

## The Colin de Verdière Number

C. D. Godsil <sup>1</sup>

Combinatorics and Optimization  
 University of Waterloo  
 Waterloo, Ontario  
 Canada N2L 3G1

### ABSTRACT

These notes provide an introduction to some properties of the Colin de Verdière number of a graph. They are heavily dependent on a survey by Van der Holst, Lovász and Schrijver. There are two possible novelties. We make use of matrix perturbation theory, and offer an alternative interpretation of the so-called strong Arnold hypothesis.

### 1. Perturbation

The following discussion summarizes Theorems II.5.4 and II.6.8 of Kato [1].

Let  $A$  and  $H$  be real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices. We concern ourselves with the eigenvalues of  $A + tH$ , for small values of  $t$ ; we will see that it is possible to view these as perturbations of the eigenvalues of  $A$ . Assume  $\theta$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  with multiplicity  $m$ , and let  $P$  be the projection on to the associated eigenspace. Then there is a matrix-valued function  $P(t)$  such that

- (a)  $P(0) = P$ .
- (b)  $P(t)$  is a real analytic function of  $t$ , and a projection.
- (c) The column space of  $P(t)$  is invariant under  $A + tH$ .

Note that  $\text{rk}(P) = m$ . As  $\text{rk}(P(t)) = \text{tr}(P(t))$ , it follows that  $\text{rk}(P(t))$  is a continuous integer-valued function. Therefore

---

<sup>1</sup> Support from a National Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada operating grant is gratefully acknowledged.

## Quadratic Rank

(d)  $\text{rk } P(t) = m$ .

From (c) it follows that the column space of  $P(t)$  is a sum of eigenspaces of  $A + tH$ . These eigenspaces can be viewed as arising by splitting the  $\theta$ -eigenspace of  $A$ .

The eigenvalues associated with these eigenspaces are analytic functions  $\theta_1(t), \dots, \theta_k(t)$  such that  $\theta_i(0) = \theta$ . If  $U$  is a matrix whose columns form an orthonormal basis for the columns of  $P = P(0)$ , then  $P = UU^T$  and the derivatives  $\theta'_1(0), \dots, \theta'_k(0)$  are the eigenvalues of  $U^T H U$ . The dimension of the  $\theta_i(t)$  eigenspace equals the dimension of the  $\theta'_i(0)$ -eigenspace of  $U^T H U$ .

**1.1 Lemma.** *Let  $Q$  be a symmetric matrix and suppose that the columns of the matrix  $U$  form an orthonormal basis for  $\ker(Q)$ . If  $K$  is symmetric then the corank of  $Q + tK$  equals the corank of  $Q$  for all sufficiently small values of  $t$  if and only if  $U^T K U = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The matrix  $UU^T$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $\ker(Q)$ . As  $U^T U = I$ , we see that  $U^T K U = 0$  if and only if  $P K P = 0$ .  $\square$

## 2. The Strong Arnold Hypothesis

If  $A$  and  $B$  are two matrices of the same order, we use  $A \circ B$  to denote their Schur product, which is defined by the condition

$$(A \circ B)_{i,j} = A_{i,j} B_{i,j}.$$

If  $X$  is a graph on  $n$  vertices, we define a *generalized Laplacian* for  $X$  to be a symmetric matrix  $Q$  such that  $Q_{u,v} < 0$  if  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent vertices in  $X$  and  $Q_{u,v} = 0$  if  $u$  and  $v$  are distinct and not adjacent. (There are no constraints on the diagonal entries of  $Q$ .) Examples are the usual Laplacian and  $-A$ , where  $A$  is the adjacency matrix of  $X$ . Note that we have not assumed that the least eigenvalue of  $Q$  is simple, although this will hold if  $X$  is connected, by Perron-Frobenius.

We associate two spaces of symmetric matrices to each generalized Laplacian  $Q$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_Q$  denote the space of symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices  $H$  such that

$$H \circ I = H \circ Q = 0$$

and let  $\mathcal{K}_Q$  denote the space of symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices  $K$  such that

$$QK = 0.$$

### C. D. Godsil

We say that  $Q$  satisfies the *Strong Arnold Hypothesis* if  $\mathcal{N}_Q \cap \mathcal{K}_Q = 0$ . This is often abbreviated to SAH. If  $\theta$  is an eigenvalue of  $Q$ , we say that its associated eigenspace satisfies the SAH if  $Q - \theta I$  does.

To give a very small example, suppose that  $\ker Q$  has dimension one, and is spanned by a vector  $x$ . Any symmetric matrix  $H$  such  $QH = 0$  must be a multiple of  $xx^T$ , but  $(xx^T)_{i,i} = (x_i)^2$  and if  $I \circ xx^T = 0$  then  $x = 0$ . Hence, if  $\dim \ker Q = 1$ , then  $Q$  satisfies the SAH.

We now describe a second version of the SAH. The space of symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices is an inner product space, relative to the bilinear form

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(AB).$$

We have the following:

**2.1 Lemma.** *The SAH holds for  $Q$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}_Q^\perp + \mathcal{K}_Q^\perp$  is the space of all symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices.*  $\square$

Clearly  $\mathcal{N}_Q$  consists of the symmetric matrices  $H$  such that  $H_{u,v} = 0$  whenever  $uv \in E(X)$ . Hence  $H \in \mathcal{N}_Q^\perp$  if and only if  $Q + tH$  is a generalized Laplacian for all sufficiently small values of  $t$ .

To characterize  $\mathcal{K}_Q^\perp$ , we need a preliminary result.

**2.2 Lemma.** *Let  $Q$  be a symmetric matrix with corank  $m$ , and let  $U$  be a matrix whose columns form an orthonormal basis for  $\ker Q$ . Then a symmetric matrix  $K$  satisfies  $QK = 0$  if and only if there is a symmetric matrix  $B$  such that  $K = UBU^T$ .*

*Proof.* The stated condition is sufficient, we prove that it is also necessary. If  $QK = 0$  then the column space of  $K$  lies in  $\ker Q$ . As  $K$  is symmetric, there is a matrix  $U_1$  whose columns lie in the column space of  $K$  and a symmetric matrix  $B_1$  such that  $K = U_1 B_1 U_1^T$ . There is a matrix,  $R$  say, such that  $U_1 = UR$  and therefore  $K = U(RB_1 R^T)U^T$ , as required.  $\square$

It follows that  $\mathcal{K}_Q^\perp$  consists of the matrices  $H$  such that  $\langle UBU^T, H \rangle = 0$  for all symmetric matrices  $B$ . As

$$\langle UBU^T, H \rangle = \text{tr}(UBU^T H) = \text{tr}(U^T H U B) = \langle U^T H U, B \rangle,$$

we see that  $H \in \mathcal{K}_Q^\perp$  if and only if  $U^T H U$  is orthogonal to all symmetric matrices  $B$ . But this implies that  $U^T H U = 0$  and therefore  $\mathcal{K}_Q^\perp$  consists of the symmetric matrices  $H$  such that  $U^T H U = 0$ . Using Lemma 1.1, we conclude that  $H \in \mathcal{K}_Q^\perp$  if and only if  $Q + tH$  has the same corank as  $Q$ , for all sufficiently small values of  $t$ .

## Quadratic Rank

### 3. Quadratic Rank

The *quadratic map*  $q$  from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{\binom{m+1}{2}}$  maps a vector  $(u_1, \dots, u_m)$  to the vector

$$(u_i u_j)_{i \leq j}.$$

If  $U$  is an  $n \times m$  matrix then  $q(U)$  denotes the  $n \times \binom{m+1}{2}$  matrix we get by applying  $q$  to each row of  $U$ . The *quadratic rank* of  $U$  is the rank of  $q(U)$ .

The quadratic rank of  $U$  is less than  $\binom{m+1}{2}$  if and only if the columns of  $q(U)$  are linearly independent. This happens if and only if there are scalars  $b_{i,j}$ , not all zero, such that for each row of  $B$  we have

$$\sum_{i,j:i \leq j} b_{i,j} u_i u_j.$$

Equivalently, there is an  $m \times m$  symmetric matrix  $B$  such that  $u^T B u = 0$ . (Note that, if  $i \neq j$  then  $B_{i,j} = b_{i,j}/2$ .) In other terms, the quadratic rank of  $U$  is less than  $\binom{m+1}{2}$  if and only if the rows of  $U$  lie on a homogeneous quadric. It follows that, if  $R$  is an invertible  $m \times m$  matrix then  $U$  and  $UR$  have the same quadratic rank. Consequently the quadratic rank of  $U$  is a property of its column space, rather than of the matrix itself.

If  $v_1^T, \dots, v_n^T$  are the rows of  $U$  then the quadratic rank of  $U$  is the dimension of the space spanned by the matrices  $v v^T$ . In particular, the quadratic rank of  $U$  is  $\binom{m+1}{2}$  if and only if the matrices  $v_i v_i^T$  span the space of all  $m \times m$  symmetric matrices.

Let  $X$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices. A *representation* of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is a map  $\rho$  from  $V(X)$  into  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Usually this map is chosen so that the geometry of the image of  $V(X)$  reveals some information about  $X$ , but this is not a requirement of the definition.

It is often convenient to describe  $\rho$  by an  $n \times m$  matrix,  $U$  say, with rows indexed by  $V(X)$ . Then  $\rho(v)$  is the  $v$ -row of  $U$ . Eigenspaces of a generalized Laplacian  $Q$  provide useful representations—simply choose  $U$  to be a matrix whose columns form an orthogonal basis for the given eigenspace.

Suppose  $\rho$  is a representation of a graph  $X$  and let  $U$  be the matrix with rows

$$\rho(v), \quad v \in V(X), \quad \rho(v) - \rho(w), \quad uv \in E(X).$$

We define the quadratic rank of  $\rho$  to be the quadratic rank of  $U$ . Note that if  $X$  has  $e$  vertices and  $e$  edges then any representation of  $X$  has quadratic rank at most  $v + e$ .

### C. D. Godsil

If the quadratic rank of  $\rho$  is less than  $\binom{m+1}{2}$  then there is an  $m \times m$  symmetric matrix  $B$  such that

$$\rho(u)^T B \rho(u) = 0, \quad \forall u \in V(X) \tag{1}$$

and

$$(\rho(u) - \rho(v))^T B (\rho(u) - \rho(v)) = 0, \quad \forall uv \in E(X). \tag{2}$$

Given (1), we see that (2) is equivalent to the condition

$$\rho(u)^T B \rho(v) = 0, \quad \forall uv \in E(X).$$

We can summarize our deliberations thus:

**3.1 Lemma.** *Let  $\rho$  be a representation of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . The quadratic rank of  $\rho$  is less than  $\binom{m+1}{2}$  if and only if there is a non-zero homogeneous quadric which contains the image of each vertex of  $X$  and the lines that join the images of each pair of adjacent vertices.  $\square$*

Finally we give the connection to the SAH.

**3.2 Theorem.** *Let  $Q$  be a generalized Laplacian for  $X$  and let  $\theta$  be an eigenvalue of  $Q$  with multiplicity  $m$ . Then the  $\theta$ -eigenspace of  $Q$  satisfies the SAH if and only if the associated representation has quadratic rank  $\binom{m+1}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be an  $n \times m$  matrix whose columns form an orthonormal basis for the  $\theta$ -eigenspace of  $Q$  and let  $\rho$  be the corresponding representation. The SAH fails for this eigenspace if and only if there is a non-zero symmetric matrix  $B$  such that  $I \circ (UBU^T) = 0$  and  $(Q - \theta I) \circ UBU^T = 0$ .

The matrix  $B$  defines a projective quadric. We have  $I \circ (UBU^T) = 0$  if and only if the image of each vertex of  $X$  lies on this quadric. We have  $(Q - \theta I) \circ UBU^T = 0$  if and only if  $\rho(u)^T B \rho(v) = 0$  for each edge  $uv$ . Hence  $B$  exists if and only if the SAH fails and, as we saw above,  $B$  exists if and only if the quadratic rank of  $\rho$  is less than  $\binom{m+1}{2}$ .  $\square$

The rank of matrix is equal to the largest integer  $k$  such that the determinant of some  $k \times k$  submatrix is non-zero. Given this, it is not hard to see that a small perturbation of a matrix cannot increase its rank. Further, if the columns of a matrix are linearly independent then a small perturbation does not change its rank. It follows that if an  $n \times m$  matrix  $U$  has quadratic rank  $\binom{m+1}{2}$ , then any small perturbation of  $U$  has quadratic rank  $\binom{m+1}{2}$ .

We note that the quadratic rank is defined for any subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , not just for eigenspaces. There is one simple but useful consequence of this.

## Quadratic Rank

**3.3 Lemma.** *Suppose  $W$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with dimension  $m$  and quadratic rank  $\binom{m+1}{2}$ . If  $W_1$  is a subspace of  $W$  with dimension  $k$ , its quadratic rank is  $\binom{k+1}{2}$ .  $\square$*

### 4. A Minor-Monotone Parameter

Let  $X$  be a graph and let  $\mathcal{Q}$  denote the set of all generalized Laplacians  $Q$  such that:

- (a)  $\lambda_1(Q)$  is simple.
- (b) The  $\lambda_2$ -eigenspace of  $Q$  satisfies the SAH.

The *Colin de Verdière* number of  $X$  is the maximum multiplicity of  $\lambda_2$ , over all matrices in  $\mathcal{Q}$ . We denote it by  $\mu(X)$ .

**4.1 Theorem.** *If  $e \in E(X)$  then  $\mu(X \setminus e) \leq \mu(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  denote  $X \setminus e$  and let  $Q$  be a generalized Laplacian for  $Y$  such that  $\lambda_2$  has multiplicity equal to  $\mu(Y)$ . Let  $\Xi$  be the adjacency matrix of  $e$ , viewed as a subgraph of  $X$  with  $|V(X)|$  vertices. By Lemma 2.1, we can write  $\Xi$  as a sum  $N + K$  where  $N \in \mathcal{N}_Q^\perp$  and  $K \in \mathcal{K}_Q^\perp$ .

Therefore  $Q + tK$  is a generalized Laplacian for  $X$  when  $t \neq 0$ . As  $K \in \mathcal{K}_Q^\perp$ , it follows from Lemma 1.1 that  $\lambda_2(Q + tK) = \lambda_2(Q)$  has multiplicity equal to  $\mu(Y)$  whenever  $t$  is small enough. By our remarks at the end of ‘quadrk’, the representations of  $X$  associated with  $\lambda_2(Q + tK)$  and  $\lambda_2(Q)$  have the same quadratic rank, and so the SAH holds for  $Q + tK$ .

Since the multiplicity of  $\lambda_2(Q + tK)$  is constant for small  $t$ , we also see that  $\lambda_1(Q + tK)$  is simple.  $\square$

**4.2 Theorem.** *If  $e \in E(X)$  then  $\mu(X/e) \leq \mu(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $e = 12$  and

$$Q(X/e) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b^T \\ b & Q_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We assume that  $\lambda_2(Q(X/e)) = 0$ . Let  $Y$  be the graph  $K_1 \cup (X/e)$  and let  $Q = Q(Y)$  be a generalized Laplacian for  $Y$ . We may assume  $Q(Y)$  has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & b^T \\ 0 & b & Q_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

### C. D. Godsil

where  $\epsilon > 0$ , and will be restricted further shortly. Let  $\Xi$  be the matrix

$$\Xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & c^T \\ -1 & 0 & -c^T \\ -c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here  $c$  is a non-positive vector such that  $Q + \Xi$  is a generalized Laplacian for  $X$ . So, for example:

$$c_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 1 \not\sim i \text{ and } 2 \not\sim i; \\ b_i, & \text{if } 1 \sim i \text{ and } 2 \not\sim i; \\ 0, & \text{if } 1 \not\sim i \text{ and } 2 \sim i; \\ b_i/2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As before,  $\Xi = N + K$ , where  $N \in \mathcal{N}_Q^\perp$  and  $K \in \mathcal{K}_Q^\perp$ . Consider the matrix pencil  $Q + tK$ . For small values of  $t$  we know that the rank of  $Q + tK$  does not change, and the SAH holds for  $\ker(Q + tK)$ . Choose some positive value of  $t$  that works, and assume that  $\epsilon$  was chosen so that  $\epsilon < t^2/(1-t)$ . If we multiply the first row and column of  $Q + tK$  by  $(1-t)/t$ , we get the matrix

$$Q' = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon(1-t)^2/t^2 & t-1 & (1-t)c^T \\ t-1 & a & b^T - tc^T \\ (1-t)c & b-tc & Q_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This operation does not change the rank, and it is not hard to see that SAH holds for  $\ker(Q')$  and that  $\lambda_1(Q')$  is simple.

Let  $Q''$  be the matrix we get from  $Q'$  by subtracting its first row from its second, and the first column from the second. We observe that  $Q''$  is a generalized Laplacian for  $X$  (at last), and that its rank is equal to the rank of  $Q'$ . We have to show that the SAH holds.

Let  $U$  be the  $n \times m$  matrix whose columns form a basis for  $\ker(Q')$ , and let  $M$  be the elementary matrix we get by adding the first row of  $I$  to its second row. Thus  $Q'' = MQ'M^T$  and the columns of  $M^{-1}U$  are a basis for  $\ker(Q'')$ . Since the SAH holds for  $Q'$ , the space of all  $m \times m$  symmetric matrices is spanned by the matrices

$$u_i u_i^T, \quad (u_i - u_j)(u_i - u_j)^T, \quad i \in V(X), \quad ij \in E(X)$$

where  $u_i$  is the  $i$ -th row of  $U$ . Let  $v_i$  denote the  $i$ -th row of  $M^{-1}U$ .

We have  $v_1 = u_1$ ,  $v_2 = u_1 - u_2$  and  $v_1 - v_2 = u_2$ . Hence the SAH holds. As  $Q''$  and  $Q'$  are congruent, it follows from Sylvester's law of inertia that  $\lambda_1(Q'')$  is simple.  $\square$

The previous two results combine to give the most important property of the Colin de Verdière number:

## Quadratic Rank

**4.3 Corollary.** *If  $Y$  is a minor of  $X$  then  $\mu(Y) \leq \mu(X)$ .*

### 5. Properties

We derive some further relations between the Colin de Verdière number of a graph and its subgraphs. We begin with a technical result.

**5.1 Lemma.** *If an eigenspace of  $X$  contains two eigenvectors with disjoint supports, then the SAH hypothesis fails.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $x$  and  $y$  are vectors and  $U$  is the matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

As the Schur product of the columns of  $U$  is zero, it follows that  $\text{qrk}(U) = 2$ . By ‘sahsub’, we deduce that the SAH fails for any subspace that contains  $x$  and  $y$ .  $\square$

We now determine  $\mu(K_n)$  and  $\mu(\overline{K_n})$ . It is easy to see that  $\mu(K_1) = 0$ . Suppose  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $-J$  is a generalized Laplacian for  $K_n$  with  $\lambda_2(Q) = 0$  having multiplicity  $n - 1$ . Here  $\mathcal{N}_Q^\perp$  is the space of all symmetric matrices, and so the SAH holds by Lemma 2.1. (Conversely, it is not too hard to show that  $\mu(X) = |V(X)| - 1$  if and only if  $X = K_n$ .)

Next we consider  $X = \overline{K_n}$ . Here  $\mathcal{N}_Q^\perp$  is the space of diagonal matrices. Suppose  $Q$  is a generalized Laplacian for  $X$  such that  $\lambda_2$  is simple. We may assume without loss that the associated eigenvector is  $e_1$ , the first standard basis vector. Then

$$\mathcal{K}_Q^\perp = \{H : e_1^T H e_1 = 0\} = \{H : H_{1,1} = 0\}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{K}_Q^\perp$  has codimension 1 in the space of symmetric matrices, and so Lemma 2.1 again yields that the SAH holds. Hence  $\mu(X) \geq 1$ .

Suppose now that  $\lambda_2$  has multiplicity at least two. We may assume that the eigenspace contains  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , whence ‘dis-sup’ yields that the SAH fails.

**5.2 Lemma.** *If  $X$  has at least one edge, then  $\mu(X)$  equals the maximum value of  $\mu(Y)$ , where  $Y$  ranges over the components of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* By ‘edge-del’,  $\mu(X) \geq \mu(C)$ , where  $C$  runs over the components of  $X$ .

### C. D. Godsil

Let  $Q$  be a generalized Laplacian for  $X$  that realises  $\mu(X)$ , with  $\lambda_2(Q) = 0$ . Exactly one component  $Y$  of  $X$  has least eigenvalue equal to  $\lambda_1$ ; all other components must have least eigenvalue no less than zero. If two distinct components of  $X$  have eigenvalue zero then the kernel of  $Q$  contains two vectors with disjoint support, and the SAH fails.

If some component of  $X$  has least eigenvalue zero, then since a component is connected, its least eigenvalue is simple and  $\mu(X) = 1$ . As  $X$  contains an edge, some component  $C$  has  $\mu(C) \geq 1$ , and the lemma follows.

Otherwise 0 must be an eigenvalue of  $Y$  and  $\mu(X) = \mu(Y)$ .  $\square$

Suppose  $Q$  is a generalized Laplacian for  $X$  and  $\rho$  is the representation on some eigenspace of  $Q$  with eigenvalue  $\theta$  and dimension  $m$ . Let  $u$  be a vertex of  $X$  and let  $W$  be the subspace of the eigenspace spanned by the eigenvectors that vanish on  $u$ . The restriction to  $V(X) \setminus u$  of any of these eigenvectors is an eigenvector for  $Q(X \setminus u)$ , with eigenvalue  $\theta$ . This shows that the multiplicity of  $\theta$  as an eigenvalue of  $Q(X \setminus u)$  is at least  $m - 1$ . It follows from spectral decomposition that the dimension is  $m - 1$  if and only if  $\rho(u) \neq 0$ . (See Lemma 8.13.1 in Godsil & Royle.)

**5.3 Lemma.** *Let  $Q$  be a generalized Laplacian for  $X$ , and let  $\rho$  be the representation on the  $\lambda_2$ -eigenspace of  $Q$ . Assume  $\lambda_2(Q)$  has multiplicity  $m$  and let  $u$  be a vertex in  $X$  such that*

- a)  $\rho(u) \neq 0$ .
- b) *The images of  $u$  and its neighbours span  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .*

*Then if the SAH holds for  $Q(X \setminus u)$ , it holds for  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis, the vectors in  $\ker(Q - \lambda_2 I)$  that do not have  $u$  in their support span a space  $W$  of dimension  $m - 1$ , with quadratic rank  $\binom{m}{2}$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}$  be a basis for  $W$  and let  $z$  be an eigenvector for  $Q$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_2$  such that  $z_u = 1$ .

Suppose the SAH fails for  $Q$ . Then there is a non-zero symmetric  $m \times m$  matrix  $B$  such that

$$\rho(v)^T B \rho(w) = 0$$

if  $v = w$  or  $v \sim w$ . As  $\rho(u)$  is the first standard basis vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and as the images of  $u$  and its neighbours span  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , it follows that  $\rho(u)^T B = 0$ . Thus the first row and column of  $B$  are zero. If  $B$  is not zero the the SAH fails for  $Q(X \setminus u)$ .  $\square$

## Quadratic Rank

**5.4 Lemma.** *If  $u \in V(X)$  then  $\mu(X \setminus u) \geq \mu(X) - 1$ . If  $u$  is adjacent to each vertex in  $V(X) \setminus u$  and  $|V(X)| \geq 2$ , then  $\mu(X \setminus u) = \mu(X) - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $Q$  is a generalized Laplacian for  $X$  that realizes the Colin de Verdière number of  $X$ . Let  $u$  be a vertex of  $X$ , let  $Q_u$  be the matrix we get by deleting the  $u$ -row and  $u$ -column from  $Q$  and let  $W$  be the space consisting of the  $\lambda_2$ -eigenvectors  $x$  of  $Y$  such that  $x_u = 0$ . It is easy to verify that deleting the  $u$ -coordinate from a vector in  $W$  gives an eigenvector for  $Q_u$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_2(Q)$ . As the SAH holds for  $Q$ , it holds for  $W$ .

To complete the proof of the first claim, we show that if  $Q$  is a generalized Laplacian for  $X$  and  $W$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of the  $\lambda_2$ -eigenspace which satisfies the SAH, then  $\mu(X) \geq k$ .

Suppose  $\lambda_2(Q)$  has multiplicity  $m$  and let  $F$  be the projection onto the complement of  $W$  in the  $\lambda_2$ -eigenspace. Let  $U_1$  be an  $n \times k$  matrix whose columns are a basis for  $W$ . As the SAH holds for  $W$ , we have  $F = N + K$ , where  $N \in \mathcal{N}_Q^\perp$  and  $U_1^T K U_1 = 0$ . Let  $U$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix with a basis of the  $\lambda_2$ -eigenspace as columns. Then  $U^T N U = U^T (F - K) U$  has eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity  $k$  and 1 with multiplicity  $m - k$ . So, for small non-zero values of  $t$ , we find that  $\lambda_2(Q + tN)$  has multiplicity  $k$  and satisfies the SAH.

Finally, suppose  $u$  is adjacent to all vertices in  $X \setminus u$ . By the previous result, we may assume that  $X \setminus u$  is connected. Let  $Q'$  be a matrix realizing  $\mu(X \setminus u)$  with  $\lambda_2(Q') = 0$ , and let  $z$  be an eigenvector of  $Q'$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(Q)$ . We may choose  $z$  so that  $z < 0$  and  $\|z\| = 1$ . Let  $Q$  be the generalized Laplacian given by

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{-1} & z^T \\ z & Q' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\ker Q$  contains  $(\lambda_1, z)^T$  and all vectors of the form  $(0, x)^T$ , where  $x \in \ker(Q')$ . The SAH holds by the previous lemma.

The least eigenvalue of  $Q$  is simple, because  $X$  is connected. By interlacing,  $\lambda_2(Q) = \lambda_2(Q')$ . We conclude that  $\mu(X) = \mu(X \setminus u) + 1$ .  $\square$

**5.5 Corollary.** *Suppose  $C$  is a vertex cutset in  $X$  and let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_r$  be the components of  $X \setminus C$ . Then  $\mu(X) \leq |C| + \max_i \mu(Y_i)$ .*