations directly is superior to time-marching methods for 1D transonic flows
- NCP uses
  - adaptive itegration outward from critical point
  - dynamical system formulation
  - 2x2 Newton method to match critical point with BC



## Conclusions

- 2D: work in progress
  - integrate separately in different domains of the flow,
     'outward' from critical curves
  - match conditions at critical curves with BCs using Newton method
  - issues:
    - change of topology
    - solve PDE in different regions
    - cost
  - potential advantages are significant: problem more wellposed
    - fixed number of Newton steps, linear iterations (scalable)
    - better grid sequencing (nested iteration)



### **Transonic steady Euler flows**



$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \vec{F}(U) = 0$$

 $\nabla \cdot \vec{F}(U) = 0$